IJMMS 27:12 (2001) 737–747
PII. S0161171201005841
http://ijmms.hindawi.com

AN INTERARRIVAL HYPEREXPONENTIAL MACHINE INTERFERENCE WITH BALKING, RENEGING, STATE-DEPENDENT, SPARES, AND AN ADDITIONAL SERVER FOR LONGER QUEUES

RAGAB OMARAH AL-SEEDY and FAWZIAH M. AL-IBRAHEEM

(Received 16 March 1999 and in revised form 1 March 2000)

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to treat the analytical solution of the truncated interarrival hyperexponential machine interference queue: $H_2/M/1/m+Y/m+Y$ in case of two branches with the following concepts: balking, reneging, state-dependent, spares, and an additional server for longer queues. Our research treats the general case for the values of m and Y considering the discipline FIFO. And some special cases have been verified.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60K25.

1. Introduction. The truncated interarrival hyperexponential queue $H_2/M/1/m+y/m+y$ (in case of two branches) treated numerically by Gupta [6]. Al-Seedy [2] treated analytically in some cases of $Y=0$ and $m=1,2,3$. Also Al-Seedy [3] studied the general case of m but with $Y=0$ with the two concepts of balking and reneging. Abou-El-Ata [1] treated the analytical solution of the truncated interarrival hyperexponential machine interference queue $H_2/M/C/m/m$ with both balking and reneging concepts only.

In this paper, we treat the analytical solution of the truncated interarrival hyperexponential machine interference queue $H_2/M/1/m+Y/m+Y$ with the concepts of balking, reneging, state-dependent, spares, and an additional server for longer queues. The discipline considered is FIFO.

2. Analyzing the problem. Consider the two-channels truncated interarrival hyperexponential queue having two branches with rates $\alpha\lambda_1$ and $(1-\alpha)\lambda_2$, and the service time is an exponential with service rate μ.

Assume the balking concept with probability

$$\beta = \text{Prob}\{\text{a unit joins the queue}\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$0 \le \beta < 1 \quad \text{if } n = 1(1)\overline{m+Y}, \qquad \beta = 1 \quad \text{if } n = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Also, the reneging concept in case of n units with probability is

$$r(n) = \text{Prob}\{\text{a unit leaves the queue}\} = (n-1)\delta, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$r(n) = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0,1, \qquad 0 < r(n) \le 1 \quad \text{for } n = 2(1)\overline{m+Y}, \tag{2.4}$$

and δ is the rate of time t, having the probability density function $f(t) = \delta e^{-\delta t}$.

The interarrival rates λ_n in case of machine with spares are

$$\lambda_n = \begin{cases} m\lambda, & n = 0, \\ m\beta\lambda, & 1 \le n \le Y, \\ (m-n+y)\beta\lambda, & Y < n < Y+m, \\ 0, & n \ge Y+m. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

But the service time rates in case of the concepts of state-dependent and an additional server for longer queues are

$$\mu_n = \begin{cases} \mu_1, & 0 \le n \le k_1, \\ \mu_2, & k_1 \le n \le k_2, \\ \mu_2 + \mu_3 = \mu, & k_2 \le n \le m+Y. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Define the probabilities:

$P_{n,j}$ = Prob$\{n$ units in the system and j the arrival branch occupied by the next arrival unit$\}$ where $n \ge 0$ and $j = 1,2$.

The steady-state difference equations of the queue $H_2/M/1/m+Y/m+Y$ considering all the concepts of balking, reneging, state-dependent, spares, and an additional server for longer queues, are

- for $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} m\lambda_1 P_{0,1} &= \mu_1 P_{1,1}, \\ m\lambda_2 P_{0,2} &= \mu_1 P_{1,2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

- for $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [m\beta\lambda_1 + \mu_1]P_{1,1} &= \alpha m\lambda_1 P_{0,1} + \alpha m\lambda_2 P_{0,2} + (\mu_1 + \delta)P_{2,1}, \\ [m\beta\lambda_2 + \mu_1]P_{1,2} &= (1-\alpha)m\lambda_1 P_{0,1} + (1-\alpha)m\lambda_2 P_{0,2} + (\mu_1 + \delta)P_{2,2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

- for $2 \le n \le Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} &[m\beta\lambda_1 + \mu_1 + (n-1)\delta]P_{n,1} \\ &\qquad = \alpha m\beta\lambda_1 P_{n-1,1} + \alpha m\beta\lambda_2 P_{n-1,2} + (\mu_1 + n\delta)P_{n+1,1}, \\ &[m\beta\lambda_2 + \mu_1 + (n-1)\delta]P_{n,2} \\ &\qquad = (1-\alpha)m\beta\lambda_1 P_{n-1,1} + (1+\alpha)m\beta\lambda_2 P_{n-1,2} + (\mu_1 + n\delta)P_{n+1,2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

- for $Y < n < k_1 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(m-n+Y)\beta\lambda_1+\mu_1+(n-1)\delta]P_{n,1}\\
&\quad=\alpha(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1P_{n-1,1}+\alpha(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2P_{n-1,2}\\
&\qquad+(\mu_1+n\delta)P_{n+1,1},\\
&[(m-n+Y)\beta\lambda_2+\mu_1+(n-1)\delta]P_{n,2}\\
&\quad=(1-\alpha)(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1P_{n-1,1}+(1-\alpha)(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2P_{n-1,2}\\
&\qquad+(\mu_1+n\delta)P_{n+1,2},
\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

- for $n = k_1 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(m-k_1+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1+\mu_1+(k_1-2)\delta]P_{K_1-1,1}\\
&\quad=\alpha(m-k_1+Y+2)\beta\lambda_1P_{k_1-2,1}+\alpha(m-k_1+Y+2)\beta\lambda_2P_{k_1-2,2}\\
&\qquad+[\mu_2+(k_1-1)\delta]P_{k_1,1},\\
&[(m-k_1+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2+\mu_1+(k_1-2)\delta]P_{k_1-1,2}\\
&\quad=(1-\alpha)(m-k_1+Y+2)\beta\lambda_1P_{k_1-2,1}+(1-\alpha)(m-k_1+Y+2)\beta\lambda_2P_{k_1-2,2}\\
&\qquad+[\mu_2+(k_1-1)\delta]P_{k_1,2},
\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

- for $k_1 \le n < k_2 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(m-n+Y)\beta\lambda_1+\mu_2+(n-1)\delta]P_{n,1}\\
&\quad=\alpha(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1P_{n-1,1}+\alpha(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2P_{n-1,2}\\
&\qquad+(\mu_2+n\delta)P_{n+1,1},\\
&[(m-n+Y)\beta\lambda_2+\mu_2+(n-1)\delta]P_{n,2}\\
&\quad=(1-\alpha)(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1P_{n-1,1}\\
&\qquad+(1-\alpha)(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2P_{n-1,2}+(\mu_2+n\delta)P_{n+1,2},
\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

- for $n = k_2 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(m-k_2+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1+\mu_2+(k_2-2)\delta]P_{K_2-1,1}\\
&\quad=\alpha(m-k_2+Y+2)\beta\lambda_1P_{k_2-2,1}+\alpha(m-k_2+Y+2)\beta\lambda_2P_{k_2-2,2}\\
&\qquad+(\mu+(k_2-1)\delta)P_{k_2,1},\\
&[(m-k_2+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2+\mu_2+(k_2-2)\delta]P_{k_2-1,2}\\
&\quad=(1-\alpha)(m-k_2+Y+2)\beta\lambda_1P_{k_2-2,1}+(1-\alpha)(m-k_2+Y+2)\beta\lambda_2P_{k_2-2,2}\\
&\qquad+(\mu+(k_2-1)\delta)P_{k_2,2},
\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

- for $k_2 \le n < m+Y$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(m-n+Y)\beta\lambda_1+\mu+(n-1)\delta]P_{n1}\\
&\qquad=\alpha(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1P_{n-1,1}+\alpha(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2P_{n-1,2}\\
&\qquad\quad+(\mu+n\delta)P_{n+1,1},\\
&[(m-n+Y)\beta\lambda_2+\mu+(n-1)\delta]P_{n2}\\
&\qquad=(1-\alpha)(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_1P_{n-1,1}\\
&\qquad\quad+(1-\alpha)(m-n+Y+1)\beta\lambda_2P_{n-1,2}+(\mu+n\delta)P_{n+1,2},
\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

- for $n=m+Y$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mu+(m+Y-1)\delta]P_{m+Y,1}=\alpha\beta\lambda_1P_{m+Y-1,1}+\alpha\beta\lambda_2P_{m+Y-1,2},\\
&[\mu+(m+Y-1)\delta]P_{m+Y,2}=(1-\alpha)\beta\lambda_1P_{m+Y-1,1}+(1-\alpha)\beta\lambda_2P_{m+Y-1,2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

Write

$$\rho_i=\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1},\quad \rho_i^*=\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_2},\quad \bar{\rho}_i=\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu},\quad \gamma=\frac{\delta}{\mu_1},\quad \gamma^*=\frac{\delta}{\mu_2},\quad \bar{\gamma}=\frac{\delta}{\mu},\quad i=1,2.\tag{2.16}$$

And add every two equations in each step to simplify the required solution. We find

$$m\rho_1P_{0,1}=P_{1,1},\quad n=0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(mB\rho_1+1)P_{1,1}+(mB\rho_2+1)P_{1,2}\\
&\qquad=(1+\gamma)P_{2,2}+m\rho_1P_{0,1}+m\rho_2P_{0,2}+(\gamma+1)P_{2,1},\quad n=1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(mB\rho_1+1+(n-1)\gamma)]P_{n,1}+[(mB\rho_2+1+(n-1)\gamma)]P_{n,2}\\
&\qquad=mB\rho_1P_{n-1,1}+(1+n\gamma)P_{n+1,1}+mB\rho_2P_{n-1,2+(1+n\gamma)P_{n+1,2}},\quad 2\le n\le Y,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(m-n+Y)B\rho_1+1+(n-1)\gamma]P_{n,1}+[(m-n+Y)B\rho_2+1+(n-1)\gamma]P_{n,2}\\
&\qquad=(m-n+Y+1)B\rho_1P_{n-1,1}+(m-n+Y+1)B\rho_2P_{n-1,2}\\
&\qquad\quad+(1+n\gamma)P_{n+1,1}+(1+n\gamma)P_{n+1,2},\quad Y<n<k_1-1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[(m-k_1+1+Y)B\rho_1^*+\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}+(k_1-2)\gamma^*\right]P_{k_1-1,1}\\
&\qquad+\left[(m-k_1+1+Y)B\rho_2^*+\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}+(k_1-2)\gamma^*\right]P_{k_1-1,2}\\
&\qquad=(m-k_1+2+Y)B\rho_2^*P_{k_1-2,2}+(1+(k_1-1)\gamma^*)P_{k_1,1}\\
&\qquad\quad+(1+(k_1-1)\gamma^*)P_{k_1,2}+(m-k_1+2+Y)B\rho_1^*P_{k_1-2,1},\quad n=k_1-1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(m-n+Y)B\rho_1^*+1+(n-1)\delta^*]P_{n,1}+[(m-n+Y)B\rho_2^*+1+(n-1)\gamma^*]P_{n,2}\\
&\quad=(m-n+Y+1)B\rho_1^*P_{n-1,1}+(1+n\gamma^*)P_{n+1,2}\\
&\qquad+(m-n+Y+1)B\rho_2^*P_{n-1,2}+(1+n\gamma^*)P_{n+1,1},\quad k_1\le n<k_2-1,\\
&\Big[(m-k_2+1+Y)B\bar\rho_1+\frac{\mu_2}{\mu}+(k_2-2)\bar\gamma\Big]P_{k_2-1,1}\\
&\qquad+\Big[(m-k_2+1+Y)B\bar\rho_2+\frac{\mu_2}{\mu}+(k_2-2)\bar\gamma\Big]P_{k_2-1,2}\\
&\quad=(m-k_2+2+Y)B\bar\rho_1P_{k_1-2,1}+(m-k_2+2+Y)B\bar\rho_2P_{k_2-2,2}\\
&\qquad+(1+(k_2-1)\bar\gamma)P_{k_2,1}+(1+(k_2-1)\bar\gamma)P_{k_2,2},\quad n=k_2-1,\\
&[(m-n+Y)B\bar\rho_1+1+(n-1)\bar\gamma]P_{n,1}+[(m-n+Y)B\bar\rho_2+1+(n-1)\bar\gamma]P_{n,2}\\
&\quad=(m-n+Y+1)B\bar\rho_1P_{n-1,1}+(m-n+Y+1)B\bar\rho_2P_{n-1,2}\\
&\qquad+(1+n\bar\gamma)P_{n+1,1}+(1+n\bar\gamma)P_{n+1,2},\quad k_2\le n<m+Y,\\
&[1+(m+\gamma-1)\bar\gamma]P_{m+Y,1}+[1+(m+Y-1)\bar\gamma]P_{m+Y,2}\\
&\quad=B\bar\rho_1P_{m+Y-1,1}+B\bar\rho_2P_{m+Y-1,2},\quad n=Y+m.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.17}
$$

These are $(m+Y+1)$ equations in the unknowns $P_{n,j}$. To solve them for $P_{n,j}$, we need the formula which gives the sum of the probabilities at every branch. We introduce the formula in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For the truncated hyperexponential machine interference queue $H_2/M/1/m+Y/m+Y$ with the concepts of balking, reneging, state-dependent, spares, and an additional server for longer queues, there is*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{m+Y}P_{n,1}&=\frac{1}{mB\{\alpha\lambda_2+(1-\alpha)\lambda_1\}}\\
&\quad\times\Bigg[\alpha m\lambda_2\{\beta+(1-\beta)P_{0,2}\}+\alpha\beta\lambda_2Y\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}P_{n,2}\\
&\qquad-m\lambda_1(1-\beta)(1-\alpha)P_{0,1}-\alpha\beta\lambda_2\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}nP_{n,2}\\
&\qquad+\beta\lambda_1(1-\alpha)\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}nP_{n,1}-\beta\lambda_1Y(1-\alpha)\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}P_{n,1}\Bigg]=\eta,\\
&\qquad\sum_{n=0}^{m+Y}P_{n,2}=1-\eta.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.18}
$$

Proof. Adding either the nine first relations or the nine second relations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &m\beta\lambda_1(1-\alpha)\sum_{n=0}^{m+Y}P_{n,1}-\alpha m\beta\lambda_2\sum_{n=0}^{m+Y}P_{n,2} \\ &\quad=\alpha m\lambda_2(1-\beta)P_{0,2}-m\lambda_1(1-\beta)(1-\alpha)P_{0,1}+\alpha\beta\lambda_2 Y\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}P_{n,2}-\alpha\beta\lambda_2\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}nP_{n,2} \\ &\qquad+\beta\lambda_1(1-\alpha)\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}nP_{n,1}-\beta\lambda_1 Y(1-\alpha)\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}P_{n,1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

But

$$\sum_{n=Y}^{m+Y}(P_{n,1}+P_{n,2})=1. \tag{2.20}$$

Multiply (2.20) by $\alpha m\lambda_2 B$ then add to (2.19), we obtain relation (2.18), that is, the concepts of reneging, state-dependent, and an additional server for longer queues are not affecting the results of the lemma. □

Now to solve the set of equations (2.17), first we need to solve $P_{n,1}$ (the first branch probabilities) in terms of $P_{n,2}$ (the second branch probabilities) by the following method:

We arrange the coefficients of equations (2.17) in a square matrix of order $(m+Y+1)$ after replacing (2.18) instead of the last equation (2.17). By doing elementary row-operations. We get the following solution's formula:

From the last row $i=1$, we get

$$P_{m+Y,1}=\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}P_{m+Y,2}=A,\quad n=m+Y, \tag{2.21}$$

where $i=m+Y+1-n$.

At $i=2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &B\bar{\rho}_1P_{m+Y-1,1}-[1+(m+Y-1)\bar{y}]P_{m+Y,1}=[1+(m+Y-1)\bar{y}]P_{m+Y,2}-B\bar{\rho}_1P_{m+Y-1,2}, \\ &B\bar{\rho}_1P_{m+Y-1,1}-[1+(m+Y-1)\bar{y}]A+[1+(m+Y-1)\bar{y}]P_{m+Y,2}-B\bar{\rho}_1P_{m+Y-1,2}=B, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

$$P_{m+Y-1,1}=\frac{B}{\beta\bar{\rho}_1},\quad n=m+Y-1. \tag{2.23}$$

At $i=3$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m+Y-2,1}=\frac{1}{2\beta\rho_1}\Bigg[&\{1+(m+Y-2)\bar{y}\}P_{m+Y-1,2} \\ &-2\beta\bar{\rho}_2P_{m+Y-2,2}+\frac{1+(m+Y-2)\bar{y}}{\beta\bar{\rho}_1}B\Bigg],\quad n=m+Y-2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

And so on.

Then we can find the following formula for the solutions to the rows $4 \le i \le m + Y - (k_2 - 2)$, that is, $k_2 - 1 \le n \le m + Y - 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n,1} = {} & \frac{1}{(m+Y-n)B\bar{\rho}_1} \\ & \times \Bigg[B \frac{(1+n\bar{y})_{(m+Y-n-1)\bar{y}}}{(m+Y-n-1)!(B\bar{\rho}_1)^{(m+Y-n-1)}} \\ & \quad - B\bar{\rho}_2 \sum_{r=1}^{m+Y-n-1} \frac{(r+1)(1+n\gamma)_{(m+Y-n-r-1)\bar{y}}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(m+Y-n-r-1)}(B\bar{\rho}_1)^{(m+Y-n-r-1)}} P_{(m+Y-r-1,2)} \\ & \quad + \sum_{r=1}^{m+Y-n-1} \frac{(1+n\gamma)_{(m+Y-n-r-1)\bar{y}}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(m+Y-n-r-1)}(B\bar{\rho}_1)^{(m+Y-n-r-1)}} P_{(m+Y-r,2)} \Bigg], \\ & \qquad k_2 - 1 \le n \le m + Y - 3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

In particular for $n = k_2 - 1$, we find the value of $P_{k_2-1,1}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (m - k_2 + Y + 1)B\bar{\rho}_1 P_{k_2-1,1} \\ & \quad = T_1 = B \frac{(1+(k_2-1)\bar{y})_{(m+Y-k_2)\bar{y}}}{(m+Y-k_2)!(B\bar{\rho}_1)^{(m+Y-k_2)}} \\ & \qquad - B\bar{\rho}_2 \sum_{r=1}^{m+Y-k_2} \frac{(r+1)(1+(k_2-1)\bar{y})_{(m+Y-k_2-r)\bar{y}}}{[m+Y-k_2]_{(m+Y-k_2-r)}(B\bar{\rho}_1)^{(m+Y-k_2-r)}} P_{(m+Y-r-1,2)} \\ & \qquad + \sum_{r=1}^{m+Y-k_2} \frac{(1+(k_2-1)\bar{y})_{(m+Y-k_2-r+1)\bar{y}}}{[m+Y-k_2]_{(m+Y-k_2-r)}(B\bar{\rho}_1)^{(m+Y-k_2-r)}} P_{(m+Y-r,2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Now from row $i = m + Y - (k_2 - 3)$, the value of $P_{k_2-2,1}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k_2-2,1}(m-k_1+Y+2)B\rho_1^* = {} & \{1+(k_2-2)\gamma^*\}P_{k_2-1,2} - (m-k_2+Y+2)B\rho_2^* P_{k_2-2,2} \\ & + T_1 \frac{1+(k_2-2)\gamma^*}{(m-k_2+Y+1)B\rho_1} = D. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Then

$$P_{k_2-2,1} = \frac{D}{(m-k_1+Y+2)B\rho_1^*}. \tag{2.28}$$

From the row $i = m + Y - (k_2 - 4)$, the value of $P_{k_2-3,1}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k_2-3,1} = {} & \frac{1}{(m-k_2+Y+3)B\rho_1^*} \Bigg[\{1+(k_2-3)\gamma^*\}P_{k_2-2,2} - (m-k_2+Y+3)B\rho_2^* P_{k_2-3,2} \\ & + \frac{1+(k_2-3)\gamma^*}{(m-k_2+Y+2)B\rho_1^*} D \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

then we can obtain the formula for the solutions to the rows $m+Y-(k_2-5) \le i \le m+Y-(k_1-2)$, that is, $k_1-1 \le n \le k_2-4$ as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,1} = {} & \frac{1}{(m+Y-n)B\rho_1^*} \\ & \times \Bigg[D \frac{(1+n\gamma^*)_{(k_1-n-2)\gamma^*}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(k_2-n-2)}(B\rho_1^*)^{(k_2-n-2)}} \\ & \quad - B\rho_2^* \sum_{r-1}^{k_2-n-2} \frac{(m-k_2+Y+r+2)(1+n\gamma^*)_{(k_2-n-r-2)\gamma^*}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(k_2-n-r-2)}(B\rho_1)^{(k_2-n-r-2)}} P_{k_2-r-2,2} \\ & \quad + \sum_{r-1}^{k_2-n-2} \frac{(1+n\gamma^*)_{(k_1-n-r-1)\gamma^*}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(k_2-n-r-2)}(B\rho_1^*)^{(k_2-n-r-2)}} P_{k_2-r-1,2} \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

In particular, for $n = k_1 - 1$, we find the value of $P_{k_2-1,1}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (m-k_1+Y+1)B\rho_1^* P_{k_1-1,1} \\ & \quad = D \frac{(1+(k_1-1)\gamma^*)(k_2-k_1-1)\gamma^*}{[m+Y-k_1]_{(k_2-k_1-1)}(B\rho_1^*)^{(k_2-k_1-1)}} \\ & \qquad - B\rho_2^* \sum_{r=1}^{k_2-k_1-1} \frac{(m-k_2+Y+r+2)(1+(k_1-1)\gamma^*)_{(k_2-k_1-r-1)\gamma^*}}{[m+Y-k_1]_{(k_2-k_1-r-1)}(B\rho_1^*)^{(k_2-k_1-r-1)}} P_{k_2-r-2,2} \\ & \qquad + \sum_{r=1}^{k_2-k_1-1} \frac{(1+(k_1-1)\gamma^*)_{(k_2-k_1-r)\gamma^*}}{[m+Y-k_1]_{(k_2-k_1-r-1)}(B\rho_1^*)^{(k_2+k_1-r-1)}} P_{k_2-r-1,2} = T_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Now from row $i = m+Y-(k_1-3)$, the value of $P_{k_1-2,1}$ is

$$P_{k_1-2,1} = \frac{D_1}{(m-k_1+Y+2)B\rho_1}, \tag{2.32}$$

where

$$D_1 = \{1+(k_1-2)\gamma\}P_{k_1-1,2} - (m-k_1+Y+2)B\rho_2 P_{k_1-2,2} + T_2 \frac{1+(k_1-2)\gamma}{(m-k_1+Y+1)B\rho_1^*}. \tag{2.33}$$

From row $i = m+Y-(k_1-4)$, the value of $P_{k_1-3,1}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k_1-3,1} = {} & \frac{1}{(m-k_1+Y+3)B\rho_1} \Bigg[\{1+(k_1-3)\gamma\}P_{k_1-2,2} - (m-k_1+Y+3)B\rho_2 P_{k_1-3,2} \\ & \qquad + \frac{1+(k_1-3)\gamma}{(m-k_1+Y+2)B\rho_1} D_1 \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Then we can obtain the formula for the solutions for the rows $m+Y-(k_1-5) \le i \le m$, that is, $Y+1 \le n \le k_1-4$ as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,1} = \frac{1}{B\rho_1}\Bigg[& D_1 \frac{(1+n\gamma)_{(k_1-n-2)\gamma}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(k_1-n-2)}(\beta\rho_1)^{(k_1-n-2)}} \\ & - B\rho_2 \sum_{r-1}^{k_1-n-2} \frac{(m-k_1+Y+r+2)(1+n\gamma)_{(k_1-n-r-2)\gamma}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(k_1-n-r-2)}(B\rho_1)^{(k_1-n-r-2)}} P_{k_1-r-2,2} \\ & + \sum_{r-1}^{k_1-n-2} \frac{(1+n\gamma)_{(k_1-n-r-1)\gamma}}{[m+Y-n-1]_{(k_1-n-r-2)}(B\rho_1)^{(k_1-n-r-2)}} P_{k_1-r-1,2} \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

In particular for $n = Y+1$, we find the value of $P_{Y+1,1}$

$$\begin{aligned} (m-1)B\rho_1 P_{Y+1,1} = D_1 & \frac{(1+(Y+1)\gamma)_{(k_1-Y-3)\gamma}}{[m-2]_{(k_1-Y-3)}(B\rho_1)^{(k_1-Y-3)}} \\ & - B\rho_2 \sum_{r=1}^{k_1-Y-3} \frac{(m-k_1+Y+r+2)(1+(Y+1)\gamma)_{(k_1-Y_1-r-3)\gamma}}{[m-2]_{(k_1-Y_1-r-3)}(B\rho_1)^{(k_1-Y-r-3)}} P_{k_1-r-2,2} \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^{k_1-Y-3} \frac{(1+(Y+1)\gamma)_{(k_1-Y-r-2)\gamma}}{[m-2]_{(k_1-Y-r-3)}(B\rho_1)^{(k_1-Y-r-3)}} P_{k_1-r-1,2} = T_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Now from row $i = m+1$, the value of $P_{Y,1}$ is

$$P_{Y,1} = \frac{D_2}{mB\rho_1}, \tag{2.37}$$

where

$$D_2 = P_{Y,1} mB\rho_1 = (1+Y\gamma)P_{Y+1,2} - mB\rho_2 P_{Y,2} + \frac{(1+Y\gamma)}{(m-1)B\rho_1} T_3. \tag{2.38}$$

From row $i = m+2$, the value of $P_{Y-1,1}$ is

$$P_{Y-1,1} = \frac{1}{mB\rho_1}\left[\{1+(Y-1)\gamma\} P_{Y,2} - mB\rho_2 P_{Y-1,2} + \frac{\{1+(Y-1)\gamma\}}{mB\rho_1} D_2 \right]. \tag{2.39}$$

Then we can obtain the formula for the solutions at the rows $m+3 \le i \le m+Y$, that is, $1 \le n \le Y-2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,1} = \frac{1}{mB\rho_1}\Bigg[& D_2 \frac{(1+n\gamma)(Y-n)\gamma}{(m\beta\rho_1)^{(Y-n)}} - mBP_2 \sum_{r-1}^{Y-n} \frac{(1+n\gamma)(Y-n-r)\gamma}{(mB\rho_1)^{(Y-n-r)}} PY-r,2 \\ & + \sum_{r-1}^{Y-n} \frac{(1+n\gamma)(Y-n-r)\gamma}{(mB\rho_1)^{(Y-n-r)}} PY-r+1,2 \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

In particular for $n = 1$, we find the value of $P_{1,1}$.

At the first row $i = m+Y+1$ we find the value of $P_{0,1}$ as

$$P_{0,1} = \frac{1}{m\rho_1} P_{1,1}, \tag{2.41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [L]_\alpha &= L\cdot(L-1)\cdot(L-2)\cdots(L-\alpha-1), \\ (L)_\alpha &= L\cdot(L+1)\cdot(L+2)\cdots(L+\alpha+1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

Thus we succeed to deduce the first branch probabilities $P_{n,1}$ in terms of the second branch probabilities $P_{n,2}$.

Substituting relations from (2.21), (2.23), (2.24), (2.25), (2.28), (2.29), (2.30), (2.32), (2.34), (2.35), (2.37), (2.39), (2.40), and (2.41) in the second relations of (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) and by using the relation of (2.18), the second branch probabilities $P_{n,2}$ can be obtained in an explicit form. And so the first branch probabilities $P_{n,1}$ can be obtained in an explicit form too.

3. Measures of effectiveness. The expected number of units in the system and in the queue are

$$L = \sum_{n=0}^{m+Y} n(P_{n,1}+P_{n,2}), \qquad L_q = \sum_{n=1}^{m+Y} (n-1)(P_{n,1}+P_{n,2}). \tag{3.1}$$

Also, the probability that there are no units in the system is

$$P_0 = P_{0,1}+P_{0,2}. \tag{3.2}$$

4. Particular cases

Case 4.1 (the queue $H2/M/1/2/2(\beta,\delta)$)**.** Let $Y=0$ and $k_1=k_2=m$, (i.e., $\mu_1=\mu_2=\mu$ and $\mu_3=0$) in the above relations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{0,1} &= \frac{\rho_2\{1+\gamma-a\beta\rho_2(1-\alpha)\}}{\rho_1(ab+d)}, \qquad P_{0,2} = \frac{a\beta\rho_2(1-\alpha)}{ab+d}, \\ P_{1,1} &= \frac{2\rho_2\{1+\gamma-a\beta\rho_2(1-\alpha)\}}{ab+d}, \qquad P_{1,2} = \frac{2a\beta\rho_1\rho_2(1-\alpha)}{ab+d}, \\ P_{2,1} &= \frac{2\alpha\beta\rho_1\rho_2}{ab+d}, \qquad P_{2,2} = \frac{2\beta\rho_1\rho_2(1-\alpha)}{ab+d}, \\ P_0 &= \frac{1}{\rho_1(ab+d)}\{\rho_2(1+\gamma)+a\beta(1-\alpha)(\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2)\}, \\ L &= \frac{2\rho_2}{ab+d}\{1+\gamma+a\beta(1-\alpha)(\rho_1-\rho_2)+2\beta\rho_1\}, \qquad L_q = \frac{2\beta\rho_1\rho_2}{ab+d}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{(1+\gamma)(1+\beta\rho_1)}{\beta\{\beta\rho_1\rho_2+\alpha\rho_1+(1-\alpha)\rho_2\}}, \\ b &= \beta(2\rho_2+1)\{\alpha\rho_2+(1-\alpha)\rho_1\}-\beta\rho_2^2\{4\alpha(1-\beta)+3\beta-2\}, \\ d &= 2\beta\rho_1\rho_2\{1-2\alpha(1-\beta)\}-\rho_2(1+\gamma)(2-3\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Also, the machine availability is

$$\text{M.A.} = \frac{m-L}{m} = 1 - \frac{\rho_2}{ab+d}\left[1+\gamma+a\beta(1-\alpha)(\rho_1-\rho_2)+2\beta\rho_1\right]. \tag{4.3}$$

And the operative efficiency is

$$\text{O.E.} = 1-\rho_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{\rho_1(ab+d)}\left\{\rho_2(1+\gamma)+a\beta(1-\alpha)(\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2)\right\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Case 4.2 (the queue $M/M/1/m+\gamma/m+\gamma$)**.** Let

$$\begin{gathered}\beta = 1, \qquad \delta = 0, \quad (\text{i.e., } \gamma = 0), \qquad \alpha = \frac{1}{2}\left(\text{i.e., } \rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right), \\ k_1 = k_2 = m \quad (\text{i.e., } \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu,\ \mu_3 = 0).\end{gathered} \tag{4.5}$$

We obtain the results of Harris [5] when $c = 1$.

Case 4.3 (the queue $M/M/1/2/2$)**.** Let

$$\begin{gathered}\beta = 1, \qquad \delta = 0 \quad (\text{i.e., } \gamma = 0), \qquad Y = 0, \\ \alpha = \frac{1}{2}\left(\text{i.e., } \rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right), \qquad k_1 = k_2 = m = 2.\end{gathered} \tag{4.6}$$

We get

$$\begin{gathered}P_0 = (2\rho^2+2\rho+1)^{-1}, \qquad L = 2\rho(2\rho+1)(2\rho^2+2\rho+1)^{-1}, \\ L_q = 2\rho^2(2\rho^2+2\rho+1)^{-1}.\end{gathered} \tag{4.7}$$

These results agree with that obtained by Harris [5] and Al-Seedy [4].

References

[1] M. O. Abou-El-Ata, *An interarrival hyperexponential machine interference with balking and reneging*, Microelectron. Reliab. **32** (1992), no. 9, 1251–1256.

[2] R. O. Al-Seedy, *The truncated queues and their measures of effectiveness*, Master's thesis, Tanta University, 1984.

[3] ———, *Theory of queues: the general solutions of truncated non-Markovian queues*, Ph.D. thesis, Tanta University, 1988.

[4] ———, *The service Erlangian machine interference with balking*, Microelectron. Reliab. **32** (1992), no. 5, 705–710.

[5] D. Gross and C. M. Harris, *Fundamentals of Queueing Theory*, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974. MR 51#7044. Zbl 312.60046.

[6] S. K. Gupta, *Queues with hyper-Poisson input and exponential service time distribution with state dependent arrival and service rates*, Oper. Res. **15** (1967), 847–856. Zbl 178.20803.

Ragab Omarah Al-Seedy: Department of Mathematics, Teacher's College in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

Fawziah M. Al-Ibraheem: Department of Mathematics, Science College for Girls in Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Advances in Difference Equations

Special Issue on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales

Call for Papers

The study of dynamic equations on a time scale goes back to its founder Stefan Hilger (1988), and is a new area of still fairly theoretical exploration in mathematics. Motivating the subject is the notion that dynamic equations on time scales can build bridges between continuous and discrete mathematics; moreover, it often revels the reasons for the discrepancies between two theories.

In recent years, the study of dynamic equations has led to several important applications, for example, in the study of insect population models, neural network, heat transfer, and epidemic models. This special issue will contain new researches and survey articles on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales. In particular, it will focus on the following topics:

- Existence, uniqueness, and multiplicity of solutions
- Comparison principles
- Variational methods
- Mathematical models
- Biological and medical applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/guidelines.html. Authors should follow the Advances in Difference Equations manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	April 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	July 1, 2009
Publication Date	October 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Alberto Cabada, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; alberto.cabada@usc.es

Guest Editor

Victoria Otero-Espinar, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; mvictoria.otero@usc.es