

## ON THE EQUATION

$$e^{ix} = (i - \frac{x}{2}) / (i + \frac{x}{2})$$

BY KONSTANTY HOLLY

**Abstract.** Applying the theory of the Friedrichs extensions to some integro-differential operator we show an equivalence of the title equation and the equation

$$\sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \left(1 + \frac{i}{k^2}\right) \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - x^2} = \frac{1}{8},$$

where  $1+2\mathbb{N} := \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ . Namely the sets of their non-zero real solutions are identical. These solutions form an infinite sequence without finite accumulation points.

**1. The Friedrichs extension of the operator  $(-\Delta): \mathcal{D} \cap \{\int = 0\} \rightarrow \{\int = 0\}$ .**

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be an open set of finite Lebesgue measure (i.e.  $|\Omega| := m(\Omega) < \infty$ ). For an integer  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  we will consider the Sobolev space

$$W^{s,2} = \{u \in L^2 : D^\alpha u \in L^2, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| \leq s\},$$

where  $L^2 := L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$  and  $D^\alpha u$  means the suitable derivative of the sense of Sobolev. It is a real Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(u, w) \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} (D^\alpha u | D^\alpha w)_{L^2}.$$

Let  $W_0^{1,2}$  denotes the closure of the subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  of test functions on  $\Omega$  in the Hilbert space  $W^{1,2}$ . By the following well known Sobolev inequality

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}} \leq \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}\|_{L^p} \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n) \text{ and } 1 \leq p < n,$$

(that, up to a constant, is proved in [2]) we infer that in the case  $n \geq 2$  the bilinear form

$$(u, w) \mapsto ((u|w)) := \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \mid \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right)_{L^2}$$

is a scalar product in  $W_0^{1,2}$  inducing the topology inherited from  $W^{1,2}$ . It is also true in the case  $n = 1$  since then

$$\forall u \in W_0^{1,2} : |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\dot{u}\|_{L^1} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}.$$

Let  $A \subset L^2 \times L^2$  denote the Friedrichs extension of the operator:

$$(L^2 \supset) \mathcal{D} \ni \varphi \mapsto -\Delta \varphi \in L^2$$

– see Remark (2.5) in [1].

THEOREM 1.1.

a) *The operator*

$$P: L^2 \ni q \mapsto q - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} q \, dm \in L^2$$

*is the  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{L^2}$  – orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane*

$$\left\{ \int_{\Omega} q \, dm = 0 \right\} := \{q \in L^2 : \int_{\Omega} q \, dm = 0\}.$$

*If  $q_1, \dots, q_N \in W_0^{1,2}$  are linearly independent,  
then also  $P(q_1), \dots, P(q_N)$  are linearly independent.*

b) *At the notation  $\eta := A^{-1}(1)$  we have:*

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta \, dm = \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^2}^2 > 0$$

*and the operator*

$$W_0^{1,2} \ni u \mapsto u - \frac{\int_{\Omega} u \, dm}{\int_{\Omega} \eta \, dm} \cdot \eta \in W_0^{1,2}$$

*is the  $((\cdot|\cdot))$ -orthogonal projection onto  $W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$ .*

c) *The subspace  $\text{dom } A_0 = \mathcal{D} \cap \{\int = 0\}$  is  $L^2$ -dense in  $\{\int = 0\}$  and  $W^{1,2}$ -dense in  $W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$ .*

- d) The restriction  $A_0 = A|_{\text{dom } A_0}$  is a strictly positive operator of the Hilbert space  $\{\int = 0\}$ ,  $\inf A_0 \geq \inf A$ .
- e)  $W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$  with the scalar product  $((\cdot|\cdot))$  is the Friedrichs space of  $A_0$ .
- f) The Friedrichs extension  $\widetilde{A}_0$  of the operator  $A_0$  is defined on the subspace

$$\text{dom } \widetilde{A}_0 = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,2} \cap \left\{ \int = 0 \right\} : \Delta u \in L^2 \right\}$$

(where  $\Delta u$  is understood in the sense of Sobolev) and

$$\forall u \in \text{dom } \widetilde{A}_0 : \widetilde{A}_0(u) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u dm - \Delta u.$$

PROOF. It is clear that  $P(L^2) \subset \{\int = 0\}$  and  $(\text{const.} \cdot | \{\int = 0\})_{L^2} = 0$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i P(q_i) = 0 \quad (\text{equality in } L^2).$$

Then  $0 = (\sum_i \lambda_i q_i) - c$ , where  $c := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \sum_i \lambda_i q_i dm = \text{const.}$  Therefore  $\|\nabla c\|_{L^2} = 0$  and simultaneously  $c = \sum_i \lambda_i q_i \in W_0^{1,2}$ . Consequently  $c$  is the zero-vector of the space  $W_0^{1,2}$ , or in other words:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i = 0 \quad (\text{equality in } W_0^{1,2}).$$

Hence  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_N = 0$ , q.e.d.

The function  $\eta$  is a non-zero element of  $W_0^{1,2}$  because  $A(\eta) \neq 0$ . Then  $\|\nabla \eta\|_{L^2} > 0$ . Clearly

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta dm = (1|\eta)_{L^2} = (A(\eta)|\eta)_{L^2} = ((\eta|\eta)) = \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For every  $q \in W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$  we have:

$$((\eta|q)) = (A(\eta)|q)_{L^2} = (1|q)_{L^2} = \int_{\Omega} q dm = 0.$$

Therefore  $\eta$  is  $((\cdot|\cdot))$ -orthogonal to the subspace  $W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$ . This subspace is a hyperplane of  $W_0^{1,2}$ , as the kernel of the functional

$$W_0^{1,2} \ni u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} u dm \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Hence

$$W_0^{1,2} = \mathbf{R}\eta + (W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}) \quad (\text{orthogonal sum}).$$

The  $((\cdot|\cdot))$ -orthogonal projection of an element  $u \in W_0^{1,2}$  onto the line  $\mathbf{R}\eta$  is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} ((u | \frac{\eta}{\|\nabla \eta\|_{L^2}})) \cdot \frac{\eta}{\|\nabla \eta\|_{L^2}} &= \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^2}^{-2} ((\eta | u)) \eta = (\int_{\Omega} \eta dm)^{-1} (A(\eta) | u)_{L^2} \eta \\ &= (\int_{\Omega} \eta dm)^{-1} (1 | u)_{L^2} \eta = (\int_{\Omega} \eta dm)^{-1} (\int_{\Omega} u dm) \cdot \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose a function  $h \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} h dm = 1$ . For an arbitrarily given function  $u \in \{\int = 0\}$  (respectively  $u \in W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$ ) there is a sequence  $(\varphi_{\nu}) \in \mathcal{D}^N$  convergent to  $u$  in  $L^2$  (respectively in  $W_0^{1,2}$ ). In particular  $\varphi_{\nu} \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1$ , thus  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\nu} dm \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u dm = 0$ . Then

$$\mathcal{D} \cap \{\int = 0\} \ni \varphi_{\nu} - (\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\nu} dm) \cdot h \rightarrow u$$

in  $L^2$  (resp. in  $W_0^{1,2}$ ).

The propositions (d), (e), (f) results directly from Remark (2.5) and Theorem (1.16) of [1].  $\square$

## 2. The case $n = 1, \Omega = ]0, 1[$ .

The Sobolev spaces  $W^{s,2}$ ,  $W_0^{s,2}$  of one-variable functions are characterized in Examples (2.1), (2.2) in [1].

Let  $u \in W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$  be a non-zero eigenvector of the operator  $\widetilde{A}_0$ , i.e. there exists a number  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\widetilde{A}_0(u) = \lambda u$ . Clearly  $\lambda \|u\|_{L^2}^2 = (\widetilde{A}_0(u) | u)_{L^2} \geq (\inf \widetilde{A}_0) \|u\|_{L^2}^2$ . Hence  $\lambda > 0$ . The function  $u$  satisfies the boundary condition

$$(2.1) \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0$$

as an element of  $W_0^{1,2}$  ( $\subset C[0,1]$ ). By Theorem (1.1)

$$(2.2) \quad \ddot{u} = \int_0^1 \ddot{u} dm - \lambda u$$

( $\in W^{2,2}$ ). Consequently  $u \in W^{4,2} \subset \mathcal{C}^3[0,1]$  and  $u$  satisfies the equation (2.2) in the usual sense. We differentiate both sides of (2.2) and we obtain:

$$(2.3) \quad \ddot{w} + \lambda w = 0,$$

where  $w := \dot{u} \in \mathcal{C}^2[0,1]$ . Therefore  $w = \alpha_0 \cos \sqrt{\lambda}x + \beta_0 \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x$  for some  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ , and

$$\dot{u} = w = \frac{d}{dx} \left( -\frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \cos \sqrt{\lambda}x + \frac{\alpha_0}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x \right).$$

Hence

$$\exists C \in \mathbf{R}: u = \alpha \cos \sqrt{\lambda}x + \beta \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x - C,$$

where  $\alpha := -\frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ ,  $\beta := -\frac{\alpha_0}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ . Through (2.1):  $C = \alpha$  and obviously

$$(2.4) \quad u = \alpha \cos \sqrt{\lambda}x + \beta \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x - \alpha.$$

By (2.4) and again by (2.1) we get

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha(1 - \cos \sqrt{\lambda}) = \beta \sin \sqrt{\lambda}.$$

Next, integrating both sides of (2.4) over  $\Omega$  and remembering that  $u \in \{f = 0\}$ , we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \beta(1 - \cos \sqrt{\lambda}) = \alpha \sqrt{\lambda} - \alpha \sin \sqrt{\lambda}.$$

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Assume that } \beta = 0.$$

Then by (2.4)  $\alpha \neq 0$  since  $u \neq 0$ . Hence and by (2.6)  $\sqrt{\lambda} = \sin \sqrt{\lambda}$ , but  $\forall x > 0: x > \sin x$ . In this way the hypothesis (2.7) has led to a contradiction.

Thereby  $\beta \neq 0$ . Consider the case

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha = 0.$$

Then in agreement with (2.6) and (2.5):  $e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}} = 1$ , i.e.  $\exists k \in \mathbf{Z}: \sqrt{\lambda} = 2\pi k$ . In this situation  $k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and by (2.4)

$$(2.9) \quad u = \beta \sin 2\pi kx.$$

The case that remains is:

$$(2.10) \quad \alpha \neq 0.$$

This time we multiply (2.5) and (2.6) double-sidedly by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, and we compare the obtained equalities:

$$(2.11) \quad \cos \sqrt{\lambda} = 1 - \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \frac{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}{1 + (\frac{\beta}{\alpha})^2}.$$

Next we multiply (2.5) and (2.6) double-sidedly by  $\beta$  and  $-\alpha$ , respectively, and after the comparison of the obtained equalities we get:

$$(2.12) \quad \sin \sqrt{\lambda} = \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{\beta}{\alpha})^2}.$$

By (2.11), (2.12) we have

$$1 = \cos^2 \sqrt{\lambda} + \sin^2 \sqrt{\lambda} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{1 + (\frac{\beta}{\alpha})^2} \left( \sqrt{\lambda} - 2 \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right).$$

Hence  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\lambda}$  and coming back to (2.11), (2.12) we obtain:

$$(2.13) \quad \cos \theta = \frac{1 - (\frac{1}{2} \theta)^2}{1 + (\frac{1}{2} \theta)^2}, \quad \sin \theta = \frac{\theta}{1 + (\frac{1}{2} \theta)^2},$$

where  $\theta := \sqrt{\lambda}$ , or in the equivalent form:

$$(2.14) \quad e^{i\theta} = \frac{i - \frac{1}{2}\theta}{i + \frac{1}{2}\theta}.$$

Finally by (2.4)

$$(2.15) \quad u = \alpha \left( \cos \theta x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sin \theta x - 1 \right) = \alpha \cdot u_\theta,$$

where

$$(2.16) \quad u_\theta := \cos \theta x + \frac{1}{2} \theta \sin \theta x - 1.$$

In the cases (2.8), (2.10) the relations (2.9) and (2.15), respectively, appeared and we received appropriate formulas for  $\lambda$ .

COROLLARY 2.17. *If  $u$  is an eigenvector of the operator  $\tilde{A}_0$ , then*

$$\exists k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}: u \in \mathbf{R} \cdot \sin 2\pi kx$$

or

$$\exists \theta > 0: \theta \text{ satisfies (2.14) and } u \in \mathbf{R} \cdot u_\theta$$

(see (2.16)). Furthermore, the point spectrum  $\sigma_p(\tilde{A}_0)$  of the operator  $\tilde{A}_0$  is contained in the union of the disjoint sets:

$$\{(2\pi k)^2: 0 \neq k \in \mathbf{N}\}, \quad \{\theta^2: \theta \in \mathcal{V}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{V}$  means the class of all non-zero real roots of the equation (2.14).

Conversely, for every  $k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$  the function  $\sin 2\pi kx$  is an eigenvector of the operator  $\tilde{A}_0$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $(2\pi k)^2$ . Let us consider the number  $\theta \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\theta$  satisfies (2.13) and, consequently, the introduced in (2.16) function  $u_\theta$  belongs to  $W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\}$ . Moreover,

$$(2.18) \quad \int_0^1 (u_\theta)'' dm = (u_\theta)'(1) - (u_\theta)'(0) = -\theta^2.$$

Finally, by Theorem 1.1 and the formula (2.18), we have:

$$\tilde{A}_0(u_\theta) = \theta^2 \cdot u_\theta.$$

Thus  $u_\theta$  is an eigenvector of the operator  $\tilde{A}_0$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\theta^2$ . These facts together with Corollary 2.17 give

COROLLARY 2.19.  $\sigma_p(\tilde{A}_0) = \{(2\pi k)^2: 0 \neq k \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{\theta^2: \theta \in \mathcal{V}\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (k, \theta) \in (\mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathcal{V}: \ker \left( \tilde{A}_0 - (2\pi k)^2 \text{id} \right) &= \mathbf{R} \cdot \sin 2\pi kx, \\ \ker \left( \tilde{A}_0 - \theta^2 \text{id} \right) &= \mathbf{R} \cdot u_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Of course  $\mathcal{V}$  is symmetric with regard to zero. Moreover,

REMARK 2.20. *The set  $\mathcal{V}$  is countable and has no accumulation points.*

PROOF. By (2.18):  $\|u_\theta\|_{L^2} > 0, \forall \theta \in \mathcal{V}$ . By the Arzela – Ascoli theorem, the inclusion:  $W_0^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^2$  is completely continuous. Clearly the inclusion:  $W_0^{1,2} \cap \{\int = 0\} \hookrightarrow \{\int = 0\}$  has the same property.

In agreement with Theorem 1.25 from [1] and Corollary 2.19,

$$(2.21) \quad \sigma_p(\tilde{A}_0) \text{ has no accumulation points on } \mathbf{R}$$

and

all the functions

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_k &:= \sin 2\pi kx / \|\sin 2\pi kx\|_{L^2}, & k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \psi_\theta &:= u_\theta / \|u_\theta\|_{L^2}, & \theta \in \mathcal{V} \cap ]0, \infty[, \\ & \text{form orthonormal basis in } \{ \int = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Immediately from (2.19) and (2.21) we obtain:

$$\mathcal{V} \text{ has no accumulation points on the line } \mathbf{R}.$$

It remains to prove that

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{V} \text{ is infinite.}$$

(For the time being we do not know if  $\mathcal{V}$  is non-empty!) The function  $f := (\sin \pi x) - \frac{2}{\pi}$  is  $L^2$ -orthogonal to every  $\varphi_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Simultaneously,  $f \in \{ \int = 0 \}$ , then in view of (2.22):

$$L^2 \setminus \{0\} \ni f = \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{V}^+} (f|\psi_\theta)_{L^2} \cdot \psi_\theta$$

(the series convergent in  $L^2$ ),

where  $\mathcal{V}^+ := \mathcal{V} \cap ]0, \infty[$ . Hence, of course,  $\mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$ . The function  $f$  is not a finite linear combination of the functions  $\{\psi_\theta\}$  because  $f(0) \neq 0$  and every  $\psi_\theta$  satisfies (2.1). Thereby (2.23) holds.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.24.** *The set  $\mathcal{V}$  of all non-zero real solutions of the equation (2.14) is identical with the class of all real roots of the equation*

$$(2.25) \quad \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \left(1 + \frac{i}{k^2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} = \frac{1}{8}.$$

**PROOF.** Obviously  $\theta = 0$  is not a solution of (2.25). However, it satisfies the equation "Re (2.25)", i.e.

$$(2.26) \quad \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2} = \frac{1}{8}'$$

since, in agreement with Example (2.25) from [1],

(2.27) the family  $\{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sin k\pi x : 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2$  composed of eigenvectors of the operator  $A$ ;

therefore

$$(2.28) \quad (L^2 \ni) \quad 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1|\sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x)_{L^2} \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x = \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{k\pi} \sin k\pi x$$

(the series convergent in  $L^2$ );

after integration of both sides of the identity (2.28) over  $\Omega$  we obtain just (2.26).

Suppose that  $\theta \in \mathcal{V}$ . Since none of odd multiples of the number  $\pi$  satisfies the equation (2.14), then

$$(2.29) \quad \theta \notin (1+2\mathbb{Z})\pi.$$

For an arbitrary  $k \in 2\mathbb{N}$ , by Corollary 2.19, the functions  $u_\theta$ ,  $\sin k\pi x$  are two eigenvectors of the operator  $\tilde{A}_0$  corresponding to different eigenvalues; by Lemma 1.15-d from [1], they are  $L^2$ -orthogonal. Thereby

$$(2.30) \quad (u_\theta|\sin k\pi x)_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall k \in 2\mathbb{N}.$$

Next, using (2.13), we calculate:

$$(2.31) \quad (u_\theta|\sin k\pi x)_{L^2} = \frac{2\theta^2}{k\pi((k\pi)^2 - \theta^2)}, \quad \forall k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}.$$

Now, by (2.27), (2.30) and (2.31), we obtain:

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} u_\theta &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u_\theta|\sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x)_{L^2} \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x \\ &= 2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{2\theta^2}{k\pi((k\pi)^2 - \theta^2)} \sin k\pi x \\ &= 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \cdot \sin k\pi x \end{aligned}$$

(the series converges in  $L^2$ ).

We integrate both sides of the identity (2.32) over  $\Omega$  and we get the imaginary part of the equation (2.25):

$$(2.33) \quad 0 = 8\left(\frac{\theta}{\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2}.$$

$u_\theta \in \text{dom } A$ , therefore, by (2.27), the series on the right-hand side of (2.32) is convergent also in  $\text{dom } A$  (see Theorem 1.30 in [1]) and, consequently,

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} -(u_{theta})'' &= A(u_\theta) = 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{k\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \cdot A(\sin k\pi x) \\ &= 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{k\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \cdot (k\pi)^2 \sin k\pi x \end{aligned}$$

(the series convergent in  $L^2$ ).

We integrate both sides of (2.34) over  $\Omega$  and, remembering (2.18), we obtain the real part of the equation (2.25):

$$(2.35) \quad \theta^2 = 8\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2}.$$

(Compare with (2.26).) Clearly, the system  $\{(2.35), (2.33)\}$  is equivalent to (2.25).

Conversely, let a number  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  be a solution of the equation (2.25). Then the condition (2.29) must, of course, be satisfied. The family

$$1 + 2\mathbf{N} \ni k \mapsto \frac{1}{k\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \cdot \sin k\pi x \in \text{dom } A$$

is summable because it is orthogonal and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \left| \frac{1}{k\pi} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \sin k\pi x \right|_{\text{dom } A}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \left\| \frac{1}{k\pi} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} A(\sin k\pi x) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{k\pi}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \sqrt{2}(\sin k\pi x) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbf{N}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{k\pi}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \right)^2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(see Lemma(1.20) in [1]). Therefore we are allowed to put

$$(\text{dom } A \ni) \quad w := 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k\pi} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \sin k\pi x$$

(the series convergent in  $\text{dom } A$ )

- compare with (2.32). Using (2.33) we obtain:  $w \in \{\int = 0\}$ . Thus  $w \in \{\int = 0\} \cap \text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } \tilde{A}_0$  (see Theorem 1.1) and, remembering (2.35) and (2.28), we may calculate:

(2.36)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_0(w) &= \int_0^1 \ddot{w} dm - \ddot{w} = A(w) - \int_0^1 A(w) dm \\ &= 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k\pi} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} A(\sin k\pi x) \\ &\quad - 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k\pi} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \cdot \int_0^1 A(\sin k\pi x) dm \\ &= 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{k\pi}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \sin k\pi x - 8\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \\ &= 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{k\pi}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \sin k\pi x - \theta^2 \\ &= 4\theta^2 \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{k\pi}{(k\pi)^2 - \theta^2} \sin k\pi x - \theta^2 \cdot \sum_{k \in 1+2\mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{k\pi} \sin k\pi x = \theta^2 w. \end{aligned}$$

At the opportunity we have calculated:

$$(2.37) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(w) dm = \theta^2;$$

in particular  $\|w\|_{L^2} > 0$ . This fact together with the following relation

$$(2.38) \quad \tilde{A}_0(w) = \theta^2 w$$

obtained from (2.36), spells that  $\theta^2$  is an eigenvalue of the operator  $\tilde{A}_0$ . By Corollary 2.19,

$$(2.39) \quad \theta^2 \in \{(2\pi k)^2 : 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\zeta^2 : \zeta \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

(2.40) Assume that  $\theta^2 = (2\pi k)^2$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Then, in agreement with (2.38) and Corollary 2.19,

$$w \in \ker(\tilde{A}_0 - (2\pi k)^2 \text{id}) = \mathbf{R} \cdot \sin 2\pi kx.$$

In particular,  $A(w) \in \mathbf{R} \cdot A(\sin 2\pi kx) = \mathbf{R} \cdot \sin 2\pi kx \subset \{\int = 0\}$ , but it is impossible in view of (2.37). In this manner the hypothesis (2.40) has led to a contradiction.

Thereby  $\theta^2 \notin \{(2\pi k)^2 : 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and, coming back to (2.39), we get:

$$\theta^2 \in \{\zeta^2 : \zeta \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Thus  $\theta \in \mathcal{V}$  since  $\mathcal{V} = -\mathcal{V}$ .

### References

1. Holly K., *Theory of the Friedrichs operator and some its applications*, in press.
2. Sobolev S. L., *Some applications of functional analysis to mathematical physics*, COAH CCCP, Novosibirsk, 1962. (Russian)

Received November 12, 1990

Institute of Mathematics  
 Jagiellonian University  
 30 - 059 Kraków, ul. Reymonta 4  
 Poland