Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
Vol. 7 No. 4 (1984) 803-808

DYNAMICAL PROPERTIES OF MAPS DERIVED FROM MAPS WITH STRONG NEGATIVE SCHWARZIAN DERIVATIVE

ABRAHAM BOYARSKY

Department of Mathematics
Loyola Campus
Concordia University
Montréal, Canada H4B 1R6

(Received February 13, 1984)

ABSTRACT. A strong Schwarzian derivative is defined, and it is shown that the convolution of a function with a map from an interval into itself having negative strong Schwarzian derivative is a function with negative Schwarzian derivative. Such convolutions have 0 as a stable periodic point and at most one other stable periodic orbit in the interior of the domain.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Dynamical systems, limiting behaviour, Schwarzian derivative, convolution, stable periodic orbit.*
1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary 26A18. Secondary 45P05.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $f:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ be a C^3 map, i.e., it has 3 continuous derivatives. The Schwarzian derivative at a point x is given by

$$\{f,x\} = \frac{f'''(x)}{f'(x)} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)}\right)^2 . \tag{1.1}$$

This derivative was first formulated by H.A. Schwarz and has been used in the theory of differential equations [1]. Recently, it has found important application in the study of bifurcation of periodic orbits [2]. In [3,4], the Schwarzian derivative is used to study the limiting behaviour of dynamical systems.

The main result of [2] is

THEOREM 1. Let $f:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ be a C^3 map and let it satisfy

(i) $f(0) = f(1) = 0$

(ii) f has a unique critical point c in $(0,1)$

and (iii) $\{f,x\} < 0$ for all $x\in[0,1]-c$.

Then f has at most one stable orbit in $(0,1)$. If it exists it is the ω-limit set of c.

Note that the wording of Theorem 1 allows for the possibility that 0 is also

a stable fixed point of f. Indeed, Theorem 1 holds even if the slope at 0 (and at 1) is equal to 0. Furthermore, the requirement that $f'''(x)$ is continuous at c can be relaxed. In [4, p. 100] it is shown that Theorem 1 is true even if only $f'(x)$ is continuous at c. We collect these observations in a version of Theorem 1 which we shall need in the sequel.

THEOREM 2. Let $f:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ be a C^3 map everywhere in $(0,1)$ except possibility at c where it is C^1. Assume conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1. Then f has at most one stable periodic orbit in $(0,1)$. If it exists it is the ω-limit set of c.

In this note we define a strong Schwarzian derivative and show that the convolution of a function with a map having negative Schwarzian derivative is a function with negative Schwarzian derivative.

In practice one is concerned with the structural stability of the map f, i.e. with what happens to the dynamical properties of f once it is perturbed. Since $\{f,x\} < 0$ is an open condition, it is clear that for maps which are C^3 and close to f, this condition will be retained. Thus, for small, smooth perturbations of f, the negative Schwarzian property is not destroyed. However, for any given perturbation, the Schwarzian derivative must be computed to verify that $\{f,x\} < 0$. In this note we consider a class of large perturbations of f derived by convoluting f with a known function, g. We define a new derivative of f called the strong Schwarzian derivative, denoted by Sf. The main result of this note shows that if $Sf < 0$, then the map $F = f * g$ has negative Schwarzian derivative. Thus, we can draw dynamical conclusions about maps which are large perturbations of f.

2. A SIMPLE LEMMA.

Let $(Sf)(x) = f'''(x)f'(x) - \frac{3}{2}(f''(x))^2$. We define the strong Schwarzian derivative $\bar{S}f$ at points a and b in $[0,1]$, $a < b$, by

$$(\bar{S}f)(a,b) = f'(a)f'''(b) - \frac{3}{2}f''(a)\,f''(b). \tag{2.1}$$

Clearly, if $(\bar{S}f)(a,b) < 0$ for all $a < b$, then $(Sf)(x) < 0$ for all x in $[0,1]$.

LEMMA 1. Let $f:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ be a unimodal map with 3 continuous derivatives such that $f'(x) > 0$ on $[0,c)$ and $f'(x) < 0$ on $(c,1]$. Furthermore, assume:

1) $(Sf)(x) < 0$

2) $f''(x) < 0$, $f'''(x) \geq 0$, $f'^{v}(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in (0,1)$.

Then $(\bar{S}f)(a,b) < 0$ for all $a,b \in (0,1)$.

PROOF. Let $a < b$. Since $(Sf)(a) < 0$ and $f'''(a) > 0$

$$f'(a) < \frac{3}{2}\frac{[f''(a)]^2}{f'''(a)}.$$

Then

$$f'(a)f'''(b) < \frac{3}{2}f''(a)f''(b)\,\frac{f''(a)}{f''(b)}\,\frac{f'''(b)}{f'''(a)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $f'''(a) \geq 0$, $f''(a) \leq f''(b)$, and since $f'^{v}(x) \leq 0$, $f'''(a) \geq f'''(b)$. Hence

$$f'(a)f'''(b) < \frac{3}{2}f''(a)f''(b), \tag{2.3}$$

i.e., $(\bar{S}f)(a,b)$ for all $a < b$, $a \in (0,1)$.

To complete the proof, we must show that

$$f'''(a)f'(b) < \frac{3}{2} f''(a)f''(b) , \tag{2.4}$$

where $a < b$. There are two cases.

CASE 1. $f'(b) > 0$. Since $a < b$, $f'(a) > 0$. Then

$$f'''(a) < \frac{3}{2} \frac{[f''(a)]^2}{f'(a)}$$

and

$$f'(b)f'''(a) < \frac{3}{2} [f''(a)f''(b)] \frac{f''(a)}{f''(b)} \frac{f'(b)}{f'(a)} . \tag{2.5}$$

Since $f'''(x) \geq 0$, $\frac{f''(a)}{f''(b)} \leq 1$, and since $f''(x) < 0$, $\frac{f'(b)}{f'(a)} < 1$.
Hence the inequality (2.4) holds.

CASE 2. $f'(b) < 0$. Since $f'''(a) > 0$,

$$f'(b)f'''(a) - \frac{3}{2} f''(a)f''(b) < 0 . \tag{2.6}$$

This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

EXAMPLE 1. $f(x) = rx(1-x)$, $0 \leq r \leq 4$. Since $f_r'''(x) = 0$, and $f_r''(x) < 0$, $\bar{S}f_r(a,b) < 0$ for all (a,b) in $(0,1)$.

EXAMPLE 2. $f_\alpha(x) = xe^{-2x}$, $\alpha > 0$, defined on $\left[0, \frac{2}{\alpha}\right]$, where the critical point $c = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and the point of inflection is at $x = 2/\alpha$. It is easy to verify that $f_\alpha(x)$ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 1.

3. MAPS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION.

Let $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$ satisfy $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and let $g:[0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be a map such that $g(x) \geq 0$ and

$$\int_0^1 g(x)dx \leq 2 . \tag{2.7}$$

We extend both f and g to $(-\infty, \infty)$ by letting $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ outside of $[0,1]$ and use the same symbols to denote these extended functions on $(-\infty,\infty)$. The convolution of f and g is given by

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)f(t)dt = g*f(x) . \tag{2.8}$$

The support of F is $[0,2]$ and (2.7) guarantees that the range of F is contained in $[0,2]$. Hence $F:[0,2] \to [0,2]$ is a well-defined map.

LEMMA 2. Let $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$, $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, be in C^3 and assume $(\bar{S}f)(a,b) < 0$ for all points a,b in $[0,1]$. Let $g(0,1) \to [0,\infty]$ be in C^3, let (2.7) be satisfied, and assume $g(0^+)$ and $g(1^-)$ exist. Then $F(x)$ has a continuous third derivative everywhere except possibly at $x = 1$, where at least $F'(x)$ is continuous, and $F(x)$ has negative Schwarzian derivative for all $x \in (0,2)$.

PROOF. Notice that in (2.8), $0 \le x-t \le 1$ and $0 \le t \le 1$. Hence for $0 \le x < 1$, $0 \le t \le x$, and we have

$$F(x) = \int_0^x g(x-t)f(t)dt \ . \tag{2.9}$$

Similarly, for $1 < x \le 2$,

$$F(x) = \int_{x-1}^x g(x-t)f(t)dt \ . \tag{2.10}$$

Using Leibnitz's Rule, we obtain

$$F'(x) = \int_0^x g'(x-t)f(t)dt + g(0^+)f(x), \quad 0 \le x < 1 \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$F'(x) = \int_{x-1}^x g'(x-t)f(t)dt + g(0^+)f(x) - g(1^-)f(x-1) \ , \tag{2.12}$$

where $1 < x \le 2$. Now $F'(1^-) = F'(1^+)$ if

$$g(0^+)f(1^-) = g(0^+)\,f(1^+) - g(1^-)f(0^+) \ , \tag{2.13}$$

which is so since $f(0) = f(1) = 0$. Hence $F'(x)$ is continuous on $(0,2)$. That $F'''(x)$ is continuous on $(0,2)$, except possibly at $x = 1$, follows from the fact that $f,g \in C^3$.

To prove $(SF)(x) < 0$, we differentiate (2.8) to get

$$F'(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'(x-t)f(t)dt \ . \tag{2.14}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= -\,g(x-t)f(t)\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)f'(t)dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)f'(t)dt \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Similarly,

$$F''(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)f''(t)dt \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$F'''(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)f'''(t)dt \ . \tag{2.17}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (SF)(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)f'(t)dt \int_{\infty}^{\infty} g(x-y)f'''(y)dy \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)f''(t)dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-y)f''(y)dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-t)g(x-y)\left[f'(t)f'''(y) - \frac{3}{2}f''(t)f''(y)\right]dtdy \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Since $g(\xi) \geq 0$ and $(\bar{S}f)(a,b) < 0$ for all $a,b \in (0,1)$ by Lemma 1, we have $(SF)(x) < 0$ and hence $\{F,x\} < 0$ for all $x \in (0,2)$. Q.E.D.

Note that if f and g can be extended smoothly at the endpoints 0 and 1, then boundary conditions can be derived which will ensure that $F \in C^3$ everywhere. Under such conditions it would be possible to avoid $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 0$.

From (2.9) we see that $F(0) = 0$ and from (2.11) it follows that $F'(0^+) = g(0^+)f(0) < 1$. Hence 0 is a stable fixed point.

THEOREM 3. Let f and g be as in Lemma 2 and assume that f is a unimodal map, strictly increasing on $[0,c)$ and strictly decreasing on $(c,1]$. Then $F(x) = g*f(x)$ has 0 as a stable periodic point and at most one other stable periodic orbit in $(0,2)$.

PROOF. $F(x)$ is unimodal on $[0,2]$ with critical point $\bar{c} = 1$, since f is unimodal on $[0,1]$. By Lemma 2, $F'''(x)$ is continuous everywhere except possibly at 1, where $F'(x)$ is continuous, and $\{F,x\} < 0$. Clearly 0 is a stable periodic point. Applying Theorem 2 we obtain the desired result. Q.E.D.

Since $F'(0^+) = 0$ there exists a point $0 < a < 1$, such that $F(a) = a$. Let $b = F^{-1}(a) \cap (1,2)$. Then clearly $[0,2) \cup (b,1]$ is in the domain of attraction of a.

COROLLARY. If $F(c) > b$, then F has 0 as a stable periodic point and no other stable periodic orbits.

PROOF. If F has a stable periodic orbit in $(0,2)$, Theorem 2 implies that it is the ω-limit set of $c = 1$. Here, clearly, the ω-limit set is equal to $\{0\}$. Q.E.D.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $f(x) = rx(1-x)$ and $g(x) = k$. Then, for $0 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$F(x) = (g*f)(x) = k \int_0^x rt(1-t)dt$$

$$= krx^2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x}{3}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

By symmetry, we have

$$F(x) = F(2-x), \quad 1 \leq x \leq 2. \tag{2.20}$$

Thus,

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} krx^2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x}{3}\right), & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ kr(x-2)^2\left(\frac{x}{3} - \frac{1}{6}\right), & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \end{cases}. \tag{2.21}$$

For $F:[0,2] \to [0,2]$ to be well-defined we need

$$F(1) = \frac{kr}{6} \leq 2. \tag{2.22}$$

By Theorem 3, we know that $F(x)$ can have at most one stable periodic orbit in $(0,2)$. For $kr = 6$, the point $c = 1$ is a stable fixed point.

Note that in this example $F'''(x)$ is not continuous at 1, but $F'(x)$ is.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $f(x) = rx(1-x)$ on $[0,1]$, $0 \leq r \leq 4$, and $g(x) = px(1-x)$

on $[0,1]$. Then $F(x) = g*f(x)$ is given by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{rp}{6}(x^3 - x^4 + \frac{x^5}{5}) & \text{on } [0,1] \\ \\ F(2-x) & \text{on } [1,2] \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

For F to be well-defined, we require

$$F(1) = \frac{rp}{30} \leq 2 ,$$

i.e., $rp \leq 60$. For $rp = 42$, $F(x) = x$ at $x_o = .51$. Hence, by the symmetry of F, $[0, .51) \cup (1.49, 2.00]$ is in the domain of attraction of 0. Since $F(1) = 1.4$, $c = 1$ is not in the domain of attraction of 0, and hence it is possible for a stable periodic orbit to exist in $(.51, 1.49)$. However, for $rp = 48$, $[0, .46) \cup (1.56, 2.00]$ is in the domain of attraction of 0. Since $F(1) = 1.6$, $c = 1$ is in the domain of attraction of 0. Hence, by Theorem 3, 0 is the only stable periodic orbit. The same is true for $48 \leq rp \leq 60$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research was supported by a grant from NSERC, No. A-9072. The author is grateful to Prof. W. Byers and Prof. Leo Jonkers for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

[1] HILLE, E. Lectures on Ordinary Differential Equations, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1968.

[2] SINGER, D. Stable Orbits and Bifurcations of Maps of the Interval, SIAM J. Appl. Math., Vol. 35, No. 2 (1978), 260-267.

[3] GUCKHENHEIMER, J. Sensitive Dependence to Initial Conditions for One Dimensional Maps, Comm. Math. Phys., Vol. 70, No. 20 (1979), 133-160.

[4] COLLET, P. and ECKMANN, J-P. Iterated Maps on the Interval as Dynamical Systems, Birkhauser, Boston, Mass., 1980.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk