

## ON PAIRWISE S-CLOSED BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

M. N. MUKHERJEE

Department of Mathematics  
Charu Chandra College  
22 Lake Road  
Calcutta, India 700 029

(Received August 5, 1982)

**ABSTRACT.** The concept of pairwise S-closedness in bitopological spaces has been introduced and some properties of such spaces have been studied in this paper.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** *Pairwise semi-open, Pairwise almost compact, Pairwise S-closed, Pairwise regularly open and regularly closed, Pairwise extremely disconnectedness, Pairwise semi-continuous and irresolute functions.*

**1980 AMS MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** 54E55.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Travis Thompson [1] in 1976 initiated the notion of S-closed topological spaces, which was followed by its further study by Thompson [2], T. Noiri [3,4] and others. It is now the purpose of this paper to introduce and investigate the corresponding concept, i.e., pairwise S-closedness in bitopological spaces. To make the exposition of this paper self-contained as far as possible, we shall quote some definitions and enunciate some theorems from [5,6,7].

**DEFINITION 1.1.** [7] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space.

(i) A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called  $\tau_i$  semi-open with respect to  $\tau_j$  (abbreviated as

$\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ ) in  $X$  if there exists a  $\tau_i$  open set  $B$  such that  $B \subset A \subset \overline{B}^{\tau_j}$

(where  $\overline{B}^{\tau_j}$  denotes the  $\tau_j$ -closure of  $B$  in  $X$ ), where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

$A$  is called pairwise semi-open (written as p.s.o) in  $X$  if  $A$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  as well as  $\tau_2$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  in  $X$ .

(ii) A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called  $\tau_1$  semi-closed with respect to  $\tau_2$  (denoted as  $\tau_1$  s.cl.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ ) if  $X - A$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Definitions for  $\tau_2$  s.cl.w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  and p. s.cl. sets can be given similarly as in (i).

(iii) A subset  $N$  of  $X$  is called a  $\tau_i$  semi-neighborhood of  $x$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , where  $x \in X$ , if there is a  $\tau_i$  s.o. set w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  containing  $x$  and contained in  $N$ . A point  $x$  of  $X$  is said to be a  $\tau_i$  semi-accumulation point of a subset  $A$  of  $X$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , if every  $\tau_i$  semi-neighborhood of  $x$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  intersects  $A$  in at least one point other than  $x$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

(iv) The intersection of all  $\tau_i$  s.cl. sets w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , each containing a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , is called the  $\tau_i$  semi-closure of  $A$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  and will be denoted by  $\underline{A}_{\tau_i}(\tau_j)$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

It has been proved in [7] that a subset  $A$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  s.cl. w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  if and only if  $A = \underline{A}_{\tau_i}(\tau_j)$  and moreover,  $x \in \underline{A}_{\tau_i}(\tau_j)$  if and only if  $x$  is either a point of  $A$  or a  $\tau_i$  semi-accumulation point of  $A$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

In [7], it was deduced that  $A \subset (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  iff  $\overline{A}^{\tau_2} = \overline{(A^{\tau_1})^{\tau_2}}$  where  $A^{\tau_1}$  denotes the  $\tau_1$ -interior of  $A$  in  $X$ . Similarly we shall use  $A^{\tau_2}$  to mean the  $\tau_2$ -interior of  $A$  in  $X$ .

It is very easy to see that every  $\tau_i$  open set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  and the union of any collection of sets that are  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , is also so, where  $i, j = 1, 2$ ;  $i \neq j$ . It was shown in [5] that the intersection of two  $\tau_1$  s.o. sets w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  is not necessarily  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . But we have,

**THEOREM 1.2.** [5] If  $A$  is  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $B \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ , then  $A \cap B$  is  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

The first part of the following theorem was proved in [7] and the converse part in [5].

**THEOREM 1.3.** Let  $A \subset Y \subset (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . If  $A$  is  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i)_Y$  s.o.w.r.t.  $(\tau_j)_Y$ . Conversely, if  $A$  is  $(\tau_i)_Y$  s.o.w.r.t.  $(\tau_j)_Y$  and  $Y \in \tau_i$ , then  $A$  is  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

**DEFINITION 1.4.** [6] (a) A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $\tau_i$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ;  $i \neq j$ ) if every  $\tau_i$  open filterbase has a  $\tau_j$  cluster point.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise almost compact if it is  $\tau_1$

almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

(b) A bitopological space  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  is called an extension of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if  $X \subset X^*$ ,  $\overline{\tau_i^*} = X^*$  and  $(\tau_i^*)_X = \tau_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .

A pairwise Hausdorff bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise H-closed if the space cannot have any pairwise Hausdorff extension.

THEOREM 1.5. [6] (a)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise almost compact if and only if for each cover  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $X$  by  $\tau_i$  open sets, there exists a finite

subcollection  $\{G_{\alpha_1}, \dots, G_{\alpha_n}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{G_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_j}$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

(b) If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  regular w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  and  $\tau_i$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , then  $(X, \tau_i)$  is compact, for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

(c) A pairwise Hausdorff and pairwise almost compact bitopological space is pairwise H-closed.

In what follows, by  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  we shall always mean a bitopological space, i.e., a set  $X$  endowed with two topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ .

## 2. PAIRWISE S-CLOSED SPACES.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $F = \{F_\alpha\}$  be a filterbase in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $x \in X$ .  $F$  is said to

(i)  $\tau_i$  S-accumulate to  $x$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  if for every  $\tau_i$  s.o. set  $V$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  containing  $x$  and each  $F_\alpha \in F$ ,  $F_\alpha \cap \overline{V}^{\tau_j} \neq \emptyset$ .

(ii)  $\tau_i$  S-converge w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  to  $x$ , if corresponding to each  $\tau_i$  s.o. set  $V$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $F_\alpha \in F$  such that  $F_\alpha \subset \overline{V}^{\tau_j}$ .

In (i) and (ii) above,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .  $F$  is said to pairwise S-converge to  $x$  if  $F$  is  $\tau_1$  S-convergent to  $x$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  as well as  $\tau_2$  S-convergent to  $x$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ . The definition of pairwise S-accumulation point of  $F$  is similar.

DEFINITION 2.2.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if for each cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $X$  with  $\tau_1$  s.o. sets w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , there is a finite subfamily  $\{V_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V_{\alpha_i}}^{\tau_2} = X$  (where  $I$  is some index set).  $X$

is called pairwise S-closed if it is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $F$  be an ultrafilter in  $X$ . Then  $F$   $\tau_1$  S-accumulates to a point

$x_0 \in X$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if and only if  $F$  is  $\tau_1$  S-convergent to  $x_0$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

PROOF: Let  $F$  be  $\tau_1$  S-convergent w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  to  $x_0$  and let it not  $\tau_1$  S-accumulate w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  to  $x_0$ . Then there exist a  $\tau_1$  s.o. set  $V$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  (containing  $x_0$ ) and some  $F_\alpha \in F$  such that  $F_\alpha \cap \overline{V}^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$ . Then  $F_\alpha \subset X - \overline{V}^{\tau_2}$  and hence  $X - \overline{V}^{\tau_2} \in F$  .... (2.1).

Since  $F$  is  $\tau_1$  S-convergent w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  to  $x_0$ , corresponding to  $V$  there exists  $F_\beta \in F$  such that  $F_\beta \subset \overline{V}^{\tau_2}$ . Then  $\overline{V}^{\tau_2} \in F$  .... (2.2). Clearly (2.1) and (2.2) are incompatible. Note that for this part we do not need maximality of  $F$ .

Conversely, if  $F$  does not  $\tau_1$  S-converge w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  to  $x_0$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$  s.o. set  $V$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  containing  $x_0$ , such that  $F_\alpha \notin \overline{V}^{\tau_2}$ , for each  $F_\alpha \in F$ . But  $F$  has  $x_0$  as a  $\tau_1$  S-accumulation point w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Hence  $F_\alpha \cap \overline{V}^{\tau_2} \neq \emptyset$ , for each  $F_\alpha \in F$ . Thus  $F_\alpha \cap \overline{V}^{\tau_2} \neq \emptyset$  and  $F_\alpha \cap (X - \overline{V}^{\tau_2}) \neq \emptyset$ , for each  $F_\alpha \in F$ . Since  $F$  is maximal, this shows that  $\overline{V}^{\tau_2}$  and  $X - \overline{V}^{\tau_2}$  both belong to  $F$ , which is a contradiction.

NOTE 2.4. In the above theorem, the indices 1 and 2 could be interchanged.

THEOREM 2.5. In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .
- (b) Every ultrafilterbase  $F$  is  $\tau_1$  S-convergent w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .
- (c) Every filterbase  $\tau_1$  S-accumulates w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  to some point of  $X$ .
- (d) For every family  $\{F_\alpha\}$  of  $\tau_1$  s.cl. sets w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , with  $\bigcap F_\alpha = \emptyset$ , there

exists a finite subcollection  $\{F_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $\{F_\alpha\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (F_{\alpha_i})^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$ .

PROOF: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $F = \{F_\alpha\}$  be an ultrafilterbase in  $X$ , which does not  $\tau_1$  S-converge w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  to any point of  $X$ . Then by Theorem 2.3,  $F$  has no  $\tau_1$  S-accumulation point w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Thus for every  $x \in X$ , there is a  $\tau_1$  s.o. set

$V(x)$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  containing  $x$  and an  $F_{\alpha(x)} \in F$  such that  $F_{\alpha(x)} \cap \overline{V(x)}^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$ .

Evidently,  $\{V(x): x \in X\}$  is a cover of  $X$  with sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and by (a), there exists a finite subcollection  $\{V(x_i): i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $\{V(x): x \in X\}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V(x_i)}^{\tau_2} = X$ .

Now,  $F$  being a filterbase, there exists  $F_0 \in F$  such that

$$F_0 \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha(x_i)}.$$

Then  $F_0 \cap \overline{V(x_i)}^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

$\Rightarrow F_0 \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V(x_i)}^{\tau_2} \right) = F_0 \cap X = \emptyset \Rightarrow F_0 = \emptyset$  which is a contradiction.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Every filterbase  $F$  is contained in an ultrafilter base  $F^*$  and  $F^*$  is  $\tau_1$  S-convergent w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  to some point  $x_0$  by (b), and hence  $x_0$  is a  $\tau_1$  S-accumulation point of  $F^*$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Since  $F \subset F^*$ ,  $x_0$  is also a  $\tau_1$  S-accumulation point of  $F$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) Let  $F = \{F_\alpha\}$  be a family of  $\tau_1$  s.c.l. sets w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  with  $\bigcap F_\alpha = \emptyset$

and be such that for every finite subfamily  $\{F_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  (say),  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (F_{\alpha_i})^{\tau_2} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus

$F = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n (F_{\alpha_i})^{\tau_2} : n = \text{positive integer}, F_{\alpha_i} \in F\}$  forms a filterbase in  $X$  and

hence by hypothesis has a  $\tau_1$  S-accumulation point  $x_0$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Then for any

$\tau_1$  s.o. set  $V(x_0)$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  containing  $x_0$ ,  $(F_\alpha)^{\tau_2} \cap \overline{V(x_0)}^{\tau_2} \neq \emptyset$ , for each

$F_\alpha \in F$ . Since  $\bigcap F_\alpha = \emptyset$ , there is some  $F_{\alpha_0} \in F$  such that  $x_0 \notin F_{\alpha_0}$ . Hence

$x_0 \in X - F_{\alpha_0}$  which is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Hence  $(F_{\alpha_0})^{\tau_2} \cap \overline{(X - F_{\alpha_0})}^{\tau_2} \neq \emptyset$  or,

$(F_{\alpha_0})^{\tau_2} \cap (X - (F_{\alpha_0})^{\tau_2}) \neq \emptyset$  which is impossible.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Let  $\{V_\alpha\}$  be a covering of  $X$  with sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

Then  $\bigcap (X - V_\alpha) = X - \bigcup V_\alpha = \emptyset$ . By (d), there exists finite number of indices

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n (X - V_{\alpha_k})^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n (X - \overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_2}) = \emptyset$ , or

$X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$ , or  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_2} = X$  and hence  $X$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

NOTE 2.6. Obviously, in the above theorem, the indices 1 and 2 could have been interchanged and hence the statement (a) can be replaced by "X is pairwise S-closed" with corresponding alterations in (b), (c) and (d).

DEFINITION 2.7. A subset  $Y$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  will be called  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  in  $X$  if and only if for every cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $Y$  by  $\tau_i$  s.o. sets w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  of  $X$ , there exists a finite set of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in I$  such that

$Y \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_j}\}$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

THEOREM 2.8. A subset  $Y$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  will be  $(\tau_i)_Y$  S-closed w.r.t.  $(\tau_j)_Y$  if  $Y$  is  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  in  $X$  and  $Y \in \tau_i$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

PROOF: We prove the theorem by taking  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Similar will be the proof when  $i = 2$  and  $j = 1$ . By virtue of Theorem 1.3, every cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $Y$  by sets that are  $(\tau_1)_Y$  s.o.w.r.t.  $(\tau_2)_Y$  can be regarded as a cover of  $Y$  by sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Then by hypothesis, there is a finite number of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that

$$Y \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_2} \Rightarrow Y = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{(\tau_2)_Y} \text{ and the theorem follows.}$$

THEOREM 2.9. If  $Y (\subset (X, \tau_1, \tau_2))$  is  $(\tau_i)_Y$  S-closed w.r.t.  $(\tau_j)_Y$  and  $Y \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ , then  $Y$  is  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  in  $X$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

PROOF: We prove only the case when  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Let  $\{G_\alpha\}$  be a cover of  $Y$ , where each  $G_\alpha$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Then by Theorem 1.2,  $G_\alpha \cap Y$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  for each  $\alpha$  and hence by Theorem 1.3,  $G_\alpha \cap Y$  is  $(\tau_1)_Y$  s.o.w.r.t.  $(\tau_2)_Y$  for each  $\alpha$ . By hypothesis, there exists a finite number of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that

$$Y = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{(G_{\alpha_k} \cap Y)}^{(\tau_2)_Y} \Rightarrow Y \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{G_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_2} \Rightarrow Y \text{ is } \tau_1 \text{ S-closed w.r.t. } \tau_2 \text{ in } X.$$

DEFINITION 2.10. [7] A subset  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1$  regularly open

(closed) w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if and only if  $A = (\overline{A}^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1}$  (respectively if and only if

$A = (\overline{A}^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1}$ ). Similarly we define sets that are  $\tau_2$  regularly open (closed) w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

It has been shown in [7] that a subset  $B$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  iff  $(X - B)$  is  $\tau_i$  regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

LEMMA 2.11. If a subset  $A$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_j$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_i$ , then  $A$  is  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

PROOF: Proof is done only in the case when  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ .

$A$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_1 \Rightarrow (X - A)$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_1$

$$\Rightarrow X - A = [(\overline{X - A})^{\tau_1}]^{\tau_2} \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $0 = X - \overline{(X - A)}^{\tau_1}$ . Then  $0$  is  $\tau_1$  open and

$$\overline{0}^{\tau_2} = \left[ X - \overline{(X - A)}^{\tau_1} \right]^{\tau_2} = X - \left[ X - \overline{(X - A)}^{\tau_1} \right]^{\tau_2} = A \text{ (by (2.3))}.$$

Thus  $0 \subset A \subset \overline{0}^{\tau_2}$  and  $0 \in \tau_1$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

LEMMA 2.12. If a subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  then  $\overline{A}^{\tau_j}$  is  $\tau_j$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_i$ , where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

PROOF: As before we consider the case  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Since  $A$  is  $\tau_1$

$$\text{s.o.w.r.t. } \tau_2, \text{ we have } A^{\tau_1} \subset A \subset \overline{A}^{\tau_2}. \text{ Then } \overline{A}^{\tau_2} = \overline{(A^{\tau_1})}^{\tau_2} \dots \quad (2.4)$$

It has been shown in [7] that a set  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ ) if it is  $\tau_i$  closure of some  $\tau_j$  open set. Since  $A^{\tau_1}$  is  $\tau_1$  open, by virtue of (2.4) the result follows.

THEOREM 2.13. A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  if and only if every proper  $\tau_j$  regularly open set w.r.t.  $\tau_i$  of  $X$  is  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

PROOF: We only take up the case  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ .

Let  $X$  be  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $F$  be a proper  $\tau_2$  regularly open set of  $X$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ . Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a cover of  $F$  by sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Since  $X - F$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ , by Lemma 2.11,  $(X - F)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and hence  $(X - F) \cup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is a cover of  $X$  by  $\tau_1$  s.o. sets w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Since  $X$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , there exists a

finite-number of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $X = \overline{(X - F)}^{\tau_2} \cup \left[ \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_2}) \right]$ .

Since  $F$  is  $\tau_2$  open,  $F \cap \overline{X - F}^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$  and hence  $F \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\overline{V_{\alpha_k}}^{\tau_2})$ , proving that

$F$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Conversely, let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a cover of  $X$  by sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . If  $X = \overline{V_\alpha}^{\tau_2}$ , for each  $\alpha \in I$ , then the theorem is proved. So, suppose  $X \neq \overline{V_\beta}^{\tau_2}$ , for some  $\beta \in I$  and  $V_\beta \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\overline{V_\beta}^{\tau_2}$  is a proper subset of  $X$ . Since  $V_\beta$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , by Lemma 2.12,  $\overline{V_\beta}^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ , so that  $X - \overline{V_\beta}^{\tau_2}$  is proper  $\tau_2$  regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  and by hypothesis, it is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Then there exists a finite

set of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  such that  $X - \bar{V}_\beta^{\tau_2} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m \bar{V}_{\alpha_k}^{\tau_2}$ . Hence

$X = \bar{V}_\beta^{\tau_2} \cup (\bigcup_{k=1}^m \bar{V}_{\alpha_k}^{\tau_2})$  and  $X$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

**THEOREM 2.14.** A subset  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  in  $X$  if and only if every cover of  $A$  by sets that are  $\tau_j$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_i$  in  $X$ , has a finite subcover, where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

**PROOF:** We consider only the case  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Let  $A$  be  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  in  $X$  and  $\{V_\alpha\}$  be a collection of  $\tau_2$  regularly closed sets in  $X$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ , which is a cover of  $A$ . Then each  $V_\alpha$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , by Lemma 2.11 and hence there exists a finite set of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that

$A \subset \bar{V}_{\alpha_1}^{\tau_2} \cup \dots \cup \bar{V}_{\alpha_n}^{\tau_2} = V_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{\alpha_n}$  (since each  $V_{\alpha_i}$  is  $\tau_2$  closed). Conversely, let the given condition hold and  $\{V_\alpha\}$  be a  $\tau_1$  s.o. cover of

$A$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Then  $\bar{V}_\alpha^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  for each  $\alpha$ , by Lemma 2.12, and  $\{\bar{V}_\alpha^{\tau_2}\}$  is a cover of  $A$ . Then by hypothesis, there exist a finite number of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bar{V}_{\alpha_k}^{\tau_2}$ , showing that  $A$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

**THEOREM 2.15.** If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $A \cup B$  is also so, where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $\{V_\alpha\}$  be a cover of  $A \cup B$  by sets that are  $\tau_j$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_i$  in  $X$ . Then it is a cover of  $A$  as well as of  $B$ . By hypothesis, there will exist a finite

number of indices  $\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \dots, \alpha_{1k}$  and  $\alpha_{21}, \alpha_{22}, \dots, \alpha_{2r}$  such that

$A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^k \bar{V}_{\alpha_{1k}}^{\tau_j}$  and  $B \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^r \bar{V}_{\alpha_{2k}}^{\tau_j}$ . Then  $A \cup B \subset (\bigcup_{k=1}^k \bar{V}_{\alpha_{1k}}^{\tau_j}) \cup (\bigcup_{k=1}^r \bar{V}_{\alpha_{2k}}^{\tau_j})$  and

hence  $A \cup B$  is  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ .

**THEOREM 2.16.** If  $A$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  then  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  is also so.

**PROOF:** Let  $\{V_\alpha\}$  be a cover of  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  by sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , then it is also a cover of  $A$ . Thus there exists a finite number of indices  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$

such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_{\alpha_i}^{\tau_2} \Rightarrow \bar{A}^{\tau_2} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_{\alpha_i}^{\tau_2}$  and the result follows. From

Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.16 we get:

COROLLARY 2.17. If  $A \subset (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise open and  $(A, (\tau_1)_A, (\tau_2)_A)$  is pairwise S-closed, then  $\bar{A}^{\tau_i}$  is pairwise S-closed in  $X$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .

COROLLARY 2.18. A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  if there exists a  $\tau_i$  S-closed subset  $A$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  in  $X$ , which is  $\tau_j$  dense in  $X$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

THEOREM 2.19. Let  $A \subset (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $B$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  in  $X$ . Then  $A \cap B$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

PROOF: Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a  $\tau_1$  s.o. cover of  $A \cap B$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , where  $I$  is some index set. Since  $X - B$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly closed w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ , by Lemma 2.11,  $(X - B)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Thus  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \{V_\alpha\} \cup (X - B)$  and  $A$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

Then there exist indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ , finite in number, such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_{\alpha_i}^{\tau_2} \cup \overline{(X - B)}^{\tau_2} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_{\alpha_i}^{\tau_2} \cup (X - B).$$

Thus  $A \cap B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_{\alpha_i}^{\tau_2}$  and  $A \cap B$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

COROLLARY 2.20. Let  $A \subset (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $B$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ , then

(a)  $B$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if  $B \subset A$ .

(b)  $A^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if  $A$  is  $\tau_1$  closed in  $X$ .

PROOF: (a) Follows immediately from Theorem 2.19.

(b) Since  $(\bar{A}^{\tau_1})^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_2$  regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  and  $(\bar{A}^{\tau_1})^{\tau_2} \cap A = A^{\tau_2} \cap A = A^{\tau_2}$ , the result follows by virtue of Theorem 2.19.

THEOREM 2.21. If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$  regular w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  and  $\tau_i$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , then  $(X, \tau_i)$  is compact, where  $i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ .

Proof By virtue of Theorem 1.5(a), we see that every  $\tau_i$  S-closed space w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  is  $\tau_i$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ . Hence by Theorem 1.5(b) the result follows.

In Theorem 3.7 we shall prove a partial converse of the above theorem.

### 3. PAIRWISE EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTEDNESS AND S-CLOSED SPACE.

DEFINITION 3.1. A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $\tau_i$  extremely disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  if and only if for every  $\tau_i$  open set  $A$  of  $X$ ,

$\bar{A}^{\tau_j}$  is  $\tau_i$  open, where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .  $X$  is called pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if it is  $\tau_1$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

Datta in [8] has defined pairwise extremally disconnected bitopological space identically as above, we shall show (see Corollary 3.4) that the concept can be defined by a weaker condition.

The conclusion of the following theorem was also derived in [8] under the hypothesis that the space is pairwise Hausdorff and pairwise extremally disconnected. We prove a much stronger result here.

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be  $\tau_1$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  or  $\tau_2$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ . Then for every pair of disjoint sets  $A, B$  in  $X$ , where  $A \in \tau_1$  and  $B \in \tau_2$ , one has  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} \cap \bar{B}^{\tau_1} = \emptyset$ .

**PROOF:** Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $A \in \tau_1$ ,

$B \in \tau_2$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} \cap B = \emptyset \dots (1)$ . Now, if  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} \cap \bar{B}^{\tau_1} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $x \in \bar{B}^{\tau_1}$  and  $x \in \bar{A}^{\tau_2} \in \tau_1$ . Hence  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} \cap B \neq \emptyset$  contradicting (1). Similarly the other case can be handled.

We prove a stronger converse of the above theorem.

**THEOREM 3.3.**  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if for every pair of disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$ , where  $A \in \tau_1$  and  $B \in \tau_2$ ,  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} \cap \bar{B}^{\tau_1} = \emptyset$  holds.

**PROOF:** Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not  $\tau_1$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Then there is a  $\tau_1$  open set  $A$  such that  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} \in \tau_1$ . Then  $X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2} \in \tau_2$  and  $A \in \tau_1$  such

that  $A \cap (X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2}) = \emptyset$ . Hence by hypothesis,  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} \cap \overline{(X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2})}^{\tau_1} = \emptyset$ . Then

$\overline{(X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2})}^{\tau_1} = X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  and  $X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_1$  closed. Thus  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_1$  -open. A contradiction.

Similarly,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_2$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

From Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we have,

**COROLLARY 3.4.**  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if it is either  $\tau_1$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  or  $\tau_2$  extremally disconnected w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

**LEMMA 3.5.** If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, then for every  $\tau_1$

s.o. set  $V$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ ,  $\underline{V}_{\tau_2(\tau_1)} = \bar{V}^{\tau_2}$  and for every  $\tau_2$  s.o. set  $U$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ ,

$$\underline{U}_{\tau_1(\tau_2)} = \bar{U}^{\tau_1}.$$

PROOF: Obviously,  $\underline{V}_{\tau_2(\tau_1)} \subset \bar{V}^{\tau_2}$ .

Now, if  $x \notin \underline{V}_{\tau_2(\tau_1)}$ , then there exists a  $\tau_2$  s.o. set  $W$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ , containing  $x$  such that  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ . Then  $V^{\tau_1}$  and  $W^{\tau_2}$  are nonempty disjoint sets, respectively  $\tau_1$  open and  $\tau_2$  open. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, we have

$$\bar{V}^{\tau_2} \cap \bar{W}^{\tau_1} = \emptyset, \text{ i.e., } \bar{V}^{\tau_2} \cap \bar{W}^{\tau_1} = \emptyset. \text{ Thus } x \notin \bar{V}^{\tau_2}. \text{ Hence } \underline{V}_{\tau_2(\tau_1)} = \bar{V}^{\tau_2}.$$

Similarly the other part can be proved.

LEMMA 3.6. In a pairwise extremally disconnected space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , every  $\tau_i$  regularly open set w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  is  $\tau_i$  open and  $\tau_j$  closed, where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

PROOF: Let  $A$  be a  $\tau_1$  regularly open set in  $X$  w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , so that  $(\bar{A}^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1} = A$ .

Now,  $(X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2})$  and  $A$  are disjoint sets, respectively  $\tau_2$  open and  $\tau_1$  open.

Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, we have

$(X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1} \cap \bar{A}^{\tau_2} = \emptyset$ , by Theorem 3.2. Then  $(X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1} = X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  and  $X - \bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_1$ -closed. Hence  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_1$ -open, so that  $\bar{A}^{\tau_2} = (\bar{A}^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1} = A$  is  $\tau_1$  open and  $\tau_2$ -closed.

Similarly, we can show that every  $\tau_2$  regularly open set in  $X$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  is  $\tau_2$ -open and  $\tau_1$ -closed.

THEOREM 3.7. If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected and  $(X, \tau_1)$  is compact, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

PROOF: Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a cover of  $X$  by sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

For each  $x \in X$ , there is a  $V_{\alpha_x}$  containing  $x$ , for some  $\alpha_x \in I$ . Then there exists a  $\tau_1$  open set  $0_{\alpha_x}$  such that  $0_{\alpha_x} \subset V_{\alpha_x} \subset \bar{V}_{\alpha_x}^{\tau_2}$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\bar{0}_{\alpha_x}^{\tau_2}$  is  $\tau_1$  open for each  $x \in X$ . By compactness of  $(X, \tau_1)$  there exists a finite set of points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of  $X$  such that

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\bar{0}_{\alpha_{x_k}}^{\tau_2}\}. \text{ But } 0_{\alpha_x} \subset V_{\alpha_x}, \text{ for each } x. \text{ Hence } \bar{0}_{\alpha_x}^{\tau_2} \subset \bar{V}_{\alpha_x}^{\tau_2}.$$

$$\text{Hence } X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\bar{V}_{\alpha_{x_k}}^{\tau_2}\} \text{ and } X \text{ is } \tau_1 \text{ S-closed w.r.t. } \tau_2.$$

We have earlier observed that every  $\tau_i$  S-closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  is always  $\tau_i$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ . Now we have:

**THEOREM 3.8.** If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and pairwise extremely disconnected, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

**PROOF:** Let us consider a cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $X$  with sets that are  $\tau_1$

s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , we consider the set  $U_\alpha = (\bar{V}_\alpha^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1}$  which is  $\tau_1$

regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Then  $U_\alpha \subset U_\alpha \cup V_\alpha \subset \bar{V}_\alpha^{\tau_2} = \overline{[(V_\alpha^{\tau_2})^{\tau_1}]}^{\tau_2} = \bar{U}_\alpha^{\tau_2}$ . Since  $U_\alpha$  is  $\tau_1$  regularly open w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , by Lemma 3.6,  $U_\alpha$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed and hence,  $U_\alpha \subset U_\alpha \cup V_\alpha \subset \bar{U}_\alpha^{\tau_2} = U_\alpha$ . Thus  $U_\alpha = U_\alpha \cup V_\alpha$ . Again,  $U_\alpha$  being  $\tau_1$ -open, for each  $\alpha \in I$ , it follows that  $\{U_\alpha \cup V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is a  $\tau_1$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  being  $\tau_1$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , there exists a finite subfamily

$I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \overline{U_\alpha \cup V_\alpha}^{\tau_2}$ . Now, since  $U_\alpha \cup V_\alpha \subset \bar{V}_\alpha^{\tau_2}$ , for each  $\alpha \in I$ , we have  $\overline{U_\alpha \cup V_\alpha}^{\tau_2} \subset \bar{V}_\alpha^{\tau_2}$  for each  $\alpha$  and hence  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \{\bar{V}_\alpha^{\tau_2}\}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

#### 4. SEMI CONTINUITY, IRRESOLUTE FUNCTIONS AND S-CLOSEDNESS.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** [7] A function  $f$  from a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  into a bitopological space  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if for each  $A \in \sigma_1$ ,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Similar goes the definition of  $\tau_2 \sigma_2$  semi-continuity of  $f$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .  $f$  is called pairwise semi-continuous if  $f$  is  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2 \sigma_2$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

**LEMMA 4.2.** If a function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , then for any subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $f(A_{\tau_1(\tau_2)}) \subset \overline{f(A)}^{\sigma_1}$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $y \in f(A_{\tau_1(\tau_2)})$  and  $y \in V \in \sigma_1$ . Then there exists  $x \in A_{\tau_1(\tau_2)}$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

Hence  $f^{-1}(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow f(f^{-1}(V) \cap A) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow V \cap f(A) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow y \in \overline{f(A)}^{\sigma_1}$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** Pairwise semi-continuous surjection of a pairwise S-closed space onto a pairwise Hausdorff space is pairwise H-closed.

**PROOF:** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise semi-continuous surjection, where  $X$  is pairwise S-closed. We first show that  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $\sigma_1$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$ . Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a  $\sigma_1$  open cover of  $Y$ . Then

$\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$  is a cover of  $X$  by sets that are  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Since  $X$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $I_0$  of  $I$ , such that

$X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \overline{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)}^{\tau_2}$ . We show that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \tau_2(\tau_1) = X$ . In fact, let  $x \in X$

and  $W$  be any  $\tau_2$  s.o. set w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , containing  $x$ . Then there exists  $U \in \tau_2$  such that  $U \subset W \subset \overline{U}^{\tau_2}$  and  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  is  $\tau_2$  dense in  $X$ ,

every nonempty  $\tau_2$  open set must intersect  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  and hence

$U \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $W \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$  and hence

$x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \tau_2(\tau_1)$ . Now,

$$Y = f(X) = f \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \right] \tau_2(\tau_1)$$

$$\subset f \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \right)^{\sigma_2}$$

$$= \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \overline{V_\alpha}^{\sigma_2}.$$

(using Lemma 4.2 and the fact that  $f$  is  $\tau_2 \sigma_2$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ ). Thus by Theorem 1.5(a),  $Y$  is  $\sigma_1$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$ . Similarly,  $Y$  is  $\sigma_2$  almost compact w.r.t.  $\sigma_1$ . Since  $Y$  is pairwise Hausdorff, it finally follows by virtue of Theorem 1.5(c) that  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise H-closed.

**DEFINITION 4.4.** A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  -irresolute w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if for every  $\sigma_1$  s.o. set  $V$  w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Functions that are  $\tau_2 \sigma_2$  irresolute w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  and pairwise irresolute can be defined in the usual manner.

Clearly, every  $\tau_i \sigma_i$  irresolute function w.r.t.  $\tau_j$  is  $\tau_i \sigma_i$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  but  $i \neq j$ , but it can be shown that the converse is not true, in general. This converse is true if the function  $f$  is, in addition, pairwise open [7].

**LEMMA 4.5.** A function  $f$  from a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  to a bitopological space  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  irresolute w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  if and only if for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $f(A) \subset \overline{f(A)}_{\sigma_1(\sigma_2)}$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  -irresolute w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and

$A \subset X$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)}_{\sigma_1(\sigma_2)})$  is  $\tau_1$  s.c.l.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ . Since  $A \subset f^{-1}(f(A)) \subset f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)}_{\sigma_1(\sigma_2)})$ , we have  $A \subset f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)}_{\sigma_1(\sigma_2)})$  and hence

$f(A_{\tau_1(\tau_2)}) \subset f^{-1}(f(A_{\sigma_1(\sigma_2)}))$ , i.e.  $f(A_{\tau_1(\tau_2)}) \subset f(A_{\sigma_1(\sigma_2)})$ .

Conversely, let  $B$  be  $\sigma_1$  s.c.l.w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$  in  $Y$ . By hypothesis,  $f(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f(A_{\tau_1(\tau_2)}) \subset f(A_{\sigma_1(\sigma_2)}) \subset B$ .

Then  $f^{-1}(B) \subset f^{-1}(B)$  and hence  $f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(B)$ . This shows that

$f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.c.l.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and then  $f$  is  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  irresolute w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .

**COROLLARY 4.6.** If a function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $\tau_i \sigma_i$  irresolute

w.r.t.  $\tau_j$ , then for any subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $f(A_{\tau_i(\tau_j)}) \subset \overline{f(A)}^{\sigma_i}$ , where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

**PROOF:** For every subset  $B$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  we always have

$B_{\tau_i(\tau_j)} \subset \overline{B}^{\tau_i}$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ . Hence by Lemma 4.5, the corollary

follows.

**NOTE 4.7.** Following a similar line of proof as in Lemma 4.2, we could also prove the above corollary 4.6.

**THEOREM 4.8.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be pairwise extremally disconnected and

$f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be pairwise irresolute, where  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a bitopological space. If a subset  $G$  of  $X$  is pairwise S-closed in  $X$ , then  $f(G)$  is pairwise S-closed in  $Y$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$  be a cover of  $f(G)$  by sets that are  $\sigma_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$  in  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(A_\alpha)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  in  $X$ , for each  $\alpha \in I$  and  $\{f^{-1}(A_\alpha): \alpha \in I\}$  is a cover of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is pairwise S-closed in  $X$ , there

exist a finite number of indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $G \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{(f^{-1}(A_{\alpha_k})^{\tau_2})}$ .

By Lemma 3.5, we have  $\overline{f^{-1}(A_{\alpha_k})}^{\tau_2} = \overline{f^{-1}(A_{\alpha_k})}_{\tau_2(\tau_1)}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $f$

is  $\tau_2 \sigma_2$  irresolute w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ , we have by Lemma 4.5  $f(\overline{f^{-1}(A_{\alpha_k})}_{\tau_2(\tau_1)}) \subset \left( f(\overline{f^{-1}(A_{\alpha_k})}_{\sigma_2(\sigma_1)}) \right) \subset \overline{A_{\alpha_k}}_{\sigma_2(\sigma_1)} \subset \overline{A_{\alpha_k}}^{\sigma_2}$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Hence  $f(G) \subset f \left[ \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{f^{-1}(A_{\alpha_k})}^{\tau_2} \right] \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{A_{\alpha_k}}^{\sigma_2}$  and then  $f(G)$  is  $\sigma_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$

in  $Y$ . Similarly,  $f(G)$  is  $\sigma_2$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\sigma_1$  in  $Y$ . Hence  $f(G)$  is pairwise S-closed in  $Y$ . This completes the proof.

NOTE 4.9. If the set  $G$  of Theorem 4.8 is the whole space  $X$ , then we do not require the condition that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected. In fact, proceeding in a similar fashion as in Theorem 4.3 and using Corollary 4.6, we can have :

THEOREM 4.10. If  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise irresolute and surjective, where  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise S-closed, then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is also pairwise S-closed.

THEOREM 4.11. Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$ ,  $f: (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  is continuous and open. If  $G \subset X$  is  $\tau_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  in  $X$ , then  $f(G)$  is  $\sigma_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$  in  $Y$ .

PROOF: Let  $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$  be a cover of  $f(G)$  by sets that are  $\sigma_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$ .

For each  $\alpha$ , there is  $V_\alpha \in \sigma_1$  such that  $V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha \subset \overline{V_\alpha}^{\sigma_2}$ . Since  $f: (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  is open, we have  $f^{-1}(\overline{V_\alpha}^{\sigma_2}) \subset \overline{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)}^{\tau_2}$ . Since  $f$  is  $\tau_1 \sigma_1$  semi-continuous w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ ,  $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$  and hence there exists  $0 \in \tau_1$ , such that

$0 \subset f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \subset \overline{0}^{\tau_2} \Rightarrow 0 \subset \overline{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)}^{\tau_2} \subset \overline{0}^{\tau_2}$ . Thus  $0 \subset f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \subset f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subset f^{-1}(\overline{V_\alpha}^{\sigma_2}) \subset \overline{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)}^{\tau_2} \subset \overline{0}^{\tau_2}$ . That is,  $0 \subset f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subset \overline{0}^{\tau_2}$  and  $0 \in \tau_1$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  is  $\tau_1$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ , for each  $\alpha \in I$ , and  $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in I\}$  is a cover of  $G$ . Then there exists a finite number of indices  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that

$G \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})}^{\tau_2}$ . Since  $f: (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  is continuous,  $f\left[\overline{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})}^{\tau_2}\right] \subset \overline{U_{\alpha_i}}^{\sigma_2}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $f(G) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{U_{\alpha_i}}^{\sigma_2}$  and then  $f(G)$  is  $\sigma_1$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\sigma_2$  in  $Y$ .

COROLLARY 4.12. Pairwise S-closedness is a bitopological invariant.

PROOF: Since every pairwise continuous function is pairwise semi-continuous, the corollary follows by virtue of Theorem 4.11.

COROLLARY 4.13. Let  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha^1, \tau_\alpha^2): \alpha \in I\}$  be a family of bitopological spaces and  $(X, \tau^1, \tau^2)$  be their product space. If  $(X, \tau^1, \tau^2)$  is pairwise S-closed, then each  $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha^1, \tau_\alpha^2)$  is also pairwise S-closed.

PROOF: Since  $P_\alpha: (X, \tau^i) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha^i)$  is an open, continuous surjection, for  $i = 1, 2$  and for each  $\alpha \in I$ , the corollary becomes evident because of Theorem 4.11.

**THEOREM 4.14.** The pairwise irresolute image of a pairwise S-closed and pairwise extremely disconnected bitopological space in any pairwise Hausdorff bitopological space is pairwise closed.

**PROOF:** Let  $f$  be a pairwise irresolute function from a pairwise S-closed and pairwise extremely disconnected space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  into a pairwise Hausdorff space

$(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . Let  $y \in \overline{f(X)}^{\sigma_2}$  and  $N_1(y)$  denote the  $\sigma_1$ -open neighborhood system at  $y$  in  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . Then  $F = \{f^{-1}(V) : V \in N_1(y)\}$  is a filter-base in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\tau_2$  S-closed w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ ,  $F$  has a  $\tau_2$  S-accumulation point  $x$  w.r.t.  $\tau_1$ .

We show that  $f(F)$  has  $f(x)$  as a  $\sigma_2$  accumulation point. In fact, let  $f(x) \in V \in \sigma_2$ . Then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_2$  s.o.w.r.t.  $\tau_1$  and contains  $x$ . Now, for each  $W \in N_1(y)$ ,  $f^{-1}(W) \in F$  and hence  $f^{-1}(W) \cap \overline{f^{-1}(V)}^{\tau_1} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremely disconnected, we then must have  $[f^{-1}(W)]^{i_1} \cap [f^{-1}(V)]^{i_2} \neq \emptyset$ .

Indeed, if  $[f^{-1}(W)]^{i_1} \cap [f^{-1}(V)]^{i_2} = \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{[f^{-1}(W)]^{i_1}}^{\tau_2} \cap \overline{[f^{-1}(V)]^{i_2}}^{\tau_1} = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $\overline{f^{-1}(W)}^{\tau_2} \cap \overline{f^{-1}(V)}^{\tau_1} = \emptyset$  which is not the case.

Now,  $\emptyset \neq f[(f^{-1}(W)]^{i_1} \cap [f^{-1}(V)]^{i_2}] \subset f[f^{-1}(W) \cap f^{-1}(V)] \subset W \cap V$ . Hence  $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $f(x)$  is a  $\sigma_2$  accumulation point of  $f(F)$  in  $Y$ . But  $f(F)$  being finer than  $N_1(y)$ ,  $N_1(y)$  also  $\sigma_2$  accumulates to  $f(x)$ . Now, if  $y \neq f(x)$ , by pairwise Hausdorff property of  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , there exist  $\sigma_1$  open set  $A$  and  $\sigma_2$  open set  $B$  such that  $y \in A$ ,  $f(x) \in B$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since  $A \in N_1(y)$ ,  $f(f^{-1}(A)) \in f(F)$  and hence  $B \cap f(f^{-1}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ , because  $f(x)$  is a  $\sigma_2$  accumulation point of  $f(F)$ . In other words  $B \cap A \neq \emptyset$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $y = f(x)$  and then  $y \in f(X)$ . Consequently  $f(X)$  is  $\sigma_2$  closed in  $Y$ . Similarly  $f(X)$  is  $\sigma_1$  closed in  $Y$ . This completes the proof.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** I sincerely thank Dr. S. Ganguly, Reader, Department of Pure Mathematics, Calcutta University, for his kind help in the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] THOMPSON, T. S-closed Spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 60 (1976), 335-338.
- [2] THOMPSON, T. Semi-continuous and Irresolute Images of S-closed Spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 66 (1977), 359-362.

- [3] NOIRI, T. On S-closed Spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, T.91, 4 (1977), 189-194.
- [4] NOIRI, T. On S-closed Subspaces, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. cl. Sci. Mat. Natur. (8) 64 (1978), 157-162.
- [5] MUKHERJEE, M. N. A Note on Pairwise Semi-open Sets in a Subspace of a Bitopological Space (communicated).
- [6] MUKHERJEE, M. N. On Pairwise Almost Compactness and Pairwise H-closedness in a Bitopological Space, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, T.96, 2 (1982), 98-106.
- [7] SHANCHA, R. Problems Relating to some Basic Concepts in Bitopological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Calcutta University.
- [8] DATTA, M. C. Projective Bitopological Spaces II, Jour. of the Austr. Math. Soc. 14 (1972), 119-128.

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)