

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF OUTER MEASURES ASSOCIATED WITH LATTICE MEASURES

PAO-SHENG HSU

(Received 23 April 1999)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\nu$  be a finite countably subadditive outer measure defined on all subsets of a set  $X$ , take a collection  $\mathbb{C}$  of subsets of  $X$  containing  $X$  and  $\emptyset$ , we derive an outer measure  $\rho$  using  $\nu$  on sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ . By applying this general framework on two special cases in which  $\nu = \mu''$ , one where  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  and the other where  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$  being lattices on a set  $X$ , we obtain new characterizations of the outer measure  $\mu''$ . These yield useful relationships between various set functions including  $\mu_i$ ,  $\mu_j$ ,  $\mu''$ , and  $\mu'$ .

**Keywords and phrases.** Finite countably subadditive outer measures, condition M, lattice measures.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28A12, 28C15.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we establish a general framework for the study of outer measures associated with a lattice measure, in particular, of two previously studied outer measures:  $\mu'$  which is finitely subadditive and  $\mu''$  which is countably subadditive. Our terminology is consistent with standard usage in [1, 2, 4]; a summary of notations and terminology as well as a brief background is given in Section 2.

Let  $\nu$  be a finite countably subadditive outer measure defined on all subsets of a set  $X$ , take a collection  $\mathbb{C}$  of subsets of  $X$  containing  $X$  and  $\emptyset$ , we derive an outer measure  $\rho$  using  $\nu$  on sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We examine two special cases: one in which  $\mathbb{C}$  is derived from sets related to a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $X$ ; another in which there are two lattices  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$  on  $X$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is derived from  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . In the former, we take  $\nu = \mu''$ , where  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ; in the latter  $\nu = \mu''$ , where  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ . We obtain new characterizations of  $\mu''$ . This is developed in Section 3, which ends with three immediate consequences of this new framework: a shorter proof of an old result on  $\mu' = \mu''$  under the condition that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice; letting  $\mathbb{C}$  be derived from  $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$  in the second case yields  $\mu'' = (\mu'')^0$ , where the latter is an outer measure previously studied [2, 4] and the regularity of  $\mu''$  for  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ .

In Section 4, we define the notion of condition M on  $\mu''$  and examine the case of a single lattice to obtain useful inequalities (Theorem 4.7, Corollaries 4.8 and 4.9) to relate various set functions  $\mu_i$ ,  $\mu_j$ ,  $\mu''$ , and  $\mu'$ . We introduce three “regularity”-type conditions on  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  and study the relationship between them as well as their effect on the equalities of some of the set functions. The inequalities obtained are used to provide alternate proofs on results on equalities of  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  on either  $\mathcal{L}$  or  $\mathcal{L}'$ . Under the condition of normality of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we also prove how one of these conditions results in the equality of  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

In Section 5, we examine the case of a pair of lattices on  $X$  and introduce six conditions relating to outer measures  $\mu''$  and  $\nu''$ , where  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $\nu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ , an extension of  $\mu$ . The relationships between these conditions are studied. Parallel to Section 4, sets of inequalities relating to various set functions (Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.5) are obtained and used to show how the conditions introduced in this section result in the equalities of some of the set functions on  $\mathcal{L}'_2$  and how they relate to the conditions introduced in Section 4 on  $\mu''$  on  $\mathcal{L}_1$ .

**2. Notations and background.** On a nonempty set  $X$ , a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $X$  contains  $X$  and  $\emptyset$ , the algebra generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  is denoted by  $A(\mathcal{L})$  and the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  is denoted by  $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

**LATTICE PROPERTIES.**  $\mathcal{L}$  is *normal* if and only if for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , there exist  $C$  and  $D$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$A \subseteq C', \quad B \subseteq D', \quad \text{and} \quad C' \cap D' = \emptyset, \quad (2.1)$$

$\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice if and only if it is closed under countable intersections.

$\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  are lattices on  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ .  $\mathcal{L}_1$  *coseparates*  $\mathcal{L}_2$  if for  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ ,  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ , there exist  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{L}_1$  such that  $B_1 \subseteq A'_1$ ,  $B_2 \subseteq A'_2$ , and  $A'_1 \cap A'_2 = \emptyset$ .

We consider the set  $M(\mathcal{L})$  of all non-trivial, non-negative, finitely additive bounded measures on  $A(\mathcal{L})$ .

**MEASURE PROPERTIES.**  $M_R(\mathcal{L})$  is the set of all  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular measures on  $A(\mathcal{L})$  :  $\mu \in M_R(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if for any  $A \in A(\mathcal{L})$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \sup \{ \mu(L) \mid L \subseteq A, L \in \mathcal{L} \}. \quad (2.2)$$

$M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  is the set of all  $\sigma$ -smooth measures on  $\mathcal{L}$  :  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if for any sequence,

$$\{L_n\}, \quad L_n \in \mathcal{L}, \quad L_n \downarrow \emptyset, \quad \text{we have} \quad \lim_n \mu(L_n) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

$M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  is the set of all  $\sigma$ -smooth measures on  $A(\mathcal{L})$  :  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if for

$$\{A_n \in A(\mathcal{L}) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad A_n \downarrow \emptyset, \quad \lim_n \mu(A_n) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if  $\mu$  is countably additive and can be extended uniquely to  $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ , and that an  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular measure which is  $\sigma$ -smooth on  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\sigma$ -smooth on  $A(\mathcal{L})$ .  $M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  is the set of all  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular measures which are  $\sigma$ -smooth on  $A(\mathcal{L})$ .  $M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$  is the set of all measures *strongly*  $\sigma$ -smooth on  $\mathcal{L}$  :  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if for any

$$\{L_n \in \mathcal{L}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad L_n \downarrow, \quad \bigcap_n L_n \in \mathcal{L} \quad \text{then} \quad \mu\left(\bigcap_n L_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(L_n). \quad (2.5)$$

Note that if  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu$  is countably subadditive on  $\mathcal{L}'$ , i.e., for  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty L'_n \in \mathcal{L}'$ ,  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty L'_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(L'_n)$ .

If, in addition, a measure is 0-1 valued, we say that it belongs to  $I(\mathcal{L})$ , replacing  $M$  by  $I$  in each of the terms above.

For  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(\mathcal{L})$ , we say that  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if  $\mu_1(L) \leq \mu_2(L)$  for any  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Note that in the case that  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$ , we have:

- (i)  $\mu_1 \in M_R(\mathcal{L})$  implies that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu_2 \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  implies that  $\mu_1 \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

**ASSOCIATED OUTER MEASURES.** We summarize some known results (cf. [1]) on two outer measures  $\mu''$  and  $\mu'$ .

For  $E \subseteq X$ ,  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu'(E) = \inf\{\mu(L') \mid E \subset L', L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ , and  $\mu''(E) = \inf\{\sum_i \mu(L'_i) \mid E \subset \bigcup_i L'_i, L_i \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . To avoid a trivial  $\mu''$  in the case of 0-1 valued measures, we usually assume  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  when  $\mu''$  is considered. The set of all  $\mu'' - [\mu' -]$  measurable sets is denoted by  $S_{\mu''}$  [ $S_{\mu'}$ ]: for  $E \subseteq X$ ,  $E \in S_{\mu''}$  if and only if  $\mu''(A) = \mu''(A \cap E) + \mu''(A \cap E')$  for all  $A \subseteq X$ . For a finitely subadditive *outer measure*  $\nu$ ,  $\nu$  is *regular* if for any  $A \subseteq X$ , there exists  $E \in S_\nu$  such that  $A \subseteq E$  and  $\nu(A) = \nu(E)$ . If  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  [ $I_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ], then  $\mu'[\mu'']$  is regular. We have the following results.

(1)  $\mu'(\emptyset) = 0$ ;  $\mu'$  is monotone and is a finitely subadditive outer measure.  $S_{\mu'}$  is an algebra and  $\mu'|_{S_{\mu'}}$  is finitely additive measure.

(2)  $\mu''$  is a countably additive outer measure.  $S_{\mu''}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mu''|_{S_{\mu''}}$  is countably additive.

(3) In general, we have the following relationships:

$$\mu \leq \mu'(\mathcal{L}), \quad \mu = \mu'(\mathcal{L}'), \quad \mu'' \leq \mu(\mathcal{L}'), \quad \mu'' \leq \mu'. \quad (2.6)$$

(4)  $\mu \in M_R(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if  $\mu = \mu'(\mathcal{L})$ .

(5) If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ , then

(a)  $\mu''(X) = \mu(X)$ ;

(b)  $\mu \leq \mu''(\mathcal{L})$  [1].

(6) If  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu'' = \mu(\mathcal{L}') [4]$ .

(7) If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu''$  is regular and  $\mu'' = \mu(\mathcal{L}')$ , then  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L}) [4]$ .

(8) If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu''$  is regular and  $\mu'' = \mu(\mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L}) [1]$ .

Using these outer measures, we have two “regularity”-type of conditions on the measure  $\mu : M_w(\mathcal{L})$  is the set of all *weakly regular measures* on  $A(\mathcal{L}) : \mu \in M_w(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if for any  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

$$\mu(L') = \sup\{\mu'(\tilde{L}) \mid \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\}. \quad (2.7)$$

$M_v(\mathcal{L})$  is the set of all *vaguely regular measures* on  $A(\mathcal{L}) : \mu \in M_v(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if for any  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

$$\mu(L') = \sup\{\mu''(\tilde{L}) \mid \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\}. \quad (2.8)$$

In general,  $M_R(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq M_w(\mathcal{L})$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is normal, then  $M_R(\mathcal{L}) = M_w(\mathcal{L})$  (cf. [1]).

**3. Framework.** We consider a finite countably subadditive outer measure  $\nu$  defined on all subsets of a set  $X$ . Initially,  $\mathbb{C}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  containing  $\emptyset$  and  $X$ . In addition, let  $\mathbb{C}$  be closed under countable union. We define a set function  $\rho$

using  $\nu$  : for  $E \subset X$ ,

$$\rho(E) = \inf \{ \nu(C), E \subset C \in \mathbb{C} \}. \quad (3.1)$$

We show that  $\rho$  is an outer measure with  $\nu \leq \rho$ : the definition yields easily that  $\rho$  has value zero at the null set, it is monotone and  $\nu \leq \rho$ . To see that it is countably subadditive, suppose  $E_i \subset X$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $C_i \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\nu(C_i) < \rho(E_i) + \epsilon/2^i$  and  $E_i \subset C_i$ . So  $\sum_1^\infty \nu(C_i) < \sum_1^\infty \rho(E_i) + \epsilon$  and  $\bigcup_i E_i \subset \bigcup_i C_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\nu$  is countably subadditive and  $\rho$  is defined as a lower bound of all  $\nu(C)$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\rho\left(\bigcup_i E_i\right) \leq \nu\left(\bigcup_i C_i\right) \leq \sum_1^\infty \nu(C_i) < \sum_1^\infty \rho(E_i) + \epsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

By applying this general setting to two special cases, we gain two new perspectives for our applications: one with one lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ ; another with two lattices  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  on  $X$ , with  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the collection of all countable unions of sets from  $\mathcal{L}'$  and  $\nu = \mu''$ , where  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\rho = \mu''$  and  $\mu''(E) = \inf \{ \mu''(\bigcup_1^\infty L'_i), E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i, L_i \in \mathcal{L} \}$ .*

**PROOF.** (a) In general,  $\mu'' = \nu \leq \rho$ .

(b) Suppose that there exists  $E \subset X$  such that  $\mu''(E) < \rho(E)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{L_i\} \subset \mathcal{L}$  such that  $E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i = C \in \mathbb{C}$  and

$$\sum_1^\infty \mu(L'_i) < \rho(E) \leq \mu''\left(\bigcup_1^\infty L'_i\right) \left(E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i\right) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu''(L'_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu(L'_i), \quad (3.3)$$

a contradiction. This gives a new characterization of  $\mu''$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *With  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ , let  $\mathbb{C}$  to be all countable unions of sets from  $\mathcal{L}'_2$  and  $\nu = \mu''$ , where  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L}_1)$ . Then  $\rho = \mu''$  and*

$$\mu''(E) = \inf \left\{ \mu''\left(\bigcup_1^\infty L'_{2i}\right), E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_{2i}, L_{2i} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

**PROOF.** As before, if  $E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i = C \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\{L'_i\} \subset \mathcal{L}'_2 \subset \mathcal{L}'_1$ , the inequalities hold and the same contradiction is arrived.

These two characterizations of  $\mu''$  can be shown independently of our new setting and  $\rho$ :

(I) By monotonicity and definition of  $\mu''$ , we see that

$$\mu''(E) \leq \inf \left\{ \mu''\left(\bigcup_1^\infty L'_i\right), E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i, L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Suppose that it were a strict inequality, there is a sequence  $\{\tilde{L}_i\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  with

$$\sum_1^\infty \mu(\tilde{L}'_i) < \inf \mu''\left(\bigcup_i L'_i\right) \leq \inf \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu''(L'_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(L'_i), \quad (3.6)$$

a contradiction.

(II) The inequality  $\mu''(E) \leq \inf \{ \mu''(\bigcup_1^\infty L'_{2i}), E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_{2i}, L_{2i} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \}$  follows from monotonicity and  $\mu''$  being the infimum. A strict inequality leads to a contradiction as in (I).  $\square$

**APPLICATIONS.** (1) Using the first new characterization, we can provide a short proof of a previously known result on two outer measures  $\mu''$  and  $\mu'$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice,  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu'' = \mu'$ .*

**PROOF.** (a) In this case,  $\mu \leq \mu''(\mathcal{L}')$ : if  $A \in \mathcal{L}'$ ,  $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$ , and  $A \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i = (\bigcap_1^\infty L_i)' = L' \in \mathcal{L}'$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(L') \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu(L'_i)$ , using  $\sigma$ -smoothness for the last inequality. So  $\mu(A) \leq \mu''(A)$ . The reverse inequality holds in general.

(b) Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu''(E) &= \inf \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i \right), E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_i, L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = \inf \{ \mu''(L'), E \subset L', L \in \mathcal{L} \} \\ &= \inf \{ \mu(L'), E \subset L', L \in \mathcal{L} \} = \mu'(E), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

using (a).

(2) As a special case of Proposition 3.2 above, if we have  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ , take  $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  to be the collection of all countable unions of sets from  $\mathcal{L}_2'$  and  $\nu = \mu''$ . Then we have  $\mu'' = (\mu'')^0$ , where in general, for a finitely subadditive outer measure  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda$ -measurable sets  $\mathbf{S}_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda^0(E) = \inf \{ \lambda(M), E \subset M, M \in \mathbf{S}_\lambda \}$ : by Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\mu''(E) = \inf \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcup_1^\infty L'_{2_i} \right), E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty L'_{2_i}, L_{2_i} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right\} = \inf \{ \mu''(M), E \subset M, M \in \mathbf{S}_{\mu''} \} \quad (3.8)$$

since  $\mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\bigcup_1^\infty L'_{2_i} = M \in \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ . This is a special case of a finitely subadditive outer measure previously studied [2, 4]. Since in this case  $\mu''$  is countably additive, the equality  $\mu'' = (\mu'')^0$  is equivalent to  $\mu''$  being regular [2].

(3) In the classical treatment, with  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  and the covering class  $\mathbb{C}$  of measurable sets generated by the classical outer measure  $\mu^*$ ,  $\mu^*$  is a regular outer measure. In our setting, if  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is the collection of all countable unions of sets from  $\mathcal{L}_2'$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ , and  $\nu = \mu''$ , we obtain the regularity for  $\mu''$ : for all positive integers  $n$ , there exists  $M_n \in \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ ,  $E \subset M_n$  and  $\mu(M_n) \leq \mu''(E) + (1/n)$ , we may construct  $M_n \downarrow \bigcap M_n = M_0 \in \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ . So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(M_n) \leq \mu''(E)$ . Since  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(M_n) = \mu(M_0) = \mu''(E)$ .  $\square$

**4. Case of a single lattice: comparing some set functions.** Grassi, Knight, and Vlad looked into the effects of various conditions on outer measures. We combine these with additional new conditions. Using our new characterizations in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we relate various inner and outer measures by several useful inequalities to obtain results on outer measures.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** Let  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ . For any  $E \subseteq X$ , the inner measure

$$\mu_i(E) = \sup \{ \mu(L), L \subset E, L \in \mathcal{L} \}. \quad (4.1)$$

The inner measure  $\mu_i$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{L}'$ ; for properties (cf. [3, 5]).

**DEFINITION 4.2.** Let  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ . For any  $E \subseteq X$ , define the set function

$$\mu_j(E) = \mu(X) - \mu''(E'). \quad (4.2)$$

If  $\mu''$  is a regular, then  $\mu_j$  is an inner measure [2].

Vlad showed that  $\mu_i \preceq \mu_j \preceq \mu'' \preceq \mu'$  [4].

We define the notion of condition M.

**DEFINITION 4.3.** For  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ , we say that  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M if a necessary and sufficient condition for a set  $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$  is that  $\mu''(X) = \mu''(E) + \mu''(E')$ .

As in the classical case, if  $\mu''$  is a regular outer measure, then  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M.

On the other hand, the outer measure  $\mu'$  is not regular in general but always satisfies condition M (see [1]).

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** (a) If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies condition M and  $\mu''(E) = \mu_j(E)$  for  $E \subseteq X$ , then  $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ ;

(b) If  $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ , then  $\mu''(E) = \mu_j(E)$ .

**PROOF.** (a) By assumption,

$$\mu''(E) = \mu_j(E) = \mu(X) - \mu''(E') = \mu''(X) - \mu''(E'), \quad (4.3)$$

therefore  $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$  since  $\mu$  satisfies condition M.

(b) If  $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j(E) &= \mu(X) - \mu''(E') = \mu''(X) - \mu''(E') \\ &= \mu''(E) + \mu''(E') - \mu''(E') = \mu''(E). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

□

**LEMMA 4.5.** If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_j = \mu(\mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu'' = \mu(\mathcal{L}')$ .

**PROOF.** For  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , if  $\mu(L) = \mu_j(L) = \mu(X) - \mu''(L')$ , then

$$\mu''(L') = \mu(X) - \mu(L) = \mu(L'). \quad (4.5)$$

□

**PROPOSITION 4.6.** (a) If  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu_j = \mu(\mathcal{L})$ .

(b) If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu_j = \mu(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu''$  is a regular outer measure, then  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ .

**PROOF.** (a) For  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mu_j(L) = \mu(X) - \mu''(L') = \mu(X) - \mu(L') = \mu(L)$ , since  $\mu'' = \mu(\mathcal{L}')$  if  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$  [4].

(b) Using the lemma, we have a regular outer measure  $\mu''$  which is equal to  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{L}'$ , implying that  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$  (see [4]).

Hence for  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ , under regularity of  $\mu''$ , strongly  $\sigma$ -smoothness is equivalent to  $\mu_j = \mu(\mathcal{L})$ .

Now, we establish the following sets of inequalities. □

**THEOREM 4.7.** For  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $E \subseteq X$ , we have:

$$\mu_i(E) \leq \mu_j(E) \leq \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcup_1^\infty L_i \right), \bigcap L_i \subset E, L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} \leq \mu''(E) \leq \mu'(E). \quad (4.6)$$

**PROOF.** We need only prove the second and third inequalities. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_j(E) &= \mu(X) - \mu''(E') = \mu(X) - \inf \left\{ \sum \mu(L'_i), E' \subseteq \bigcup L'_i \right\} \\ &\leq \mu(X) - \inf \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcup L'_i \right) \right\} = \sup \left\{ \mu''(X) - \mu'' \left( \bigcup L'_i \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( X - \bigcup L'_i \right) \right\} = \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap L_i \right), \bigcap L_i \subseteq E \right\} \leq \mu''(E)\end{aligned}\quad (4.7)$$

which is an upper bound for all such intersections.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.8.** On  $\mathcal{L}'$ : for  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i(L') &\leq \mu_j(L') \leq \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty L_i \right), \bigcap L_i \subset L', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} \\ &\leq \mu''(L') \leq \mu'(L') = \mu(L').\end{aligned}\quad (4.8)$$

**COROLLARY 4.9.** (a)  $\mu_i(L') \leq \sup \{ \mu''(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L} \} \leq \sup \{ \mu''(\bigcap_1^\infty L_i), \bigcap L_i \subset L', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \} \leq \mu''(L') \leq \mu'(L') = \mu(L')$ .

(b)  $\mu_i(L') \leq \sup \{ \mu''(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L} \} \leq \sup \{ \mu'(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L} \} \leq \mu'(L') = \mu(L')$ .

We consider three more “regularity”-type conditions on  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ :

(1) For

$$L \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \mu(L') = \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap L_i \right), \bigcap L_i \subseteq L', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}.\quad (4.9)$$

(2) For

$$L \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \mu''(L') = \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap L_i \right), \bigcap L_i \subseteq L', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}.\quad (4.10)$$

(3) For

$$L \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \mu''(L') = \sup \{ \mu(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L} \}.\quad (4.11)$$

Together with the notions of weakly regularity and vaguely regularity, we have the following results, some of which are known, but the proofs here are different due to our general setting.

**PROPOSITION 4.10.** (a)  $\mu \in M_v(\mathcal{L}) \Rightarrow (4.9)$  holds and  $\mu'' = \mu' = \mu(\mathcal{L}')$ .

(b)  $(4.9)$  holds  $\Rightarrow (4.10)$  holds.

(c) If  $(4.9)$  holds and  $\mu''$  is a regular outer measure, then  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ .

(d)  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu''} \Rightarrow (4.10)$  holds.

(e)  $M_v(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq M_w(\mathcal{L})$ .

(f) If  $(4.10)$  holds,  $\mu_j(L') = \sup \{ \mu''(\bigcap L_i), \bigcap L_i \subseteq L', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \}$  for all  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M, then  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ .

(g)  $\mu \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \Rightarrow \mu = \mu_i = \mu_j = \mu'' = \mu'$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

(h) If  $(4.11)$  holds, either  $\mu''$  is regular or satisfies condition M, then  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ .

(i) If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu''$  is regular, then  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ ,  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ , and  $(4.9)$  holds.

(j) If  $(4.9)$  holds and  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu''}$ , then  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$  and hence  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

(k)  $(4.11) \Rightarrow (4.10)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  throughout the proof.

(a) Using the inequalities established in Corollary 4.8, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(L') &= \sup\{\mu(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subset L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\} \\ &\leq \sup\left\{\mu''\left(\bigcap_1^\infty L_i\right), \bigcap L_i \subset L', L_i \in \mathcal{L}\right\} \\ &\leq \mu''(L') \leq \mu'(L') \leq \mu(L'). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

(b) Follows from the same set of inequalities.

(c) We have

$$\mu(L') = \sup\left\{\mu''\left(\bigcap_i L_i\right), \bigcap_i L_i \subseteq L', L_i \in \mathcal{L}\right\} \leq \mu''(L') = \mu'(L') = \mu(L'). \quad (4.13)$$

Since  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L}')$  and  $\mu''$  is regular,  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$  (see [4]). Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j(L) &= \mu(X) - \mu''(L') = \mu(X) - \sup\left\{\mu''\left(\bigcap_i L_i\right), \bigcap_i L_i \subseteq L'\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\mu''\left(X - \bigcap_i L_i\right)\right\} = \inf\left\{\mu''\left(\bigcup_i L'_i\right), L \subseteq \bigcup_i L'_i\right\} = \mu''(L). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Since  $\mu''$  is regular and therefore satisfies condition M, by Proposition 4.4(a),  $L \in \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ . So  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ .

(d) Since  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ ,  $\mu''(L') \leq \mu''(X) - \mu''(L) = \mu_j(L')$ . By Corollary 4.8, therefore

$$\mu_j(L') = \sup\left\{\mu''\left(\bigcap_1^\infty L_i\right), \bigcap L_i \subset L', L_i \in \mathcal{L}\right\} = \mu''(L'). \quad (4.15)$$

(e) Using the facts that  $\mu'' \leq \mu'$  in general and  $\mu' = \mu$  on  $\mathcal{L}'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(L') &= \sup\{\mu''(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\mu'(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\} \\ &\leq \mu'(L') = \mu(L') \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

yielding equalities and weak regularity.

(f) By assumption,

$$\mu''(L') = \sup\left\{\mu''\left(\bigcap_i L_i\right), \bigcap_i L_i \subseteq L', L_i \in \mathcal{L}\right\} = \mu_j(L'), \quad (4.17)$$

so  $\mu''(X) = \mu''(L) + \mu''(L')$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$  since  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M.

(g) Since  $\mu \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu = \mu'' = \mu'(\mathcal{L})$ . Also,  $\mu = \mu_i(\mathcal{L})$ . By Corollary 4.8, we have  $\mu = \mu_i = \mu_j = \mu'' = \mu'$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

(h) By assumption and Corollary 4.8, we have

$$\mu''(L') = \sup\{\mu(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\} = \mu_i(L') \leq \mu_j(L') \leq \mu''(L'), \quad (4.18)$$



yielding all equalities and  $\mu''(X) = \mu''(L) + \mu''(L')$ . Since  $\mu''$  is regular or satisfies condition M,  $L \in \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ , or  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ .

(i) If  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu = \mu_i \leq \mu_j \leq \mu'' = \mu(\mathcal{L})$  yielding all equalities. Since  $\mu''$  is regular, by Proposition 4.6(b),  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ . Now

$$\mu_j(L') = \mu(X) - \mu''(L) = \mu(X) - \mu(L) = \mu(L'). \quad (4.19)$$

The inequalities in Corollary 4.8 becomes equalities, yielding (4.9).

(j) If (4.9) holds, then  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L}')$ . By Proposition 4.4(b),  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$  implies that  $\mu_j = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\mu''(L) = \mu_j(L) = \mu(X) - \mu''(L') = \mu(X) - \mu(L') = \mu(L)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ ,  $A(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$  and  $\mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L})} = \mu$  is a countably additive measure which is equivalent to  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

(k) The statement follows from Corollary 4.9.  $\square$

These results provide a new proof of an old theorem.

**THEOREM 4.11** (T. Wibisono [6]). (a) If  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M, then  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ ,  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu'' = \mu' = \mu(\mathcal{L}')$ .

(b) If  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ , then  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$ .

**PROOF.** By Theorem 4.7, for  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mu(L) = \mu_i(L) \leq \mu_j(L) \leq \mu''(L) \leq \mu'(L)$ . So  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$  implies that  $\mu_j = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$ . With  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M, this implies that  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$  by Proposition 4.4(a). Also,  $\mu_j(L') = \mu(X) - \mu''(L) = \mu(X) - \mu(L) = \mu(L')$ . Hence by Corollary 4.8,

$$\mu_j(L') = \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty L_i \right), \bigcap_i L_i \subset L', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = \mu''(L') = \mu'(L') = \mu(L'), \quad (4.20)$$

which means that (4.9) holds. Applying Proposition 4.10(j), we get  $\mu \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

(b) If  $\mu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu_j = \mu(\mathcal{L})$  by Proposition 4.6(a). By Proposition 4.4(b),  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$  implies that  $\mu_j = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$ . Hence  $\mu = \mu''(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.12.** Under the conditions of Theorem 4.11(a), condition (4.9) holds.

**THEOREM 4.13.** Under the conditions that  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\nu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu(X) = \nu(X)$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is normal, if  $\mu''$  satisfies condition (4.11), i.e.,

$$\mu''(L') = \sup \{ \mu(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq L', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L} \} \quad (4.21)$$

for  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $\mu'' = \nu''(\mathcal{L}')$ .

**PROOF.** (a) We know that  $\mu'' \geq \nu''$  in general.

(b) Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is normal, for all  $\tilde{L} \subseteq L'$ , there exist  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\tilde{L} \subseteq L_1' \subseteq L_2 \subseteq L'$ . We have

$$\mu''(\tilde{L}) \leq \mu''(L_1') \leq \mu(L_1') \leq \mu(L_2) \leq \nu(L_2) \leq \nu''(L_2) \leq \nu''(L'), \quad (4.22)$$

so  $\nu''(L')$  is an upper bound for all  $\mu''(\tilde{L})$ . Therefore,  $\mu''(L') \leq \nu''(L')$ .  $\square$

**5. Case of a pair of lattices: comparing similar set functions.** In this section, we have two lattices on  $X$ :  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ , we consistently use  $A \in \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}_2$ .

**THEOREM 5.1** (T. Wibisono [6]). *If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ ,  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M and*

$$\mu''(B') = \sup\{\mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\}, \quad (5.1)$$

*then*

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ ;
- (b)  $\mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_2)} \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ ,  $\mu \leq \mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_1)}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ , and  $\mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_1)} \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ .

**PROOF.** (a) We have

$$\mu''(B') = \sup\{\mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\} = \mu_i(B') = \mu_j(B') \quad (5.2)$$

using Corollary 4.8. Since  $\mu''$  satisfies condition M, by Proposition 4.4(a),  $\mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ .

(b) Since  $\mu''$  is countably additive on  $\mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$  and by part (a),  $A(\mathcal{L}_2) \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{\mu''}$ ,  $\mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_2)} \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ . The inequalities

$$\mu''(B') = \sup\{\mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\} \leq \sup\{\mu''(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\} \leq \mu''(B') \quad (5.3)$$

implies that  $\mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_2)} \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$  and that  $\mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_1)} \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ . Also,  $\mu \leq \mu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_1)}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ .  $\square$

Now, we consider  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$  extended to  $\nu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$  and five conditions on  $\nu, \nu''$ , or  $\mu''$ , including the one in Wibisono's theorem: recall that  $B \in \mathcal{L}_2$ .

- (1)  $\nu(B') = \sup\{\nu''(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ .
- (2)  $\nu(B') = \sup\{\nu''(\bigcap_1^\infty A_n), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ .
- (3)  $\nu''(B') = \sup\{\nu''(\bigcap_1^\infty A_n), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ .
- (4)  $\nu''(B') = \sup\{\mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ .
- (5)  $\mu''(B') = \sup\{\mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ .

We have the following relationships and a result similar to that of Wibisono's.

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** (a) *Condition (1)  $\Rightarrow \nu \in M_\nu(\mathcal{L}_2)$ .*

(b) *If  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice, then (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).*

(c) *If  $\nu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L}_2)$ , then (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3).*

(d) *(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).*

(e) *(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).*

(f) *(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4).*

(g) *If (4) holds and  $\nu''$  satisfies condition M, then  $\mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{\nu''}$  and  $\nu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_2)} \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ . [This is a weaker version of Wibisono's theorem.]*

(h) *(5)  $\Rightarrow \nu'' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}_2')$ .*

(i) *If  $\mu''(B') = \sup\{\mu''(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_1$  coseparates  $\mathcal{L}_2$ , then  $\nu'' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}_2')$ .*

**PROOF.** (a) Since  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ ,

$$\nu(B') = \sup\{\nu''(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1\} \leq \sup\{\nu''(\tilde{L}), \tilde{L} \subseteq B', \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}_2\}. \quad (5.4)$$

The reverse inequality is also true because for any  $\tilde{L} \subseteq B'$ ,  $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}_2$ ,  $\nu''(\tilde{L}) \leq \nu''(B') \leq \nu(B')$ . Hence  $\nu \in M_\nu(\mathcal{L}_2)$ .

(b) If  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice, then for  $A_i \in \mathcal{L}_1$ ,  $\bigcap_1^\infty A_i = A \in \mathcal{L}_1$ . Hence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).

(c) If  $\nu \in M(\sigma, \mathcal{L}_2)$ , then  $\nu'' = \nu(\mathcal{L}_2')$ . Hence (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3).

(d) By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(B') &= \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \subseteq B', B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right\} \\ &\leq \nu''(B') \leq \nu'(B') = \nu(B'), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

the last two inequalities follow from Corollary 4.8. Hence we have equalities and (3) holds.

(e) Since  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ , it follows from the definition of the outer measures that  $\nu'' \preceq \mu''$ . By (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \nu''(B') &= \sup \{ \mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} = \sup \{ \nu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \right\} \leq \nu''(B'). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

The equalities yield (3).

(f) By assumption,

$$\mu''(B') = \sup \{ \mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \leq \nu''(B') \quad (5.7)$$

as above. Hence  $\nu''(B') = \mu''(B')$  since the reverse inequality is true in general; (4) follows.

(g) If

$$\begin{aligned} \nu''(B') &= \sup \{ \mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} = \sup \{ \nu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \nu(\tilde{B}), \tilde{B} \subseteq B', B \in \mathcal{L}_2 \} = \nu_i(B') \leq \nu_j(B') \leq \nu''(B'), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

resulting in equalities. It follows that  $\nu(B') + \nu(B) = \nu(X)$ . Since  $\nu''$  satisfies condition M,  $B' \in \mathbf{S}_{\nu''}$  and we have  $\mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{\nu''}$ . Since  $\nu''$  is countably additive on  $\mathbf{S}_{\nu''}$ ,  $\nu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_2)} \in M^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ . Also,

$$\nu''(B') = \sup \{ \nu(\tilde{B}), \tilde{B} \subseteq B', B \in \mathcal{L}_2 \} \quad (5.9)$$

for any  $B' \in \mathcal{L}_2$  implies that  $\nu''|_{A(\mathcal{L}_2)} \in M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ .

(h) By assumption,

$$\mu''(B') = \sup \{ \mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \leq \nu''(B') \quad (5.10)$$

as in (e), which implies  $\nu'' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}_2')$ .

(i) For each  $A \subseteq B'$ , since  $\mathcal{L}_1$  coseparates  $\mathcal{L}_2$ , there exist  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{L}_1$  such that  $A \subseteq A'_1 \subset A_2 \subseteq B'$ . So

$$\mu''(A) \leq \mu''(A'_1) \leq \mu(A'_1) = \nu(A'_1) \leq \nu(A_2) \leq \nu''(A_2) \leq \nu''(B'). \quad (5.11)$$

Therefore,

$$\mu''(B') = \sup \{ \mu(A), A \subseteq B', A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \leq \nu''(B'), \quad (5.12)$$

implying  $\nu'' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}_2')$ .

Conclusions in parts (h) and (i) that  $\nu'' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}'_2)$  are useful because if that is true, many of the conditions (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5) as well as others coincide. Related to this is the following condition: in the same setting,

$$\mu''(B') = \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \right\}. \quad (\#)$$

□

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *Condition  $(\#) \Rightarrow \nu'' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}'_2)$  and condition (3).*

**PROOF.** If  $\bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1$  then

$$\mu''(B') = \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \right\} \leq \nu''(B'). \quad (5.13)$$

The reverse inequality holds in general. Therefore  $\nu'' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}'_2)$  and (3) follows.

We also have a set of useful inequalities for the case of a pair of lattices. □

**THEOREM 5.4.** *If  $\mu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$  is extended to  $\nu \in M_\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ , then for an  $E \subseteq X$ :*

- (a)  $\mu_i(E) \leq \mu_j(E) \leq \nu_j(E) \leq \sup \{ \nu''(\bigcap_n B_n), \bigcap_n B_n \subseteq E, B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \} \leq \nu''(E) \leq \nu'(E)$ ;
- (b)  $\mu_i(E) \leq \mu_j(E) \leq \nu_j(E) \leq \sup \{ \nu''(\bigcap_n B_n), \bigcap_n B_n \subseteq E, B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \} \leq \nu''(E) \leq \mu''(E) \leq \mu'(E)$ ;
- (c)  $\sup \{ \nu''(\bigcap_n B_n), \bigcap_n B_n \subseteq E, B_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \leq \sup \{ \mu''(\bigcap_n B_n), \bigcap_n B_n \subseteq E, B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \} \leq \mu''(E)$ ;
- (d)  $\sup \{ \nu''(\bigcap_n A_n), \bigcap_n A_n \subseteq E, A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \leq \sup \{ \nu''(\bigcap_n B_n), \bigcap_n B_n \subseteq E, B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \}$ ;
- (e)  $\sup \{ \nu''(\bigcap_n A_n), \bigcap_n A_n \subseteq E, A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \leq \sup \{ \mu''(\bigcap_n A_n), \bigcap_n A_n \subseteq E, A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \} \leq \sup \{ \mu''(\bigcap_n B_n), \bigcap_n B_n \subseteq E, B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \}$ .

**PROOF.** The inequalities follow from Theorem 4.7 or  $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$  or  $\nu'' \leq \mu''$ . □

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *For  $B \in \mathcal{L}_2$ , we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(B') &\leq \mu_j(B') \leq \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap_n A_n \right), \bigcap_n A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \right\} \\ &\leq \mu''(B') \leq \mu'(B') = \mu(B'); \\ \mu_i(B') &\leq \mu_j(B') \leq \nu_j(B') \leq \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_n B_n \right), \bigcap_n B_n \subseteq B', B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right\} \\ &\leq \nu''(B') \leq \nu'(B') = \nu(B'). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

It follows from the inequalities in Corollary 5.5 that an equality of certain set functions above yields one of the conditions discussed and subsequent consequences.

**NOTE 5.6.** (a) If  $\mu_i(B') = \nu''(B')$ , then we have condition (4).

(b) If  $\mu_i(B') = \mu''(B')$ , then we have condition (5).

In addition, one of the conditions result in other equalities.

**THEOREM 5.7.** (a) *Condition (4)  $\Rightarrow$*

$$\mu_i(B') = \mu_j(B') = \nu_j(B') = \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \subseteq B', B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right\} = \nu''(B') \quad (5.15)$$

and  $\nu''$  satisfies condition (4.10) on  $\mathcal{L}_2$ .

(b) Condition (5) $\Rightarrow$

$$\mu_i(B') = \mu_j(B') = \sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \right\} = \mu''(B') \quad (5.16)$$

and  $\mu''$  satisfies condition (4.10) on  $\mathcal{L}_1$ .

(c) Condition (3) $\Rightarrow$

$$\nu''(B') = \sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \subseteq B', B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right\} \quad (5.17)$$

and  $\nu''$  satisfies condition (4.10) on  $\mathcal{L}_2$ .

(d) Condition (2) $\Rightarrow$

$$\sup \left\{ \nu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty B_n \subseteq B', B_n \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right\} = \nu''(B') = \nu'(B') = \nu(B') \quad (5.18)$$

and  $\nu''$  satisfies condition (4.10) on  $\mathcal{L}_2$ .

(e) Condition (#) $\Rightarrow$

$$\sup \left\{ \mu'' \left( \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \right), \bigcap_1^\infty A_n \subseteq B', A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1 \right\} = \mu''(B') = \mu'(B') = \mu(B') \quad (5.19)$$

and  $\mu''$  satisfies condition (4.10) on  $\mathcal{L}_1$ .

**PROOF.** (a) and (b) follow directly from Corollary 5.5; (c) and (d) from Theorem 5.4(d) and the same corollary; (e) from Theorem 5.4(e) and the corollary.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. M. Grassi, *Outer measures and associated lattice properties*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **16** (1993), no. 4, 687–694. MR 95f:28003. Zbl 778.28009.
- [2] J. E. Knight, *On finitely subadditive outer measures*, J. Math. Sci. (Calcutta) **7** (1996), no. 2, 91–102. MR 98d:28003.
- [3] C. Traina, *Outer measures associated with lattice measures and their application*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **18** (1995), no. 4, 725–734. MR 96g:28019. Zbl 833.28006.
- [4] C. Vlad, *Outer measures: measurability,  $\sigma$ -smoothness and regularity*, J. Math. Sci. (Calcutta) **7** (1996), no. 2, 75–84. MR 98c:28017.
- [5] ———, *Remarks on finitely subadditive outer measures*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **20** (1996), no. 1, 93–103. MR 97f:28007. Zbl 863.28003.
- [6] T. Wibisono, *Measurability and smooth measure extension*, Ph.D. thesis, Polytechnic University, New York, 1997, Doctoral Dissertation.

PAO-SHENG HSU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MAINE,  
NEVILLE HALL, ORONO, MAINE, 04469-5752, USA  
E-mail address: hsupao@maine.maine.edu

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)