

On Approximation of Entire Functions and Generalized Orders

by ADAM JANIK (Kraków)

Abstract. A characterization of the generalized order of entire functions of several complex variables by means of polynomial approximation and interpolation is established.

Let α, β be two positive, strictly increasing to infinity differentiable functions on an interval $(d, +\infty)$. We assume that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(cx)}{\alpha(x)} = 1 \text{ provided } c > 0;$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta((1 + \omega(x))x)}{\beta(x)} = 1$$

for every function ω such that $\omega(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

Given an entire transcendental function g in \mathbb{C}^N , $N \geq 1$, we put

$$S(r, g) = \sup \{ |g(z)| : |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_N|^2 = r^2 \}, \quad r > 0.$$

The quantity

$$\rho(\alpha, \beta, g) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\log S(r, g))}{\beta(\log r)}$$

is called a *generalized order* of g (see [5] and [2]). If $\alpha(x) = \log x$, $\beta(x) = x$, we get the classical definition of the order of an entire function.

The aim of this paper is to characterize the generalized order $\rho(\alpha, \beta, g)$ by means of polynomial approximation and interpolation to g on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^N .

Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{C}^N and let $\| \cdot \|_K$ denote the supremum norm on K . The function

$$\Phi_K(z) = \sup \{ |q(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} : p\text{-polynomial, } \deg p \leq n, \|p\|_K \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N} \}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

is called the Siciak extremal function of the compact K ([3], [4]).

Given a function f , defined and bounded on K , we put for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$E_n^{(1)}(f, K) = \|f - t_n\|_K;$$

$$E_n^{(2)}(f, K) = \|f - l_n\|_K;$$

$$E_{n+1}^{(3)}(f, K) = \|l_{n+1} - l_n\|_K,$$

where t_n denotes the n -th Čebyšev polynomial of the best approximation to f on K and l_n denotes the n -th Lagrange interpolation polynomial for f with nodes at extremal points of K (see [3] or [4]).

THEOREM. *Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{C}^N such that Φ_K is locally bounded in \mathbb{C}^N . Assume that for every $c > 0$ there exist positive constants a, b such that*

$$\left| \frac{d(\beta^{-1}(c\alpha(x)))}{d(\log x)} \right| \leq b, \quad x \geq a.$$

Then the function f , defined and bounded on K , is the restriction to K of an entire function g of the finite generalized order $\varrho(\alpha, \beta, g)$ if and only if

$$\varrho(\alpha, \beta, g) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta\left(-\frac{1}{n} \log E_n^{(s)}(f, K)\right)}, \quad s = 1, 2, 3.$$

This Theorem was obtained by S. M. Shah [2] in the case $N = 1, K = [-1, 1]$ and by Winiarski [6] for $\alpha(x) = \log x, \beta(x) = x$.

We start with the following

LEMMA. *Under the assumptions of Theorem let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of polynomials in \mathbb{C}^N such that*

- (i) $\deg p_n \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) *there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\|p_n\|_K \leq \exp\left(-n\beta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\alpha(n)\right)\right), \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$ is an entire function and $\varrho(\alpha, \beta, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n) \leq \mu$ provided $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$ is not a polynomial.

Proof. By the assumptions

$$\|p_n\|_K r^n \leq r^n \exp\left(-n\beta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\alpha(n)\right)\right), \quad n \geq n_0, \quad r > 0.$$

By the methods of the calculus we obtain that the maximum of the function

$$\left\{ (0, +\infty) \ni x \rightarrow r^x \exp\left(-x\beta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\alpha(x)\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is reached for $x = x_r$, where x_r is the solution of the equation

$$x = \alpha^{-1} \left(\mu \beta \left(\log r - \frac{d \left(\beta^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \alpha(x) \right) \right)}{d(\log x)} \right) \right).$$

Thus

$$(1) \quad \|p_n\|_K r^n \leq \exp(b\alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(\log r + b))), \quad n \geq n_0, \quad r > 0.$$

Write

$$K_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : \Phi_K(z) < r\}, \quad r > 1.$$

For every polynomial p of degree $\leq n$ ([3], [4])

$$(2) \quad |p_n(z)| \leq \|p_n\|_K \Phi_K^n(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

So the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$ is convergent in every set K_r , $r > 1$, whence $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$ is an entire function. Put

$$M^*(r) = \sup\{\|p_n\|_K r^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad r > 0.$$

On account of (1) for every $r > 0$ there exists a positive integer $v(r)$ such that

$$M^*(r) = \|p_{v(r)}\|_K r^{v(r)}$$

and

$$M^*(r) > \|p_n\|_K r^n, \quad n > v(r).$$

Observe that $v(r)$ increases with r . Suppose first that $v(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, putting $n = v(r)$ in (1) we get for sufficiently large r

$$(3) \quad M^*(r) \leq \exp(b\alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(\log r + b))).$$

Put

$$F_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : \Phi_K(z) = r\}, \quad r > 1;$$

$$M(r) = \sup\left\{ \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(z) \right| : z \in F_r \right\}, \quad r > 1.$$

The inequality (2) implies

$$(4) \quad M(r) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|p_n\|_K r^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|p_n\|_K (2r)^n 2^{-n} \leq 2M^*(2r)$$

Moreover, [6, (3.3)],

$$(5) \quad S(r, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n) \leq M(kr)$$

for some positive constant k . Combining (3), (4) and (5) we get

$$\log S(r, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n) \leq \log 2 + b\alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(\log r + \log 2ke^b)),$$

whence

$$\frac{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{b}\log S(r, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n) - \frac{1}{b}\log 2\right)}{\beta(\log r + \log 2ke^b)} \leq \mu.$$

Owing to the properties of the functions α and β , after passing to the upper limit we obtain

$$\varrho(\alpha, \beta, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n) \leq \mu.$$

It remains to consider the case where $\nu(r)$ is bounded. But then $M^*(r)$ is also bounded, whence $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$ is a polynomial. So the proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem. Let g be an entire transcendental function. Write

$$\varrho = \varrho(\alpha, \beta, g);$$

$$\gamma_s = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log E_n^{(s)}\right)}, \quad s = 1, 2, 3;$$

here $E_n^{(s)}$ stands for $E_n^{(s)}(g|_K, K)$, $s = 1, 2, 3$. We claim that $\varrho = \gamma_s$, $s = 1, 2, 3$. It is known (see e.g. [6]) that

$$(6) \quad E_n^{(1)} \leq E_n^{(2)} \leq (n_* + 2)E_n^{(1)}, \quad n \geq 0;$$

$$(7) \quad E_n^{(3)} \leq 2(n_* + 2)E_{n-1}^{(1)}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $n_* = \binom{n+N}{n}$. Thus $\gamma_3 \leq \gamma_2 = \gamma_1$ and it suffices to prove that $\gamma_1 \leq \varrho \leq \gamma_3$.

1° $\gamma_1 \leq \varrho$. Owing to the definition of the generalized order for every $\mu > \varrho$

$$\log S(r, g) \leq \alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(\log r))$$

provided r is sufficiently large. We may suppose obviously that

$$K \subset B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_N|^2 \leq 1\}.$$

Then $E_n^{(1)} \leq E_n^{(1)}(g, B)$. By Lemma 3.4 of [1]

$$E_n^{(1)}(g, B) \leq r^{-n} S(r, g), \quad r \geq 2, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\log E_n^{(1)} \leq -n \log r + \alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(\log r))$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every sufficiently large r . Putting

$$r = \exp\left(\beta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\alpha(n)\right)\right)$$

we get

$$\log E_n^{(1)} \leq -n \left(\beta^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \alpha(n) \right) \right) + n,$$

whence

$$\frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \log E_n^{(1)} \right)} \leq \mu.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\mu \rightarrow \varrho$ we obtain the required inequality.

2° $\varrho \leq \gamma_3$. Suppose $\gamma_3 < \varrho$. Then for every $\lambda \in (\gamma_3, \varrho)$

$$\frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log E_n^{(3)} \right)} \leq \lambda$$

provided n is sufficiently large. Thus

$$E_n^{(3)} \leq \exp \left(-n \beta^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha(n) \right) \right)$$

and by Lemma $\varrho \leq \lambda$. Since λ has been chosen less than ϱ , we get a contradiction, so $\varrho \leq \gamma_3$.

Now let f be a function defined and bounded on K and such that for $s = 1, 2$ or 3

$$\gamma_s = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log E_n^{(s)} \right)}$$

is finite. We claim that the function

$$g = l_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (l_n - l_{n-1})$$

is the required entire continuation of f and $\varrho(\alpha, \beta, g) = \gamma_s$. Indeed, for every $\lambda > \gamma_s$

$$\frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log E_n^{(s)} \right)} \leq \lambda$$

provided n is sufficiently large. Hence

$$E_n^{(s)} \leq \exp \left(-n \beta^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha(n) \right) \right).$$

Owing to the inequalities (6), (7) and Lemma the function g is entire and $\varrho(\alpha, \beta, g)$ is finite. So by the first part of the proof $\varrho(\alpha, \beta, g) = \gamma_s$, as claimed.

References

- [1] A. Janik, *A characterization of the growth of analytic functions by means of polynomial approximation*, Univ. Jagell. Acta Math., 24 (1984), 295-319.
- [2] S. M. Shah, *Polynomial approximation of an entire function and generalized orders*, J. Approx. Th. 19 (1977), 315-324.
- [3] J. Siciak, *On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1962), 322-357.
- [4] —, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in C^N* , Proceedings of the First Finnish-Polish Summer School in Complex Analysis at Podlesice (Łódź 1977), University of Łódź, pp. 115-152.
- [5] М. Н. Шеремета, *О связи между ростом максимума модуля целой функции и модулями коэффициентов ее степенного разложения*, Изв. вузов, Матем., 1967, № 2, с. 100-108.
- [6] T. Winiarski, *Application of approximation and interpolation methods to the examination of entire functions of n complex variables*, Ann. Pol. Math. XXVIII (1973), 97-121.

Received July 1, 1980.