

Research Article

Existence of Positive Solutions for Fourth-Order Three-Point Boundary Value Problems

Chuanzhi Bai

Received 11 July 2007; Accepted 7 November 2007

Recommended by Jean Mawhin

We are concerned with the nonlinear fourth-order three-point boundary value problem $u^{(4)}(t) = a(t)f(u(t))$, $0 < t < 1$, $u(0) = u(1) = 0$, $\alpha u''(\eta) - \beta u'''(\eta) = 0$, $\gamma u''(1) + \delta u'''(1) = 0$. By using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in a cone, we get some existence results of positive solutions.

Copyright © 2007 Chuanzhi Bai. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

As is pointed out in [1, 2], boundary value problems for second- and higher-order differential equations play a very important role in both theory and applications. Recently, an increasing interest in studying the existence of solutions and positive solutions to boundary value problems for fourth-order differential equations is observed; see, for example, [3–8].

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the following fourth-order three-point boundary value problem (BVP):

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(4)}(t) &= a(t)f(u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0, \\ \alpha u''(\eta) - \beta u'''(\eta) &= 0, & \gamma u''(1) + \delta u'''(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where α, β, γ , and δ are nonnegative constants satisfying $\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma > 0$; $0 < \eta < 1$, $a \in C[0, 1]$, and $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$. We use Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in cones to establish some simple criteria for the existence of at least one positive solution to BVP (1.1). To the best of our knowledge, no paper in the literature has investigated the existence of positive solutions for BVP (1.1).

2 Boundary Value Problems

The paper is formulated as follows. In Section 2, some definitions and lemmas are given. In Section 3, we prove some existence theorems of the positive solutions for BVP (1.1).

2. Preliminaries and lemmas

In this section, we introduce some necessary definitions and preliminary results that will be used to prove our main results.

First, we list the following notations and assumptions:

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}. \quad (2.1)$$

(H₁) $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous;

(H₂) $a \in C[0, 1]$, $a(t) \leq 0$, for all $t \in [0, \eta]$, $a(t) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [\eta, 1]$, and $a(t) \neq 0$, for all $t \in (p, \eta) \cup (\eta, q)$ ($0 < p < \eta < q < 1$).

By routine calculation, we easily obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are nonnegative constants satisfying $\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma > 0$. If $h \in C[0, 1]$, then the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} v''(t) &= h(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ \alpha v(\eta) - \beta v'(\eta) &= 0, \quad \gamma v(1) + \delta v'(1) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

has a unique solution

$$v(t) = \int_{\eta}^t (t-s)h(s)ds + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^1 (\alpha(\eta-t) - \beta)(\gamma(1-s) + \delta)h(s)ds, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\sigma = \alpha\delta + \beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma(1-\eta) > 0$.

Let $G(t, s)$ be the Green's function of the differential equation

$$-u''(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (2.4)$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

In particular,

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t(1-s), & 0 \leq t < s \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

It is rather straightforward that

$$0 \leq G(t, s) \leq G(s, s), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

$$G(t, s) \geq mG(s, s), \quad t \in [p, q], s \in [0, 1], \quad (2.8)$$

where $0 < p < q < 1$, and $0 < m = \min \{p, 1 - q\} < 1$.

Let X be the Banach space $C[0, 1]$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|. \quad (2.9)$$

We define the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) = & \int_0^1 G(t, s) \left[\int_\eta^s (\tau - s) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\beta - \alpha(\eta - s)) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $G(t, s)$ as in (2.6). From Lemma 2.1, we easily know that $u(t)$ is a solution of the fourth-order three-point boundary value problem (1.1) if and only if $u(t)$ is a fixed point of the operator T .

Define the cone K in X by

$$K = \left\{ u \in X \mid u \geq 0, \min_{t \in [p, q]} u(t) \geq m\|u\| \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $0 < p < \eta < q < 1$, and

$$0 < m = \max \{p, 1 - q\} < 1. \quad (2.12)$$

LEMMA 2.2. Assume that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. If $\beta \geq \alpha\eta$, then $T : K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. For any $u \in K$, we know from (2.10), (H_1) , (H_2) , and $\beta \geq \alpha\eta$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) = & \int_0^\eta G(t, s) \left[\int_s^\eta (s - \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\beta - \alpha(\eta - s)) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right] ds \\ & + \int_\eta^1 G(t, s) \left[\int_\eta^s (\tau - s) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^s (\beta - \alpha(\eta - s)) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_s^1 (\beta - \alpha(\eta - s)) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

4 Boundary Value Problems

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^\eta G(t,s) \left[\int_s^\eta (s-\tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\beta - \alpha(\eta-s))(\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_\eta^1 G(t,s) \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^s [\alpha\delta(\tau-\eta) + \beta\gamma(1-s) + \alpha\gamma(1-s)(\tau-\eta) + \beta\delta]a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_s^1 (\beta + \alpha(s-\eta))(\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right] ds \\
&\geq 0, \quad t \in [0,1].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Hence, in view of (2.13) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tu\| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} (Tu)(t) &\leq \int_0^\eta G(s,s) \left[\int_s^\eta (s-\tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\beta - \alpha(\eta-s))(\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_\eta^1 G(s,s) \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^s [\alpha\delta(\tau-\eta) + \beta\gamma(1-s) + \alpha\gamma(1-s)(\tau-\eta) + \beta\delta]a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_s^1 (\beta + \alpha(s-\eta))(\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right] ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Thus from (2.8), (2.13), and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min_{t \in [p,q]} (Tu)(t) \\
&\geq m \int_0^\eta G(s,s) \left[\int_s^\eta (s-\tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\beta - \alpha(\eta-s))(\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right] ds \\
&\quad + m \int_\eta^1 G(s,s) \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^s [\alpha\delta(\tau-\eta) + \beta\gamma(1-s) + \alpha\gamma(1-s)(\tau-\eta) + \beta\delta]a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_s^1 (\beta + \alpha(s-\eta))(\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right] ds = m\|Tu\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where m as in (2.12). So $T : K \rightarrow K$. Moreover, it is easy to check by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem that the operator T is completely continuous. \square

Remark 2.3. By $\sigma = \alpha\delta + \beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma(1-\eta) > 0$ and $\beta \geq \alpha\eta$, we have $\beta > 0$.

Recently, Krasnoselskii's theorem of cone expansion/compression type has been used to study the existence of positive solutions of boundary value problems in many papers;

see, for example, Liu [7], Ma [9], Torres [10], and the references contained therein. The following lemma (Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem) will play an important role in the proof of our theorem.

LEMMA 2.4 [11]. *Let X be a Banach space, and let $K \subset X$ be a cone in X . Assume that Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of X with $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ and let $A : K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$ be a completely operator such that either*

- (i) $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or
- (ii) $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then A has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

3. Main result

We are now in a position to present and prove our main result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\beta \geq \alpha\eta$. Assume that (H_1) -(H_2) hold. If $f_0 = \infty$ and $f_\infty = 0$, then (1.1) has at least a positive solution.*

Proof. Since $f_0 = \infty$, we can choose $r > 0$ sufficiently small so that

$$f(u) \geq \varepsilon u \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq r, \quad (3.1)$$

where ε satisfies

$$\varepsilon \geq \begin{cases} \frac{6}{m(1-\eta) \int_0^\eta -a(\tau)\tau^3 d\tau}, & \text{if } a(t_0) < 0, \text{ for some } t_0 \in (p, \eta), \\ \frac{\sigma}{\beta m \eta \int_\eta^1 (\tau - \eta)(1 - \tau)(\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta)a(\tau) d\tau}, & \text{if } a(t_1) > 0, \text{ for some } t_1 \in (\eta, q). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Set $\Omega_r = \{u \in K \mid \|u\| < r\}$. From condition (H_2) , we consider two cases as follows.

Case 1. If $a(t_0) < 0$ for some $t_0 \in (p, \eta)$, then, for $u \in \partial\Omega_r$, we have from (2.13), (3.1), and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(\eta) &\geq \int_0^\eta G(\eta, s) \left[\int_s^\eta (s - \tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\beta - \alpha(\eta - s))(\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right] ds \\ &\geq \int_0^\eta G(\eta, s) \int_s^\eta (s - \tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau ds \geq \varepsilon \int_0^\eta G(\eta, s) \int_s^\eta (s - \tau)a(\tau)u(\tau)d\tau ds \\ &\geq m\varepsilon\|u\| \int_0^\eta G(\eta, s) \int_s^\eta (s - \tau)a(\tau)d\tau ds = m\varepsilon\|u\| \int_0^\eta a(\tau)d\tau \int_0^\tau G(\eta, s)(s - \tau)ds \\ &= m\varepsilon\|u\| \int_0^\eta a(\tau)d\tau \int_0^\tau (1 - \eta)s(s - \tau)ds = m\varepsilon\|u\| \frac{1 - \eta}{6} \int_0^\eta -a(\tau)\tau^3 d\tau \geq \|u\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

6 Boundary Value Problems

which implies

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega_r. \quad (3.4)$$

Case 2. If $a(t_1) > 0$ for some $t_1 \in (\eta, q)$, then, for $u \in \partial\Omega_r$, we have from (2.13), (3.1), and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(\eta) &\geq \int_{\eta}^1 G(\eta, s) \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^s [\alpha\delta(\tau - \eta) + \beta\gamma(1 - s) + \alpha\gamma(1 - s)(\tau - \eta) + \beta\delta] a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_s^1 (\beta + \alpha(s - \eta)) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right] ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^1 G(\eta, s) \int_s^1 (\beta + \alpha(s - \eta)) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau ds \\ &\geq \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^1 G(\eta, s) \int_s^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau ds \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon\beta m}{\sigma} \|u\| \int_{\eta}^1 G(\eta, s) ds \int_s^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon\beta m}{\sigma} \|u\| \int_{\eta}^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \int_{\eta}^{\tau} \eta(1 - s) ds \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon\beta m}{\sigma} \|u\| \int_{\eta}^1 \eta(\tau - \eta) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\tau + \eta) \right) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon\beta\eta m}{\sigma} \|u\| \int_{\eta}^1 (\tau - \eta)(1 - \tau) (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \geq \|u\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

that is,

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega_r. \quad (3.6)$$

Next, define a function $f^*(v) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$f^*(v) = \max_{0 \leq u \leq v} f(u). \quad (3.7)$$

It is easy to see that $f^*(v)$ is nondecreasing. Since $f_{\infty} = 0$, we have $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} f^*(v)/v = 0$. Thus, there exists $R > r$ such that

$$f^*(R) \leq \theta R, \quad (3.8)$$

where θ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta \left[\frac{1}{12} \int_0^{\eta} -a(\tau) \tau^3 d\tau + \frac{1}{6\sigma} [(1 - \eta)\sigma + \beta\delta] (1 - \eta^2) \int_{\eta}^1 a(\tau) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6\sigma} (\beta + \alpha(1 - \eta)) \int_{\eta}^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right] \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$f(u) \leq f^*(R) \leq \theta R, \quad 0 \leq u \leq R. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus from (2.14) and (3.10), for all $u \in \partial\Omega_R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &\leq \theta R \left[\int_0^\eta G(s, s) \left[\int_s^\eta (s - \tau) a(\tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\beta - \alpha\eta + \alpha s)(\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right] ds \right. \\ &\quad + \int_\eta^1 G(s, s) \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^s [\alpha\delta(\tau - \eta) + \beta\gamma(1 - s) + \alpha\gamma(1 - s)(\tau - \eta) + \beta\delta] a(\tau) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_s^1 (\beta + \alpha(s - \eta))(\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right] ds \right] \\ &\leq \theta R \left[\int_0^\eta a(\tau) d\tau \int_0^\tau s(1 - s)(s - \tau) ds + \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \int_0^\eta s(1 - s) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 s(1 - s) ds \int_\eta^1 [\alpha\delta(1 - \eta) + \beta\gamma(1 - \eta) + \alpha\gamma(1 - \eta)^2 + \beta\delta] a(\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\eta^1 s(1 - s) ds \int_\eta^1 (\beta + \alpha(1 - \eta))(\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right] \\ &= \theta R \left[\frac{1}{12} \int_0^\eta -a(\tau) \tau^3 d\tau + \frac{\beta}{6\sigma} (3\eta^2 - 2\eta^3) \int_\eta^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6\sigma} [(1 - \eta)\sigma + \beta\delta] (1 - 3\eta^2 + 2\eta^3) \int_\eta^1 a(\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6\sigma} (\beta + \alpha(1 - \eta)) (1 - 3\eta^2 + 2\eta^3) \int_\eta^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right] \\ &\leq \theta R \left[\frac{1}{12} \int_0^\eta -a(\tau) \tau^3 d\tau + \frac{1}{6\sigma} [(1 - \eta)\sigma + \beta\delta] (1 - \eta^2) \int_\eta^1 a(\tau) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6\sigma} (\beta + \alpha(1 - \eta)) \int_\eta^1 (\gamma(1 - \tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right] \leq R = \|u\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

that is,

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \partial\Omega_R. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence, from (3.6), (3.12), and Lemma 2.4, T has a fixed point $u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$, which means that u is a positive solution of BVP (1.1). \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\beta \geq \alpha\eta$. Assume that (H_1) – (H_2) hold. If $f_0 = 0$ and $f_\infty = \infty$, then (1.1) has at least a positive solution.*

8 Boundary Value Problems

Proof. Since $f_\infty = \infty$, we can choose $R_1 > 0$ sufficiently large so that

$$f(u) \geq Au, \quad u \geq R_1, \quad (3.13)$$

where A satisfies

$$A \geq \begin{cases} \frac{6}{m(1-\eta) \int_p^\eta -a(\tau)(\tau-p)(\tau^2+\tau p-\tau p^2)d\tau}, & \text{if } a(t_0) < 0, \text{ for some } t_0 \in (p, \eta), \\ \frac{\sigma}{\beta m \eta \int_\eta^q (\tau-\eta)(1-\tau)(\gamma(1-\tau)+\delta)a(\tau)d\tau}, & \text{if } a(t_1) > 0, \text{ for some } t_1 \in (\eta, q). \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Choose

$$R \geq \frac{R_1}{m}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $m > 0$ as in (2.12). Let $u \in \partial\Omega_R$. Since $u(t) \geq m\|u\| = mR \geq R_1$ for $t \in [p, q]$, from (3.13), we see that

$$f(u(t)) \geq Au(t) \geq AmR, \quad \forall t \in [p, q], \quad u \in \partial\Omega_R. \quad (3.16)$$

For $u \in \partial\Omega_R$, we consider two cases as follows.

Case 1. If $a(t_0) < 0$ for some $t_0 \in (p, \eta)$, then we have from (3.3), (3.14), and (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(\eta) &\geq \int_0^\eta G(\eta, s) \int_s^\eta (s-\tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau ds \\ &\geq \int_p^\eta G(\eta, s) \int_s^\eta (s-\tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau ds \\ &\geq AmR \int_p^\eta G(\eta, s) \int_s^\eta (s-\tau)a(\tau)d\tau ds \\ &= AmR(1-\eta) \int_\eta^p a(\tau)d\tau \int_p^\tau s(s-\tau)ds \\ &= \frac{1}{6}AmR(1-\eta) \int_\eta^p -a(\tau)(\tau-p)(\tau^2+\tau p-2p^2)d\tau \\ &\geq R = \|u\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

which implies

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega_R. \quad (3.18)$$

Case 2. If $a(t_1) > 0$ for some $t_1 \in (\eta, q)$, then we have from (3.5), (3.14), and (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)(\eta) &\geq \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^1 G(\eta, s) \int_s^1 (\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau ds \\
 &\geq \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^q G(\eta, s) \int_s^q (\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau ds \\
 &\geq AmR \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^q G(\eta, s) \int_s^q (\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau ds \\
 &= AmR \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^q (\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \int_{\eta}^{\tau} \eta(1-s) ds \\
 &\geq AmR \frac{\beta\eta}{\sigma} \int_{\eta}^q (\tau - \eta)(1-\tau)(\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \geq R = \|u\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

which implies

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega_R. \tag{3.20}$$

Since $f_0 = 0$, we can choose $0 < r < R$ such that

$$f(u) \leq \theta u, \quad 0 \leq u \leq r, \tag{3.21}$$

where θ as in (3.9). For $u \in \partial\Omega_r$, we have from (3.11) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Tu\| &\leq \theta \|u\| \left[\frac{1}{12} \int_0^{\eta} -a(\tau) \tau^3 d\tau + \frac{1}{6\sigma} [(1-\eta)\sigma + \beta\delta] (1-\eta^2) \int_{\eta}^1 a(\tau) d\tau \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6\sigma} (\beta + \alpha(1-\eta)) \int_{\eta}^1 (\gamma(1-\tau) + \delta) a(\tau) d\tau \right] \leq \|u\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

So,

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_r. \tag{3.23}$$

Therefore, from (3.20), (3.23), and Lemma 2.4, T has a fixed point $u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$, which means u is a positive solution of BVP (1.1). \square

Finally, we conclude this paper with the following example.

Example 3.3. Consider the following fourth-order three-point boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^{(4)}(t) &= \sin \pi(1+2t)u^r(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
 u(0) &= u(1) = 0, \\
 \alpha u''\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \beta u'''\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= 0, \quad \gamma u''(1) + \delta u'''(1) = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where $0 < r < 1$, α, β, γ , and δ are nonnegative constants satisfying $\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma > 0$ and $\beta \geq (1/2)\alpha$. Then BVP (3.24) has at least one positive solution.

To see this, we will apply Theorem 3.1. Set

$$f(u) = u^r, \quad a(t) = \sin \pi(1 + 2t), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.25)$$

With the above functions f and a , we see that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$f_0 = \infty, \quad f_\infty = 0, \quad \beta \geq \alpha\eta. \quad (3.26)$$

The result now follows from Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10771212) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Education Office (06KJB110010). The author is grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, *Focal Boundary Value problems for Differential and Difference Equations*, vol. 436 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1998.
- [2] D. G. Zill and M. R. Cullen, *Differential Equations with Boundary-Value Problems*, Brooks/Cole, Belmont, Calif, USA, 5th edition, 2001.
- [3] Z. Bai and H. Wang, "On positive solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 270, no. 2, pp. 357–368, 2002.
- [4] J. R. Graef and B. Yang, "On a nonlinear boundary value problem for fourth order equations," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 72, no. 3–4, pp. 439–448, 1999.
- [5] Z. Hao, L. Liu, and L. Debnath, "A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions of fourth-order singular boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 279–285, 2003.
- [6] F. Li, Q. Zhang, and Z. Liang, "Existence and multiplicity of solutions of a kind of fourth-order boundary value problem," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 803–816, 2005.
- [7] B. Liu, "Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 407–420, 2004.
- [8] D. Yang, H. Zhu, and C. Bai, "Positive solutions for semipositone fourth-order two-point boundary value problems," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, no. 16, pp. 1–8, 2007.
- [9] R. Ma, "Positive solutions of fourth-order two-point boundary value problems," *Annals of Differential Equations*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 305–313, 1999.
- [10] P. J. Torres, "Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second-order differential equations via a Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 190, no. 2, pp. 643–662, 2003.
- [11] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk