

## ON THE OSCILLATION OF FIRST-ORDER NEUTRAL DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH REAL COEFFICIENTS

IBRAHIM R. AL-AMRI

Received 2 February 1999 and in revised form 18 November 1999

We prove sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of a scalar first-order neutral delay differential equation  $\dot{x}(t) - c\dot{x}(t - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x(t - \sigma_i) = 0$  for all  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $\tau, \sigma_i > 0$ , and  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C15, 34K40.

**1. Introduction.** The theory of neutral delay differential equations presents complications and the results which are true for neutral differential equations may not be true for nonneutral differential equations. Besides its theoretical interest, the study of oscillatory behaviour of solutions of neutral delay differential equations has some importance in applications. Neutral delay differential equations appear in networks containing lossless transmission lines (as in high-speed computers where the lossless transmission lines are used to interconnect switching circuits), in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar and also in population dynamics (see Gopalsamy [5], Györi and Ladas [7], Driver [4], Hale [8], Brayton and Willoughby [3], Agwo [1], and the references therein).

In fact, Zahariev and Bañov [11] seems to be the first paper dealing with oscillation of neutral equations. A systematic development of oscillation theory of neutral equations was initiated by Ladas and Sficas [10].

Ladas and Schultz [9] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of all solutions of the neutral delay differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) + c\dot{x}(t - \tau) + qx(t - \sigma) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $c, q, \tau$ , and  $\sigma$  are real numbers. It was proved that all solutions of (1.1) are oscillatory if and only if the characteristic equation

$$F(\lambda) \equiv \lambda + c\lambda e^{-\lambda\tau} + qe^{-\lambda\sigma} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

has no real roots.

Also, it was proved for the scalar first-order neutral delay differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) + c\dot{x}(t - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x(t - \sigma_i) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tau, \sigma_i \geq 0$ , and  $p_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , that all solutions are oscillatory

if and only if the characteristic equation

$$F(\lambda) \equiv \lambda + c\lambda e^{-\lambda\tau} + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i e^{-\lambda\sigma_i} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

has no real roots. This result was generalized by Arino and Györi in [2] for  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In [6], Gopalsamy and Zhang proved that, if

- (1)  $0 < c < 1$ ,
- (2)  $\tau \geq 0, \sigma > 0, p \geq 0$ ,
- (3)  $pe\sigma > 1 - c(1 + \tau p/(1 - c))$ ,

then every solution of

$$\dot{x}(t) - c\dot{x}(t - \tau) + px(t - \sigma) = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

is oscillatory.

In this paper, we extend the last result for a scalar first-order neutral delay differential equation in the form

$$\dot{x}(t) - c\dot{x}(t - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x(t - \sigma_i) = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

for all  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $\tau, \sigma_i \geq 0$ , and  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Let  $\gamma = \max\{\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n\}$  and let  $t_1 \geq t_0$ . By a solution of (1.6) on  $[t_1, \infty)$  we mean a function  $x(t) \in C([t_1 - \gamma, t_1], \mathbb{R})$  such that  $x(t) - cx(t - \tau)$  is continuously differentiable and (1.6) is satisfied for  $t \geq t_1$ .

As it is customary, a solution is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros and otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

**2. The main result.** Consider (1.6) and assume that  $p_{k_i} \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$  and  $p_{m_j} < 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$  with  $\ell + r = n$ . Let  $q_{m_j} = -p_{m_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , then (1.6) takes the form

$$\dot{x}(t) - c\dot{x}(t - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} p_{k_i} x(t - \tau_{k_i}) - \sum_{j=1}^r q_{m_j} x(t - \sigma_{m_j}) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

or simply

$$\dot{x}(t) - c\dot{x}(t - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i x(t - \tau_i) - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j x(t - \sigma_j) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $\tau, \sigma_i, p_i \geq 0$ , and  $\tau_i, q_j > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$  and all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$  with  $\ell + r = n$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** Consider the neutral delay differential equation (2.2). If

- (i)  $\ell p_i > \sum_{j=1}^r q_j$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (1 - c - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j)) \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $(e + c\tau/(1 - c)) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j) \tau_i > \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} ((1 - c) - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j))$ ,

then all solutions of (2.2) are oscillatory.

**PROOF.** The characteristic equation of the neutral delay differential equation (2.2) is

$$F(\lambda) \equiv \lambda - c\lambda e^{-\lambda\tau} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i e^{-\lambda\tau_i} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Assume that (2.2) has a nonoscillatory solution, then the characteristic equation (2.3) has a real root  $\lambda_0$ , that is,

$$F(\lambda_0) \equiv \lambda_0 - c\lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0\tau} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i e^{-\lambda_0\tau_i} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda_0\sigma_j} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

But for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , one can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left( 1 - ce^{-\lambda\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right) \\ &= \lambda - \lambda ce^{-\lambda\tau} + \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (e^{-\lambda(\tau_i - \sigma_j)} - 1) e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} \\ &= \lambda - \lambda ce^{-\lambda\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} + e^{-\lambda\tau_i} \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda \left( 1 - ce^{-\lambda\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right) \\ &= \ell \left( \lambda - \lambda ce^{-\lambda\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} \right) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} e^{-\lambda\tau_i} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.3) and (2.6), one can write

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda \left( 1 - ce^{-\lambda\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right) e^{-\lambda\tau_i} \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we have

$$F(\lambda) > \frac{1}{\ell} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda \left( 1 - c - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right) e^{-\lambda\tau_i} \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Since  $\ell p_i > \sum_{j=1}^r q_j$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (1 - c - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j)) \geq 0$ , it follows that  $F(\lambda) > 0$  and consequently  $F(\lambda)$  has no positive or zero real roots.

From (2.7), we have

$$\ell F(\lambda) = \lambda \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( 1 - ce^{-\lambda\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right) e^{-\lambda\tau_i} \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

In order that  $F(\lambda)$  has no roots for all  $\lambda < 0$ , we prove that  $F(\lambda) > 0$  for all  $\lambda < 0$  and consequently it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( 1 - ce^{-\lambda\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{-\lambda\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right) < -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right) e^{-\lambda\tau_i}. \quad (2.10)$$

Assume that  $-\lambda = \mu$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( 1 - ce^{\mu\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{\mu\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{\mu s} ds \right), \\ f_2(\mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right) \frac{e^{\mu\tau_i}}{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Since  $\ell p_i > \sum_{j=1}^r q_j$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ , then  $f_2(\mu) > e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j) \tau_i}$ . We construct a function  $f$  in between  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  such that  $f_2 - f > 0$  and  $f - f_1 > 0$ . Assume that  $f(\mu) = \ell(1 - c - c\mu\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j)$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f - f_1 &= \ell(1 - c - c\mu\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( 1 - ce^{\mu\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{\mu\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{\mu s} ds \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( 1 - ce^{\mu\tau} - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j e^{\mu\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{\mu s} ds \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left\{ c(e^{\mu\tau} - 1 - \mu\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \left[ e^{\mu\sigma_j} \int_0^{\tau_i - \sigma_j} e^{\mu s} ds - (\tau_i - \sigma_j) \right] \right\} > 0, \quad \forall \mu > 0, \\ f_2 - f &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i(\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$g_i(\mu) = \left\{ \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right\} \frac{e^{\mu\tau_i}}{\mu} - (1 - c - c\mu\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell. \quad (2.13)$$

Since  $e^{\mu\tau_i}/\mu$  has a minimum value at  $\mu = 1/\tau_i$ , then  $e^{\mu\tau_i} \geq e\tau_i$ , for all  $\mu > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(\mu)|_{\mu=1/\alpha\tau_i} &= \left\{ \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right\} \alpha\tau_i e^{i/\alpha} - \left( 1 - c - c\frac{\tau}{\alpha\tau_i} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell \\ &> \left\{ \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right\} \alpha\tau_i - (1 - c), \quad \alpha \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

For

$$\alpha > \frac{1-c}{(\ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j) \tau_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \quad (2.15)$$

we have,  $g_i(\mu) > 0$  for  $\mu \in (0, 1/\alpha\tau_i)$ . It follows that  $g_i(\mu) > 0$ , for all  $\mu \in (0, (\ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j) \tau_i / (1-c))$ . We now consider  $\mu \geq (\ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j) \tau_i / (1-c)$  and note that

$$[f_2 - f]_\mu \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right) \left( e + \frac{c\tau}{1-c} \right) \tau_i - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( (1-c) - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j) \right) > 0, \quad (2.16)$$

since,

$$\left( e + \frac{c\tau}{1-c} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \ell p_i - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j \right) \tau_i > \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( (1-c) - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j (\tau_i - \sigma_j) \right). \quad (2.17) \quad \square$$

**EXAMPLE 2.2.** Consider the neutral delay differential equation in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) - cx(t-2\pi)) + x(t-4\pi) - x(t-2\pi) + (1-c)x\left(t - \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

This equation has an oscillatory solution  $x(t) = \sin t$  but not all solutions are oscillatory since the sufficient conditions—in [Theorem 2.1](#)—are not satisfied. In fact, it has a nonoscillatory solution  $x(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ ,  $0.0608314 < \lambda < 0.0608315$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. A. Agwo, *On the oscillation of delay differential equations with real coefficients*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **22** (1999), no. 3, 573–578.
- [2] O. Arino and I. Györi, *Necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of a neutral differential system with several delays*, J. Differential Equations **81** (1989), no. 1, 98–105.
- [3] R. K. Brayton and R. A. Willoughby, *On the numerical integration of a symmetric system of difference-differential equations of neutral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **18** (1967), 182–189.
- [4] R. D. Driver, *A mixed neutral system*, Nonlinear Anal. **8** (1984), no. 2, 155–158.
- [5] K. Gopalsamy, *Stability and Oscillations in Delay Differential Equations of Population Dynamics*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [6] K. Gopalsamy and B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation and nonoscillation in first order neutral differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **151** (1990), no. 1, 42–57.
- [7] I. Györi and G. Ladas, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, New York, 1991.
- [8] J. K. Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [9] G. Ladas and S. W. Schults, *On oscillations of neutral equations with mixed arguments*, Hiroshima Math. J. **19** (1989), no. 2, 409–429.
- [10] G. Ladas and Y. G. Sficas, *Oscillations of neutral delay differential equations*, Canad. Math. Bull. **29** (1986), no. 4, 438–445.
- [11] A. I. Zahariev and D. D. Bañov, *Oscillating properties of the solutions of a class of neutral type functional-differential equations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **22** (1980), no. 3, 365–372.

IBRAHIM R. AL-AMRI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 30010, MADINAH MUNAWARAH, SAUDI ARABIA

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)