

A NOTE ON THE SPECTRAL OPERATORS OF SCALAR TYPE AND SEMIGROUPS OF BOUNDED LINEAR OPERATORS

MARAT V. MARKIN

Received 20 December 2001

It is shown that, for the spectral operators of scalar type, the well-known characterizations of the generation of C_0 - and analytic semigroups of bounded linear operators can be reformulated exclusively in terms of the spectrum of such operators, the conditions on the resolvent of the generator being automatically met and the corresponding semigroup being that of the exponentials of the operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B40, 47D03, 34G10, 47B15, 47D06.

1. Introduction. As known, the celebrated criteria of the generation of C_0 - and analytic semigroups of bounded linear operators contain conditions on the geometry of the *spectrum* of the *generator* along with rather stringent restrictions on its *resolvent* behavior [6, 7, 12, 15].

For a *normal operator* in a complex Hilbert space, the restrictions on the resolvent can be dropped, being automatically satisfied when the conditions on the spectrum of the generator are met [6, 13].

If A is such an operator and $E_A(\cdot)$ is its *spectral measure* (*resolution of the identity*), the generated semigroup consists of its exponentials in the sense of the corresponding *operational calculus* [4, 13]

$$e^{tA} = \int_{\sigma(A)} e^{t\lambda} dE_A(\lambda), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

It is the purpose of the present note to highlight the fact that the criteria acquire purely geometrical form in the more general case of a *spectral operator of scalar type* (*scalar operators*) in a complex Banach space [2, 5].

Observe for that matter that, in a Hilbert space, the *scalar operators* are the operators similar to *normal* ones [14].

2. Preliminaries. Henceforth, unless specifically stated otherwise, A is a scalar operator in a complex Banach space X with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $E_A(\cdot)$ is its spectral measure.

For such operators, there is an operational calculus for Borel measurable functions on the spectrum [2, 5].

If $F(\cdot)$ is a Borel measurable function on the spectrum of A , $\sigma(A)$, a new scalar operator

$$F(A) = \int_{\sigma(A)} F(\lambda) dE_A(\lambda) \quad (2.1)$$

is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(A)f &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(A)f, \quad f \in D(F(A)), \\ D(F(A)) &:= \left\{ f \in X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(A)f \text{ exists} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$F_n(\cdot) := F(\cdot) \chi_{\{\lambda \in \sigma(A) \mid |F(\lambda)| \leq n\}}(\cdot), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

($\chi_\alpha(\cdot)$ is the *characteristic function* of a set α), and

$$F_n(A) := \int_{\sigma(A)} F_n(\lambda) dE_A(\lambda), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

being the integrals of bounded Borel measurable functions on $\sigma(A)$, are bounded scalar operators on X defined in the same way as for normal operators (see, e.g., [4, 13]).

The properties of the spectral measure $E_A(\cdot)$ and the operational calculus, which underlie the entire argument henceforth, are exhaustively delineated in [2, 5]. We just note here that, due to its strong countable additivity, the spectral measure $E_A(\cdot)$ is bounded, that is, there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$\|E_A(\delta)\| \leq M, \quad \text{for any Borel set } \delta. \quad (2.5)$$

Observe that, in (2.5), the same notation as for the norm in X , $\|\cdot\|$, was used to designate the norm in the space of bounded linear operators on X , $\mathcal{L}(X)$. We will also adhere to this rather common economy of symbols in what follows for the norm in the dual space X^* .

On account of compactness, the terms *spectral measure* and *operational calculus* for spectral operators, repeatedly referred to, will be abbreviated to *s.m.* and *o.c.*, respectively.

3. C_0 -semigroups

PROPOSITION 3.1. *A scalar operator A in a complex Banach space X generates a C_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators if and only if, for some real ω ,*

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq \omega, \quad \lambda \in \sigma(A), \quad (3.1)$$

in which case the semigroup consists of the exponentials

$$e^{tA} = \int_{\sigma(A)} e^{t\lambda} dE_A(\lambda), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

PROOF. Condition (3.1), being a constituent of the general C_0 -semigroup generation criterion [6, 7, 15], obviously remains necessary. Thus, we are only to prove its sufficiency.

From (3.1), we immediately infer that $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega\} \subseteq \rho(A)$, where $\rho(A)$ is the *resolvent set* of A .

Furthermore, for $z > \omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|R(z, A)^n\| &= \left\| \int_{\sigma(A)} (z - \lambda)^{-n} dE_A(\lambda) \right\| \quad \text{by the properties of the o.c.} \\
 &\leq 4M \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |z - \lambda|^{-n} \quad \text{where } M \text{ is a constant from (2.5)} \\
 &= 4M [\text{dist}(z, \sigma(A))]^{-n} \quad \text{by (3.1)} \\
 &\leq \frac{4M}{(z - \omega)^n}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Whence, by the C_0 -semigroup generation criterion (see, e.g., [6]), it follows that A generates a certain C_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators $\{T(t) \mid t \geq 0\}$.

From condition (3.1), we also obtain the estimate

$$|e^{t\lambda}| = e^{t\text{Re}\lambda} \leq e^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0, \lambda \in \sigma(A), \tag{3.4}$$

which, by the properties of the o.c., implies that exponentials (3.2) form a semigroup of bounded linear operators.

To prove that $\{e^{tA} \mid t \geq 0\}$ is a C_0 -semigroup, it is enough to demonstrate its continuity at 0 in the *weak sense* [15].

For any $f \in X$ and an arbitrary $g^* \in X^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle e^{tA}f - f, g^* \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\sigma(A)} (e^{t\lambda} - 1) d\langle E_A(\lambda)f, g^* \rangle \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\sigma(A)} |e^{t\lambda} - 1| dv(f, g^*, \lambda),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

by the properties of the o.c., $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ being the *pairing* between the space X and its dual, X^* , where $v(f, g^*, \cdot)$, the *total variation* of the complex-valued Borel measure $(E_A(\cdot)f, g^*)$, is a *finite* positive Borel measure.

By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem, whose conditions as easily seen are readily met, the latter integral approaches 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, we need to prove that $T(t) = e^{tA}$, $t \geq 0$.

We first show that, for arbitrary $f \in D(A)$ and $g^* \in X^*$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle e^{tA}f, g^* \rangle = \langle Ae^{tA}f, g^* \rangle, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that, by the properties of the o.c., for all $f \in D(A)$,

$$e^{At}f \in D(A), \quad Ae^{tA}f = e^{tA}Af, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Fix a $t \geq 0$ and choose a small segment $[a, b] \subset [0, \infty)$ so that t is its left endpoint if $t = 0$ and the midpoint otherwise. For all sufficiently small increments $\Delta t \neq 0$ such

that $t + \Delta t \in [a, b]$, and arbitrary $f \in D(A)$ and $g^* \in X^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle \frac{e^{(t+\Delta t)A}f - e^{tA}f}{\Delta t} - Ae^{tA}f, g^* \right\rangle \right| \quad \text{by the properties of the o.c.} \\ &= \left| \int_{\sigma(A)} \left[\frac{e^{(t+\Delta t)\lambda} - e^{t\lambda}}{\Delta t} - \lambda e^{t\lambda} \right] d\langle E_A(\lambda)f, g^* \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\sigma(A)} \left| \frac{e^{(t+\Delta t)\lambda} - e^{t\lambda}}{\Delta t} - \lambda e^{t\lambda} \right| d\nu(f, g^*, \lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \Delta t \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem,

$$\left| \frac{(e^{(t+\Delta t)\lambda} - e^{t\lambda})}{\Delta t} - \lambda e^{t\lambda} \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \Delta t \rightarrow 0, \lambda \in \sigma(A). \quad (3.9)$$

For $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{e^{(t+\Delta t)\lambda} - e^{t\lambda}}{\Delta t} - \lambda e^{t\lambda} \right| \quad \text{by the total change theorem} \\ &\leq 2 \max_{a \leq s \leq b} |\lambda e^{s\lambda}| = 2 \max_{a \leq s \leq b} e^{s \operatorname{Re} \lambda} |\lambda| \quad \text{by (3.1)} \\ &\leq 2 \max_{a \leq s \leq b} e^{s\omega} |\lambda| \quad \text{without restricting generality, } \omega \text{ can be regarded to be positive} \\ &\leq 2e^{b\omega} |\lambda|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since $f \in D(A)$, $\int_{\sigma(A)} |\lambda| d\nu(f, g^*, \lambda) < \infty$ for any $g^* \in X^*$ [3, 5]. Thus, for any $f \in D(A)$ and $g^* \in D(A^*)$, where A^* is the adjoint of A ($D(A)$ is dense in X),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle e^{tA}f, g^* \rangle = \langle Ae^{tA}f, g^* \rangle = \langle e^{tA}f, A^*g^* \rangle, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.11)$$

that is, $e^{tA}f$ is a *weak solution* of the equation

$$y'(t) = Ay(t) \quad (3.12)$$

on $[0, \infty)$ in the sense of [1].

Since A generates the C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t) \mid t \geq 0\}$, such a solution is unique for any $f \in X$ and is the orbit $T(t)f$, $t \geq 0$ [1].

Therefore, for any $f \in D(A)$: $e^{tA}f = T(t)f$, $t \geq 0$. Whence, by the density of $D(A)$ in X , we conclude that

$$e^{tA} = T(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.13)$$

□

4. Analytic semigroups

PROPOSITION 4.1. *A scalar operator A in a complex Banach space X generates an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators if and only if, for some real ω and $0 < \theta < \pi/2$,*

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z - \omega)| \geq \pi/2 + \theta\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\arg \cdot$ is the principal value of the argument from the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$.

The semigroup is analytically continuable into the sector $\Sigma_\theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg z| < \theta\}$ by the formula

$$e^{zA} = \int_{\sigma(A)} e^{z\lambda} dE_A(\lambda), \quad z \in \Sigma_\theta. \quad (4.2)$$

PROOF. Condition (4.1) is necessary since it is a constituent of the general criterion of generation of analytic semigroup [6, 7, 15]. Thus, we need to validate its sufficiency only.

First, we infer that $\rho(A) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z - \omega)| < \pi/2 + \theta\}$.

For an arbitrary $0 < \varepsilon < \theta$ and any z such that $|\arg(z - \omega)| < \pi/2 + \theta - \varepsilon$, there are two possibilities:

- (a) $\pi/2 - \theta < |\arg(z - \omega)| \leq \pi/2 + \theta - \varepsilon$,
- (b) $|\arg(z - \omega)| \leq \pi/2 - \theta$.

In the first case,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(z, A)\| &= \left\| \int_{\sigma(A)} (z - \lambda)^{-1} dE_A(\lambda) \right\| \quad \text{by the properties of the o.c.} \\ &\leq 4M \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |z - \lambda|^{-1} \quad \text{where } M \text{ is a constant from (2.5)} \\ &= 4M [\text{dist}(z, \sigma(A))]^{-1} \leq \frac{4M}{|z - \omega| \sin \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

In the second case,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(z, A)\| &= \left\| \int_{\sigma(A)} (z - \lambda)^{-1} dE_A(\lambda) \right\| \quad \text{by the properties of the o.c.} \\ &\leq 4M \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |z - \lambda|^{-1} = 4M [\text{dist}(z, \sigma(A))]^{-1} \leq \frac{4M}{|z - \omega|}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Thus, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \theta$,

$$\|R(z, A)\| \leq \frac{4M \csc \varepsilon}{|z - \omega|} \quad \text{whenever } |\arg(z - \omega)| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta - \varepsilon. \quad (4.5)$$

Condition (4.1) and the latter estimate imply that A generates an analytic semigroup $\{T(t) \mid t \geq 0\}$ (see, e.g., [6]).

The fact that the exponentials

$$e^{zA} = \int_{\sigma(A)} e^{z\lambda} dE_A(\lambda), \quad |\arg z| < \theta, \quad (4.6)$$

are bounded linear operators with the semigroup property can be easily inferred from (4.1). And the fact that $e^{tA} = T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, can be substantiated in the same way as in the case of C_0 -semigroups above. \square

5. Some final remarks. The author intentionally did not entertain the idea of developing here similar arguments for *differentiable* C_0 -semigroups [6, 12] and, for that matter, the C_0 -semigroups with orbits belonging to the *Gevrey* or, more generally, *Carleman* classes of *ultradifferentiable* vector functions leaving that for a discussion in a more general context (for the case of the *normal operators*, see [8, 9, 10, 11]).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Ball, *Strongly continuous semigroups, weak solutions, and the variation of constants formula*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1977), no. 2, 370–373.
- [2] N. Dunford, *A survey of the theory of spectral operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1958), 217–274.
- [3] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. I. General Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 7, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [4] ———, *Linear Operators. Part II: Spectral Theory. Self Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [5] ———, *Linear Operators. Part III: Spectral Operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 7, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1971.
- [6] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 194, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [7] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1957.
- [8] M. V. Markin, *On the ultradifferentiability of weak solutions of a first-order operator-differential equation in Hilbert space*, Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukraïni (1996), no. 6, 22–26.
- [9] ———, *On the strong smoothness of weak solutions of an abstract evolution equation. I. Differentiability*, Appl. Anal. **73** (1999), no. 3–4, 573–606.
- [10] ———, *On the strong smoothness of weak solutions of an abstract evolution equation. II. Gevrey ultradifferentiability*, Appl. Anal. **78** (2001), no. 1–2, 97–137.
- [11] ———, *On the strong smoothness of weak solutions of an abstract evolution equation. III. Gevrey ultradifferentiability of orders less than one*, Appl. Anal. **78** (2001), no. 1–2, 139–152.
- [12] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [13] A. I. Plesner, *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators*, Nauka, Moscow, 1965 (Russian).
- [14] J. Wermer, *Commuting spectral measures on Hilbert space*, Pacific J. Math. **4** (1954), 355–361.
- [15] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 123, Academic Press, New York, 1965.

MARAT V. MARKIN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY,
111 CUMMINGTON STREET, BOSTON, MA 02215, USA
E-mail address: mmarkin@bu.edu

Special Issue on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales

Call for Papers

The study of dynamic equations on a time scale goes back to its founder Stefan Hilger (1988), and is a new area of still fairly theoretical exploration in mathematics. Motivating the subject is the notion that dynamic equations on time scales can build bridges between continuous and discrete mathematics; moreover, it often reveals the reasons for the discrepancies between two theories.

In recent years, the study of dynamic equations has led to several important applications, for example, in the study of insect population models, neural network, heat transfer, and epidemic models. This special issue will contain new researches and survey articles on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales. In particular, it will focus on the following topics:

- Existence, uniqueness, and multiplicity of solutions
- Comparison principles
- Variational methods
- Mathematical models
- Biological and medical applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Advances in Difference Equations manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	April 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	July 1, 2009
Publication Date	October 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Alberto Cabada, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; alberto.cabada@usc.es

Guest Editor

Victoria Otero-Espinar, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; mvictoria.otero@usc.es