SOME DISCRETE POINCARÉ-TYPE INEQUALITIES

WING-SUM CHEUNG

ABSTRACT. Some discrete analogue of Poincaré-type integral inequalities involving many functions of many independent variables are established. These in turn can serve as generators of further interesting discrete inequalities.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 39A10, 39A12, 39B72.

1. Introduction. It is well known that differential and integral equations appear in virtually every area of analysis, and among all tools available for the study of quantitative as well as qualitative properties of their solutions, integral inequalities are essential and in fact indispensable. Analogously, as discrete phenomena prevail in nature, difference equations are of fundamental importance in finite element analysis and the discrete analogues of integral inequalities naturally serve the subject as a handy effective tool (cf. [1]).

One of the most inspiring integral inequalities is the Poincaré's inequality. It says that for any bounded region Ω in $\mathbb{R}^2$ or $\mathbb{R}^3$ and any continuously differentiable real-valued function f on Ω which vanishes on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω, one has

$$\lambda_0 \int_\Omega f^2 dx \le \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2 dx, \tag{1.1}$$

where λ_0 is the smallest eigenvalue of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \triangle f + \lambda f = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ f = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega . \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Exhibiting an effective estimate of the average of f^2 on Ω by that of $|\nabla f|^2$ on Ω, Poincaré inequality is one of the few most important multi-dimensional integral inequalities. Because of its fundamental importance, a vast stock of investigations and generalizations of it has been established in these years. Such generalizations and improvements of the inequality are in general known as Poincaré-type integral inequalities. A brief account of such inequalities can be found in, say, Beckenbach-Bellman [2], Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya [8], Milovanović-Mitrinović-Rassias [12], Mitrinović [13], and Nirenberg [14]. More recent results include those in Horgan et al. [9, 10, 11], Pachpatte [15, 16], Rassias [17, 18], Cheung [3, 4, 6], and Cheung-Rassias [7]. It is the purpose of this paper to establish some new discrete analogues of Poincaré-type inequalities which improve and generalize some existing results in [5]. The importance of the results here does not confine to their neatness and intrinsic beauty, but also lies on the fact that they can be used in turn to serve as generators of other interesting discrete inequalities.

2. Notations and Preliminaries. In this paper, $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ will denote two fixed integers. For consistency we will use exclusively the Greek alphabet $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ as indices from 1 to m and the English letters $i, j, k, \ldots$ as indices from 1 to n. Let $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [0, b_i] \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $b_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for each i, be a fixed rectangular lattice of integral points. As customary, a general point in Ω will be denoted as $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$. $\mathscr{F}(\Omega)$ will denote the space of all real-valued functions on Ω and $\mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$ the subspace of $\mathscr{F}(\Omega)$ consisting of all those functions in $\mathscr{F}(\Omega)$ which vanish on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω. For the sake of convenience, we shall extend the domain of definition of each function in $\mathscr{F}(\Omega)$, hence also those in $\mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$, trivially to the entire $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and think of $\mathscr{F}(\Omega)$ as the collection of real-valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with support in Ω, and $\mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$ as those with support in $\Omega \setminus \partial\Omega$. Furthermore, for the sake of simplicity, since the indices i, j, k will always be running from 1 to n and α, β, γ from 1 to m, summations and products over i, j, k and α, β, γ will be abbreviated as $\sum_{\alpha}, \prod_i$, and so forth, unless possible confusion may arise.

For any $f \in \mathscr{F}(\Omega)$, define

$$f_j : \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}$$

by

$$f_j(t) := \triangle_j f(t) = f(t_1, \ldots, t_j, \ldots, t_n) - f(t_1, \ldots, t_j - 1, \ldots, t_n). \tag{2.2}$$

Observe that if $f \in \mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$, $f_j \in \mathscr{F}(\Omega)$ for all j. However, in general $f_j \notin \mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$.

As usual, we define the gradient of f as

$$\nabla f := (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \tag{2.3}$$

and its norm as

$$|\nabla f| := \left(\sum_j |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.4}$$

For any $p > 0$ and $f \in \mathscr{F}(\Omega)$, the ℓ_p-norm of f is defined as

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\sum_{t \in \Omega} |f(t)|^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{2.5}$$

If $f \in \mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$, $f_j \in \mathscr{F}(\Omega)$ for all j and in this case the ℓ_p-norm of ∇f is defined as

$$\|\nabla f\|_p := \left(\sum_{t \in \Omega} |\nabla f(t)|^p \right)^{1/p} = \left[\sum_{t \in \Omega} \left(\sum_j |f_j|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right]^{1/p}. \tag{2.6}$$

3. Discrete Poincaré-type inequalities. Let $B = \max\{b_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

THEOREM 3.1. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$, any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$, $q_\alpha \geq 0$ with $\sum_\alpha q_\alpha / p_\alpha = 1$, and any $C_\alpha > 0$,*

$$\left\| \prod_\alpha (f^\alpha)^{q_\alpha} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{BC_\alpha}{2} \right)^{p_\alpha} \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $C := \prod_\beta C_\beta^{-q_\beta}$.

Theorem 3.1 generalizes and improves some existing results of discrete Poincaré-type inequalities in the literature [5]. For example, the following consequences are easily derivable from Theorem 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.2. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$, $q_\alpha \geq 0$ with $\sum_\alpha q_\alpha / p_\alpha = 1$,*

$$\left\| \prod_\alpha (f^\alpha)^{q_\alpha} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^{p_\alpha} \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}. \tag{3.2}$$

PROOF. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 by letting $C_\alpha = 1$ for all α. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.3. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$, $q_\alpha > 0$ with $\sum_\alpha q_\alpha / p_\alpha = 1$,*

$$\left\| \prod_\alpha (f^\alpha)^{q_\alpha} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$C := \prod_\alpha \left[\left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^{q_\alpha} \left(\frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \right)^{q_\alpha / p_\alpha} \right]. \tag{3.4}$$

PROOF. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 by letting

$$C_\alpha = \frac{2}{B} \left(\frac{p_\alpha}{q_\alpha} \right)^{1/p_\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha. \tag{3.5}$$
$\square$

COROLLARY 3.4 [5]. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$ with $\sum_\alpha 1/p_\alpha = 1$,*

$$\left\| \prod_\alpha f^\alpha \right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^{p_\alpha} \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}. \tag{3.6}$$

PROOF. It is immediate from Corollary 3.2 by putting $q_\alpha = 1$ for all α. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.5 [5]. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $q_\alpha \geq 0$ with $q := \sum_\alpha q_\alpha \geq 2$,*

$$\left\| \prod_\alpha (f^\alpha)^{q_\alpha} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^q \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{q} \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_q^q. \tag{3.7}$$

PROOF. It is immediate from Corollary 3.2 by putting $p_\alpha = q$ for all α. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.6 [5]. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$,*

$$\left\| \prod_\alpha (f^\alpha) \right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{nm} \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^m \sum_\alpha \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_m^m. \tag{3.8}$$

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 3.4 by setting $p_\alpha = m$ for all α or from Corollary 3.5 by setting $q_\alpha = 1$ for all α. $\square$

To establish Theorem 3.1, we need the following basic lemmas.

LEMMA 3.7 [8, 13]. *For any $p_\alpha, q_\alpha, c_\alpha > 0$ with $\sum q_\alpha / p_\alpha = 1$,*

$$\prod_\alpha c_\alpha^{q_\alpha} \leq \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} c_\alpha^{p_\alpha}, \tag{3.9}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $c_1 = \cdots = c_m$.

LEMMA 3.8 [8, 13]. *For any $r_i \geq 0$ and $s > 0$,*

$$\left(\sum_i r_i\right)^s \leq c(s,n)\sum_i r_i^s, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$c(s,n) = \begin{cases} n^{s-1} & \text{if } s > 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 are fundamental inequalities easily derivable from the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. For their proofs, one is referred to, for example, [8, 13].

LEMMA 3.9. *For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any $t \in \Omega$,*

$$|f(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}\sum_i \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} |f_i(t_1,\ldots,t_{i-1},u_i,t_{i+1},\ldots,t_n)|. \tag{3.12}$$

PROOF. Since $f = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, for each $i = 1,\ldots,n$, we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{u_i=1}^{t_i} f_i(t_1,\ldots,t_{i-1},u_i,t_{i+1},\ldots,t_n),$$

$$f(t) = -\sum_{u_i=t_i+1}^{b_i} f_i(t_1,\ldots,t_{i-1},u_i,t_{i+1},\ldots,t_n). \tag{3.13}$$

Taking absolute value of each of these equations and adding them up with respect to i, we have

$$2n|f(t)| \leq \sum_i \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} |f_i(t_1,\ldots,t_{i-1},u_i,t_{i+1},\ldots,t_n)|, \tag{3.14}$$

hence the lemma is proved. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. By Lemmas 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_\alpha |f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha} &= \left(\prod_\beta C_\beta^{-q_\beta}\right)\prod_\alpha |C_\alpha f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha} \\
&\leq C\sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha}C_\alpha^{p_\alpha}|f^\alpha(t)|^{p_\alpha} \\
&\leq C\sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha}C_\alpha^{p_\alpha}\left[\frac{1}{2n}\sum_i\sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i}|f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|\right]^{p_\alpha} \\
&\leq C\sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha}C_\alpha^{p_\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)^{p_\alpha}c(p_\alpha,n)\cdot\sum_i\left(\sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i}|f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|\right)^{p_\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for all $t \in \Omega$. Since $p_\alpha \geq 2$, we have $c(p_\alpha,n) = n^{p_\alpha-1}$ and so

$$\prod_\alpha |f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha} \leq \frac{C}{n}\sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha}\left(\frac{C_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha}\sum_i\left(\sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i}|f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|\right)^{p_\alpha}. \tag{3.16}$$

By Hölder's inequality, this gives

$$\prod_\alpha |f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{C_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} \cdot \sum_i \left[\left(\sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} 1\right)^{(p_\alpha-1)/p_\alpha} \cdot \left(\sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha}\right)^{1/p_\alpha}\right]^{p_\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{C_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} \sum_i \left[b_i^{p_\alpha-1} \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha}\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{C_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} B^{p_\alpha-1} \sum_i \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha}. \tag{3.17}$$

Now by a change of the dummy variables, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_i \sum_{t\in\Omega} \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha} = \sum_i \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} \sum_{t\in\Omega} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} \sum_{t\in\Omega} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha} \tag{3.18}$$

$$= \sum_i b_i \sum_{t\in\Omega} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha}$$

$$\leq B \sum_i \sum_{t\in\Omega} |f_i^\alpha(t)|^{p_\alpha},$$

thus we have

$$\sum_{t\in\Omega} \prod_\alpha |f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha} \leq \sum_{t\in\Omega} \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{C_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} B^{p_\alpha-1} \sum_i \sum_{u_i=1}^{b_i} |f_i^\alpha(t_1,\ldots,u_i,\ldots,t_n)|^{p_\alpha}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{C_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} B^{p_\alpha} \sum_i \sum_{t\in\Omega} |f_i^\alpha(t)|^{p_\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{BC_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} \sum_{t\in\Omega} \left[\left(\sum_i |f_i^\alpha(t)|^{p_\alpha}\right)^{2/p_\alpha}\right]^{p_\alpha/2} \tag{3.19}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{BC_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} \sum_{t\in\Omega} \left[c\left(\frac{2}{p_\alpha},n\right) \sum_i (|f_i^\alpha(t)|^{p_\alpha})^{2/p_\alpha}\right]^{p_\alpha/2}$$

by Lemma 3.8. Since $p_\alpha \geq 2$, $c(2/p_\alpha,n) = 1$ and so

$$\sum_{t\in\Omega} \prod_\alpha |f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha} \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{BC_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} \sum_{t\in\Omega} \left(\sum_i |f_i^\alpha(t)|^2\right)^{p_\alpha/2}$$

$$= \frac{C}{n} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \left(\frac{BC_\alpha}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} ||\nabla f^\alpha||_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}. \qquad \square \tag{3.20}$$

Note that from the preceding results, discrete Poincaré-type inequalities involving only one function (the case $m = 1$) can be easily obtained. For instance, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.10 [5]. *For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real number $q \geq 2$,*

$$\|f^q\|_1 = \|f\|_q^q \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{B}{2}\right)^q \|\nabla f\|_q^q. \tag{3.21}$$

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 3.5 by letting $f^\alpha = f$ for all α. □

4. Applications

THEOREM 4.1. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$, any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$, $q_\alpha \geq 0$ with $\sum_\alpha q_\alpha/q_\alpha = 1$, and any $C_\alpha > 0$,*

$$\left\|\sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |f^\alpha|^{q_\alpha}\right) |\nabla f^\beta|^{q_\beta}\right\|_1 \leq C K(p,q) \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} C_\alpha^{p_\alpha} \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$C := \prod_\beta C_\beta^{-q_\beta} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$K(p,q) = K(p_\alpha, q_\alpha) := \sum_\beta \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-q_\beta/p_\beta} \left(\frac{B}{2}\right)^{\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_\alpha}. \tag{4.3}$$

PROOF. By a generalization of Hölder's inequality for the case of many functions and by Corollary 3.10, we have

$$\sum_{t \in \Omega} \left[\sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha}\right) |\nabla f^\beta(t)|^{q_\beta}\right]$$

$$= \sum_\beta \sum_{t \in \Omega} \left[C\left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |C_\alpha f^\alpha(t)|^{q_\alpha}\right) |C_\beta \nabla f^\beta(t)|^{q_\beta}\right]$$

$$\leq C \sum_\beta \left\{\left[\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} \left(\sum_{t \in \Omega} |C_\alpha f^\alpha(t)|^{p_\alpha}\right)^{q_\alpha/p_\alpha}\right]\left(\sum_{t \in \Omega} |C_\beta \nabla f^\beta(t)|^{p_\beta}\right)^{q_\beta/p_\beta}\right\} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\leq C \sum_\beta \left\{\left[\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} \left(\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{B}{2}\right)^{p_\alpha} \|C_\alpha \nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}\right)^{q_\alpha/p_\alpha}\right]\left(\|C_\beta \nabla f^\beta\|_{p_\beta}^{p_\beta}\right)^{q_\beta/p_\beta}\right\}$$

$$= C \sum_\beta \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_\alpha/p_\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{2}\right)^{\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_\alpha} \prod_\alpha \|C_\alpha \nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{q_\alpha}$$

$$= C K(p,q) \prod_\alpha \|C_\alpha \nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{q_\alpha},$$

thus by Lemma 3.7, we conclude that

$$\left\|\sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |f^\alpha|^{q_\alpha}\right) |\nabla f^\beta|^{q_\beta}\right\|_1 \leq C K(p,q) \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \|C_\alpha \nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}$$

$$= C K(p,q) \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} C_\alpha^{p_\alpha} \|\nabla f\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}. \quad \square \tag{4.5}$$

COROLLARY 4.2. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$, $q_\alpha \geq 0$ with $\sum_\alpha q_\alpha / p_\alpha = 1$,*

$$\left\| \sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |f^\alpha|^{q_\alpha} \right) | \nabla f^\beta|^{q_\beta} \right\|_1 \leq K(p,q) \sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}, \tag{4.6}$$

where $K(p,q) = K(p_\alpha, q_\alpha)$ is as defined in Theorem 4.1.

PROOF. It is immediate from Theorem 4.1 by letting $C_\alpha = 1$ for all α. ☐

COROLLARY 4.3. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$, $q_\alpha > 0$ with $\sum q_\alpha / p_\alpha = 1$,*

$$\left\| \sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |f^\alpha|^{q_\alpha} \right) | \nabla f^\beta|^{q_\beta} \right\|_1 \leq C K(p,q) \sum_\alpha \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $K(p,q) = K(p_\alpha, q_\alpha)$ is as defined in Theorem 4.1, and

$$C := \prod_\beta \left(\frac{q_\beta}{p_\beta} \right)^{q_\beta / p_\beta}. \tag{4.8}$$

PROOF. It is immediate from Theorem 4.1 by putting

$$C_\alpha = \left(\frac{p_\alpha}{q_\alpha} \right)^{1/p_\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha. \tag{4.9}$$

☐

COROLLARY 4.4 [5]. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $p_\alpha \geq 2$ with $\sum_\alpha 1/p_\alpha = 1$,*

$$\left\| \sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |f^\alpha|^{q_\alpha} \right) | \nabla f^\beta|^{q_\beta} \right\|_1 \leq K(p) \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{p_\alpha} \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_{p_\alpha}^{p_\alpha}, \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$K(p) = K(p_\alpha) := \sum_\beta \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{1 - 1/p_\beta} \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^{m-1}. \tag{4.11}$$

PROOF. It follows immediately from Corollary 4.2 by setting $q_\alpha = 1$ for all α. ☐

COROLLARY 4.5. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $q_\alpha \geq 0$ with $q := \sum q_\alpha \geq 2$,*

$$\left\| \sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} |f^\alpha|^{q_\alpha} \right) | \nabla f^\beta|^{q_\beta} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{K(q)}{q} \sum_\alpha q_\alpha \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_q^q, \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$K(q) = K(q_\alpha) := \sum_\beta \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{1 - q_\beta / q} \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^{q - q_\beta}. \tag{4.13}$$

PROOF. It follows immediately from Corollary 4.2 by setting $p_\alpha = q \geq 2$ for all α. ☐

COROLLARY 4.6 [5]. *For any $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$,*

$$\left\| \sum_\beta \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} f^\alpha \right) |\nabla f^\beta| \right\|_1 \leq \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{1-1/m} \sum_\alpha \|\nabla f^\alpha\|_m^m. \tag{4.14}$$

PROOF. It is immediate from Corollary 4.4 by letting $p_\alpha = m$ for all α. $\qquad\square$

Again the above results also give discrete inequalities for the case of one dependent function for free. For instance, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.7. *For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ and any real numbers $q_\alpha \geq 0$ with $q := \sum_\alpha q_\alpha \geq 2$,*

$$\left\| \sum_\beta |f|^{q-q_\beta} |\nabla f|^{q_\beta} \right\|_1 \leq K(q) \|\nabla f\|_q^q, \tag{4.15}$$

where $K(q) = K(q_\alpha)$ is defined as in Corollary 4.5. In particular,

$$\| |f|^{m-1} |\nabla f| \|_1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{1-1/m} \left(\frac{B}{2} \right)^{m-1} \|\nabla f\|_m^m. \tag{4.16}$$

PROOF. These follow from Corollary 4.5 by letting $f^\alpha = f$ for all α and subsequently $q_\alpha = 1$ for all α. $\qquad\square$

REMARK 4.8. Further interesting discrete type inequalities can be easily generated from the results in the preceding sections. For instance, by taking $m = 3$ in Corollary 4.6, we have

$$\| fg|\nabla h| + gh|\nabla f| + hf|\nabla g| \|_1 \leq \frac{B^2}{4n^{2/3}} \left[\|\nabla f\|_3^3 + \|\nabla g\|_3^3 + \|\nabla h\|_3^3 \right]; \tag{4.17}$$

taking $m = 2$ in Corollary 4.6, we have

$$\| f|\nabla g| + g|\nabla f| \|_1 \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}n} \left[\|\nabla f\|_2^2 + \|\nabla g\|_2^2 \right], \tag{4.18}$$

and by putting $f = g = h$ in these inequalities (or using Corollary 4.7 directly), we obtain

$$\| f^2|\nabla f| \|_1 \leq \frac{B^2}{4n^{2/3}} \|\nabla f\|_3^3 \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\| f|\nabla f| \|_1 \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}n} \|\nabla f\|_2^2. \tag{4.20}$$

On the other hand, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\| f|\nabla g| \|_1 \leq \|f\|_2 \|\nabla g\|_2, \tag{4.21}$$

and so by Corollary 3.10,

$$\| f|\nabla g| \|_1 \leq \frac{B}{2\sqrt{n}} \|\nabla f\|_2 \|\nabla g\|_2. \tag{4.22}$$

Similarly, other interesting discrete type inequalities involving the gradient of $\mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$ functions can be easily obtained. Inequalities of such form are in general of great interest and are important in the study of properties of solutions of difference equations. The importance of our result here also lie in that by choosing different combinations of the parameters $m, n, p_\alpha, q_\alpha, C_\alpha$, etc., one can obtain as many as we wish new discrete type inequalities involving the gradient of $\mathscr{F}_0(\Omega)$ functions. Furthermore, the techniques used here are rather algorithmic and easy to apply. It is expected that discrete inequalities of other types like the Wirtinger type and Sobolev type could also be established by similar techniques.

REFERENCES

[1]   R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Theory, Methods, and Applications. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 155, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992. MR 92m:39002. Zbl 925.39001.

[2]   E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Second revised printing. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Neue Folge, vol. 30, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965. MR 33#236. Zbl 126.28002.

[3]   W.-S. Cheung, *Some new Poincaré-type inequalities*, preprint.

[4]   _____, *On Poincaré type integral inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), no. 3, 857–863. MR 93m:26035. Zbl 790.26014.

[5]   _____, *Discrete Poincaré-type inequalities*, Tamkang J. Math. **29** (1998), no. 2, 145–153. MR 99j:39003.

[6]   _____, *Some Multi-dimensional Integral Inequalities*, Nonlinear Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Hadronic Press, Florida, 1998. Zbl 949.26004.

[7]   W.-S. Cheung and T. M. Rassias, *On multi-dimensional integral inequalities and applications*, to appear in Analytic and Geometric Inequalities and their Applications.

[8]   G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., at the University Press, Cambridge, 1952. MR 13,727e. Zbl 047.05302.

[9]   C. O. Horgan, *Integral bounds for solutions of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **28** (1977), no. 2, 197–204. MR 56#863. Zbl 349.35069.

[10] C. O. Horgan and R. R. Nachlinger, *On the domain of attraction for steady states in heat conduction*, Internat. J. Engrg. Sci. **14** (1976), no. 2, 143–148. MR 56#7508. Zbl 316.35054.

[11] C. O. Horgan and L. T. Wheeler, *Spatial decay estimates for the Navier-Stokes equations with application to the problem of entry flow*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **35** (1978), no. 1, 97–116. MR 58#19708. Zbl 389.76037.

[12] G. V. Milovanović, D. S. Mitrinović, and T. M. Rassias, *Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros*, World Scientific Publishing Co., New Jersey, 1994. MR 95m:30009. Zbl 848.26001.

[13] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, in cooperation with P. M. Vasić. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wisenschaften, vol. 165, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970. MR 43#448. Zbl 199.38101.

[14] L. Nirenberg, *On elliptic partial differential equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **13** (1959), 115–162. MR 22#823. Zbl 088.07601.

[15] B. G. Pachpatte, *On Poincaré-type integral inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **114** (1986), no. 1, 111–115. MR 87d:26018. Zbl 599.26028.

[16] _____, *On some new integral inequalities in several independent variables*, Chinese J. Math. **14** (1986), no. 2, 69–79. MR 87i:26023. Zbl 605.26013.

[17] T. M. Rassias, *Un contre-exemple à l'inégalité de Poincaré*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **284** (1977), no. 7, A409–A412. MR 55#13483. Zbl 343.31005.

[18]   ———, *On certain properties of eigenvalues and the Poincaré inequality*, Global Analysis–
         Analysis on Manifolds, Teubner-Texte Math., vol. 57, Teubner, Leipzig, 1983,
         pp. 282–300. MR 85c:53013. Zbl 515.53004.

WING-SUM CHEUNG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM
ROAD, HONG KONG
   E-mail address: wscheung@hkucc.hku.hk

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www .hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http:// mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk