

SOME DETAILS OF PROOFS OF THEOREMS RELATED TO THE QUANTUM DYNAMICAL YANG-BAXTER EQUATION

TOM H. KOORNWINDER

(Received 7 July 2000)

ABSTRACT. This paper of tutorial nature gives some further details of proofs of some theorems related to the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation. This mainly expands proofs given in “Lectures on the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation” by Etingof and Schiffmann, math.QA/9908064. This concerns the intertwining operator, the fusion matrix, the exchange matrix and the difference operators. The last part expands proofs given in “Traces of intertwiners for quantum groups and difference equations, I” by Etingof and Varchenko, math.QA/9907181. This concerns the dual Macdonald-Ruijsenaars equations.

Keywords and phrases. Quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, intertwining operator, fusion matrix, exchange matrix, weighted trace function, dual Macdonald-Ruijsenaars equations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B37.

1. Introduction. The quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation (QDYBE) was first considered by Gervais and Neveu [9], with motivation from physics (for monodromy matrices in Liouville theory). A general form of QDYBE with spectral parameter was presented by Felder [7, 8] at two major congresses. The corresponding classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation (CDYBE) was presented there as well. Next Etingof and Varchenko started a program to give geometric interpretations of solutions of CDYBE [3] and of QDYBE [4] in the case without spectral parameter. In the context of this program they pointed out a method to obtain solutions of QDYBE by the so-called exchange construction [5]. This uses, for any simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ or of its quantized version $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in order to define successively the intertwining operator, the fusion matrix and the exchange matrix. The matrix elements of the intertwining operator and of the exchange matrix generalize respectively the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and the Racah coefficients to the case where the first tensor factor is a Verma module rather than a finite dimensional irreducible module. The exchange matrix is shown to satisfy QDYBE. Etingof and Varchenko also started in [6] a related program to connect the above objects with weighted trace functions and with solutions of the $(q-)$ Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equation (KZB or q KZB).

A nice introduction to the topics indicated above was recently given by Etingof and Schiffmann [2]. While I was reading this paper in connection with a seminar in Amsterdam during the fall of 1999, I added some details of proofs for my own convenience, and I put these notes in \TeX in order that the other participants in the seminar could

take profit of it. I put these informal notes on my homepage. Since Version 2 of [2] is now referring to these notes, I decided to give my notes a more official status.

I want to emphasize that these notes are purely meant as a tutorial giving some details of the sometimes rather succinct proofs in [2]. However, I did not try to cover the full contents of [2]. Most of my paper only treats the $q = 1$ case. Only the second part of the section on the exchange matrix also covers the quantum case. In general, the extension to the quantum case will usually be straightforward.

As for the contents, Sections 2, 3, and 4, respectively deal with the intertwining operator, the fusion matrix and the exchange matrix. In [2] these topics are all covered in Section 2. Sections 5 and 6 on difference operators and on weighted trace functions address some topics in Section 9 of [2] (Transfer matrices and generalized Macdonald-Ruijsenaars equations). The details of proofs in Section 6 concern $q = 1$ analogues of proofs given in Section 3 of [6] in connection with the dual Macdonald-Ruijsenaars equations.

I want to call attention to one conceptual aspect. This concerns formulas (4.11) and (4.12). The first formula expresses an exchange matrix $R_{U,V \otimes W}(\lambda)$ after shifted conjugation by the fusion matrix $J_{VW}(\lambda)$ as a product of $R_{UV}(\lambda)$ (with appropriately shifted λ) and $R_{UW}(\lambda)$. The second formula is analogous. These formulas are not explicitly given in [2], but they do occur in [6] without getting particular emphasis. They can be used in order to prove that $R(\lambda)$ satisfies QDYBE. This is analogous to the role of the quasi-triangularity property of the (non-dynamical) universal R -matrix for proving the QYBE in that case. In fact, it is possible to see (4.11) and (4.12) in the context of a certain quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebra, see Babelon, Bernard, and Billey [1, Section 3]) for the quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ case.

NOTATION. Throughout this paper I denote by [E-S] the paper [2] by Etingof and Schiffmann, and by [E-V] the paper [6] by Etingof and Varchenko.

2. The intertwining operator. First I make two preliminary remarks in preparation of the proof of [E-S], Proposition 2.2.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra with Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{f} , and let V be a \mathfrak{f} -module. Then

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{f}}^{\mathfrak{g}} V := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} V \quad \text{with } a \cdot (u \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} v) := (au) \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} v \quad (a \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in U(\mathfrak{g}), v \in V). \quad (2.1)$$

Let W be a \mathfrak{g} -module. Then *Frobenius reciprocity* states that there is an isomorphism of linear spaces

$$f \longmapsto F : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{f}}(V, W) \longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} V, W) \quad (2.2)$$

given by $F(u \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} v) := u \cdot f(v)$, $f(v) := F(1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} v)$ ($u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $v \in V$).

For the other remark let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and let Z, W, V be \mathfrak{g} -modules. Then there is an isomorphism of linear spaces

$$f \longmapsto F : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Z, W \otimes V) \longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Z \otimes W^*, V) \quad (2.3)$$

given by $F(z \otimes w^*) = \langle f(z), w^* \rangle$ ($z \in Z$, $w^* \in W^*$).

PROOF OF [E-S], PROPOSITION 2.2. We have a composition of five isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi &\longleftrightarrow \Phi_1 \longleftrightarrow \Phi_2 \longleftrightarrow \Phi_3 \longleftrightarrow \Phi_4 \longleftrightarrow \Phi_5 = \langle \Phi \rangle : \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{n}_+} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, M_\mu \otimes V) \\
 &\longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{n}_+}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda, M_\mu \otimes V) \longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{n}_+}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes M_\mu^*, V) \\
 &\longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{n}_+}(U(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}, V \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda^*) \longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{C}_{-\mu}, V \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda^*) \\
 &\longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}, V),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_1(x_\lambda) &:= \Phi(x_\lambda), \\
 \Phi_2(x_\lambda \otimes u^*) &:= \langle \Phi(x_\lambda), u^* \rangle \quad (u^* \in M_\mu^*), \\
 \Phi_3(u^*) &:= \langle \Phi(x_\lambda), u^* \rangle \otimes x_\lambda^* \quad (u^* \in M_\mu^* \simeq \mathbb{C}_{-\mu} \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} U(\mathfrak{n}_+)), \\
 \Phi_4(x_{-\mu}) &:= \langle \Phi(x_\lambda), x_\mu^* \rangle \otimes x_\lambda, \\
 \Phi_5(x_\lambda \otimes x_{-\mu}) &:= \langle \Phi(x_\lambda), x_\mu^* \rangle = \langle \Phi \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

□

PROOF THAT THE COEFFICIENTS OF Φ_λ^v ARE RATIONAL IN λ (STATEMENT IN PARAGRAPH AFTER THE PROOF OF [E-S], PROPOSITION 2.2; THE PROOF BELOW IS ESSENTIALLY DUE TO ERIC OPDAM). Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ be the positive roots (the elements of Δ^+). Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module, and let $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ be \mathfrak{h} -homogeneous. Consider the Verma module $M_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}$ for generic values of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, where it is irreducible. By Proposition 2.2, there is a unique \mathfrak{g} -intertwining linear map $\Phi_\lambda^v : M_\lambda \rightarrow M_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)} \otimes V$ such that

$$\Phi_\lambda^v(x_\lambda) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N \geq 0} f_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} \cdots f_{\alpha_N}^{k_N} \cdot x_\mu \otimes v_{k_1, \dots, k_N} \quad \text{with } v_{0, \dots, 0} = v. \tag{2.6}$$

Here $\mu := \lambda - \text{wt}(v)$. Clearly $\text{wt}(v_{k_1, \dots, k_N}) = \lambda - \mu + k_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + k_N \alpha_N$. It is sufficient to show that the v_{k_1, \dots, k_N} are rational in λ .

The unique existence of Φ_λ^v satisfying the above conditions is equivalent to the unique existence of $w \in M_\mu \otimes V$ such that $\text{wt}(w) = \lambda$, $e_{\alpha_i} \cdot w = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and such that w has the form of the right-hand side of (2.6) with $v_{0, \dots, 0} = v$. We show that the unique existence of w with these properties implies that the v_{k_1, \dots, k_N} are rational in λ .

Note that

$$e_{\alpha_i} f_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} \cdots f_{\alpha_N}^{k_N} \cdot x_\mu = \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_N \geq 0, \\ k_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + k_N \alpha_N = \\ \alpha_i + l_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + l_N \alpha_N}} p_{i; l_1, \dots, l_N}^{k_1, \dots, k_N}(\lambda) f_{\alpha_1}^{l_1} \cdots f_{\alpha_N}^{l_N} \cdot x_\mu \tag{2.7}$$

with $p_{i; l_1, \dots, l_N}^{k_1, \dots, k_N}(\lambda)$ polynomial in λ . So, for $i = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$0 = e_{\alpha_i} \cdot w = \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_N} f_{\alpha_1}^{l_1} \cdots f_{\alpha_N}^{l_N} \cdot x_\mu \otimes \left(e_{\alpha_i} \cdot v_{l_1, \dots, l_N} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_N \geq 0, \\ k_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + k_N \alpha_N = \\ \alpha_i + l_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + l_N \alpha_N}} p_{i; l_1, \dots, l_N}^{k_1, \dots, k_N}(\lambda) v_{k_1, \dots, k_N} \right). \tag{2.8}$$

So the inhomogeneous system of linear equations in the coordinates of the vectors v_{l_1, \dots, l_N} (l_1, \dots, l_N nonnegative integers, not all 0) given by

$$e_{\alpha_i} \cdot v_{l_1, \dots, l_N} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_N \geq 0, \\ k_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + k_N \alpha_N = \\ \alpha_i + l_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + l_N \alpha_N}} p_{i; l_1, \dots, l_N}^{k_1, \dots, k_N}(\lambda) v_{k_1, \dots, k_N} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad (2.9)$$

has, for generic λ , a unique solution. Since the coefficients are polynomials in λ it follows that the solution must be rational in λ . \square

3. The fusion matrix

PROOF OF [E-S], PROPOSITION 2.3, PART 2.

$$\Phi_{\lambda}^v(x_{\lambda}) \in x_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)} \otimes v + M_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}[< \lambda - \text{wt}(v) >] \otimes V[> \text{wt}(v)]. \quad (3.1)$$

Hence

$$\Phi_{\lambda}^v(M_{\lambda}[< \lambda >]) \subset x_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)} \otimes V[< \text{wt}(v) >] + M_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}[< \lambda - \text{wt}(v) >] \otimes V. \quad (3.2)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^w \otimes 1) (\Phi_{\lambda}^v(x_{\lambda})) \\ & \in \Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^w(x_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}) \otimes v + \Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^w(M_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}[< \lambda - \text{wt}(v) >]) \otimes V[> \text{wt}(v)] \\ & \subset x_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)} \otimes w \otimes v + M_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)}[< \lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w) >] \otimes W \otimes V \\ & \quad + x_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)} \otimes W[< \text{wt}(w) >] \otimes V[> \text{wt}(v)] \\ & \quad + M_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)}[< \lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w) >] \otimes W \otimes V. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Hence

$$J_{WV}(\lambda)(w \otimes v) \in w \otimes v + W[< \text{wt}(w) >] \otimes V[> \text{wt}(v)]. \quad (3.4)$$

\square

PROOF OF [E-S], PROPOSITION 2.3, PART 3. On the one hand we have

$$(\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)}^u \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^w \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_{\lambda}^v(x_{\lambda}) \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)}^u \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_{\lambda}^{J_{WV}(\lambda)(w \otimes v)}(x_{\lambda}) \\ & = \Phi_{\lambda}^{J_{U, W \otimes V}(\lambda) \circ (1 \otimes J_{WV}(\lambda))(u \otimes w \otimes v)}(x_{\lambda}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, expression (3.5) also equals

$$(\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^{J_{UW}(\lambda - \text{wt}(v))(u \otimes w)} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_{\lambda}^v(x_{\lambda}) = \Phi_{\lambda}^{(J_{U \otimes W, V \otimes 1}(\lambda) \circ J_{UW}(\lambda - \text{wt}(v)))(u \otimes w \otimes v)}(x_{\lambda}). \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, by equality of expressions (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$J_{U, W \otimes V}(\lambda) \circ (1 \otimes J_{WV}(\lambda))(u \otimes w \otimes v) = (J_{U \otimes W, V \otimes 1}(\lambda) \circ J_{UW}(\lambda - \text{wt}(v)))(u \otimes w \otimes v). \quad (3.8)$$

Hence we arrive at the *dynamical 2-cocycle condition*, which was to be proved:

$$J_{U,W \otimes V}(\lambda) \circ (1 \otimes J_{WV}(\lambda)) = (J_{U \otimes W,V} \otimes 1)(\lambda) \circ J_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(3)}). \quad (3.9)$$

□

4. The exchange matrix. Proposition 2.4 in [E-S] states that the exchange matrix $R_{VW}(\lambda) := J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{WV}^{21}(\lambda)$ satisfies the QDYBE

$$R_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(3)}) R_{VU}(\lambda) R_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(1)}) = R_{WU}(\lambda) R_{VU}(\lambda - h^{(2)}) R_{VW}(\lambda) \quad (4.1)$$

as an identity of operators on $V \otimes W \otimes U$.

In preparation of the proof recall that $\Phi_\lambda^{w,v} := (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^w \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^v$. We have the following.

LEMMA 4.1 [E-S]. $R_{VW}(\lambda)(v \otimes w) = \sum_i v_i \otimes w_i$, where $\Phi_\lambda^{w,v} = (1 \otimes P) \sum_i \Phi_\lambda^{v_i, w_i}$.

PROOF. Assume $R_{VW}(\lambda)(v \otimes w) = \sum_i v_i \otimes w_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda^{w,v} &= \Phi_\lambda^{J_{WV}(\lambda)(w \otimes v)} = \Phi_\lambda^{P J_{VW}(\lambda) R_{VW}(\lambda)(v \otimes w)} \\ &= (1 \otimes P) \Phi_\lambda^{J_{VW}(\lambda) R_{VW}(\lambda)(v \otimes w)} = (1 \otimes P) \sum_i \Phi_\lambda^{J_{VW}(\lambda)(v_i \otimes w_i)} \\ &= (1 \otimes P) \sum_i \Phi_\lambda^{v_i, w_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

□

FIRST PROOF OF QDYBE (4.1). Put

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda^{u,w,v} &:= (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)}^u \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^w \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^v \\ &= (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^{u,w} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^v = (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)}^u \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{w,v}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Now we have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda^{u,w,v} &= (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(w)}^u \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{w,v} \\ &= P^{34} \sum_i (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v_i) - \text{wt}(w_i)}^u \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{v_i, w_i} \\ &= P^{34} \sum_i (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(w_i)}^{u, v_i} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{w_i} \\ &= P^{34} P^{23} \sum_i \sum_j (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(w_i)}^{(v_i)_j, u_j} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{w_i} \\ &= P^{34} P^{23} \sum_i \sum_j (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(w_i) - \text{wt}(u_j)}^{(v_i)_j} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{u_j, w_i} \\ &= P^{34} P^{23} P^{34} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}((w_i)_k) - \text{wt}((u_j)_k)}^{(v_i)_j} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{(w_i)_k, (u_j)_k} \\ &= P^{34} P^{23} P^{34} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \Phi_\lambda^{(v_i)_j, (w_i)_k, (u_j)_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and accordingly

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{WU}(\lambda)R_{VU}(\lambda - h^{(2)})R_{VW}(\lambda)(v \otimes w \otimes u) &= \sum_i R_{WU}(\lambda)R_{VU}(\lambda - h^{(2)})(v_i \otimes w_i \otimes u) \\
 &= \sum_i R_{WU}(\lambda)R_{VU}(\lambda - \text{wt}(w_i))(v_i \otimes w_i \otimes u) \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_j R_{WU}(\lambda)((v_i)_j \otimes w_i \otimes u_j) \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (v_i)_j \otimes (w_i)_k \otimes (u_j)_k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_\lambda^{u,w,v} &= (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^{u,w} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^v = P^{23} \sum_i (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v)}^{w_i, v_i} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^v \\
 &= P^{23} \sum_i (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v) - \text{wt}(u_i)}^{w_i} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{u_i, v} \\
 &= P^{23} P^{34} \sum_i \sum_j (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(v_j) - \text{wt}((u_i)_j)}^{w_i} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{v_j, (u_i)_j} \\
 &= P^{23} P^{34} \sum_i \sum_j (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}((u_i)_j)}^{w_i, v_j} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{(u_i)_j} \\
 &= P^{23} P^{34} P^{23} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}((u_i)_j)}^{(v_j)_k, (w_i)_k} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{(u_i)_j} \\
 &= P^{23} P^{34} P^{23} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \Phi_\lambda^{(v_j)_k, (w_i)_k, (u_i)_j},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and accordingly

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(3)})R_{VU}(\lambda)R_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(1)})(v \otimes w \otimes u) \\
 &= R_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(3)})R_{VU}(\lambda)R_{WU}(\lambda - \text{wt}(v))(v \otimes w \otimes u) \\
 &= \sum_i R_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(3)})R_{VU}(\lambda)(v \otimes w_i \otimes u_i) \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_j R_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(3)})(v_j \otimes w_i \otimes (u_i)_j) \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_j R_{VW}(\lambda - \text{wt}((u_i)_j))(v_j \otimes w_i \otimes (u_i)_j) \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (v_j)_k \otimes (w_i)_k \otimes (u_i)_j
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

It follows from (4.4) and (4.6) that

$$\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \Phi_\lambda^{(v_i)_j, (w_i)_k, (u_j)_k} = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \Phi_\lambda^{(v_j)_k, (w_i)_k, (u_i)_j}. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence the right-hand sides of (4.5) and (4.7) are equal. Thus the left-hand sides of (4.5) and (4.7) are also equal. \square

As pointed out in [E-S], Section 2.2 the construction of intertwining operators, fusion and exchange matrices admit natural quantum analogues. Most definitions, results and proofs go on essentially unchanged compared to the $q = 1$ case. However, in the definition of the exchange matrix the R -matrix \mathcal{R}_{VW} associated to $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules V and W , and induced by the universal R -matrix \mathcal{R} , is also needed. I will use the notation

$$\mathcal{R}_{WV}^{21} := (\mathcal{R}_{WV})^{21} = P_{WV} \mathcal{R}_{WV} P_{VW}. \quad (4.9)$$

This is different from the notation $\mathcal{R}_{VW}^{21} := (\mathcal{R}^{21})_{VW}$ in [E-S], Section 2.2. The exchange matrix in the quantum case is now defined by

$$R_{VW}(\lambda) := J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{WV}^{21} J_{WV}^{21}(\lambda). \quad (4.10)$$

The dynamical two-cocycle condition (3.9) will remain valid in the quantum case. I will now discuss a second proof of the QDYBE (4.1), which is briefly sketched in the remark in [E-S] after Proposition 2.4, and which also holds in the quantum case. In the following, when being in the $q = 1$ case, just put \mathcal{R}_{VW} equal to 1 (for any V, W).

I derive first the following two important formulas (not given in [E-S]) for the exchange matrix:

$$J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} R_{U,V \otimes W}(\lambda) J_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(U)}) = R_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)}) R_{UW}(\lambda), \quad (4.11)$$

$$J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} R_{U \otimes V, W}(\lambda) J_{UV}(\lambda) = R_{VW}(\lambda) R_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(V)}), \quad (4.12)$$

where both sides in (4.11) and (4.12) are acting on $U \otimes V \otimes W$. Here we have adapted the notation introduced in [E-S] just before Proposition 2.3 as follows. If $U = A_i$ then $F(\lambda - h^{(U)})$ will mean $F(\lambda - h^{(i)})$.

One of the formulas (4.11) and (4.12) can be obtained by specialization of formula (2.42) in [E-V]. Note that (4.11) and (4.12) are also dynamical analogues of the formulas

$$\mathcal{R}_{U \otimes V, W} = \mathcal{R}_{UW} \mathcal{R}_{VW}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{U, V \otimes W} = \mathcal{R}_{UW} \mathcal{R}_{UV}, \quad (4.13)$$

obtained from the following formulas for the universal R -matrix:

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{23}, \quad (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{12}, \quad (4.14)$$

which belong to the defining properties of a quasitriangular Hopf algebra. Another defining property of a quasitriangular Hopf algebra is that

$$P(\Delta(u)) = \mathcal{R} \Delta(u) \mathcal{R}^{-1}, \quad (4.15)$$

which implies for the universal fusion matrix $J(\lambda)$ (see [E-S], Section 8) that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{12}(\Delta \otimes 1)(J(\lambda)) &= \mathcal{R}_{12}(\Delta \otimes 1)(J(\lambda)) \mathcal{R}_{12}^{-1}, \\ P_{23}(1 \otimes \Delta)(J(\lambda)) &= \mathcal{R}_{23}(1 \otimes \Delta)(J(\lambda)) \mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{WV} J_{W \otimes V, U}(\lambda) P_{VW} &= \mathcal{R}_{VW} J_{V \otimes W, U}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_{VW}^{-1}, \\ P_{UW} J_{V, U \otimes W}(\lambda) P_{WU} &= \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{V, W \otimes U}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_{WU}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

In the proof of (4.11) and (4.12) I need (4.13) and (4.17).

PROOF OF (4.11).

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} R_{U,V \otimes W}(\lambda) J_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(U)}) \\
&= J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{U,V \otimes W}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{V \otimes W, U}^{21}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_{V \otimes W, U}^{21} J_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(U)}) \\
&= J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} J_{U \otimes V, W}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{VU} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{V \otimes W, U} J_{V \otimes W, U}(\lambda) P_{UW} P_{UV} J_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(U)}) \\
&= J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} J_{U \otimes V, W}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{VU} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{VU} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{V \otimes W, U}(\lambda) J_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(U)}) P_{UW} P_{UV} \\
&= J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} J_{U \otimes V, W}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{VU} \mathcal{R}_{VU} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{V, W \otimes U}(\lambda) J_{WU}(\lambda) P_{UW} P_{UV} \\
&= J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} P_{VU} \mathcal{R}_{VU} J_{V \otimes U, W}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{V, U \otimes W}(\lambda) P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{WU}(\lambda) P_{UW} P_{UV} \\
&= J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} P_{VU} \mathcal{R}_{VU} J_{VU}(\lambda - h^{(W)}) J_{UW}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{WU}(\lambda) P_{UW} P_{UV} \\
&= J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{VU}^{21} J_{VU}^{21}(\lambda - h^{(W)}) P_{VU} J_{UW}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{WU}^{21} J_{WU}^{21}(\lambda) P_{UV} \\
&= R_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)}) R_{UW}(\lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

□

PROOF OF (4.12).

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} R_{U \otimes V, W}(\lambda) J_{UV}(\lambda) \\
&= J_{UV}(\lambda - h^{(W)})^{-1} J_{U \otimes V, W}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{W, U \otimes V}^{21} J_{W, U \otimes V}^{21}(\lambda) J_{UV}(\lambda) \\
&= J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{U, V \otimes W}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{WV} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{W, U \otimes V} J_{W, U \otimes V}(\lambda) P_{UW} P_{VW} J_{UV}(\lambda) \\
&= J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{U, V \otimes W}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{WV} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{WV} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{W, U \otimes V}(\lambda) J_{UV}(\lambda) P_{UW} P_{VW} \\
&= J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{U, V \otimes W}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{WV} \mathcal{R}_{WV} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{W \otimes U, V}(\lambda) J_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) P_{WU} P_{WV} \tag{4.19} \\
&= J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{WV} \mathcal{R}_{WV} J_{U, W \otimes V}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{U \otimes W, V}(\lambda) P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) P_{UW} P_{VW} \\
&= J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{WV} \mathcal{R}_{WV} J_{WV}(\lambda) J_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(V)})^{-1} P_{WU} \mathcal{R}_{WU} J_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) P_{UW} P_{VW} \\
&= J_{VW}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{WV}^{21} J_{WV}^{21}(\lambda) P_{WV} J_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(V)})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{WU}^{21} J_{WU}^{21}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) P_{VW} \\
&= R_{VW}(\lambda) R_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(V)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

In both proofs we have used the 2-cocycle condition (3.9) for the fusion matrix three times.

SECOND PROOF OF QDYBE (4.1) (USING (4.11) AND (4.12); ACTING ON $V \otimes W \otimes U$).

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{VW}(\lambda - h^{(U)}) R_{VU}(\lambda) R_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) \\
&= J_{WU}(\lambda)^{-1} R_{V, W \otimes U}(\lambda) J_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) J_{WU}(\lambda - h^{(V)})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{UW}^{21} J_{UW}^{21}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) \\
&= J_{WU}(\lambda)^{-1} R_{V, W \otimes U}(\lambda) P_{UW} \mathcal{R}_{UW} J_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) P_{WU} \\
&= J_{WU}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{UW} \mathcal{R}_{UW} R_{V, U \otimes W}(\lambda) J_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(V)}) P_{WU} \tag{4.20} \\
&= J_{WU}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{UW} \mathcal{R}_{UW} J_{UW}(\lambda) R_{VU}(\lambda - h^{(W)}) R_{VW}(\lambda) P_{WU} \\
&= R_{WU}(\lambda) P_{UW} R_{VU}(\lambda - h^{(W)}) R_{VW}(\lambda) P_{WU} \\
&= R_{WU}(\lambda) R_{VU}(\lambda - h^{(W)}) R_{VW}(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Difference operators. Next I give a proof for the $q = 1$ case of the formula

$$\mathcal{D}_{V \otimes W}^U = \mathcal{D}_V^U \mathcal{D}_W^U = \mathcal{D}_W^U \mathcal{D}_V^U, \quad (5.1)$$

stated at the end of Section 9.1 in [E-S] for the quantum case. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra. For any two finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules U and V let $R_{VU}(\lambda)$ be the exchange matrix. Let $\mathbb{R}_{VU}(\lambda) := R_{VU}(-\lambda - \rho)$ denote the shifted exchange matrix. Let \mathcal{F}_U be the space of $U[0]$ -valued meromorphic functions on \mathfrak{h}^* . For $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ let $T_\nu \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_U)$ be the shift operator $(T_\nu f)(\lambda) := f(\lambda + \nu)$. Define the difference operator $\mathcal{D}_V^{\lambda, U}$ acting on \mathcal{F}_U by

$$\mathcal{D}_V^{\lambda, U} := \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{Tr} |_{V[\nu]} (\mathbb{R}_{VU}(\lambda)) T_\nu = \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{Tr} |_{V[\nu]} (\mathbb{R}_{V[\nu], U[0]; V[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda)) T_\nu, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{V[\lambda], U[\mu]; V[\nu], U[\sigma]}$ denotes the block of the matrix \mathbb{R}_{VU} corresponding to the weight spaces $V[\lambda], U[\mu]; V[\nu], U[\sigma]$ (which block will be zero unless $\lambda + \mu = \nu + \sigma$).

PROOF OF (5.1). We can rewrite (4.12) as

$$\mathbb{R}_{W \otimes V, U}(\lambda) = \mathbb{J}_{WV}(\lambda + h^{(U)}) \mathbb{R}_{VU}(\lambda) \mathbb{R}_{WU}(\lambda + h^{(V)}) \mathbb{J}_{WV}(\lambda)^{-1}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\mathbb{J}_{WV}(\lambda) := J(-\lambda - \rho)$ denotes the shifted fusion matrix. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]; W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu', \nu', \mu'', \nu'', \sigma} \mathbb{J}_{W[\nu], V[\mu]; W[\nu'], V[\mu']}(\lambda) \\ &\quad \circ \mathbb{R}_{V[\mu'], U[0]; V[\mu''], U[\sigma]}(\lambda) \mathbb{R}_{W[\nu'], U[\sigma]; W[\nu''], U[0]}(\lambda + \mu'') \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{J}_{W[\nu], V[\mu]; W[\nu''], V[\mu'']}(\lambda)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr} |_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]; W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{V[\mu], U[0]; V[\mu], U[\sigma]}(\lambda) \mathbb{R}_{W[\nu], U[\sigma]; W[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda + \mu)) \\ &= \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{V[\mu], U[0]; V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda) \mathbb{R}_{W[\nu], U[0]; W[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda + \mu)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_V^{\lambda, U} \mathcal{D}_W^{\lambda, U} &= \sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} |_{V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{V[\mu], U[0]; V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda)) T_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu]} (\mathbb{R}_{W[\nu], U[0]; W[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda)) T_{\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} \text{Tr} |_{V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{V[\mu], U[0]; V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda)) \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu]} (\mathbb{R}_{W[\nu], U[0]; W[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda + \mu)) T_{\mu + \nu} \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{V[\mu], U[0]; V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda) \mathbb{R}_{W[\nu], U[0]; W[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda + \mu)) T_{\mu + \nu} \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]; W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda)) T_{\mu + \nu} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \text{Tr} |_{(W \otimes V)[\sigma]} (\mathbb{R}_{(W \otimes V)[\sigma], U[0]; (W \otimes V)[\sigma], U[0]}(\lambda)) T_{\sigma} = \mathcal{D}_{W \otimes V}^{\lambda, U}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

But also

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{W \otimes V}^{\lambda, U} &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu]} (\mathbb{R}_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]; W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu], U[0]}(\lambda)) T_{\mu+\nu} \\
&= \sum_{\mu, \nu} \text{Tr} |_{W[\nu] \otimes V[\mu]} (P_{V[\mu], W[\nu]; V[\mu], W[\nu]} \mathbb{R}_{V[\mu] \otimes W[\nu], U[0]; V[\mu] \otimes W[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda) \\
&\quad \times P_{W[\nu], V[\mu]; W[\nu], V[\mu]}) \circ T_{\mu+\nu} \\
&= \sum_{\mu, \nu} \text{Tr} |_{V[\mu] \otimes W[\nu]} (\mathbb{R}_{V[\mu] \otimes W[\nu], U[0]; V[\mu] \otimes W[\nu], U[0]}(\lambda)) T_{\mu+\nu} = \mathcal{D}_{V \otimes W}^{\lambda, U}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{D}_V^{\lambda, U} \mathcal{D}_W^{\lambda, U} = \mathcal{D}_{W \otimes V}^{\lambda, U} = \mathcal{D}_{V \otimes W}^{\lambda, U} = \mathcal{D}_W^{\lambda, U} \mathcal{D}_V^{\lambda, U}. \tag{5.8}$$

□

Note that it was possible to apply (4.12) in the above proof because we had assumed that $\mathcal{D}_V^{\lambda, U}$ acts on $U[0]$ -valued functions, and because the definition of $\mathcal{D}_V^{\lambda, U}$ involved shift operators T_ν .

6. Weighted trace functions. In Section 9.2 of [E-S] weighted-trace functions are introduced and difference equations are given for them. [E-S] refers for the proofs to [E-V]. Theorem 9.2 of [E-S] survives for $q = 1$, see [E-V], Theorem 10.4. I will give a proof of that theorem parallel to the proof of the q -case, see Theorem 1.2 and Section 3 in [E-V].

First consider the proof of Lemma 2.14 in [E-V]. Let W be a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module. By the properties of the intertwining operator we can uniquely define a bilinear form $B_{\lambda, W} : W \times W^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$(1 \otimes \langle, \rangle) \circ (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(w^*)}^w \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{w^*} = B_{\lambda, W}(w, w^*) \text{id}_{M_\lambda}. \tag{6.1}$$

Note that $B_{\lambda, W}(w, w^*) = 0$ if $\text{wt}(w) + \text{wt}(w^*) \neq 0$. Since

$$\Phi_\lambda^{J_{WW^*}(\lambda)(w \otimes w^*)} = (\Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(w^*)}^w \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_\lambda^{w^*}, \tag{6.2}$$

we have

$$B_{\lambda, W}(w, w^*) = \langle, \rangle (J_{WW^*}(\lambda)(w \otimes w^*)). \tag{6.3}$$

Define a generalized element $Q(\lambda)$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of the universal fusion matrix by

$$Q(\lambda) := (m \circ P \circ (1 \otimes S^{-1}))J(\lambda). \tag{6.4}$$

This induces an endomorphism $Q_W(\lambda)$ of W given by

$$Q_W(\lambda) = C_W \left((J_{WW^*}(\lambda)^{t_2})^{21} \right), \tag{6.5}$$

where C_W denotes contraction of an endomorphism of $W \otimes W$ to an endomorphism of W . Now we have

$$B_{\lambda, W}(w, w^*) = \langle Q_W(\lambda)w, w^* \rangle. \tag{6.6}$$

Indeed, if $T \in \text{End}(W \otimes W)$ then $\langle C(T)w, w^* \rangle = \langle , \rangle ((T^{21})^{t_2}(w \otimes w^*))$. Hence

$$\langle Q_W(\lambda)w, w^* \rangle = \langle , \rangle (J_{W^*W}(\lambda)(w \otimes w^*)) = B_{\lambda, W}(w, w^*). \quad (6.7)$$

It follows from (6.6) that $Q_W(\lambda)$ is a weight preserving endomorphism of W .

Next we have

$$B_{\lambda, U \otimes W} \circ (J_{UW}(\lambda - h^{(U^*)} - h^{(W^*)}) \otimes J_{U^*W^*}(\lambda)) = B_{\lambda, U} \circ B_{\lambda - h^{(U^*)}, W}. \quad (6.8)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{\lambda, U}(u, u^*) B_{\lambda - \text{wt}(u^*), W} \text{id}_{M_\lambda} \\ &= (\langle , \rangle \otimes \langle , \rangle) \circ \Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(u^*) - \text{wt}(w^*) - \text{wt}(w)}^u \circ \Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(u^*) - \text{wt}(w^*)}^w \circ \Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(u^*)}^{w^*} \circ \Phi_\lambda^{u^*} \\ &= (\langle , \rangle \otimes \langle , \rangle) \circ \Phi_{\lambda - \text{wt}(u^*) - \text{wt}(w^*)}^{J_{UW}(\lambda - \text{wt}(u^*) - \text{wt}(w^*)) (u \otimes w)} \circ \Phi_\lambda^{J_{W^*U^*}(\lambda)(w^* \otimes u^*)} \\ &= B_{\lambda, U \otimes W}(J_{UW}(\lambda - \text{wt}(u^*) - \text{wt}(w^*)) (u \otimes w), J_{W^*U^*}^{21}(\lambda)(u^* \otimes w^*)) \text{id}_{M_\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Combination of (6.6) with (6.8) yields that

$$Q_{U \otimes W}(\lambda) = \left(J_{W^*U^*}^{t_1 t_2, 21}(\lambda) \right)^{-1} (Q_U(\lambda) \otimes Q_W(\lambda + h^{(U)})) J_{UW}(\lambda + h^{(U)} + h^{(W)})^{-1}. \quad (6.10)$$

It follows from (6.1) and (6.6) that $Q_{U \otimes W}(\lambda) = Q_{W \otimes U}^{21}(\lambda)$. Hence we can rewrite (6.10) as

$$Q_{U \otimes W}(\lambda) = \left(J_{U^*W^*}^{t_1 t_2}(\lambda) \right)^{-1} (Q_U(\lambda + h^{(W)}) \otimes Q_W(\lambda)) J_{WU}^{21}(\lambda + h^{(U)} + h^{(W)})^{-1}. \quad (6.11)$$

Now eliminate $Q_{U \otimes W}(\lambda)$ from these two formulas and substitute

$$R_{UW}(\lambda) = J_{UW}(\lambda)^{-1} J_{WU}^{21}(\lambda) \quad (6.12)$$

(the defining formula for the exchange matrix in Section 2.1 of [E-S]). Then we obtain

$$R_{U^*W^*}^{t_1 t_2}(\lambda) = (Q_U(\lambda) \otimes Q_W(\lambda + h^{(U)})) \circ R_{UW}(\lambda + h^{(U)} + h^{(W)}) (Q_U(\lambda + h^{(W)}) \otimes Q_W(\lambda))^{-1}. \quad (6.13)$$

This is essentially the formula at the end of Section 3.3 in [E-V].

Next I discuss Proposition 3.1 in [E-V]. Fix finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules V and W . Let B be a basis of V consisting of weight vectors. For $v \in B$ let V^* be the corresponding dual basis vector of V^* . Define the operator

$$\Phi_\mu^V : \mathcal{Y} \mapsto \sum_{v \in B} \Phi_\mu^v(\mathcal{Y}) \otimes v^* : M_\mu \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda} (M_{\mu - \lambda} \otimes V \otimes V^*[-\lambda]), \quad (6.14)$$

which is clearly independent of the choice of B . Define the isomorphism

$$\eta_W(\mu) : \bigoplus_{\nu} (W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu + \nu}) \rightarrow M_\mu \otimes W, \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\eta_W(\mu)(w \otimes z) := \Phi_{\mu + \nu}^w(z) \quad \text{if } w \in W[\nu], z \in M_{\mu + \nu}. \quad (6.16)$$

Proposition 3.1 together with formula (3.2) in [E-V] can now be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{V \otimes V^*, W} \circ (\Phi_\mu^V \otimes \text{id}_W) \circ \eta_W(\mu) \big|_{W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu + \nu}} \\ &= (\eta_W(\mu) \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_{V^*}) \circ R_{WV}^{t_2}(\mu + \nu) \circ (\text{id}_W \otimes \Phi_{\mu + \nu}^V) \big|_{W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu + \nu}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

PROOF OF (6.17). Write $R_{WV}(\mu + \nu) = \sum_i p_i \otimes q_i^t$. Let $\gamma \in M_{\mu+\nu}$ and $w \in W[\nu]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (P_{V \otimes V^*, W} \circ (\Phi_\mu^V \otimes \text{id}_W) \circ \eta_W(\mu))(w \otimes \gamma) \\
 &= (P_{V \otimes V^*, W} \circ (\Phi_\mu^V \otimes \text{id}_W) \circ \Phi_{\mu+\nu}^w)(\gamma) \\
 &= P_{VW} \sum_{v \in B} (\Phi_\mu^v \otimes \text{id})(\Phi_{\mu+\nu}^w(\gamma)) \otimes v^* \\
 &= P_{VW} \sum_{v \in B} \Phi_{\mu+\nu}^{J_{VW}^{(\mu+\nu)(v \otimes w)}}(\gamma) \otimes v^* \\
 &= \sum_{v \in B} \Phi_{\mu+\nu}^{J_W^{(\mu+\nu)(w \otimes v)}}(\gamma) \otimes v^* \\
 &= \sum_{v \in B} \Phi_{\mu+\nu}^{J_{WV}^{(\mu+\nu)(R_{WV}(\mu+\nu)(w \otimes v))}}(\gamma) \otimes v^* \\
 &= \sum_{v \in B} \sum_i (\Phi_{\mu+\nu-\text{wt}(v)}^{p_i w} \otimes \text{id}_V)(\Phi_{\mu+\nu}^{q_i^t v}(\gamma)) \otimes v^* \\
 &= \sum_{v \in B} \sum_i (\Phi_{\mu+\nu-\text{wt}(v)}^{p_i w} \otimes \text{id}_V)(\Phi_{\mu+\nu}^v(\gamma)) \otimes q_i v^* \\
 &= \sum_{v \in B} \sum_i (\eta_W(\mu) \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_{V^*})(p_i w \otimes \Phi_{\mu+\nu}^v(\gamma) \otimes q_i v^*) \\
 &= (\eta_W(\mu) \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_{V^*}) \circ R_{WV}^{t_2}(\mu + \nu) \circ (w \otimes \Phi_{\mu+\nu}^V(\gamma)). \quad \square
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and U a \mathfrak{g} -module let $e^\lambda : u \mapsto e^{\langle \lambda, \text{wt}(u) \rangle} u : U \rightarrow U$. Let V be a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module and let $v \in V[0]$. Let $\{\gamma_i\}$ be a basis of M_μ consisting of weight vectors. Since $\Phi_\mu^v : M_\mu \rightarrow M_\mu \otimes V$ is weight preserving, we have $\Phi_\mu^v(\gamma_i) \in \gamma_i \otimes V[0] + \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_j \otimes V$. Hence, if $B[0]$ is a basis of $V[0]$ and if $v^* \in V^*[0]$ is the dual basis vector corresponding to $v \in B[0]$, we have

$$\Phi_\mu^v(e^\lambda \gamma_i) \otimes v^* \in \gamma_i \otimes V[0] \otimes V^*[0] + \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_j \otimes V \otimes V^*[0]. \tag{6.19}$$

Let

$$\Phi_\mu^{V[0]} := \sum_{v \in B[0]} \Phi_\mu^v \otimes v^*. \tag{6.20}$$

Then

$$\Psi_V(\lambda, \mu) := \text{Tr} \big|_{M_\mu} (\Phi_\mu^{V[0]} \circ e^\lambda) \in V[0] \otimes V^*[0]. \tag{6.21}$$

For W a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module let

$$\chi_W(e^\lambda) := \text{Tr} \big|_W e^\lambda = \sum_\nu \dim(W[\nu]) e^{\langle \lambda, \nu \rangle}. \tag{6.22}$$

A difference equation for $\Psi_V(\lambda, \mu)$ in the variable μ can be derived from (6.17). First multiply both sides of (6.17) with $e^{\langle \lambda, \mu+\nu \rangle}$, observe that $\eta_W(\mu) \circ (\text{id}_W \otimes e^\lambda) = (e^\lambda \otimes e^\lambda) \circ \eta_W(\mu)$, sum both sides of (6.17) with respect to ν , and next multiply both sides of

(6.17) on the left with $(\eta_W(\mu) \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_{V^*})^{-1}$. Then we obtain the following identity of linear endomorphisms $\oplus_V(W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu+\nu}) \rightarrow \oplus_V(W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu+\nu} \otimes V \otimes V^*)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\eta_W(\mu) \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_{V^*})^{-1} \circ P_{V \otimes V^*, W} \circ \left((\Phi_\mu^V \circ e^\lambda) \otimes (e^\lambda \circ \text{id}_W) \right) \circ \eta_W(\mu) \Big|_{\oplus_V(W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu+\nu})} \\ &= \sum_\nu R_{WV}^{t_2}(\mu + \nu) \circ \left(\text{id}_W \otimes (\Phi_{\mu+\nu}^V \circ e^\lambda) \right) \Big|_{W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu+\nu}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

Now take the trace with respect to $\oplus_V(W[\nu] \otimes M_{\mu+\nu})$ on both sides of (6.23) and use (6.20). Then

$$(\text{Tr}|_W e^\lambda) \left(\text{Tr}|_{M_\mu} \Phi_\mu^{V[0]} \circ e^\lambda \right) = \sum_\nu \text{Tr}|_{W[\nu]} R_{WV}^{t_2}(\mu + \nu) \circ \left(\text{id}_W \otimes \text{Tr}|_{M_{\mu+\nu}} \Phi_{\mu+\nu}^{V[0]} \circ e^\lambda \right). \quad (6.24)$$

Now substitute (6.21) and (6.22), and take, inside the sum on the right-hand side, the transpose with respect to W . Then

$$\chi_W(e^\lambda) \Psi_V(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_\nu \text{Tr}|_{W^*[-\nu]} R_{WV}^{t_1 t_2}(\mu + \nu) \circ (\text{id}_{W^*} \otimes \Psi_V(\lambda, \mu + \nu)). \quad (6.25)$$

On the right-hand side of formula (6.25) substitute (6.13). Next also substitute $\mathbb{R}_{VU}(\lambda) := R_{VU}(-\lambda - \rho)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_V(\lambda) := Q_V(-\lambda - \rho)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_W(e^\lambda) \Psi_V(\lambda, \mu) \\ &= \sum_\nu \text{Tr}|_{W^*[-\nu]} (Q_{W^*}(\mu + \nu) \otimes Q_{V^*}(\mu + \nu + h^{(W^*)})) \circ R_{W^*V^*}(\mu + \nu + h^{(V^*)} + h^{(W^*)}) \\ & \quad \circ (Q_{W^*}(\mu + \nu + h^{(V^*)}) \otimes Q_{V^*}(\mu + \nu))^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{W^*} \otimes \Psi_V(\lambda, \mu + \nu)) \\ &= \sum_\nu \text{Tr}|_{W^*[\nu]} (\mathbb{Q}_{W^*}(\mu + \nu) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{V^*}(\mu + \nu - h^{(W^*)})) \circ \mathbb{R}_{W^*V^*}(\mu + \nu - h^{(V^*)} - h^{(W^*)}) \\ & \quad \circ (\mathbb{Q}_{W^*}(\mu + \nu - h^{(V^*)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{V^*}(\mu + \nu))^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{W^*} \otimes \Psi_V(\lambda, -\mu - \nu - \rho)) \\ &= \sum_\nu \text{Tr}|_{W^*[\nu]} (\mathbb{Q}_{W^*}(\mu + \nu) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{V^*}(\mu)) \circ \mathbb{R}_{W^*V^*}(\mu) \circ (\mathbb{Q}_{W^*}(\mu + \nu) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{V^*}(\mu + \nu))^{-1} \\ & \quad \circ (\text{id}_{W^*} \otimes \Psi_V(\lambda, -\mu - \nu - \rho)) \\ &= \mathbb{Q}_{V^*}(\mu) \circ \sum_\nu \text{Tr}|_{W^*[\nu]} \mathbb{R}_{W^*V^*}(\mu) \circ (\text{id}_{W^*} \otimes (\mathbb{Q}_{V^*}(\mu + \nu)^{-1} \circ \Psi_V(\lambda, -\mu - \nu - \rho))). \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

Let the Weyl denominator be given by

$$\delta(\lambda) := e^{\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - e^{-\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}). \quad (6.27)$$

Define the weighted-trace function by

$$F_V(\lambda, \mu) := \mathbb{Q}_{V^*}^{-1}(\mu) \Psi_V(\lambda, -\mu - \rho) \delta(\lambda). \quad (6.28)$$

Now replace W^* by W in (6.26) and substitute (5.2) and (6.28) in (6.26). We finally obtain the formula which is the $q = 1$ case of Theorem 9.2 in [E-S]:

$$\mathcal{D}_W^{\mu, V^*} F_V(\lambda, \mu) = \chi_W(e^{-\lambda}) F_V(\lambda, \mu). \quad (6.29)$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I was inspired by Pavel Etingof's lectures on the dynamical Yang-Baxter equations at the London Mathematical Society Symposium on Quantum groups in Durham, UK, July 1999. I thank Eric Opdam for suggesting a shorter proof than I originally had for the rational dependence on λ of the intertwining operator.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, and E. Billey, *A quasi-Hopf algebra interpretation of quantum 3-j and 6-j symbols and difference equations*, Phys. Lett. B **375** (1996), no. 1-4, 89-97, q-alg/9511019. MR 97f:81058. Zbl 894.17008.
- [2] P. Etingof and O. Schiffmann, *Lectures on the dynamical Yang-Baxter equations*, preprint, math.QA/9908064, version 2, 1999, 2000.
- [3] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko, *Geometry and classification of solutions of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **192** (1998), no. 1, 77-120, q-alg/9703040. MR 99e:32032. Zbl 915.17018.
- [4] ———, *Solutions of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and dynamical quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **196** (1998), no. 3, 591-640, q-alg/9708015. CMP 1 645 196. Zbl 990.35339.
- [5] ———, *Exchange dynamical quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **205** (1999), no. 1, 19-52, math.QA/9801135. CMP 1 706 916. Zbl 990.73943.
- [6] ———, *Traces of intertwiners for quantum groups and difference equations, I*, math.QA/9907181, version 2, 1999, 2000.
- [7] G. Felder, *Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, pp. 1247-1255. MR 97m:81015. Zbl 852.17014.
- [8] ———, *Elliptic quantum groups*, XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994), Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 211-218, hep-th/9412207. MR 96j:17012.
- [9] J.-L. Gervais and A. Neveu, *Novel triangle relation and absence of tachyons in Liouville string field theory*, Nuclear Phys. B **238** (1984), no. 1, 125-141. MR 86f:81088.

TOM H. KOORNWINDER: KORTEWEG-DE VRIES INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM,
 PLANTAGE MUIDERGRACHT 24, 1018 TV AMSTERDAM, NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: thk@science.uva.nl

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br