Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci
Vol. 5 No. 4 (1982) 785-791

A DIRECT EXTENSION OF MELLER'S CALCULUS

E.L. KOH
University of Petroleum and Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

(Received June 26, 1980)

ABSTRACT. This paper extends the operational calculus of Meller for the operator $B_\alpha = t^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} t^{\alpha+1} \frac{d}{dt}$ to the case where $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. The development is à la Mikusinski calculus and uses Meller's convolution process with a fractional derivative operator.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Operational Calculus, Mikusinski Calculus, Bessel Operator, Convolution Process, Fractional Derivative.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 44A40, 44A35, 33A40, 26A33, 93C50.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Familiarity with integral transforms of distributions is assumed. This paper is accessible to readers familiar with references [1-3]. The generalist reader interested in this area may start with these references.

Meller [4], [5] constructed an operational calculus for the operator $B_\alpha = t^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} t^{\alpha+1} \frac{d}{dt}$ with $-1 < \alpha < 1$ by embedding it in a field of convolution quotients. The convolution process was given by the formula:

$$f(t)*g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{-\alpha} d\xi \frac{d}{d\xi} \xi \frac{d}{d\xi} \int_0^\xi d\eta \times$$

$$\times \int_0^1 dx\, \eta^\alpha (1-x)^\alpha f(x\eta) g[(1-x)(\xi-\eta)] . \qquad (1.1)$$

This calculus reduces to Ditkin's calculus [6], [3] for $\frac{d}{dt} t \frac{d}{dt}$ when $\alpha = 0$. Recently, Koh [7], [8] and Conlan [9] extended Meller's calculus to the case $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$. A modified convolution process was used which yields results analogous to Meller's. In the present work, we give a direct extension of Meller's calculus by treating the operator $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (t-\xi)^{-\alpha} d\xi$ in (1.1) as a fractional derivative.

Specifically, we let n be the least integer greater than $\alpha \geq 0$. For any n-times differentiable function f(t), the αth-order derivative of f(t) is:

$$D^{\alpha}f(t) = D^{n} I^{n-\alpha} f(t) \tag{1.2}$$

where D is $\frac{d}{dt}$ and I^{ν} is the Riemann-Liouville integral of order $\nu > 0$ given in Ross [10] by

$$I^{\nu}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\nu-1} f(\xi)d\xi. \tag{1.3}$$

It is easy to see that I^{ν} satisfies the semigroup property

$$I^{\alpha}I^{\beta} = I^{\alpha+\beta} \tag{1.4}$$

but D^{α} does not. Thus $D^{\alpha}D$ in (2.1) below cannot be written $D^{\alpha+1}$.

2. THE CONVOLUTION QUOTIENTS.

Let $\alpha \geq 0$ be a fixed real number. Let C^{∞} denote the linear space of infinitely differentiable functions on $[0,\infty)$. For every pair of functions $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ in C^{∞}, define their convolution by

$$\phi(t)*\psi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha}DtD \int_0^t \int_0^1 \eta^{\alpha}(1 - x)^{\alpha}\phi(x\eta)\psi[(1 - x)(t - \eta)]dxd\eta. \tag{2.1}$$

From this definition, the following properties are clear: (i) C^{∞} is closed under convolution, (ii) convolution is bilinear on $C^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}$, (iii) convolution is distributive with respect to the usual addition of functions. It also follows immediately that equation (2.1) specilizes to Meller's convolution for $\alpha < 1$ and to Ditkin's for $\alpha = 0$. Not so immediate are the following properties.

PROPOSITION 1. Convolution is commutative.

PROOF. Let $x = 1 - \frac{v}{t}$ and $\eta = t - t\xi$ in (2.1) and noting that the Jacobian $\frac{\partial(x,\eta)}{\partial(v,\xi)} = 1$ for all $t \in (0,\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t)*\psi(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha}DtD \int_0^1\int_0^t (t - t\xi)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{v}{t}\right)^{\alpha}\phi\left[\left(1 - \frac{v}{t}\right)(t - t\xi)\right]\psi\left[\frac{v}{t}(t\xi)\right]dvd\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha}DtD \int_0^t\int_0^1 v^{\alpha}(1 - \xi)^{\alpha}\psi(\xi v)\phi[(1 - \xi)(t - v)]d\xi dv \\ &= \psi(t)*\phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

PROPOSITION 2. For every complex number λ, and any $\phi(t) \in C^{\infty}$, $\lambda*\phi(t) = \lambda\phi(t)$.

PROOF. $$\lambda*\phi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha}DtD \int_0^t \eta^{\alpha} \int_0^1 (1 - x)^{\alpha}\lambda\phi(x\eta)dxd\eta$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha}Dt^{\alpha+1} \int_0^1 (1 - x)^{\alpha}\phi(xt)dx$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha}D \int_0^t (t - u)^{\alpha}\phi(u)du$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{n}I^{n-\alpha} \int_0^t \alpha(t - u)^{\alpha-1}\phi(u)du$$

$$= \lambda D^{n}I^{n-\alpha}I^{\alpha}\phi(t) = \lambda\phi(t).$$

The last step follows from (1.4) and (1.2). q.e.d. In view of Proposition 2, there is no distinction between constants and constant functions in our calculus.

PROPOSITION 3. Convolution is associative.

PROOF. A direct calculation shows that, for nonnegative integers q and r,

$$t^{q}*t^{r} = \frac{q!r!\Gamma(q + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(r + \alpha + 1)}{(q + r)!\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(q + r + \alpha + 1)} t^{q+r} . \tag{2.2}$$

Hence on using (2.2) again,

$$t^{p}*(t^{q}*t^{r}) = \frac{p!q!r!\ \Gamma(p+\alpha+1)!\Gamma(q+\alpha+1)\Gamma(r+\alpha+1)}{(p+q+r)!\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+q+r+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{p+q+r}$$

$$= (t^{p} * t^{q}) * t^{r} . \tag{2.3}$$

Due to the bilinearity of our convolution, equation (2.3) still holds for polynomials. Our proposition follows from Weierstrass's Approximation Theorem and the fact [9] that the space of C^{∞} functions with compact support is dense in C^{∞}. q.e.d.

PROPOSITION 4. C^{∞} has no zero divisors, i.e. if $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ belong to C^{∞} and $\phi(t) * \psi(t) = 0$, then either $\phi(t) = 0$ or $\psi(t) = 0$.

PROOF. $\phi(t) * \psi(t) = 0$ implies that

$$\int_0^t (t - \xi)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{d}{d\xi} \xi \frac{d}{d} \int_0^{\xi} \int_0^1 \eta^{\alpha}(1 - x)^{\alpha}\phi(x\eta)\psi[(1 - x)(\xi - \eta)]dxd\eta$$

$$= C_1 \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} + C_2 \frac{t^{n-2}}{(n - 2)!} + \cdots + C_n . \tag{2.4}$$

As $t \to 0$, $C_n = 0$. Now, by an argument leading to (2.3), we see that, if $C_i \neq 0$ for some i, then $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ have to be polynomials. But if they are polynomials, the left side of (2.4) will be of degree at least n. Hence, the right side of (2.4) has to be zero. A similar argument, together with Titchmarsh's Theorem [2], yields

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 \eta^{\alpha}(1 - x)^{\alpha}\phi(x\eta)\psi[(1 - x)(t - \eta)]dxd\eta = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

To complete the proof, let $x = \frac{y}{\tau}$, $\eta = z\tau$ and $t = v\tau$ in (2.5). We then have

$$\int_0^v \int_0^1 z^{\alpha}(\tau - y)^{\alpha}\phi(yz)\psi[(\tau - y)(v - z)]dydz = 0.$$

By a theorem of Mikusinski and Ryll-Nardzewski [11], it follows that $z^{\alpha}\phi(yz) = 0$ or $y^{\alpha}\psi(yz) = 0$. Thus, $\phi(t) = 0$ or $\psi(t) = 0$. q.e.d.

The above properties establish C^{∞} as an integral domain under the operations of addition and convolution as multiplication. By virtue of Proposition 2, the multiplicative identity for C^{∞} is the number 1. We may now extend C^{∞} into the field F of convolution quotients consisting of equivalence classes of ordered pairs (ϕ,ψ) of elements in C^{∞} with $\psi \neq 0$. The equivalence relation is given by

$$(\phi_1, \psi_1) \sim (\phi_2, \psi_2) \quad \text{if} \quad \phi_1 * \psi_2 = \phi_2 * \psi_1.$$

As usual, convolution quotients are called operators [2] and are denoted by $\frac{\phi}{\psi}$. Operators of the form $\frac{\phi(t)}{1}$ constitute a subring of F isomorphic to C^{∞} through the canonical maps $\frac{\phi(t)}{1} \leftrightarrow \phi(t)$.

3. AN OPERATIONAL CALCULUS.

We now show that the operator B_{α} belongs to F. First, note that a right inverse to B_{α} is given by

$$\Lambda\phi = \int_0^t \xi^{-\alpha-1} \int_0^{\xi} \eta^{\alpha}\phi(\eta)d\eta d\xi;$$

i.e., $B_{\alpha}\Lambda\phi = \phi$, for $\phi \in C^{\infty}$. If we restrict the domain of B_{α} to $\{\phi \in C^{\infty} | \phi(0) = 0\}$, then Λ is also a left inverse; i.e., $\Lambda B_{\alpha}\phi = \phi$.

PROPOSITION 5. For any $\phi(t) \in C^{\infty}$, $\frac{t}{\alpha + 1} * \phi(t) = \Lambda\phi(t)$.

PROOF. We shall assume that α is not an integer. Otherwise, the proof is more straightforward, obviating the use of fractional integrals.

$$\frac{t}{\alpha + 1} * \phi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha}DtD \int_0^t \int_0^1 \eta^{\alpha}(1 - x)^{\alpha}\phi(x\,) \frac{(1 - x)(t - \eta)}{\alpha + 1} dxd\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)} D^{\alpha}DtD \int_0^t \frac{(t - \eta)}{\eta^2} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - \xi)^{\alpha+1}\phi(\xi)d\xi d\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)} D^{\alpha}\{\int_0^t \frac{1}{\eta^2} \int_0^{\eta}(\eta-\xi)^{\alpha+1}\phi(\xi)d\xi d\eta + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\alpha+1}\phi(\xi)d\xi\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} D^{\alpha} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - \xi)^{\alpha}\phi(\xi)d\xi d\eta. \qquad (3.1)$$

Let $\psi(\xi) = I^n\phi(\xi)$ where n = least integer greater than α. Then (3.1) becomes

$$\frac{t}{\alpha+1} * \phi(t) = D^\alpha \int_0^t \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-\xi)^{\alpha-n}}{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)} \psi(\xi)\,d\xi d\eta$$

$$= D^n \int_0^t \frac{(t-u)^{n-\alpha-1}}{(n-\alpha)} \int_0^u \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-\xi)^{\alpha-n}}{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)} \psi(\xi)\,d\xi d\eta du$$

$$= \frac{D^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)} \int_0^t \psi(\xi) \int_\xi^t \eta^{-1}(\eta-\xi)^{\alpha-n}(t-\eta)^{n-\alpha} d\eta d\xi.$$

The inner integral reduces, via the Beta function, to $\{(\frac{\xi}{t})^{\alpha-n}-1\}\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)\Gamma(n-\alpha)$.

Thus,

$$\frac{t}{\alpha+1} * \phi(t) = \frac{D^n}{n-\alpha} \int_0^t \psi(\xi)\{(\frac{\xi}{t})^{\alpha-n} - 1\}d\xi$$

$$= \frac{D^n}{n-\alpha} \int_0^1 t\psi(wt)(w^{\alpha-n} - 1)dw$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{w^{\alpha-n}-1}{n-\alpha}\right)(w^n t\phi(wt) + nw^{n-1}I\phi(wt))dw$$

$$= \int_0^t \frac{1}{n-\alpha}[(\frac{\xi}{t})^\alpha - (\frac{\xi}{t})^n]\phi(\xi)d\xi$$

$$+ \int_0^t \frac{1}{n-\alpha}[(\frac{\xi}{t})^\alpha - (\frac{\xi}{t})^n](\frac{n}{\xi})\int_0^\xi \phi(u)du d\xi$$

$$= \int_0^t \frac{\phi(\xi)}{-\alpha}[(\frac{\xi}{t})^\alpha - 1]d\xi$$

$$= \int_0^t \xi^\alpha\phi(\xi) \int_0^t \eta^{-\alpha-1}\,d\eta d\xi$$

$$= \int_0^t \eta^{-\alpha-1} \int_0^\eta \xi^\alpha\phi(\xi)d\xi d\eta = \Lambda\phi(t). \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

This result implies that operators of the form $\frac{\phi(t)}{t}$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ may be identified with locally integrable functions f(t) such that $\Lambda f(t) < \infty$ for every $t > 0$. Indeed,

$$\frac{\phi(t)}{t} = f(t) \in L_{loc}[0,\infty) \quad \text{iff} \quad \phi(t) = t*f(t) = (\alpha+1)\,\Lambda f < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

The next result follows from Proposition 5 and Equation (2.2) by induction.

PROPOSITION 6. Let k be a positive integer. Then, for any $\phi(t) \in C^\infty$,

$$\frac{n!t^k}{(k+n)!k!} * \phi(t) = \Lambda^k\phi(t) \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda^k\phi(t) = \underbrace{\Lambda(\Lambda(\cdots(\Lambda}_{\text{k-times}}\phi))).$$

Let V be the operator $\frac{\alpha+1}{t}$ and V^k the k-times application of V.

PROPOSITION 7. For any 2k times differentiable function $\phi(t)$,

$$V^k\phi(t) = B_\alpha^k\phi(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_\alpha^{k-j}\phi(t)\big|_{t\to 0^+} \quad V^j \tag{3.2}$$

PROOF. $\phi(t) = \Lambda B_\alpha\phi(t) + \phi(0) = \frac{t}{\alpha+1} * B_\alpha\phi(t) + \phi(0)$. Thus, $V\phi(t) = B_\alpha\phi(t) + \phi(0)V$ and (3.2) is proved for k = 1. Suppose now that (3.2) is true for k = m - 1. Then for any 2m times differentiable function $\phi(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} V^m\phi(t) &= V(B_\alpha^{m-1}\phi(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} B_\alpha^{m-1-j}\phi(t)\big|_{t\to 0^+} \quad V^j) \\ &= B_\alpha B_\alpha^{m-1}\ \phi(t) + B_\alpha^{m-1}\ \phi(t)\big|_{t\to 0^+} \quad V + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} B_\alpha^{m-1-j}\phi(t)\big|_{t\to 0^+} \quad V^{j+1} \\ &= B_\alpha^m\ \phi(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_\alpha^{m-j}\phi(t)\big|_{t\to 0^+} \quad V^j. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows by induction.

A number of operational formulas such as those in Theorems 5 and 6 of [7] may be generated by using (3.2). The proofs are similar, mutatis mutandis. A generalization of Theorem 5 of [7] is obtained by parametric differentiation.

PROPOSITION 8.
$$\frac{V}{(V-a)^{m+1}} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{m!}\, t^m (at)^{-\frac{\alpha+m}{2}}\, I_{\alpha+m}(2\sqrt{at})$$

$$\frac{V}{(V+a)^{m+1}} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{m!}\, t^m (at)^{-\frac{\alpha+m}{2}}\, J_{\alpha+m}(2\sqrt{at})$$

where $I_\nu(x)$ and $J_\nu(x)$ are Bessel functions of order ν.

REMARKS. 1. All the results of Meller are extendible to the case $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$ via the method given in this paper.

2. The operational calculus may be applied to certain time-varying systems and to Kratzel's problem as done in [8].

3. In [12], a convolution for the operator $\Delta = t^{-n-1}\frac{d}{dt}t^{n+1}\frac{d}{dt}$, where n is a natural number, is given which is associative, commutative, and distributive with respect to addition. However, the ring under convolution as multiplication contains zero divisors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is on leave of absence from the University of Regina, Regina, Canada. The research is partly supported by the National Research Council of Canada under a grant numbered A-7184.

REFERENCES

1. ZEMANIAN, A.H. Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.

2. MIKUSINSKI, J. Operational Calculus, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1959.

3. DITKIN, V.A. and PRUDNIKOV, A.P. Integral Transforms and Operational Calculus, Pergamon Press, New York, 1965.

4. MELLER, N.A. On an operational calculus for the operator $B_\alpha = t^{-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}\, t^{\alpha+1}\frac{d}{dt}$, Vichishitelnaya Matematika 6 (1960), 161-168.

5. MELLER, N.A. Some applications of operational calculus to problems in analysis, Zh. Vichisl. Mat. i. Mat. Fiz. 3(1) (1963), 71-89.

6. DITKIN, V.A. Operational calculus theory, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. 116 (1957), 15-17.

7. KOH, E.L. A Mikusinski calculus for the Bessel operator B_μ, Proc. Diff. Eq., Springer-Verlag Lect. Notes #564 (1976), 291-300.

8. KOH, E.L. Application of an operational calculus to certain time-varying systems, Int. J. Systems Sc. 9 (1978), 595-601.

9. CONLAN, J. and KOH, E.L. On the Meijer transformation, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sc. 1 (1978), 145-159.

10. ROSS, B. (ed.) Fractional Calculus and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

11. MIKUSINSKI, J. and RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, C. Un theorème sur le product de composition des fonctions de plusieurs variables, Studia Math. 13(1) (1953), 62-68.

12. CHOLEWINSKI, F.M. A Hankel convolution complex inversion theory, A.M.S. Memoirs #58, Providence, 1965.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk