IJMMS 2003:40, 2541–2552
PII. S0161171203211066
http://ijmms.hindawi.com

REAL GEL'FAND-MAZUR DIVISION ALGEBRAS

MATI ABEL and OLGA PANOVA

Received 4 November 2002

We show that the complexification $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ of a real locally pseudoconvex (locally absorbingly pseudoconvex, locally multiplicatively pseudoconvex, and exponentially galbed) algebra (A,τ) is a complex locally pseudoconvex (resp., locally absorbingly pseudoconvex, locally multiplicatively pseudoconvex, and exponentially galbed) algebra and all elements in the complexification $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ of a commutative real exponentially galbed algebra (A,τ) with bounded elements are bounded if the multiplication in (A,τ) is jointly continuous. We give conditions for a commutative strictly real topological division algebra to be a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46H05, 46H20.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be one of the fields $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers or $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. A *topological algebra* A is a topological vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ in which the multiplication is separately continuous. Herewith, A is called a *real topological algebra* if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ and a *complex topological algebra* if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$. We classify topological algebras in a similar way as topological vector spaces. For example, a topological algebra A is

(a) a *Fréchet algebra* if it is complete and metrizable;

(b) an *exponentially galbed algebra* (see [3, 13]) if its underlying topological vector space is *exponentially galbed*, that is, for each neighborhood O of zero in A, there exists another neighborhood U of zero such that

$$\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{a_k}{2^k} : a_0,\dots,a_n\in U\right\}\subset O \tag{1.1}$$

for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$;

(c) a *locally pseudoconvex algebra* (see [5, 7]) if its underlying topological vector space is *locally pseudoconvex*, that is, A has a base $\{U_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathcal{A}\}$ of neighborhoods of zero in which every set U_α is *balanced* (i.e., $\lambda U_\alpha\in U_\alpha$ whenever $|\lambda|\leqslant 1$) and *pseudoconvex* (i.e., $U_\alpha+U_\alpha\subset 2^{1/k_\alpha}U_\alpha$ for some $k_\alpha\in(0,1]$). Herewith, every locally pseudoconvex algebra is an exponentially galbed algebra.

In particular, when $k_\alpha=k$ ($k_\alpha=1$) for each $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$, then a locally pseudoconvex algebra A is called a *locally k-convex algebra* (resp., *locally convex* *algebra*). It is well known (see [14, page 4]) that the topology of a locally pseudoconvex algebra A can be given by means of a family $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of k_α-homogeneous seminorms, where $k_\alpha \in (0,1]$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. A locally pseudoconvex algebra is called a *locally absorbingly pseudoconvex* (shortly, *locally* A*-pseudoconvex*) algebra (see [5]) if every seminorm $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is A*-multiplicative*, that is, for each $a \in A$ there are positive numbers $M_p(a)$ and $N_p(a)$ such that

$$p(ab) \leqslant M_p(a)p(b), \qquad p(ba) \leqslant N_p(a)p(b), \tag{1.2}$$

for each $b \in A$. In particular, when $M_p(a) = N_p(a) = p(a)$ for each $a \in A$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then A is called a *locally multiplicatively pseudoconvex* (shortly, *locally* m*-pseudoconvex*) algebra.

Moreover, a topological algebra A over $\mathbb{K}$ with a unit element is a Q*-algebra* (see [10, 15, 16]) if the set of all invertible elements of A is open in A and a Q-algebra A is a *Waelbroeck algebra* (see [4, 10]) or a *topological algebra with continuous inverse* (see [9, 11]) if the inversion $a \to a^{-1}$ in A is continuous.

An element a of a topological algebra A is said to be *bounded* (see [6]) if for some nonzero complex number λ_a, the set

$$\left\{\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_a}\right)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \tag{1.3}$$

is bounded in A. A topological algebra, in which all elements are bounded, will be called a *topological algebra with bounded elements*.

Let now A be a topological algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ and $m(A)$ the set of all closed regular two-sided ideals of A, which are maximal as left or right ideals. In case when the quotient algebra A/M (in the quotient topology) is topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}$ for each $M \in m(A)$, then A is called a *Gel'fand-Mazur algebra* (see [1, 4, 2]). Herewith, A is a *real Gel'fand-Mazur algebra* if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and a *complex Gel'fand-Mazur algebra* if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Main classes of complex Gel'fand-Mazur algebras have been given in [4, 2, 5]. Several classes of real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebras are described in the present paper.

2. Complexification of real algebras. Let A be a (not necessarily topological) real algebra and let $\tilde{A} = A + iA$ be the complexification of A. Then every element $\tilde{a}$ of $\tilde{A}$ is representable in the form $\tilde{a} = a + ib$, where $a, b \in A$ and $i^2 = -1$. If the addition, scalar multiplication, and multiplication in $\tilde{A}$ are to be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(a+ib)+(c+id) &= (a+c)+i(b+d),\\ (\alpha+i\beta)(a+ib) &= (\alpha a-\beta b)+i(\alpha b+\beta a),\\ (a+ib)(c+id) &= (ac-bd)+i(ad+bc),\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\tilde{A}$ is a complex algebra with zero element $\theta_{\tilde{A}} = \theta_A + i\theta_A$ (here and later on θ_A denotes the zero element of A). In case when A has the unit element e_A, then $e_{\tilde{A}} = e_A + i\theta_A$ is the unit element of $\tilde{A}$. Herewith, $\tilde{A}$ is an associative (commutative) algebra if A is an associative (resp., commutative) algebra. Therefore, we can consider A as a real subalgebra of $\tilde{A}$ under the imbedding ν from A into $\tilde{A}$ defined by $\nu(a) = a + i\theta_A$ for each $a \in A$.

A real (not necessarily topological) algebra A is called a *formally real algebra* if from $a, b \in A$ and $a^2 + b^2 = \theta_A$ that follows that $a = b = \theta_A$ and is called a *strictly real algebra* if $\mathrm{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a + i\theta_A) \subset \mathbb{R}$ (here $\mathrm{sp}_A(a)$ denotes the spectrum of $a \in A$ in A). It is known (see, e.g., [7, Proposition 1.9.14]) that every formally real division algebra is strictly real and every commutative strictly real division algebra is formally real.

Let now (A, τ) be a real topological algebra and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ a base of neighborhoods of zero of (A, τ). As usual (see [7, 17]), we endow $\tilde{A}$ with the topology $\tilde{\tau}$ in which $\{U_\alpha + iU_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a base of neighborhoods of zero. It is easy to see that $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a topological algebra and the multiplication in $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is jointly continuous if the multiplication in (A, τ) is jointly continuous (see [7, Proposition 2.2.10]). Moreover, the underlying topological space of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a Hausdorff space if the underlying topological space of (A, τ) is a Hausdorff space.

3. Complexification of real locally pseudoconvex algebras.

Let (A, τ) be a real locally pseudoconvex algebra and $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ a family of k_α-homogeneous seminorms on A (where $k_\alpha \in (0, 1]$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$), which defines the topology τ on A and $\tilde{A}$, the complexification of A,

$$\begin{gathered}\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A) \\ = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k (u_k + i\theta_A) : n \in \mathbb{N}, u_1, \dots, u_n \in U_\alpha, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_k|^{k_\alpha} \leqslant 1 \right\}, \\ q_\alpha(a + ib) = \inf \{ |\lambda|^{k_\alpha} : (a + ib) \in \lambda \Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A) \}\end{gathered} \tag{3.1}$$

for each $a + ib \in \tilde{A}$. Then $\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A)$ is the absolutely k_α-convex hull of $U_\alpha + i\theta_A$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and q_α is a k_α-homogeneous Minkowski functional of $\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A)$. (For real normed algebras the following result has been proved in [8, pages 68–69] (see also [12, page 8]) and for k-seminormed algebras with $k \in (0, 1]$ in [7, pages 183–184]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let (A, τ) be a real locally pseudoconvex algebra, let $\{p_\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a family of k_α-homogeneous seminorms on A (with $k_\alpha \in (0, 1]$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$), which defines the topology τ on A, and let $U_\alpha = \{a \in A : p_\alpha(a) < 1\}$.*

Then the following statements are true for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$:

(a) *q_α is a k_α-homogeneous seminorm on $\tilde{A}$;*

(b) $\max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\} \leqslant q_\alpha(a + ib) \leqslant 2 \max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\}$ *for each $a, b \in A$;*

(c) $q_\alpha(a+i\theta_A) = p_\alpha(a)$ *for each* $a \in A$;

(d) $\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A) = \{a+ib \in \tilde{A} : q_\alpha(a+ib) < 1\}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $(a+ib) \in \tilde{A} \setminus \{\theta_{\tilde{A}}\}$, and $\mu_\alpha^{k_\alpha} > \max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\}$. Then $a/\mu_\alpha, b/\mu_\alpha \in U_\alpha$. Since

$$2^{-1/k_\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{\mu_\alpha} + i\frac{b}{\mu_\alpha}\right) = 2^{-1/k_\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{\mu_\alpha} + i\theta_A\right) + i2^{-1/k_\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{\mu_\alpha} + i\theta_A\right), \qquad |2^{-1/k_\alpha}|^{k_\alpha} + |i2^{-1/k_\alpha}|^{k_\alpha} = 1, \tag{3.2}$$

then

$$(a+ib) \in 2^{1/k_\alpha}\mu_\alpha \Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A). \tag{3.3}$$

Hence $(a+ib) \in \lambda_\alpha \Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ if $|\lambda_\alpha| \geqslant 2^{1/k_\alpha}\mu_\alpha$. It means that the set $\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A)$ is absorbing. Consequently (see [7, Proposition 4.1.10]), q_α is a k_α-homogeneous seminorm on $\tilde{A}$.

(b) Let again $(a+ib) \in \tilde{A} \setminus \{\theta_{\tilde{A}}\}$. Then from (3.3), it follows that $q_\alpha(a+ib) \leqslant 2\mu_\alpha^{k_\alpha}$. Since this inequality is valid for each $\mu_\alpha^{k_\alpha} > \max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\}$, then

$$q_\alpha(a+ib) \leqslant 2\max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Let now $a+ib \in \Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A)$. Then

$$a+ib = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(\lambda_k + i\mu_k)(a_k + i\theta_A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k a_k + i\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mu_k a_k \tag{3.5}$$

for some $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in U_\alpha$ and real numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ and $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}|\lambda_k + i\mu_k|^{k_\alpha} \leqslant 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $|\lambda_k| \leqslant |\lambda_k + i\mu_k|$ and $|\mu_k| \leqslant |\lambda_k + i\mu_k|$ for each $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then

$$a = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k a_k, \qquad b = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\mu_k a_k \tag{3.7}$$

belong to $\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha) = U_\alpha$.

Let now $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$\mu_\alpha > \left(\frac{1}{q_\alpha(a+ib)+\varepsilon}\right)^{1/k_\alpha}. \tag{3.8}$$

Then from $\mu_\alpha(a+ib) \in \Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha + i\theta_A)$ follows that $\mu_\alpha a, \mu_\alpha b \in U_\alpha$ or $p_\alpha(\mu_\alpha a) < 1$ and $p_\alpha(\mu_\alpha b) < 1$. Therefore

$$\max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\} < \mu_\alpha^{-k_\alpha} < q_\alpha(+ib) + \varepsilon. \tag{3.9}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, then from (3.9) follows that $\max\{p_\alpha(a),p_\alpha(b)\}\leqslant q_\alpha(a+ib)$ for each $a,b\in A$. Taking this and inequality (3.4) into account, it is clear that statement (b) holds.

(c) Let $a\in A$, $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$, and $\rho^{k_\alpha}>q_\alpha(a+i\theta_A)$. Then from

$$\left(\frac{a}{\rho}+i\theta_A\right)\in\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha+i\theta_A), \tag{3.10}$$

it follows that $a\in\rho U_\alpha$ or $p_\alpha(a)<\rho^{k_\alpha}$. It means that the set of numbers ρ^{k_α} for which $\rho^{k_\alpha}>q_\alpha(a+i\theta_A)$ is bounded below by $p_\alpha(a)$. Therefore $p_\alpha(a)\leqslant q_\alpha(a+i\theta_A)$.

Let now $\rho^{k_\alpha}>p_\alpha(a)$. Then $a\in\rho U_\alpha$ and from

$$\left(\frac{a}{\rho}+i\theta_A\right)\in\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha+i\theta_A), \tag{3.11}$$

it follows that $q_\alpha(a+i\theta_A)<\rho^{k_\alpha}$. Hence $q_\alpha(a+i\theta_A)\leqslant p_\alpha(a)$. Thus $q_\alpha(a+i\theta_A)=p_\alpha(a)$ for each $a\in A$ and $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$.

(d) It is clear that the set $\{a+ib\in\tilde{A}:q_\alpha(a+ib)<1\}\subset\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha+i\theta_A)$. Let now $a+ib\in\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha+i\theta_A)$. Then

$$a+ib=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\lambda_k+i\mu_k)(a_k+i\theta_A) \tag{3.12}$$

for some $a_1,\dots,a_n\in U_\alpha$ and real numbers $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n$ and $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}|\lambda_k+i\mu_k|^{k_\alpha}\leqslant 1. \tag{3.13}$$

Since $p_\alpha(a_k)<1$ for each $k\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, we can choose $\varepsilon_\alpha>0$ so that

$$\max\{p_\alpha(a_1),\dots,p_\alpha(a_n)\}<\varepsilon_\alpha^{k_\alpha}<1. \tag{3.14}$$

Then $a_k\in\varepsilon_\alpha U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$ and each $k\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. Therefore

$$\frac{a+ib}{\varepsilon_\alpha}\in\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\lambda_k+i\mu_k)\left(\frac{a_k}{\varepsilon_\alpha}+i\theta_A\right)\in\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha+i\theta_A). \tag{3.15}$$

Hence

$$(a+ib)\in\varepsilon_\alpha\Gamma_{k_\alpha}(U_\alpha+i\theta_A) \tag{3.16}$$

or $q_\alpha(a+ib)\leqslant\varepsilon_\alpha^{k_\alpha}<1$. It means that statement (d) holds. □

Corollary 3.2. *If (A,τ) is a real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra, then $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra.*

Proof. Let (A,τ) be a real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra and let $\{p_n, n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable family of k_n-homogeneous seminorms (with $k_n \in (0,1]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$), which defines the topology τ on A. Then $\{q_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defines on $\tilde{A}$ a metrizable locally pseudoconvex topology $\tilde{\tau}$ (see Theorem 3.1). If $(a_n + ib_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$, then (a_n) and (b_n) are Cauchy sequences in (A,τ) by Theorem 3.1(b). Because (A,τ) is complete, then (a_n) converges to $a_0 \in A$ and (b_n) converges to $b_0 \in A$. Hence $(a_n + ib_n)$ converges in $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ to $a_0 + ib_0 \in \tilde{A}$ by the same inequality (b). Thus $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let (A,τ) be a real locally A-pseudoconvex (locally m-pseudoconvex) algebra and $\{p_\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ a family of k_α-homogeneous A-multiplicative (resp., submultiplicative) seminorms on A (with $k_\alpha \in (0,1]$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$), which defines the topology τ on A. Then $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally A-pseudoconvex (resp., locally m-pseudoconvex) algebra. (Here $\tilde{\tau}$ denotes the topology on $\tilde{A}$ defined by the system $\{q_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$.)*

Proof. Let p_α be an A-multiplicative seminorm on A. Then for each fixed element $a_0 \in A$, there are numbers $M_\alpha(a_0) > 0$ and $N_\alpha(a_0) > 0$ such that

$$p_\alpha(a_0a) \leqslant M_\alpha(a_0)p_\alpha(a), \qquad p_\alpha(aa_0) \leqslant N_\alpha(a_0)p_\alpha(a), \tag{3.17}$$

for each $a \in A$. If $a_0 + ib_0$ is a fixed element and $a + ib$ an arbitrary element of $\tilde{A}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} q_\alpha((a_0+ib_0)(a+ib)) &= q_\alpha((a_0a-b_0b)+i(a_0b+b_0a)) \\ &\leqslant 2\max\{p_\alpha(a_0a-b_0b), p_\alpha(a_0b+b_0a)\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

by Theorem 3.1(b). If now $p_\alpha(a_0a-b_0b) \geqslant p_\alpha(a_0b+b_0a)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{p_\alpha(a_0a-b_0b), p_\alpha(a_0b+b_0a)\} \\ &\quad = p_\alpha(a_0a-b_0b) \\ &\quad \leqslant M_\alpha(a_0)p_\alpha(a) + M_\alpha(b_0)p_\alpha(b) \\ &\quad \leqslant \max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\}(M_\alpha(a_0)+M_\alpha(b_0)) \\ &\quad \leqslant \frac{1}{2}M_\alpha(a_0,b_0)q_\alpha(a+ib) \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

by Theorem 3.1(b) (here $M_\alpha(a_0,b_0) = 2(M_\alpha(a_0)+M_\alpha(b_0))$). Hence

$$q_\alpha((a_0+ib_0)(a+ib)) \leqslant M_\alpha(a_0,b_0)q_\alpha(a+ib) \tag{3.20}$$

for each $a+ib \in \tilde{A}$.

The proof for the case when $p_\alpha(a_0a-b_0b) < p_\alpha(a_0b+b_0a)$ is similar. Thus inequality (3.20) holds for both cases. In the same way, it is easy to show that the inequality

$$q_\alpha((a+ib)(a_0+ib_0)) \leqslant N_\alpha(a_0,b_0)q_\alpha(a+ib) \tag{3.21}$$

holds for each $a+ib \in \tilde{A}$. Consequently, $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally A-pseudoconvex algebra.

Let now p_α be a submultiplicative seminorm on A. Then $p_\alpha(ab) \leqslant p_\alpha(a)p_\alpha(b)$ for each $a,b \in A$. If $a+ib, a'+ib' \in \tilde{A}$, then

$$q_\alpha\big((a+ib)(a'+ib')\big) \leqslant 2\max\{p_\alpha(aa'-bb'), p_\alpha(ab'+ba')\} \tag{3.22}$$

by Theorem 3.1(b). If now $p_\alpha(aa'-bb') \geqslant p_\alpha(ab'+ba')$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\max&\{p_\alpha(aa'-bb'), p_\alpha(ab'+ba')\}\\ &= p_\alpha(aa'-bb') \leqslant p_\alpha(a)p_\alpha(a') + p_\alpha(b)p_\alpha(b')\\ &\leqslant 2\max\{p_\alpha(a), p_\alpha(b)\}\max\{p_\alpha(a'), p_\alpha(b')\}\\ &\leqslant 2q_\alpha(a+ib)q_\alpha(a'+ib')\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

by Theorem 3.1(b). Hence

$$q_\alpha\big((a+ib)(a'+ib')\big) \leqslant 4q_\alpha(a+ib)q_\alpha(a'+ib'). \tag{3.24}$$

Putting $r_\alpha = 4q_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we see that

$$r_\alpha\big((a+ib)(a'+ib')\big) \leqslant r_\alpha(a+ib)r_\alpha(a'+ib') \tag{3.25}$$

for each $a+ib, a'+ib' \in \tilde{A}$.

The proof for the case when $p_\alpha(aa'-bb') < p_\alpha(ab'+ba')$ is similar. Hence inequality (3.25) holds for both cases. Since the families $\{q_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\{r_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ define on $\tilde{A}$ the same topology, then $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally m-pseudoconvex algebra. □

4. Complexification of real exponentially galbed algebras. Next, we will show that the complexification $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ of (A,τ) is a complex exponentially galbed algebra if (A,τ) is a real exponentially galbed algebra, and all elements of $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ are bounded in $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ if (A,τ) is a commutative exponentially galbed algebra in which all elements are bounded and the multiplication in (A,τ) is jointly continuous.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (A,τ) be a real exponentially galbed algebra (commutative real exponentially galbed algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements). Then $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a complex exponentially galbed algebra (resp., commutative complex exponentially galbed algebra with bounded elements).*

Proof. Let (A,τ) be a real exponentially galbed algebra and $\tilde{O}$ a neighborhood of zero in $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$. Then there are a neighborhood O of zero of (A,τ) such that $O+iO \subset \tilde{O}$ and another neighborhood U of zero of (A,τ) such that

$$\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{a_k}{2^k} : a_0,\dots,a_n \in U\right\} \subset O \tag{4.1}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $U + iU$ is a neighborhood of zero in $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ and

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_k + ib_k}{2^k} : a_0 + ib_0, \dots, a_n + ib_n \in U + iU \right\} \subset O + iO \subset \tilde{O} \tag{4.2}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a complex exponentially galbed algebra.

Let now (A, τ) be a commutative real exponentially galbed algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements, $\tilde{O}$ an arbitrary neighborhood of zero of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$, and $a + ib \in \tilde{A}$ an arbitrary element. Then there are a neighborhood O of zero of (A, τ) such that $O + iO \subset \tilde{O}$ and $\lambda_a, \lambda_b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and the sets

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{a}{\lambda_a} \right)^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \qquad \left\{ \left(\frac{b}{\lambda_b} \right)^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \tag{4.3}$$

are bounded in (A, τ). The neighborhood O defines now a balanced neighborhood U of zero of (A, τ) such that (4.2) holds and U defines a balanced neighborhood V of zero of (A, τ) such that $VV \subset U$ (because the multiplication in (A, τ) is jointly continuous). Now there are numbers $\mu_a, \mu_b > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{a}{|\lambda_a|} \right)^n \in \mu_a V, \qquad \left(\frac{b}{|\lambda_b|} \right)^n \in \mu_b V, \tag{4.4}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\kappa = 4(|\lambda_a| + |\lambda_b|)$. Since $a + ib = (a + i\theta_A) + i(b + i\theta_A)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a + ib}{\kappa} \right)^n &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(\left(\frac{a}{\kappa} \right)^k + i\theta_A \right) i^{n-k} \left(\left(\frac{b}{\kappa} \right)^{n-k} + i\theta_A \right) \\ &= \mu_a \mu_b \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\tilde{x}_k}{2^k} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_k &= \varrho_{nk} \frac{1}{\mu_a \mu_b} \left(\left(\frac{a}{|\lambda_a|} \right)^k \left(\frac{b}{|\lambda_b|} \right)^{n-k} + i\theta_A \right), \\ \varrho_{nk} &= 2^k i^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{|\lambda_a|}{\kappa} \right)^k \left(\frac{|\lambda_b|}{\kappa} \right)^{n-k}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for each $k \leqslant n$. Herewith

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho_{nk}| &= \frac{2^k}{\kappa^n} \binom{n}{k} |\lambda_a|^k |\lambda_b|^{n-k} \leqslant \frac{2^n}{\kappa^n} (|\lambda_a| + |\lambda_b|)^n \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^n < 1, \\ \left(\frac{a}{|\lambda_a|} \right)^k &\left(\frac{b}{|\lambda_b|} \right)^{n-k} + i\theta_A \in \mu_a \mu_b VV + i\theta_A \subset \mu_a \mu_b (U + iU). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Since U is a balanced set, then $\tilde{x}_k \in U + iU$ for each $k \in \{0,\dots,n\}$. Hence

$$\left(\frac{a+ib}{\kappa}\right)^n \in \mu_a\mu_b(O+iO) \subset \mu_a\mu_b\tilde{O} \tag{4.8}$$

by (4.2) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It means that $a+ib$ is bounded in $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$. Consequently, $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a commutative complex exponentially galbed algebra with bounded elements. □

5. Real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebras.

To describe main classes of real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebras, we first describe these real topological division algebras (A,τ) for which the complexification $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ of (A,τ) is a complex Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra.

Proposition 5.1. *If (A,τ) is a commutative strictly real topological Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion, then the complexification $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ of (A,τ) is a commutative complex topological Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion.*

Proof. Let A be a commutative strictly real division algebra. Then $\tilde{A}$ is a complex division algebra (see [7, Proposition 1.6.20]). Since the underlying topological space of (A,τ) is a Hausdorff space, then (A,τ) is a Q-algebra. Hence (A,τ) is a commutative real Waelbroeck algebra with a unit element. Therefore $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a commutative Waelbroeck algebra (see [7, Proposition 3.6.31] or [17, proposition on page 237]). Thus, $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is a commutative complex Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let (A,τ) be a real topological algebra and $\tilde{A}$ the complexification of A. If the topological dual $(A,\tau)^*$ of (A,τ) is nonempty, then the topological dual $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})^*$ of $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ is also nonempty.*

Proof. If $\psi \in (A,\tau)^*$, then $\tilde{\psi}$, defined by $\tilde{\psi}(a+ib) = \psi(a)+i\psi(b)$ for each $a+ib \in \tilde{A}$, is an element of $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})^*$. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let A be a commutative strictly real (not necessarily topological) division algebra and $\tilde{A}$ the complexification of A. Then*

$$\mathrm{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a+ib) = \{\alpha+i\beta \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \in \mathrm{sp}_A(a) \text{ and } \beta \in \mathrm{sp}_A(b)\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha+i\beta \in \mathrm{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a+ib)$. Since A is a commutative strictly real division algebra, then $\tilde{A}$ is a commutative complex division algebra (see [7, Proposition 1.6.20]). Therefore

$$a+ib-(\alpha+i\beta)(e_A+i\theta) = (a-\alpha e_A)+i(b-\beta e_A) = \theta_A+i\theta_A \tag{5.2}$$

if and only if $\alpha \in \mathrm{sp}_A(a)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{sp}_A(b)$. □

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let (A,τ) be a commutative strictly real topological division algebra and $\tilde{A}$ the complexification of A. If there is a topology τ' on A such that (A,τ') is*

(a) *a locally pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion;*

(b) *a Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion for which $(A,\tau)^*$ is nonempty;*

(c) *an exponentially galbed Hausdorff algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements;*

(d) *a topological Hausdorff algebra for which the spectrum $\mathrm{sp}_A(a)$ is nonempty for each $a \in A$,*

then (A,τ) and $\mathbb{R}$ are topologically isomorphic.

Proof. If A is a commutative strictly real division algebra, then $\tilde{A}$ is a commutative complex division algebra (by [7, Proposition 1.6.20]). In case (a) the complexification $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau}')$ of (A,τ') is a commutative complex locally pseudoconvex Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion (by Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 5.1); in case (b) $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau}')$ of (A,τ') is a commutative complex topological Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion for which the set $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau}')^*$ is nonempty (by Propositions 5.1 and 5.2); in case (c) $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau}')$ of (A,τ') is a commutative complex exponentially galbed Hausdorff division algebra with bounded elements (by Theorem 4.1); and in case (d) $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau}')$ of (A,τ') is such a commutative topological Hausdorff division algebra for which the spectrum $\mathrm{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a+ib)$ is nonempty for each $a+ib \in \tilde{A}$ (by Proposition 5.3), therefore $(\tilde{A},\tilde{\tau})$ and $\mathbb{C}$ are topologically isomorphic (see [4, Theorem 1] and [2, Proposition 1]). Hence every element $a+ib \in \tilde{A}$ is representable in the form $a+ib = \lambda e_{\tilde{A}}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It means that for each $a \in A$ there is a real number μ such that $a = \mu e_A$. Consequently, A is an isomorphism to $\mathbb{R}$. In the same way as in complex case (see, e.g., [4, page 122]) it is easy to show that this isomorphism is a topological isomorphism because (A,τ) is a Hausdorff space. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let A be a commutative strictly real division algebra. If A has a topology τ such that (A,τ) is*

(a) *a locally pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion;*

(b) *a locally A-pseudoconvex (in particular, locally m-pseudoconvex) Hausdorff algebra;*

(c) *a locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra;*

(d) *an exponentially galbed Hausdorff algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements;*

(e) *a topological Hausdorff algebra for which the spectrum $\mathrm{sp}_A(a)$ is nonempty for each $a \in A$,*

then (A,τ) is a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra.

Proof. It is easy to see that (A,τ) is a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra (by Theorem 5.4) in cases (a), (d), and (e). Since the inversion is continuous in every locally m-pseudoconvex algebra and every locally A-pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra with a unit element having a topology τ' such that (A,τ') is a locally m-pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra (see [5, Lemma 2.2]), then (A,τ) is a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra in case (b) by (a) and Theorem 5.4.

Let now (A,τ) be a commutative strictly real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet division algebra. Then (A,τ) is a commutative strictly real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet Q-algebra by Corollary 3.2. Therefore the inversion in (A,τ) is continuous (see [15, Corollary 7.6]). Hence (A,τ) is also a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra by Theorem 5.4. □

Acknowledgment. This research was supported in part by an Estonian Science Foundation Grant 4514.

References

[1] M. Abel, *Inductive limits of Gel'fand-Mazur algebras*, submitted to J. Austral. Math. Soc.

[2] ______, *Survey of results on Gel'fand-Mazur algebras*, Proc. of the Intern. Conf. on Topological Algebras and Applications (Rabat 2000), to appear.

[3] ______, *On the Gel'fand-Mazur theorem for exponentially galbed algebras*, Tartu Riikl. Ül. Toimetised (1990), no. 899, 65–70.

[4] ______, *Gel'fand-Mazur algebras*, Topological Vector Spaces, Algebras and Related Areas (Hamilton, ON, 1994), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 316, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1994, pp. 116–129.

[5] M. Abel and A. Kokk, *Locally pseudoconvex Gel'fand-Mazur algebras*, Eesti NSV Tead. Akad. Toimetised Füüs.-Mat. **37** (1988), no. 4, 377–386 (Russian).

[6] G. R. Allan, *A spectral theory for locally convex algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 399–421.

[7] V. K. Balachandran, *Topological Algebras*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 185, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 2000, reprint of the 1999 original.

[8] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

[9] A. Guichardet, *Special Topics in Topological Algebras*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1968.

[10] A. Mallios, *Topological Algebras. Selected Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 124, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1986.

[11] M. A. Naĭmark, *Normed Algebras*, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1972.

[12] C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.

[13] Ph. Turpin, *Espaces et opérateurs exponentiellement galbés*, Séminaire Pierre Lelong (Analyse), Année 1973–1974, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 474, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 48–62 (French).

[14] L. Waelbroeck, *Topological Vector Spaces and Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 230, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[15] W. Żelazko, *Metric generalizations of Banach algebras*, Rozprawy Mat. **47** (1965), 1–70.

[16] ______, *Selected Topics in Topological Algebras*, Lecture Notes Series, no. 31, Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus, 1971.

[17] ______, *On m-convexity of commutative real Waelbroeck algebras*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **40** (2000), 235–240.

Mati Abel: Institute of Pure Mathematics, University of Tartu, 2 J. Liivi Street, 50409 Tartu, Estonia

E-mail address: abel@math.ut.ee

Olga Panova: Institute of Pure Mathematics, University of Tartu, 2 J. Liivi Street, 50409 Tartu, Estonia

E-mail address: olpanova@math.ut.ee

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
http://www.hindawi.com