

ON SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN BANACH SPACES

D.V. PAI and P. VEERAMANI

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology
Powai, Bombay - 400076, India

(Received March 28, 1980, and in revised form December 22, 1980)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, some fixed point theorems are proved for multi-mappings as well as a pair of mappings. These extend certain known results due to Kirk, Browder, Kannan, Ćirić and Rhoades.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Normal structure, Multi-mapping, Uniformly convex Banach Space.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 47H10, 54E15, 46A05.

1. INTRODUCTION.

A result of continuing interest in fixed point theory is one due to Kirk [6]. This states that a non-expansive self-mapping of bounded, closed and convex subset possessing normal structure in a reflexive Banach space has a fixed point. The interest in this result has been further enhanced due to simultaneous and independent appearance of results of Browder [2] and Göhde [5] which are essentially special cases of the result of Kirk. Recently Kannan [6] and Ćirić [3] have obtained results in basically the same spirit by suitably modifying the non-expansive condition on the mapping and the condition of normal structure on the underlying set. In this paper we give a fixed point result for multi-mappings (Theorem 2.1) and extend the results of Kannan [6] and Ćirić [3] to a pair of mappings (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). This enables us to establish convergence of Ishikawa iterates (cf. [9]) for a pair of mappings.

2. A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR MULTI-MAPPINGS.

Let K be a closed, bounded and convex subset of a Banach space X . For $x \in X$, let $\delta(x;K)$ denote $\sup \{ \|x-k\| : k \in K \}$ and let $\delta(K)$ denote the diameter of K . Recall that a point $x \in K$ is called a non-diametral point of K if $\delta(x;K) < \delta(K)$ and that K is said to have normal structure whenever given any closed bounded convex subset C of K with more than one point, there exists a non-diametral $x \in C$. It is well-known (cf. [4]) that a compact convex subset of an arbitrary Banach space and a closed, bounded and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space have normal structure. With K as before, let $r(K)$ denote the radius of $K : \inf \{ \delta(x;K) : x \in K \}$ and let K_c denote the Chebyshev centre of $K : \{ x \in K : r(K) = \delta(x;K) \}$. It is well known (cf. Opial [8]) that if K is a non-empty weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space X , then K is nonempty closed convex subset of K and, furthermore if K has normal structure, then $\delta(K_c) < \delta(K)$ (whenever $\delta(K) > 0$). Let 2^K denote the collection of all non-empty subsets of K and, for $A, B \in 2^K$ let $\delta(A, B)$ denote $\sup \{ \|a-b\| : a \in A, b \in B \}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let K be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of the Banach space X . Assume K has normal structure. Let $T:K \rightarrow 2^K$ be a mapping satisfying: for each closed convex subset F of K invariant under T , there exists some $\alpha(F)$, $0 \leq \alpha(F) < 1$, such that

$$\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq \max \{ \delta(x, F), \alpha(F) \delta(F) \}$$

for each $x, y \in F$.

Then T has a fixed point x_0 satisfying $Tx_0 = \{x_0\}$.

Proof. We imitate in parts the proof of Kirk's theorem. Let \mathfrak{F} denote the collection of non-empty closed convex subsets C of K that are left invariant by T (i.e., $TC \subset C$, where $TC = \cup\{Tc : c \in C\}$). Order \mathfrak{F} by set-inclusion. By weak compactness of K , we can apply Zorn's lemma to get a minimal element M . It suffices to show that M is a singleton. Suppose that M contains more than one element. By the definition of normal structure there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that

$$\sup \{ \|x_0 - y\| : y \in M \} = \delta(x_0, M) < \delta(M),$$

Hence $\delta(x_0, M) \leq \alpha_1(M) \delta(M)$ for some α_1 , $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$.

If $\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta(x, M)$ for all $x, y \in M$, let $M_\delta = \{x \in M: \delta(x, M) \leq \alpha_1 \delta(M)\}$.

Otherwise, by hypothesis there exists $\alpha(M)$, $0 \leq \alpha(M) < 1$, such that

$\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \delta(M)$ for some $x, y \in M$.

Let $\beta = \max \{\alpha, \alpha_1\}$ and $M_\delta = \{x \in M: \delta(x, M) \leq \beta \delta(M)\}$.

As $x_0 \in M_\delta$, M_δ is nonempty. Evidently, M_δ is convex. Since $x \mapsto \delta(x, M)$ is continuous, M_δ is closed.

Let $x \in M_\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Tx, Ty) &\leq \max \{\delta(x, M), \alpha \delta(M)\} \\ &\leq \beta \delta(M) \text{ for } y \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(M)$ is contained in a closed ball of arbitrary centre in Tx and radius $\beta \delta(M)$. By the minimality of M , if $m \in Tx$, then $M \subset U(m : \beta \delta(M))$ (the closed ball of centre m and radius $\beta \delta(M)$), whence $m \in M_\delta$ and $T(M_\delta) \subset M_\delta$. But $\delta(M_\delta) \leq \beta \delta(M) < \delta(M)$ which contradicts the minimality of M . Thus M is a singleton and this completes the proof.

Corollary 2.2. Let K be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of the Banach space X . Assume K has normal structure. Let T be a mapping of K into itself which satisfies: for each closed convex subset F of K invariant under T there exists some $\alpha(F)$, $0 \leq \alpha(F) < 1$, such that

$$||Tx - Ty|| \leq \max \{ \delta(x, F), \alpha \delta(F) \}$$

for each $x, y \in F$. Then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 2.3. Let K be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of the Banach space X . Assume K has normal structure. Let T be a mapping of K into itself which satisfies: for each closed convex subset F of K invariant under T there exists some $\alpha(F)$, $0 \leq \alpha(F) < 1$, such that

$$||Tx - Ty|| \leq \max \{ ||x - y||, r(F), \alpha \delta(F) \}$$

for each $x, y \in F$. Then T has a fixed point.

Remark. The preceding results generalize the results of Kirk [7] and Browder [2].

3. COMMON FIXED POINTS OF MAPPINGS.

Theorem 3.1. Let K be a weakly compact convex subset of the Banach space X .

Let T_1, T_2 be two mappings of K into itself satisfying:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_1x - T_2y\| &\leq \max \{ (\|x - T_1x\| + \|y - T_2y\|)/2, \\ &\quad (\|x - T_2y\| + \|y - T_1x\|)/3, \\ &\quad (\|x - y\| + \|x - T_1x\| + \|y - T_2y\|)/3 \} \end{aligned}$$

for each $x, y \in K$,

$$(2) \quad T_1C \subset C \text{ if and only if } T_2C \subset C \text{ for each closed subset } C \text{ of } K;$$

$$(3) \quad \text{either } \sup_{z \in C} \|z - T_1z\| \leq \delta(C)/2,$$

$$\text{or } \sup_{z \in C} \|z - T_2z\| \leq \delta(C)/2$$

holds for each closed convex subset C of K invariant under T_1 and T_2 .

Then there exists a unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

Proof. Let \mathfrak{F} denote the family of all non-empty closed convex subsets of K ,

each of which is mapped into itself by T_1 and T_2 . Ordering \mathfrak{F} by set-inclusion, by weak compactness of K and Zorn's lemma, we obtain a minimal element F of \mathfrak{F} . Without loss of generality, assume that

$$\sup_{z \in F} \|z - T_2z\| \leq \delta(F)/2.$$

Let $x \in F_c$. Since $\delta(F)/2 \leq r(f)$, we obtain using (1) that $\|T_1x - T_2y\| \leq r(F)$.

($y \in F$). This gives that $T_2(F) \subset U(T_1x : r(F)) = U$, whence $T_2(F \cap U) \subset F \cap U$ and by hypotheses (2) $T_1(F \cap U) \subset F \cap U$. By the minimality of F , we obtain $F \subset U$.

This gives $\delta(T_1x, F) = r(F)$, whence $T_1x \in F_c$. Therefore, $T_1(F_c) \subset F_c$ and by hypothesis (2) $T_2(F_c) \subset F_c$. We now show that if F contains more than one element, then F_c is a proper subset of F . Assume the contrary that $F_c = F$. Since $\delta(x, F) = r(F)$ for each $x \in F$, we obtain $\delta(F) = r(F) = \delta(x, F)$, ($x \in F$). Again from (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1x - T_2y\| &\leq \max \{ 3 \delta(F)/4, (\delta(F) + \delta(F))/3, \\ &\quad (\delta(F) + \delta(F) + \delta(F)/2)/3 \} \\ &= 5\delta(F)/6. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument as before yields $\delta(T_1x, F) \leq 5\delta(F)/6 < \delta(F)$, which is a contradiction.

Consequently, if F contains more than one element, then F_c is a proper subset of F .

But this in view of above contradicts the minimality of F . Hence F contains exactly one element, say, x_0 , whence $T_1x_0 = x_0 = T_2x_0$. Assume there exists another element $y_0 \in K$ such that $T_1y_0 = y_0 = T_2y_0$. Then using (1), we obtain

$$||T_1x_0 - T_2y_0|| \leq \frac{2}{3} ||T_1x_0 - T_2x_0||,$$

whence

$$x_0 = T_1x_0 = T_2y_0 = y_0.$$

THEOREM 3.2. Let K be a weakly compact convex subset of the Banach space X .

Assume K has normal structure. Let T_1, T_2 be mappings of K into itself satisfying:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} ||T_1x - T_2y|| &\leq \max \{ (||x - T_1x|| + ||y - T_2y||)/2, \\ &\quad (||x - T_2y|| + ||y - T_1x||)/2, \\ &\quad (||x - y|| + ||x - T_1x|| + ||y - T_2y||)/3 \} \end{aligned}$$

for each $x, y \in K$,

$$(2) \quad T_1C \subset C \text{ if and only if } T_2C \subset C \text{ for each closed convex subset } C \text{ of } K,$$

$$(3) \quad \text{either } \sup_{z \in D} ||z - T_1z|| \leq r(D),$$

$$\text{or } \sup_{z \in D} ||z - T_2z|| \leq r(D)$$

holds for each closed convex subset D of K invariant under T_1 and T_2 .

Then there exists a unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{F} be as in Theorem 3.1. Exactly as in Theorem 3.1., \mathfrak{F} has a minimal element F . Without loss of generality, assume that $\sup_{z \in F} ||z - T_2z|| \leq r(F)$.

Let $x \in F_c$. Then using (1) we obtain

$$||T_1x - T_2y|| \leq r(F). \quad (y \in F)$$

This gives exactly as in Theorem 3.1 that $T_1(F_c) \subset F_c$ and $T_2(F_c) \subset F_c$. Since K has normal structure, one has $\delta(F_c) < \delta(F)$ if K contains more than one element, which contradicts the minimality of F . Thus F contains precisely one element, which is the unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 as in Theorem 3.1.

REMARK. One can replace condition (1) of Theorem 3.2 by

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} ||T_1x - T_2y|| &\leq \max \{ ||x - y||, (||x - T_1x|| + ||y - T_2y||)/2, \\ &\quad (||x - T_2y|| + ||y - T_1x||)/3, (||x - y|| + ||x - T_1x|| + ||y - T_2y||)/3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

This also yields the existence of a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . However, it need not be unique.

THEOREM 3.3. Let K be a weakly compact convex subset of the Banach space X . Assume K has normal structure. Let T_1, T_2 be mappings of K into itself satisfying (2) and (3) of the preceding theorem and,

$$(1) \quad ||T_1x - T_2y|| \leq \max \{ ||x-y||, ||x-T_1x||, ||x-T_1y||, ||x-T_2x||, ||x-T_2y|| \}.$$

Then there exists a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

The proof of the above theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.2 and hence it is omitted.

4. ISHIKAWA ITERATION FOR COMMON FIXED POINTS.

A uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive. A bounded, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space is therefore weakly compact; also, it has normal structure. Hence Theorems 2.1, 3.2 and 3.3 can be particularized to such a setting. Rhoades [9] has extended a result of Čirić (cf. [3], Theorem 2) to a wider class of transformations by using Ishikawa iterative scheme. With a suitable modification of arguments, this extends to a pair of mappings of the type as in Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 4.1. Let K be a non-empty closed bounded and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X . Let T_1, T_2 be mappings of K into itself satisfying (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.2. Let the sequence $\{x_n\}$ of iterates be defined by

$$(4) \quad x_0 \in K,$$

$$(5) \quad y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T_1 x_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(6) \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_2 y_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ satisfy (i) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq 1$ for all n ,

(ii) $\sum_n \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ and, (iii) $\overline{\lim} \beta_n = \beta < 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to the unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

PROOF. The existence of the unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 results from Theorem 3.2. Let the unique common fixed point be v . From (1)

$$||T_1x_n - v|| \leq ||x_n - v||$$

and

$$\|T_2x_n - v\| \leq \|x_n - v\| .$$

Following exactly the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [9] we obtain subsequences y_{n_k} , x_{n_k} of y_n , x_n respectively such that

$$(7) \quad \lim_k \|x_{n_k} - T_2 y_{n_k}\| = 0$$

we show that

$$(8) \quad \lim \|x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}\| = 0 .$$

It would be sufficient, with (7), to show that $\lim_k \|T_1 x_{n_k} - T_2 y_{n_k}\| = 0$.

For any integer n , from

$$\|T_1 x_n - T_2 y_n\| \leq (\|x_n - T_1 x_n\| + \|y_n - T_2 y_n\|)/2 ,$$

we obtain

$$(9) \quad \|T_1 x_n - T_2 y_n\| \leq (2 - \beta_n) \|x_n - T_2 y_n\| / (1 - \beta_n) .$$

It follows from

$$\|T_1 x_n - T_2 y_n\| \leq (\|x_n - T_2 y_n\| + \|y_n - T_1 x_n\|)/3 ,$$

that

$$(10) \quad \|T_1 x_n - T_2 y_n\| \leq (2 - \beta_n) \|x_n - T_2 y_n\| / (2 + \beta_n) .$$

From

$$\|T_1 x_n - T_2 y_n\| \leq (\|x_n - y_n\| + \|x_n - T_1 x_n\| + \|y_n - T_2 y_n\|)/3$$

we obtain

$$(11) \quad \|T_1 x_n - T_2 y_n\| \leq \|x_n - T_2 y_n\| / (1 - \beta_n) .$$

From (9) - (11) we obtain

$$\|T_1 x_n - T_2 y_n\| \leq 2 \|x_n - T_2 y_n\| / (1 - \beta_n) .$$

Therefore,

$$\|T_1 x_{n_k} - T_2 y_{n_k}\| \leq 2 \|x_{n_k} - T_2 y_{n_k}\| / (1 - \beta_{n_k})$$

and (7) implies $\lim_k \|T_1 x_{n_k} - T_2 y_{n_k}\| = 0$,

whence

$$\lim_k \|x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}\| = 0 ,$$

Now let us prove that this implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| = 0.$$

This follows easily from

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| &\leq ||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}|| + ||T_1 x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| \\ &\leq ||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}|| + \max\{||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}||\}/2, \\ &\quad (||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}||)/3, \\ &\quad (||x_{n_k} - x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}||)/3. \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ since

$$||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_k}|| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\text{Also } ||T_1 x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}|| \leq ||T_1 x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| + ||T_2 x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}||$$

From (1) of Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} ||T_1 x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| &\leq \max\{||x_{n_\ell} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}||\}/2, \\ &\quad [||x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}||]/3, \\ &\quad [||x_{n_\ell} - x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_\ell} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}||]/3. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} ||T_1 x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| &\leq [||x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}||]/3, \text{ then} \\ 3 \cdot ||T_1 x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| &\leq ||x_{n_\ell} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}|| + ||T_1 x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| \\ &\quad + ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| + ||T_2 x_{n_k} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}||, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(11) \quad ||T_1 x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| \leq ||x_{n_\ell} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}||.$$

If

$$||T_1 x_{n_\ell} - T_2 x_{n_k}|| \leq [||x_{n_\ell} - x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_\ell} - T_1 x_{n_\ell}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2 x_{n_k}||]/3,$$

it follows, in a similar manner, that (11) holds. Therefore, in all cases, (11) is satisfied.

Therefore,

$$||T_1x_{n_k} - T_1x_{n_\lambda}|| \leq ||T_1x_{n_k} - x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_\lambda} - T_1x_{n_\lambda}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_2x_{n_k}||,$$

which tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\{T_1x_{n_k}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence it converges, say, to u . Consequently

$$\lim x_{n_k} = \lim T_1x_{n_k} = u.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} ||u - T_2u|| &\leq ||u - x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_1x_{n_k}|| + ||T_1x_{n_k} - T_2u|| \leq ||u - x_{n_k}|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_1x_{n_k}|| \\ &\quad + \max \{(||x_{n_k} - T_1x_{n_k}|| + ||u - T_2u||)/2, \\ &\quad (||x_{n_k} - T_2u|| + ||u - T_1x_{n_k}||)/3, \\ &\quad (||x_{n_k} - u|| + ||x_{n_k} - T_1x_{n_k}|| + ||u - T_2u||)/3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $||u - T_2u|| = 0$. Therefore, $u = T_2u$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} ||u - T_1u|| &\leq ||u - T_2u|| + ||T_2u - T_1u|| \\ &\leq \max \{(||u - T_1u|| + ||u - T_2u||)/2, \\ &\quad (||u - T_2u|| + ||u - T_1u||)/3, \\ &\quad (||u - u|| + ||u - T_1u|| + ||u - T_2u||)/3\} \end{aligned}$$

This implies $||u - T_1u|| = 0$. Therefore, $u = T_1u$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. Thanks are due to the referees for a critical reading of the manuscript. In particular, the present improved version of Theorem 4.1 is due to the suggestions of one of the referees who pointed our attention to reference [9].

REFERENCES

1. BONSALL, F.F. Lectures on some fixed point theorems of functional analysis, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India, 1962.
2. BROWDER, F.E. Non-expansive nonlinear operators in a Banach space. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 54 (1965), 1041-1043.

3. ĆIRIĆ, Lj.B. On fixed point theorems in Banach spaces, Publ. Inst. Math., 19 (33), (1975), 43-50.
4. DIESTEL, J. Geometry of Banach spaces, Lecture notes, No. 485, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
5. GÖHDE, D. Zum Prinzip der Kontraktiven Abbildung, Math. Nach., 30 (1965), 251-258.
6. KANNAN, R. Some results on fixed points - III, Fund. Math., 70 (1971), 169-177.
7. KIRK, W.A. A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances, Amer. Math. Monthly, 72 (1965), 1004-1006.
8. OPIAL, Z. Nonexpansive and monotone mappings in Banach spaces, Lecture notes from January, 1967 lectures given at Center for Dynamical Systems at Brown University.
9. RHOADES, B.E. Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces, Math. Seminar Notes, 5 (1977), 69-74.

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br