

BOUNDARY VALUES AND THE TRANSFORMATION PROBLEM FOR CONSTANT PRINCIPAL STRAIN MAPPINGS

JULIAN GEVIRTZ

Received 17 April 2002

We initiate a study of homeomorphisms f with constant principal strains (cps) between smoothly bounded planar domains D, D' . An initial result shows that in order for there to be such a mapping of a given Jordan domain D onto D' , a certain condition of an isoperimetric nature must be satisfied by the latter. Thereafter, we establish the fundamental fact that principal strain lines (characteristics) of such mappings necessarily have well-defined tangents where they meet ∂D . Using this, we obtain information about the boundary values of the Jacobian transformation of f , and finally we determine the class of all cps-homeomorphisms of a half-plane onto itself.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L45, 35L60, 74B99, 30C35.

1. Introduction. This paper deals with mappings with constant principal strains, that is, mappings f of a planar domain D into \mathbb{R}^2 for which the principal stretches of the Jacobian matrix J_f of f at z are distinct positive constants m_1 and m_2 . Global properties of such f , to be referred to as (m_1, m_2) -mappings or less specifically as *cps-mappings*, were studied in [2, 3, 5, 6]. Homeomorphisms of this kind represent 2-dimensional deformations with constant principal strains such as those effected by cryptocrystalline solidification of a planar lamina, in which context the study of global properties of cps-mappings will yield, among other things, information about the manner in which such deformations can change shape as well as how the original mass can be shifted around in the process. In addition, (m_1, m_2) -mappings constitute a tractable subclass of (m_1, m_2) -quasi-isometries (i.e., local homeomorphisms for which the local stretching factors are merely constrained to lie between m_1 and m_2). Because they realize the local length change bounds extremally at all points, it is reasonable to believe that (m_1, m_2) -mappings manifest extremal behavior for some, at least, of the many open distortion questions for planar quasi-isometries (see [10, 11]).

Although, as we will presently explain, the systems of partial differential equations which define them are hyperbolic, significant analogies of conformal mappings discussed here and in the papers cited above lend credence to the belief that an interesting function theory for cps-mappings can ultimately be developed. Yet another motivation for this study lies in the fact that, other

than conformal mappings, the classes of (m_1, m_2) -mappings are the only ones that can be defined by restricting the Jacobian to have values in a 2-parameter family of linear transformations in which no arbitrarily stipulated reference directions in the domain or image planes appear, a *sine qua non* for any direct extension to the more general Riemannian manifold context, as was pointed out in [2].

To continue this introductory discussion, it is advantageous to outline the *formal local* theory of (m_1, m_2) -mappings. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (we henceforth associate \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} and freely mix real and complex notation) be differentiable in D . In slight abuse of usual terminology, the nonnegative square roots $m_1(z)$, $m_2(z)$ of the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix $J_f(z)^T J_f(z)$ will be called the *principal strains* of f at z . An (m_1, m_2) -mapping is an orientation-preserving mapping for which (the entries of) J_f are in $\text{Lip}(D)$, the class of locally Lipschitz continuous functions on D , and such that $m_k(z) = m_k > 0$, $k = 1, 2$ for all $z \in D$; the reason for the Lipschitz requirement will become clear in what follows. (See also the final paragraph of [Section 7](#)). We henceforth denote the set of all such mappings by the symbol $\text{cps}(D, m_1, m_2)$. It is immediate that for simply connected D , $f \in \text{cps}(D, m_1, m_2)$ if and only if there are $\theta = \theta_f$ and $\phi = \phi_f$ in $\text{Lip}(D)$ such that $J_f = T(-\phi)\sigma(m_1, m_2)T(\theta)$, where

$$T(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma(m_1, m_2) = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

At each point $z \in D$, $e^{i\theta(z)}$ and $ie^{i\theta(z)}$ give the directions in which f effects a length change by factors m_1 and m_2 , respectively, and $e^{i\phi(z)}$ and $ie^{i\phi(z)}$ give the corresponding image directions. For $\theta \in \text{Lip}(D)$, the integral curves of the direction fields $e^{i\theta(z)}$ and $ie^{i\theta(z)}$ will be called 1- and 2-characteristics, respectively. Straightforward calculations based on the equality of mixed second-order partial derivatives show that if D is simply connected and $\theta, \phi \in \text{Lip}(D)$, then $T(-\phi)\sigma(m_1, m_2)T(\theta)$ is the Jacobian matrix of an (m_1, m_2) -mapping if and only if $m_1\theta - m_2\phi$ and $m_2\theta - m_1\phi$ are constant along each 1- and 2-characteristic, respectively, that is, if and only if θ, ϕ constitute a solution, in an appropriate sense, of the system

$$D_1(m_1\theta - m_2\phi) = 0; \quad D_2(m_2\theta - m_1\phi) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $D_1u = \cos \theta u_x + \sin \theta u_y$ and $D_2u = -\sin \theta u_x + \cos \theta u_y$. Because of the obviously hyperbolic nature of this system, it is clear how we can manufacture large classes of cps-mappings as solutions to Cauchy or characteristic initial value problems. Further calculations show that a C^2 -function θ is associated

in this manner with an (m_1, m_2) -mapping if and only if it satisfies either of the second-order hyperbolic equations

$$D_2 D_1 \theta = [D_1 \theta]^2 \quad \text{or} \quad D_1 D_2 \theta = -[D_2 \theta]^2. \quad (1.3)$$

For any θ satisfying these equations in D , the corresponding ϕ is determined to within an additive constant by (1.2). In the case of multiply connected D , of course, the ϕ so determined, and consequently the corresponding f , will in most cases be multiple-valued.

Equations (1.2), together with the appropriate weak counterpart of (1.3), to be explained in the following section, form the basis of the global theory of cps-mappings. The latter say exactly how the curvatures $D_k \theta$ of the characteristics change as we move along the orthogonal characteristics and imply, in particular, that the curvatures, unless initially 0, must eventually blow up, that is, that θ , and consequently J_f , must lose its local Lipschitz continuity if given enough room. Specifically, we have the sharp bound

$$|D_k \theta(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{\text{dist}(z_0, \partial D)} \quad (1.4)$$

(when the left-hand side is meaningful). This implies that $\text{cps}(\mathbb{C}, m_1, m_2)$ contains only affine mappings, a cps-analogue of Liouville's theorem for analytic functions. More importantly, though, (1.4) tells us that $\{J_f : f \in \text{cps}(D, m_1, m_2)\}$ is locally uniformly Lipschitz in D , and, in addition, allows us to derive a sharp distortion theorem (see [3]) to the effect that $f(N(z, r))$ is convex for all $f \in \text{cps}(N(z, 1), m_1, m_2)$ if and only if $r \leq (\min\{m_1, m_2\} / \max\{m_1, m_2\})^2$, where $N(z, r)$ denotes the disk of radius r about z . This fact, together with the compactness principle (see Proposition 2.3), suggests that it should be possible to develop further sharp distortion results for cps-mappings which parallel some of the theorems of classical geometric function theory.

Instead of pursuing this possibility, however, in the present paper we examine the analogues of several other aspects of analytic function theory, all related to the general question, loosely referred to as the *transformation problem*, of when and how the domains D and D' can be mapped homeomorphically onto one another via cps-mappings. After Section 2, in which we formalize terminology and state the necessary basic facts, in Section 3 we take up the question as to whether two given domains D and D' can be transformed onto one another by cps-mappings. Although for any Jordan domain $D \cup_{m_1, m_2} \text{cps}(D, m_1, m_2)$ is a very large class, we show that for any such smoothly bounded D there are smoothly bounded D' onto which D cannot be mapped homeomorphically by any cps-mapping; we do this by deriving a necessary condition on D' , of an isoperimetric nature, for such a mapping to exist. Even though, in all likelihood, an intrinsic geometric characterization of all those

D' onto which a given D can be so transformed would be difficult to come by, the determination of all cps-mappings between two given domains, especially in the case where $D = D'$, constitutes a significant issue. In order to pursue this question, however, it is necessary, in view of the hyperbolic nature of the basic equations, to determine to what extent such mappings are the solution of relevant Cauchy problems.

Fundamental to any analysis of this issue is the fact, established in [Section 4](#), that if f is any cps-homeomorphism of D onto D' , where ∂D and $\partial D'$ are smooth curves (i.e. with C^1 arc length parametrizations) and C is a characteristic which meets ∂D at p , then the limit of $\theta_f(z)$ as $z \rightarrow p$ along C exists. This allows us to show in [Section 5](#) that for each $z_0 \in \partial D$, $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (\theta_f(z), \phi_f(z))$ exists in the sense that it tends to the solution of a “Riemann problem” for system (1.2) in a half-plane, so that the possibilities for the limiting behavior of $\theta_f(z)$ and $\phi_f(z)$ are governed by just two parameters (or four, if we include the inclinations of the tangents to ∂D and $\partial D'$ at p , and $f(p)$). This “boundary regularity” of J_f is very much in the spirit of analogous results for the derivatives of conformal mappings such as the well-known theorem of Lindelöf ([\[12, Theorems 10.1, 10.4\]](#)).

It turns out that in the two significant cases of the half-plane and the exterior of a disk $\mathbb{C} \setminus N(0, 1)$, geometric arguments based on (1.2) and (1.3) allow one to show that, apart from certain degeneracies which occasion no difficulties, all cps-self-homeomorphisms are associated with Cauchy problems, a circumstance which enables us to give a complete description of the relevant families; we do so for half-planes in [Section 6](#) but leave the discussion of the somewhat more involved case of $\mathbb{C} \setminus N(0, 1)$ to a subsequent paper. In both instances the reduction to Cauchy problems strongly depends on the fact that the geometry of the domains permits us to show that all characteristics meet ∂D in exactly one point, a feature patently absent in the case, for example, of Jordan domains. In the concluding [Section 7](#), we discuss some of the issues suggested in a natural way by the considerations of the preceding sections.

2. Preliminaries. Most of the facts stated in this section were proved in complete detail in [\[5\]](#), so that we will include proofs here only for points not discussed in that paper. To facilitate the discussion of characteristics, we begin by amplifying the notational conventions given in the introduction. As indicated, for $\theta \in \text{Lip}(D)$, the complete integral curves of the direction fields $e^{i\theta(z)}$ and $ie^{i\theta(z)}$ will be called 1- and 2-characteristics, respectively, of θ , or of f , when $\theta = \theta_f$. Note that if we regard f as an (m_1, m_2) -mapping, rather than as an (m_2, m_1) -mapping, $e^{i\theta_f(z)}$ gives the direction in which lengths are changed by a factor of m_1 at z . Throughout, $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. Arcs of k -characteristics will be called k -arcs or, less specifically, *characteristic arcs*. Unless otherwise stated, the term “characteristic” used alone refers to complete characteristics, which we sometimes emphasize by the inclusion of this modifier. When discussing an

i -characteristic, the j -characteristics that cross it will be referred to as the *orthogonal characteristics*. If $z = z(s)$, $\alpha_1 < s < \alpha_2$ is a parametrization of a complete k -characteristic C , then for any $s_0 \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $z((\alpha_1, s_0])$ and $z([s_0, \alpha_2))$ will be called *k-half-characteristics*. In addition, if $\lim_{s \rightarrow \alpha_i} z(s) = z_0 \in \partial D$, $i = 1$ or 2 , then we say that C exits D at z_0 . Obviously, a characteristic can either not exit at all, exit at exactly one end, or exit at both ends (*doubly exiting characteristic*). When working with a characteristic C parametrized in this way, we use the term *to the right* (*left*) to mean in the direction of $-iz'(s)$ ($iz'(s)$). The letter s will always indicate an arc length parametrization.

With reference to a specific such θ , a characteristic arc C joining points $a, b \in D$, oriented from a to b , will be denoted by ab , and we use the abbreviation

$$\Delta\theta(C) = \Delta\theta(ab) = \theta(b) - \theta(a). \quad (2.1)$$

A domain $Q \subset D$ will be said to be a *characteristic quadrilateral* of f if ∂Q is a Jordan curve lying in D and containing four points a, b, c, d occurring in that order when ∂Q is traversed (in either the positive or negative sense) and such that ab and cd are i -arcs, and bc and da are j -arcs. We will refer to such a Q as $abcd$ and use the abbreviation

$$\Delta^2\theta(abcd) = \Delta\theta(bc) - \Delta\theta(ad) = \Delta\theta(dc) - \Delta\theta(ab). \quad (2.2)$$

Opposite sides of a characteristic quadrilateral will be referred to as *translates* of each other. For a given such Q , if C_k is a k -arc joining opposite sides of Q parametrized by $z_k(s)$, $\tau_k \leq s \leq \sigma_k$, $k = 1, 2$, then the standard characteristic coordinate mapping $\zeta : [\tau_i, \sigma_i] \times [\tau_j, \sigma_j] \rightarrow Q$ maps (s_i, s_j) onto the intersection of the j -characteristic through $z_i(s_i)$ with the i -characteristic through $z_j(s_j)$.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be simply connected and let $\theta, \phi \in \text{Lip}(D)$. Then, as indicated in the introduction, $J_f = T(-\phi)\sigma(m_1, m_2)T(\theta)$ is the Jacobian of a mapping if and only if (1.2) hold a.e., that is, if and only if

$$R_i = m_i\theta - m_j\phi, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.3)$$

is constant along each i -characteristic. Moreover, $\theta \in \text{Lip}(D)$ is θ_f for some (m_1, m_2) -mapping f of D if and only if $\Delta^2\theta(Q) = 0$ for all characteristic quadrilaterals Q of θ contained in D . Such functions θ are called *HP-functions* since the families of integral curves of the two fields $e^{i\theta}$ and $ie^{i\theta}$ for such θ are known as Hencky-Prandtl nets (HP-nets). (For the relevance of HP-nets to other contexts as well as a discussion of their elementary properties, see [1, 7, 8, 9, 13].) We will refer to the fact that $\Delta^2\theta(Q) = 0$ as the *HP-property*.

As indicated in the preceding section, D_1 and D_2 will denote differentiation with respect to arc length in the directions $e^{i\theta(z)}$ and $ie^{i\theta(z)}$, respectively. We use the symbol $\lambda_k(E)$, $k = 1, 2$, to denote the k -dimensional measure of the set E , so that $\lambda_1(C)$, in particular, is the arc length of the simple arc C .

Furthermore,

$$N(X, r) = \cup \{N(z, r) : z \in X\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we explain the sense in which the blow-up equations (1.3) hold for general (i.e., not necessarily C^2) HP-functions θ . We define $E_i = E_i(\theta)$ to be the set of all points p such that if $z = z(s)$, $-\epsilon < s < \epsilon$, with $z(0) = p$ is an arc length parametrization of an i -arc of θ containing p , then $\theta(z(s))$ is differentiable at $s = 0$. Obviously, almost all points (with respect to arc length) of each i -characteristic belong to E_i and almost all points of the domain on which θ is defined (with respect to λ_2) belong to $E_1 \cap E_2$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let θ be an HP-function on D and let C_k , $k = 1, 2$ be the k -characteristic through $p \in E_i$. Then, $C_j \subset E_i$ and the relevant equation in (1.3) holds along C_j when D_k is interpreted as arc length differentiation along C_k in the direction $e^{i\theta}$ for $k = 1$ and $ie^{i\theta}$ for $k = 2$.*

If $z = z(s)$, $\alpha < s < \beta$, is an arc length parametrization of an m_k -arc, then since $\theta \in \text{Lip}(D)$, $\kappa_k(z(s)) = d\theta(z(s))/ds = D_k\theta(z(s))$ exists almost everywhere on (α, β) and gives the curvature of the k -arc through $z(s)$. This means that if $\kappa_i(z)$ exists then z is joined to ∂D by a j -arc of length at most $1/|\kappa_i(z)|$ emanating from the concave side of the i -characteristic through z . Because of this, we immediately obtain the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let f be a cps-mapping on D , then*

$$D_k^+ \theta(z_0) \leq \frac{1}{\text{dist}(z_0, \partial D)} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $z_0 \in D$, where

$$D_k^+ \theta(p) = \limsup_{z \rightarrow p} |D_k \theta(z)|. \quad (2.6)$$

From this, in turn, we easily deduce the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.3 (compactness principle). *Let D and B be a bounded domains, and let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of CPS mappings for which $f_k(D) \subset B$. Then $\{f_k\}$ contains a subsequence which converges uniformly to a cps-mapping f on D , and for which the corresponding first-order derivatives converge locally uniformly on D to those of f .*

We will also need the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let the mapping $\zeta : I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow Q$, where $I_i = [\tau_i, \sigma_i]$, be a characteristic coordinate mapping (as described above). If the lengths of all of the translates of $C_2 = z_2(I_2)$ along $C_1 = z_1(I_1 \times \{\tau_2\})$ are at least ρ , then the area of $\zeta(I_1 \times I_2)$ is at least $\rho \lambda_1(C_1)/2$.*

Throughout, the term *smooth curve* will refer to one with a continuously turning tangent; that is, one for which the arc length parametrization is C^1 . If C is a characteristic of f exiting D at p for which the limit of $\theta(z)$ exists as $z \rightarrow p$ (so that C has a well-defined tangent at p), then it follows from (1.2) that the corresponding limit of $\phi(z)$ also exists, which means that $f(C)$ also has a well-defined tangent at $f(p)$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *There exists a positive function $W = W(\mu, \alpha)$, $\mu > 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq \pi$, which for any given μ is nondecreasing in α and which has the following property. Let D and D' be Jordan domains, and let f be an (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphism of D onto D' . Let E and E' be smooth arcs of ∂D and $\partial D'$. Let C be a characteristic arc of f which exits D at endpoint p of E and for which the limit of $\theta_f(z)$ exists as $z \rightarrow p$ along C . Let $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ be the angle formed by C and E at p , and let α' denote the corresponding angle formed by $f(C)$ and $f(E)$ in the image. Let $m_i < m_j$. Then,*

$$\frac{m_i}{m_j} \alpha + W\left(\frac{m_j}{m_i}, \alpha\right) \leq \alpha' \leq \frac{m_j}{m_i} \alpha \quad \text{if } C \text{ is an } i\text{-characteristic,} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{m_i}{m_j} \alpha \leq \alpha' \leq \frac{m_j}{m_i} \alpha - W\left(\frac{m_j}{m_i}, \alpha\right) \quad \text{if } C \text{ is } j\text{-characteristic.} \quad (2.8)$$

PROOF. First assume that C is an i -characteristic. Without loss of generality, we can assume that p is the initial point of E . After appropriate compositions of f with rigid motions, we can assume that $p = f(p) = 0$, that the positively oriented tangents to both E and E' at 0 have the direction of the positive real axis, and that the unit tangents to C and $f(C)$ at 0 are $e^{i\alpha}$ and $e^{i\alpha'}$. Let $\mu = m_j/m_i$, and let $S(\gamma)$ denote the sector $\{z \mid 0 < \arg z < \gamma\}$. Since C is an i -arc, by applying the compactness principle to the family of mappings $f(nz)/m_i n$, we obtain $(1, \mu)$ -homeomorphism g of $S(\alpha)$ onto $S(\alpha')$ such that $g(te^{i\alpha}) = te^{i\alpha'}$, $t \geq 0$. We have

$$N(0, 1) \cap S(\alpha') \subset g(N(0, 1) \cap S(\alpha)), \quad (2.9)$$

so that

$$\frac{\alpha'}{2} = \lambda_2(N(0, 1) \cap S(\alpha')) \leq \lambda_2(g(N(0, 1) \cap S(\alpha))) = \frac{\mu\alpha}{2}, \quad (2.10)$$

which gives the upper bound in (2.7). In addition,

$$N\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}e^{i\alpha}, \frac{\mu-1}{2}\right) \cap N(0, 1) = \emptyset, \quad (2.11)$$

and $S(\alpha)$ contains one of the semidisks $Q(\mu, \alpha)$ into which the line $\arg z = \alpha$ cuts the disk

$$N\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}e^{i\alpha}, \min\left\{\frac{\mu-1}{2\mu}, \frac{1+\mu}{2}\sin\left(\min\left\{\alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}\right)\right\}\right). \quad (2.12)$$

Relation (2.11) implies that $Q(\mu, \alpha) \cap N(0, 1) = \emptyset$. Since $g((1+\mu)e^{i\alpha}/2) = (1+\mu)e^{i\alpha'}/2$, it follows that $g(Q(\mu, \alpha)) \subset S(\alpha') \cap N(0, \mu)$. We have

$$g(N(0, 1) \cap S(\alpha)) \subset (S(\alpha') \cap N(0, \mu)) \setminus g(Q(\mu, \alpha)), \quad (2.13)$$

so that

$$\frac{\mu\alpha}{2} = \lambda_2(g(N(0, 1) \cap S(\alpha))) \leq \frac{\alpha'\mu^2}{2} - \mu\lambda_2(Q(\mu, \alpha)); \quad (2.14)$$

that is, $\alpha \leq \mu\alpha' - 2\lambda_2(Q(\mu, \alpha))$, so that we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{\mu} + W_1(\mu, \alpha) \leq \alpha' \leq \mu\alpha, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$W_1(\mu, \alpha) = \frac{\pi(\min\{(\mu-1)/2\mu, (1+\mu)/2\sin(\min\{\alpha, \pi/2\})\})^2}{\mu}. \quad (2.16)$$

If C is a j -characteristic, then we apply (2.15) to the $(1/m_1, 1/m_2)$ -homeomorphism f^{-1} to obtain

$$\alpha' \leq \mu\alpha - \mu W_1(\mu, \alpha'), \quad \alpha' \geq \frac{\alpha}{\mu}. \quad (2.17)$$

Since for fixed μ , $W_1(\mu, \alpha)$ is nondecreasing in α , it follows that $W_1(\mu, \alpha') \geq W_1(\mu, \alpha/\mu)$. Thus, in the case that C is a j -characteristic, we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{\mu} \leq \alpha' \leq \mu\alpha - \mu W_1\left(\mu, \frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right), \quad (2.18)$$

so that Proposition 2.5 is established with

$$W(\mu, \alpha) = \min\left\{W_1(\mu, \alpha), \mu W_1\left(\mu, \frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)\right\}, \quad (2.19)$$

which is clearly a positive nonincreasing function of α . \square

PROPOSITION 2.6. *There is a positive decreasing function $\gamma = \gamma_{m_1, m_2}(t)$ with the following property: let D and D' be Jordan domains and let $f: D \rightarrow D'$ be an (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphism of D onto D' under which smooth boundary arcs E and E' correspond to each other. Let C be a characteristic of f joining the endpoints a and b of E for which the limits of $\theta(z)$ exist as $z \rightarrow a, b$ along C , and for which the interior angles of the simple closed curve $E \cup C$ at a and b are α and β . Let the change in the tangent inclinations along E and E' (measured in the positive direction along the boundaries) be d and d' . Then, $|d| + |d'| \geq \gamma(\alpha) + \gamma(\beta)$.*

PROOF. Let $m_i < m_j$ and let C be an i -characteristic taken as positively oriented with respect to the interior of $E \cup C$. Let $\mu = m_j/m_i$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\theta(C) + d &= \alpha + \beta, \\ \frac{\Delta\theta(C)}{\mu} + d' &= \Delta\phi(C) + d' = \alpha' + \beta' \geq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\mu} + W(\mu, \alpha) + W(\mu, \beta), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where α' and β' are the image angles corresponding to α and β , and W is the function of the preceding proposition defined in (2.16) and (2.19). Thus

$$\frac{\alpha + \beta - d}{\mu} + d' \geq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\mu} + W(\mu, \alpha) + W(\mu, \beta), \quad (2.21)$$

so that

$$|d| + |d'| \geq d' - \frac{d}{\mu} \geq W(\mu, \alpha) + W(\mu, \beta). \quad (2.22)$$

A similar bound holds in the case that C is a j -characteristic. \square

An examination of the proof (with $d = d' = 0$) shows that under the same hypotheses, there cannot exist a characteristic which joins an interior point of E to itself.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *There is a $\tau_0 = \tau_0(m_i, m_j) > 0$ with the following property: let D, D', E, E' , and f be as in the preceding proposition. Let a, b , and c be interior points of E . Let C be an i -arc which joins a and b and let \bar{C} be a j -arc joining a point e of C to c outside the subdomain U of D bounded by C and the arc ab of E . Assume that the limits of $\theta(z)$ exist as $z \rightarrow a$ along C and as $z \rightarrow c$ along \bar{C} . Let the interior angle of ∂U at a be $\alpha \geq 0$ and let $\Delta\theta(ae) = \delta$. If $\alpha, |\delta| < \tau_0$, then $|d| + |d'| \geq \tau_0$, where d and d' are the changes in the angle tangent to ∂D and $\partial D'$ along ac and $f(ac)$, respectively.*

PROOF. Let T be the curvilinear triangle bounded by the arc ae of C , \bar{C} , and the subarc B of E joining a and c . Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and ω be the interior angles of

T at c and e , respectively. There are two cases: (i) $\omega = 3\pi/2$ and (ii) $\omega = \pi/2$, corresponding, respectively, to the cases that a comes before and after c when E is traversed in the positive sense with respect to D . Let δ and $\bar{\delta}$ be the changes in θ along ae and \bar{C} when these arcs are traversed in the positive sense with respect to T , and let d and d' be the corresponding changes in the tangent angle along B and $f(B)$. Let $\mu = m_j/m_i$ and let α' and γ' be the angles corresponding to α and γ in $f(T)$. In case (i) we have

$$\bar{\delta} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma + \alpha - \delta - d, \quad \mu\bar{\delta} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma' + \alpha' - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d'. \quad (2.23)$$

Let $\alpha, |\delta| < \tau_0$. Assume first that $\mu > 1$. From [Proposition 2.5](#) and the second of these equations it follows that

$$\mu\bar{\delta} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\gamma + \mu\alpha - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d', \quad (2.24)$$

so that in light of the first equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\bar{\delta} &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\left(\bar{\delta} - \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha + \delta + d\right) + \mu\alpha - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d' \\ &\leq (1-\mu)\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\bar{\delta} + 2\mu\tau_0 + \mu(|d| + |d'|), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

so that $|d| + |d'| \geq ((\mu-1)(\pi/2) - 2\mu\tau_0)/\mu$, which gives the desired conclusion with an appropriate τ_0 . If $\mu < 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\bar{\delta} &\geq \frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\gamma + \mu\alpha - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d' \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\left(\bar{\delta} - \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha + \delta + d\right) + \mu\alpha - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d' \\ &\geq (1-\mu)\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\bar{\delta} - \frac{2}{\mu}\tau_0 - (|d| + |d'|), \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

so that $|d| + |d'| \geq (1-\mu)(\pi/2) - (2/\mu)\tau_0$, which again gives the desired result. Case (ii) is handled similarly; here we have

$$\bar{\delta} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma - \alpha - \delta - d, \quad \mu\bar{\delta} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma' - \alpha' - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d'. \quad (2.27)$$

Assume first that $\mu > 1$. From [Proposition 2.5](#) and the second of these equations it follows that

$$\mu\bar{\delta} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\gamma - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d', \quad (2.28)$$

so that in light of the first equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\bar{\delta} &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\left(\bar{\delta} - \frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha + \delta + d\right) - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d' \\ &\leq (1-\mu)\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\bar{\delta} + 3\mu\tau_0 + \mu(|d| + |d'|),\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

so that $|d| + |d'| \geq ((\mu-1)(\pi/2) - 3\mu\tau_0)/\mu$, which again gives the desired conclusion. Finally, if $\mu < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\bar{\delta} &\geq \frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\left(\bar{\delta} - \frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha + \delta + d\right) - \frac{1}{\mu}\alpha - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta - d' \\ &\geq (1-\mu)\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\bar{\delta} - \frac{3}{\mu}\tau_0 - (|d| + |d'|),\end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

so that $|d| + |d'| \geq (1-\mu)(\pi/2) - (3/\mu)\tau_0$, and we are done. \square

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let D be a Jordan domain, and let $z = z(s)$, $\alpha < s < \beta$ be an arc length parametrization of a full characteristic C of an HP-net on D . Then, $\lim_{z \rightarrow \alpha} z(s)$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \beta} z(s)$ exist and belong to ∂D .*

PROOF. First of all, $\text{dist}(z(s), \partial D) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \alpha$ (and similarly as $s \rightarrow \beta$). If this were not true, then there would be a $z_0 \in D$ and an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for some sequence $\{s_i\}$ tending to α , $z(s_i) \rightarrow z_0$ but $z([s_i, s_{i+1}]) \cap \partial N(z_0, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset$. But from this it would follow that some orthogonal characteristic crosses C twice, an impossible occurrence in light of the simple connectivity of D . We can now show that, in fact, $z(s) \rightarrow a, b \in \partial D$ as $s \rightarrow \alpha, \beta$, respectively. Assume that this is not so, as $s \rightarrow \beta$, for example. The foregoing then implies that there is an arc E of ∂D , each point of which is an accumulation point of $C_y = \{z(s) : s > y\}$ for each $y \in (\alpha, \beta)$. Since in this case C is clearly not a straight line segment, it follows from the comment immediately following [Proposition 2.1](#) that there is an orthogonal half-characteristic C' of finite length which joins some $z(\sigma)$ to a point $e \in \partial D$. Since C cannot cross C' twice in D , $C_\sigma \subset D \setminus C'$. Let z_1, z_2 be distinct points of $E \setminus \{e\}$. For each $\delta > 0$, C_σ has a subarc $pp' \subset N(\partial D, \delta) \setminus C'$, with $p, p' \in N(z_1, \delta)$ and a point $p'' \in pp' \cap N(z_2, \delta)$. For obvious topological reasons, for each sufficiently small δ , there must be a point q on pp' which is joined to a point in $N(z, \delta)$ by an orthogonal characteristic arc B of length at least $|z_1 - z_2| - 2\delta$ such that the curvature of C at q tends to infinity as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and C is concave towards the side from which B emanates. But this clearly violates [Proposition 2.1](#), as indicated in the paragraph immediately following its statement. \square

PROPOSITION 2.9. *If D is a Jordan domain with smooth boundary, then all characteristics of every HP-net on D have finite length.*

PROOF. Let C be parametrized as in the statement of the preceding lemma and let $b = \lim_{z \rightarrow \beta} z(s)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $b = 0$ and that the positively oriented tangent to ∂D at 0 has the direction of the positive real axis. We only consider the part of $C^+ = z([(\alpha + \beta)/2, \beta))$ that is within ϵ of b , where $|z((\alpha + \beta)/2) - b| \geq 2\epsilon$ and ϵ is so small that $N(0, 2\epsilon) \cap D$ is so close to being a semidisk that for $0 < \delta \leq 2\epsilon$, $\partial N(0, \delta) \cap D$ is connected and $\text{dist}(\delta i, \partial D) \geq \delta/2$. Now consider any $\delta \leq \epsilon$ and look at the part $C_\delta = C^+ \cap \{z : \Re z > 0, (1/2)\delta < |z| < \delta\}$ of C^+ in the right half of the “semiring” of points of D within $(1/2)\delta$ and δ of b . Note that C_δ may have several components. For each $p \in C_\delta$ let E_p denote the maximal orthogonal characteristic arc in $N(0, 2\delta) \cap D$ emanating rightwards from p (as we move along C towards b). By our choice of ϵ , $\lambda_1(E_p) \geq (1/4)\delta$. In addition, all of the E_p are disjoint. It then follows from the lower bound for the area of characteristic quadrilaterals given in [Proposition 2.4](#) that $4\pi\delta^2 = \lambda_2(N(0, 2\delta)) \geq (1/8)\delta\lambda_1(C_\delta)$, so that $\lambda_1(C_\delta) \leq 32\pi\delta$. Obviously, the same bound will hold when the left half of the semiring is considered instead of the right half. But by considering $\delta = (1/2)^n\epsilon$, $n \geq 0$, we will then have that the length of the part of C^+ within ϵ of b is at most $128\pi\epsilon$. This shows that $\lambda_1(C^+) < \infty$. By symmetry, $\lambda_1(C) < \infty$. \square

3. A property of image domains. Let D be a simply connected domain. Because an (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphism can alter lengths of curves only by factors between m_1 and m_2 , it is obviously not possible to transform D onto every other simply connected domain by means of a homeomorphism in $\text{cps}(D, m_1, m_2)$. Furthermore, because of the local Lipschitz continuity of such f , cps-homeomorphisms necessarily preserve both smoothness and irregularity of the boundary to some extent. It is, however, not unreasonable to ask if each smoothly bounded Jordan domain D can be transformed by some cps-homeomorphism, with appropriate m_1, m_2 onto any other such domain. We show that cps-mappings, while clearly forming a “large” class, do not possess this transformation capability; indeed, the following theorem shows that all homeomorphic cps-images of a smoothly bounded Jordan domain D must satisfy a shape requirement of an isoperimetric nature. In the following theorem, $\iota(E) = \sup\{r : N(z, r) \subset E\}$, the *inradius* of the Jordan domain E . It is clear that there is a z_0 in E such that $N(z_0, \iota(E)) \subset E$.

THEOREM 3.1. *For each smoothly bounded Jordan domain D , there exists a constant $C = C_D$ such that $\lambda_1(\partial E) \leq C\lambda_2(E)/\iota(E)$ for every Jordan domain E which is the image of D under a cps-homeomorphism.*

PROOF. Let $g \in \text{cps}(N(z_0, \rho), m_1, m_2)$. It follows from [Proposition 2.2](#) that for $0 < \xi < 1$, θ_g is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $(\rho(1 - \xi))^{-1}$ in the concentric disk $N(z_0, \xi\rho)$. Upon taking into account what this says about the curvature of characteristics of such g , we see that for very small ξ characteristic arcs in $N(z_0, \xi\rho)$ are “virtually” straight line segments, so that there

is a ξ_0 such that any two distinct i -arcs a_1b_1 and a_2b_2 of g in $N(z_0, \rho)$ with $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in \partial N(z_0, 2\xi_0\rho)$ may be joined by a j -arc of g lying entirely in $N(z_0, \rho)$. From this it follows that if $F \supset N(z_0, \rho)$ is any simply connected domain and $g \in \text{cps}(F)$ and A is any i -arc of g in F , then $A \cap N(z_0, 2\xi_0\rho)$ has at most one component. Indeed, were it to have more than one, then by the foregoing these could be joined by a j -arc B of g in $N(z_0, \rho)$. But then B and A would have at least two points of intersection, which is incompatible with the simple connectedness of F . By making ξ_0 smaller, if necessary, we can assume that all i -arcs ab in $N(z_0, \xi_0\rho)$ are contained in $N([a, b], \xi_0\rho/100)$, so that for any $m < \xi_0\rho/100$ and any i -arc A ,

$$\lambda_2(N(A, m) \cap N(z_0, \xi_0\rho)) \leq 10\xi_0\rho m. \quad (3.1)$$

If $m \geq \xi_0\rho/100$, then clearly we have

$$\lambda_2(N(A, m) \cap N(z_0, \xi_0\rho)) \leq \pi(\xi_0\rho)^2 < C_0\xi_0\rho m, \quad (3.2)$$

with $C_0 = 100\pi$, so that (3.2) holds for all m, ρ . These numbers ξ_0 and C_0 are universal constants, that is, (3.2) is valid for all $\rho > 0$ and all i -arcs A of any cps-mapping of any domain F which contains a disk $N(z_0, \rho)$.

Obviously, there is a cps-homeomorphism of D onto E if and only if there is one onto $F = \sqrt{\lambda_2(D)/\lambda_2(E)}E$. We show that there is a constant C' such that if F is a cps-homeomorphic image of D , for which

$$F \supset N(z_0, r), \quad \lambda_2(F) = \lambda_2(D), \quad (3.3)$$

then $\lambda_1(\partial F) \leq C'/r$. The desired result follows with $C_D = C'/\lambda_2(D)$.

Let D' be any fixed Jordan subdomain of D for which $\overline{D'} \subset D$ and such that $\lambda_2(D \setminus D') < \pi(\xi_0 r/2)^2$. On the basis of the a priori bound on the Lipschitz constants for θ mentioned at the beginning of the first paragraph of the proof, it is easy to see that there exists some integer K such that for each $f \in \text{cps}(D)$, there is a set $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l\}$ of $l \leq K$ j -arcs of f with the property that each point of D' can be joined to some point of their union by an i -arc of f of length at most 1. We stress that K depends only on D' , and so is fixed, since D' is. The arcs C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l themselves, of course, depend on $f \in \text{cps}(D)$.

Let f be an (m_i, m_j) -homeomorphism of D with $m_i < m_j$, for which $F = f(D)$ satisfies (3.3). It follows from the foregoing that all points of $f(D')$ are within m_i of $\bigcup_{k=1}^l f(C_k)$, that is, that

$$f(D') \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^l N(f(C_k), m_i). \quad (3.4)$$

Since $\lambda_2(F) = \lambda_2(D)$, it follows that $m_i m_j = 1$, so that

$$\lambda_2(N(z_0, \xi_0 r) \setminus f(D')) \leq \lambda_2(F \setminus f(D')) = \lambda_2(D \setminus D') < \frac{\pi(\xi_0 r)^2}{4}. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_2(N(z_0, \xi_0 r) \cap f(D')) \geq \frac{3\pi}{4}(\xi_0 r)^2. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, for each k , $A = f(C_k)$ is a characteristic arc of $g = f^{-1}$ in F , so that (3.2) holds with $\rho = r$ and $m = m_i$. But then by (3.4) and (3.6), $3\pi(\xi_0 r)^2/4 \leq C_0 K \xi_0 m_i r$, so that $m_i \geq 3\pi \xi_0 r / 4C_0 K$, and therefore, since $m_i m_j = 1$, $m_j \leq 4C_0 K / 3\pi \xi_0 r$. Since f increases lengths at most by a factor of m_j , this bound implies that $\lambda_1(\partial F) = \lambda_1(f(\partial D)) \leq C'/r$, where $C' = (4C_0 K / 3\pi \xi_0) \lambda_1(\partial D)$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. Tangents to characteristics at boundary points. The main result of this section, [Theorem 4.1](#), tells us that any characteristic of a cps-homeomorphism of a smoothly bounded domain D onto another such domain that meets ∂D does so at a well-defined angle. This is the key to most of what is to follow since without knowledge of this fact we would, in effect, be limited to studying the transformation problem for cps-mappings within the confines of artificially imposed a priori hypotheses about regularity at the boundary.

Let D and D' be Jordan domains, and let f be an (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphism of D onto D' . The mapping f obviously has a unique continuous extension to a homeomorphism of \bar{D} onto \bar{D}' . Let K and K' be open C^1 arcs of ∂D , $\partial D'$ which correspond to each other under f . Let C be a characteristic arc of f which joins a point of D to $p \in K$. It easily follows from [Proposition 2.9](#) that $\lambda_1(C) < \infty$. Let C be parametrized by $z = w(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq \sigma_0$, where $w(\sigma_0) = p$. For notational convenience we may assume, without loss of generality, that $p = f(p) = 0$, and that the positively oriented tangents to both ∂D and $\partial D'$ at 0 point in the direction of the positive x -axis. Under these assumptions we will prove the following result.

THEOREM 4.1. *The limits of $\theta(w(s))$ and $\phi(w(s))$ as $s \rightarrow \sigma_0$ exist.*

Examples can be constructed to show that the smoothness of K' is necessary. Before beginning the proof, we establish the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. *If $\lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma_0} \theta(w(s))$ does not exist, then there is some $\xi > 0$ such that for all $\sigma < \sigma_0$ there are numbers $s_1 < s_2$ and $s'_1 < s'_2$ in (σ, σ_0) such that*

$$\Delta\theta(w(s_1)w(s_2)) \geq \xi, \quad \Delta\theta(w(s'_1)w(s'_2)) \leq -\xi. \quad (4.1)$$

PROOF. If (4.1) does not hold for any $\xi > 0$, then either

$$\limsup_{s_1, s_2 \rightarrow \sigma_0} \Delta\theta(w(s_1)w(s_2)) \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \liminf_{s_1, s_2 \rightarrow \sigma_0} \Delta\theta(w(s_1)w(s_2)) \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where it is understood that $s_1 < s_2$. If, for example, the upper limit is nonpositive, then the only way $\lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma_0} \theta(w(s))$ could fail to exist would be for $\theta(w(s))$ to tend to $-\infty$, in which case the curve C would ultimately be an infinite (inward) clockwise spiral when traversed in the direction of increasing s , which is incompatible with the hypothesis that $w(s) \rightarrow p \in \partial D$. In the case of a nonnegative lower limit we arrive at the same contradiction with a counterclockwise spiral. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. Let C be an i -characteristic. To prove the theorem, it is enough to obtain a contradiction from the assumption that the limit of $\theta(w(s))$ does not exist since the existence of that of $\phi(w(s))$ will then follow from (1.2). Furthermore, it is sufficient to assume that $m_i > m_j$ since the truth of the theorem in the opposite case will follow from consideration of f^{-1} .

The curvature $\kappa(s) = d\theta(w(s))/ds$ exists a.e. on $(0, \sigma_0)$. It follows immediately from Lemma 4.2 and the fact that $\sigma_0 < \infty$ that for each $k > 0$ and each $\sigma < \sigma_0$

$$\lambda_1(\{s \in (\sigma, \sigma_0) : \kappa(s) \geq k\}) > 0, \quad \lambda_1(\{s \in (\sigma, \sigma_0) : \kappa(s) \leq -k\}) > 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Now, for each $s \in (0, \sigma_0)$ we denote by C_s the entire open j -characteristic arc passing through $w(s)$. In addition, we denote by C_s^+ and C_s^- the j -half-characteristics emanating rightwards and leftwards from $w(s)$, respectively. These half-characteristics are considered to contain $w(s)$ (and so are half-open). On both C_s^+ and C_s^- we consider the positive direction to be that which corresponds to movement away from C . Now, Proposition 2.1 tells us that at each point $s \in (0, \sigma_0)$ for which $\kappa(s)$ exists,

$$\lambda_1(C_s^-) \leq \frac{1}{\kappa(s)}, \quad \text{if } \kappa(s) > 0, \quad \lambda_1(C_s^+) \leq -\frac{1}{\kappa(s)} \quad \text{if } \kappa(s) < 0. \quad (4.4)$$

From this, the fact that by (4.3) $\kappa(s_j) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\kappa(s'_j) \rightarrow -\infty$ for appropriate sequences $\{s_j\}$, $\{s'_j\}$ tending to σ_0 and the fact that distinct j -characteristics cannot intersect in D , it follows that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma_0} \text{diam}(C_s) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Now let $s_0 > 0$ be a density point of $\{s : \kappa(s) > 0\}$. Let $0 < \xi < \min\{s_0, \sigma_0 - s_0\}$ be such that

$$\lambda_1(\{s \in (s_0 - \xi, s_0 + \xi) : \kappa(s) > 0\}) > \frac{3\xi}{2}. \quad (4.6)$$

Since by (4.3) s_0 can be chosen arbitrarily close to σ_0 , it follows from (4.5) that we may in addition assume that

$$\bigcup \{C_s : s_0 - \xi \leq s \leq s_0 + \xi\} \subset N(p, R), \quad (4.7)$$

where $N(p, R) \cap \partial D \subset K$. Let $z = v(\bar{s})$, $0 \leq \bar{s} < \rho$, be the arc length parametrization of $C_{s_0}^+$ with $v(0) = w(s_0)$. For $\tau > 0$ sufficiently small, the characteristic quadrilateral consisting of the translates of the i -arc $w([s_0 - \xi, s_0 + \xi])$ along the j -arc $v([0, \tau])$ exists, that is, lies entirely in D . Let $\tau_1 = \sup\{\tau : Q_\tau \text{ exists}\}$. Let $\zeta(s, \bar{s})$ give the standard characteristic coordinate mapping of $[s_0 - \xi, s_0 + \xi] \times [0, \tau_1]$ onto Q_{τ_1} . It follows from (4.6) that for $\tau < \tau_1$, the lengths of the translates of $w([s_0 - \xi, s_0])$ and of $w([s_0, s_0 + \xi])$ along C_{s_0} down to $v(\tau)$ are at least $\xi/2$. From Proposition 2.8 it follows that $\zeta(s, \tau_1) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_1} \zeta(s, \tau)$ exists for each $s \in w([s_0 - \xi, s_0 + \xi])$. But then for some s_1 , $\zeta(s_1, \tau_1) \in \partial D$, since otherwise, by compactness, $\zeta([s_0 - \xi, s_0 + \xi], \tau_1) \subset D$, which contradicts the definition of τ_1 . If $s_0 - \xi \leq s_1 \leq s_0$, then at all points at which it exists the curvature of the characteristic arc $\zeta(s_1, [0, \tau_1])$ (with the positive sense corresponding to movement away from C) is bounded above by $2/\xi$, by what was pointed out above about the length of the translates of $w([s_0, s_0 + \xi])$. Similarly, if $s_0 \leq s_1 \leq s_0 + \xi$, then this curvature is bounded below by $-2/\xi$. From Lemma 4.2 (together with its consequence (4.3)), it therefore follows that if s_0 is a density point of $\{s : \kappa(s) > 0\}$ for which (4.6) and (4.7) hold, then there are points s_1 arbitrarily close to s_0 for which the limit of $\theta(z)$ as z approaches ∂D along $C_{s_1}^+$ exists. Obviously, the analogous statement (with $C_{s_1}^+$ replaced with $C_{s_1}^-$) holds for density points of $\{s : \kappa(s) < 0\}$.

We are now in a position to derive a contradiction from our assumption that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma_0} \theta(w(s))$ does not exist. It follows quite easily from what we have just established, and from Lemma 4.2 and (4.3) that there exist $\eta > 0$ and sequences $\{s_k\}$, $\{t_k\}$ tending to σ_0 for which

- (i) $s_k < t_k$,
- (ii) the limits of $\theta(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial D$ along $C_{s_k}^+$ and $C_{t_k}^-$ exist,
- (iii) $\Delta\theta(w(t_k)w(s_k)) \leq -\eta$.

For each k let L_k be the curve $C_{s_k} \cup E_k \cup C_{t_k}$, where E_k is the subarc of C from $w(s_k)$ to $w(t_k)$ (with the same orientation as C). Let p_k and q_k be the points at which $C_{s_k}^+$ and $C_{t_k}^-$ meet ∂D . From (4.5) it follows that $p_k, q_k \rightarrow 0$. Let K_k be the arc of ∂D joining p_k and q_k ; obviously, $K_k \subset K$ for all sufficiently large k .

Let α_k and β_k be the interior angles of the simple closed curve $K_k \cup L_k$ at p_k and q_k . Note that K_k might be $\{p\}$; in this case, α_k is the angular size of the sector containing $C_{t_k}^-$ which is bounded by $C_{s_k}^+$ and an arc of ∂D , and analogously for β_k . We denote by α'_k and β'_k the interior angles of the image of this simple closed curve at $f(p_k)$ and $f(q_k)$. Then, by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$\alpha'_k + \beta'_k \geq \frac{m_j}{m_i} (\alpha_k + \beta_k). \quad (4.8)$$

We consider that the simple closed curve $K_k \cup L_k$ is oriented positively, with the orientations of its arcs K_k , $C_{s_k}^+$, $C_{t_k}^-$, and E_k taken accordingly. Let δ_k denote the change in the tangent direction along K_k , and let δ'_k be the corresponding amount for $f(K)$. Upon taking into account that change in the argument of the tangent along the characteristic arcs $C_{s_k}^+$, E , $C_{t_k}^-$ is simply the corresponding change in θ and the change in the argument of the tangent along their images is the corresponding change in ϕ , obvious geometric considerations tell us that

$$\Delta\theta(L_k) = \alpha_k + \beta_k + \delta_k, \quad \Delta\phi(L_k) = \alpha'_k + \beta'_k + \delta'_k. \quad (4.9)$$

However, by (1.2) it follows that

$$\Delta\phi(C_s^+) = \frac{m_j}{m_i} \Delta\theta(C_s^+), \quad \Delta\phi(C_s^-) = \frac{m_j}{m_i} \Delta\theta(C_s^-), \quad \Delta\phi(E_k) = \frac{m_i}{m_j} \Delta\theta(E_k). \quad (4.10)$$

By (4.8) and the fact that $\Delta\theta(E_k) \leq -\eta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_j}{m_i} (\alpha_k + \beta_k) + \delta'_k &\leq \alpha'_k + \beta'_k + \delta'_k \\ &= \Delta\phi(L_k) \\ &= \frac{m_j}{m_i} (\Delta\theta(C_{t_k}^-) + \Delta\theta(C_{s_k}^+)) + \frac{m_i}{m_j} \Delta\theta(E_k) \\ &= \frac{m_j}{m_i} \Delta\theta(L_k) + \left(\frac{m_i}{m_j} - \frac{m_j}{m_i} \right) \Delta\theta(E_k) \\ &\leq \frac{m_j}{m_i} \Delta\theta(L_k) - \frac{m_i^2 - m_j^2}{m_j m_i} \eta \\ &= \frac{m_j}{m_i} (\alpha_k + \beta_k + \delta_k) - \frac{m_i^2 - m_j^2}{m_j m_i} \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Since $\delta_k, \delta'_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, this is a clear-cut contradiction, so that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma_0} \theta(w(s))$ must indeed exist. \square

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let D and D' be Jordan domains and let f be a cps-homeomorphism of D onto D' under which open smooth arcs B and B' of ∂D and $\partial D'$ correspond to each other. Let C and C' be i - and j -characteristics of f , respectively, which exit at $p \in B$. Then, C and C' cannot cross in D .*

PROOF. Assume for definiteness that C lies to the left of C' (near p) and that $\mu = m_j/m_i > 1$. Assume that the corollary is false and let $q \in C \cap C' \cap D$. Let the arc pq of C be parametrized by $z = z(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq L$, with $z(0) = p$. Then the j -half-characteristic $C'(s)$ emanating rightward from $z(s)$ exits at p . The simple closed curve E with sides $C(s) = z([0, s])$ and $C'(s)$ has interior angles β at p and $\pi/2$ at $z(s)$; the corresponding angles in the image are

γ and $\pi/2$, where by [Proposition 2.5](#) $(1/\mu)\beta \leq \gamma \leq \mu\beta$. Let the changes in θ along $C(s)$ and $C'(s)$ be δ and δ' , when E is traversed in the positive sense. Then $\delta + \delta' = \beta + \pi/2$ and $(1/\mu)\delta + \mu\delta' = \gamma + \pi/2$. But

$$\gamma + \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\delta = \mu\delta' = \mu\left(\beta + \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\right) \geq \gamma + \mu\frac{\pi}{2} - \mu\delta, \quad (4.12)$$

which is impossible since $\delta \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. \square

5. Boundary behavior of θ_f and ϕ_f . In this section we show that if f is a cps-homeomorphism of D onto D' under which open C^1 arcs $B \subset \partial D$ and $B' \subset \partial D'$ correspond to each other, then at each point $p \in B$ the functions θ and ϕ are well-behaved, a fact that may be interpreted as indicating the extent to which the cryptocrystalline nature of a solidified lamina persists right up to its boundary. In the context of conformal mappings g , there is the theorem of Lindelöf (see [12, Theorem 10.1]), which says that in this situation, the argument of g' has a continuous extension to $D \cup B$. We show that there is an analogue of this theorem for cps-mappings, except that the possibility of jump discontinuities in θ and ϕ arises.

We begin with some notational conventions. First of all, when considering the boundary behavior of f at $p \in B$, it constitutes no loss of generality to assume that ∂D is a C^1 Jordan curve, so that in particular all characteristics have finite length by [Proposition 2.9](#). When discussing a characteristic C which exits D at p , we use the arc length parametrization $z = z_C : [0, \lambda_1(C)] \rightarrow \overline{D}$, with $z(0) = p$. Here we are dealing with full characteristics, that is, $z(\lambda_1(C))$ is the other exit point of C . We denote the set of full k -characteristics exiting at p by $\mathcal{C}_k(f, p)$ or simply by $\mathcal{C}_k(p)$. Furthermore, $\alpha(C, p) \in [0, \pi]$ will denote the angle formed by the characteristic C and ∂D at p , specifically, $\alpha(C, p) = \arccos(z'_C(0) \cdot v)$, where v is the positively oriented unit tangent to ∂D at p . For a given cps-homeomorphism f of D onto D' , we denote by $E(f)$ the set of all points of ∂D at which some characteristic of f exits. An i -fan at p is an $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}_i(f, p)$ which is maximal with respect to the property that any two characteristics in \mathcal{F} are connected by a j -arc.

We define the HP-function $\overline{\theta}(z) = \overline{\theta}(z, \alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R, \delta_L)$ as follows:

$$\overline{\theta}(re^{i\tau}) = \begin{cases} \alpha_R, & -\frac{\pi}{2} < \tau < \alpha_R, \\ \tau, & \alpha_R \leq \tau \leq \alpha_R + \delta_i, \\ \alpha_R + \delta_R, & \alpha_R + \delta_R < \tau < \alpha_R + \delta_R + \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \tau - \frac{\pi}{2}, & \alpha_R + \delta_R + \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \tau \leq \pi - \alpha_L, \\ \alpha_R + \delta_R + \delta_L, & \pi - \alpha_L < \tau < \frac{3}{2}\pi, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R, \delta_L \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_R + \alpha_L + \delta_R + \delta_L &= \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{m_j}{m_i} \tan \alpha_R \right) + \frac{m_j}{m_i} \delta_R + \frac{m_i}{m_j} \delta_L + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{m_i}{m_j} \tan \alpha_L \right) &= \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Note that although we are primarily interested in θ in the half-plane, we have made the first sector $(-\pi/2, \alpha_R)$, rather than $(0, \alpha_R)$, and similarly for the last sector, in order to accommodate points $p \in \partial D$ at which the tangent line contains points of D arbitrarily close to p . Furthermore, we define

$$\overline{\phi}(z) = \overline{\phi}(z, \alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R, \delta_L) = \overline{\theta} \left(z, \beta_R, \beta_L, \frac{m_j}{m_i} \delta_R, \frac{m_i}{m_j} \delta_L \right), \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\beta_R = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{m_j}{m_i} \tan \alpha_R \right), \quad \beta_L = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{m_i}{m_j} \tan \alpha_L \right). \quad (5.4)$$

It is easy to see that conditions (5.2) imply that there is a unique (m_i, m_j) -homeomorphism $h(z) = h(z, \alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R, \delta_L)$ of the upper half-plane onto itself with $h(0) = 0$, $\theta_h = \overline{\theta}$, and $\phi_h = \overline{\phi}$. We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let D and D' be Jordan domains and let f be a cps-homeomorphism of D onto D' under which open smooth arcs B and B' of ∂D and $\partial D'$ correspond to each other. Then for each point $p \in B$, there exist $\alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R, \delta_L \geq 0$ satisfying (5.2) such that for some integers n and n' ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_f(z) - \xi - \overline{\theta}(e^{-i\xi}z, \alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R, \delta_L) &\rightarrow \frac{n\pi}{2}, \\ \phi_f(z) - \xi' - \overline{\phi}(e^{-i\xi}z, \alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R, \delta_L) &\rightarrow \frac{n'\pi}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

as $z \rightarrow p$ in D , where $e^{i\xi}$ and $e^{i\xi'}$ are the positively oriented unit tangents to ∂D and $\partial D'$ at p and $f(p)$, respectively.

Here the correct ordering of m_i and m_j is such that the fans of f and h “match up”; the integers n and n' are necessary to compensate for the normalization implicit in the definitions of $\overline{\theta}$ and $\overline{\phi}$. The proof essentially amounts to showing that f actually has fans matching those of $\overline{\theta}$ at each point of ∂D . We accomplish this by first showing that if there is a characteristic C exiting at p , then the corresponding fans exist, and that θ and ϕ satisfy (5.5) at p ; there are, however, some technical complications in showing that these desired

limits hold as $z \rightarrow p$ to the right (left) of the right (left) fan. Then we show that $E(f)$ is dense in B and finally that $E(f) \cap B$ is closed in B , from which it follows that, in fact, $E(f) \supset B$. It is to be noted that $E(f) \supset B$ is not at all obvious since not all HP-nets on smoothly bounded Jordan domains have exiting characteristics at all boundary points. For this reason the proof that $E(f) \cap B$ is closed in B does require a fairly lengthy argument.

We begin with several lemmas.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $p \in B$, let $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, let $\{Q_n\}$ be a sequence of nondegenerate curvilinear quadrilaterals with vertices $p, b = b_n, c = c_n$, and $d = d_n$ (in clockwise order), and let $\alpha = \alpha_n$ be the interior angle of Q_n at p . Assume furthermore that*

- (i) $pd \subset B$,
- (ii) pb and dc are i -characteristics and bc is a j -characteristic,
- (iii) $\lambda_1(\theta(pb)), \lambda_1(\theta(bc)) < \epsilon_n$,
- (iv) $\text{diam}(Q_n) < \epsilon_n$,
- (v) $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$.

Then $\lambda_1(\theta(Q_n)) \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. In this proof we will in some instances suppress the subscript n ; this should cause no confusion. Let $C = C_n$ be the complete i -characteristic of which pb is an initial arc. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\arg z'_C(s) = \theta(z_C(s)) + \pi/2$ and that the argument of the positive tangent to ∂D at p is 0. Let $\bar{\theta}_n = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \theta(z_C(s)) = \alpha - \pi/2$. Note that in light of (v), $\bar{\theta}_n \in [0, -\pi/2]$. If the conclusion of the lemma is not valid, then there is some $\xi \in (0, 1/10)$ such that for arbitrarily large n there is a $w = w_n \in Q_n$, and a ξ_n with $\xi < |\xi_n| < 2\xi$ for which

$$\theta(w_n) = \bar{\theta}_n + \xi_n, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\xi \geq |\theta(w_n)| \geq \frac{\xi}{2}, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\left| \theta(w_n) + \frac{\pi}{2} \right| \geq \frac{\xi}{2}. \quad (5.8)$$

Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that these conditions hold for all n . Let C' be the maximal j -arc passing through w_n contained in Q_n . It is clear that for sufficiently large n , C' cannot join a point of pb to one of cd since then it would be the j -side of a characteristic quadrilateral whose opposite side is bc . By the HP-property, this would imply that $\lambda_1(\theta(C')) < \epsilon_n$ by (iii), and therefore, (also by (iii)) that for all $z \in C'$, $|\theta(z) - \bar{\theta}| < 2\epsilon_n$, which contradicts (5.6).

We next observe that for all sufficiently large n , C' cannot have both of its endpoints on pd . To see this, assume that C' joins the endpoints of a subarc E of $pd \subset B$ and let β_1 and β_2 be the interior angles of the curvilinear bilateral $C' \cup E$. Then in light of Proposition 2.6 and (iv), β_1 and β_2 tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that for sufficiently large n they are both smaller than the number τ_0

of Proposition 2.7. If there is some point u of C' which is not joined to bc by an i -arc in Q , then u would have to be joined to pd by an i -arc lying in Q_n but outside of $C' \cup E$. But a simple application of Proposition 2.7 shows that this cannot be. Thus C' is the j -side of some characteristic quadrilateral whose opposite side is on the j -arc bc . Then in light of the HP-property and (iii), $\lambda_1(\theta(C')) \rightarrow 0$. But then, since $\beta_1, \beta_2 \rightarrow 0$ and the tangent to ∂D at p has argument 0, the fact that $|\theta(w_n)| \leq \pi/2 + 2\xi$ implies that $\theta(w_n) \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts (5.7).

Thus, for sufficiently large n , either

- (1) C' joins a point $q \in pd$ to a point $t \in pb$, or
- (2) C' joins a point $q \in pd$ to a point $t \in dc$.

We claim that in either of these cases $\lambda_1(\theta(C')) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let C' be parametrized by $\omega(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq l = l_n$, with $\omega(0) = t$. If for all s , $\omega(s)$ is joined to bc by an i -arc, then, as above, by the HP-property and (iii), $\lambda_1(\theta(C')) < \epsilon_n$. Thus assume that there is some s for which $\omega(s)$ is joined to pd by the i -half-characteristic emanating from C' leftwards in case (1) and rightwards in case (2), and let $\sigma = \sigma_n$ be the smallest such s . Then, as before, $\lambda_1(\theta(\omega([0, \sigma]))) < \epsilon_n$. Also, the complete i -characteristic through $\omega(\sigma)$ must join two points of pd in Q_n , and it is clear that the same must be the case for the complete i -characteristic through all $\omega(s)$ for $\sigma \leq s \leq l$. Let F be the i -characteristic through $\omega(\eta)$, for some $\eta \in [\sigma, l]$, and say that it joins the endpoints p_1 and p_2 (in this order when pd is traversed positively) of an arc $E \subset pd$. Let F be parametrized by $\zeta(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq \rho$ with $\zeta(0) = p_1$. By taking into account that by Proposition 2.6 the interior angles β_1 and β_2 of the bilateral $E \cup F$ at p_1 and p_2 must tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Proposition 2.7 that $d\theta(\zeta(s))/ds$ must be uniformly bounded above by some number $\tau_1 > 0$. From this it easily follows that for $s_1 < s_2$, we must have $\theta(\zeta(s_2)) < \theta(\zeta(s_1)) + 2\epsilon_n\tau_1$, for n sufficiently large. But then the minimum of $\theta(\zeta(s_2)) - \theta(\zeta(s_1))$ must also tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $|\Delta\theta(p_1p_2)|$ tends to 0. Thus, we indeed have that

$$\delta_n = \lambda_1(\theta(C')) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.9)$$

In case (1) we have a contradiction since $\lambda_1(\theta(tw_n)) \rightarrow 0$, together with (iii), implies that $\theta(w_n) - \bar{\theta}_n \rightarrow 0$, which is impossible in light of (5.6). In case (2) for sufficiently large n , $\theta(w_n) - \bar{\theta}_n = \xi_n > 0$, since if $\xi_n > 0$, C' would meet pb because $\lambda_1(\theta(C')) \rightarrow 0$. Replace ϵ_n by $\max\{\epsilon_n, \delta_n\}$. Let $\zeta = \zeta(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq L$, parametrize dc with $\zeta(0) = d$. Let $t = \zeta(s_0)$. Then $\arg \zeta'(s_0)$ is within ϵ_n of $\bar{\theta} + \pi/2 + \xi_n$. Since the inclination of dc at c is within $2\epsilon_n$ of $\bar{\theta} + \pi/2$, there is a subinterval $[s_1, s_2]$ of $[s_0, L]$ on which that $\arg \zeta'(s)$ varies from $\bar{\theta} + \pi/2 + \xi_n - \epsilon_n$ to $\bar{\theta} + \pi/2 + 2\epsilon_n$. Since by (iv) $\zeta([s_1, s_2])$ is contained in $N(pb, 2\epsilon_n)$, the length of the arc $\zeta([s_1, s_2])$ is certainly less than $10\epsilon_n$. Therefore, there is a point on this arc at which the curvature is least $(\xi - 3\epsilon_n)/10\epsilon_n$ and at which dc is convex towards the inside of Q_n . This implies that the full characteristic containing C' intersects ∂D at a point e outside of Q_n at distance at most

$3\epsilon_n + 10\epsilon_n/(\xi - 3\epsilon_n) \rightarrow 0$ from p . However, by [Proposition 2.6](#), the interior angles of the bilateral formed by C' and the arc qe of ∂D tend to 0. But this is inconsistent with the facts that the inclination of the tangent to C' at w_n is bounded away from 0 and $\delta_n = \lambda_1(\theta(C')) \rightarrow 0$. This is the desired contradiction. \square

LEMMA 5.3. *Let f have an i -fan \mathcal{F} at $p \in \partial D$. If \mathcal{F} has a rightmost (leftmost) characteristic C for which $0 < \alpha(C, a) \leq (\pi/2)(\pi/2 \leq \alpha(C, p) < \pi)$. Then $\theta(z)$ has a limit as $z \rightarrow a$ in D to the right (left) of C .*

PROOF. For definiteness we deal with the rightmost characteristic case, the leftmost case being treated analogously. For each positive integer n there is a point $b_n \in C$ such that $\lambda_1(pb_n), \lambda_1(\theta(pb_n)) < 1/n$. Let C' be the j -half-characteristic emanating rightwards from b_n , parametrized by $\zeta = \zeta(s)$, with $\zeta(0) = b_n$. Let $C(s)$ denote the i -half-characteristic emanating rightwards from $\zeta(s)$. Then, since C is the rightmost characteristic in \mathcal{F} , there is an $s_0 > 0$ such that $p \notin \overline{C(s)}$ for $s \in (0, s_0]$, and, by making s_0 smaller if necessary, we can assume that $\lambda_1(\theta(\zeta[0, s_0])) < 1/n$. We claim that for all sufficiently small s , $C(s) \subset N(pb_n, 1/n)$. Indeed, were this not so, it would follow from simple continuity properties of the solutions of ordinary differential equations that there is some $\eta > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\sigma \in (0, \epsilon)$ for which $C(\sigma)$ has a subarc $E(\sigma) \subset N(pb_n, 1/n)$ which joins $\zeta(\sigma)$ to a point q within ϵ of p and another subarc $E'(\sigma)$ which joins q to a point $r \in D \setminus N(p, \eta)$. But then it is easy to see that there must be a point $t \in E'(\sigma) \cap N(r, 2\epsilon)$ at which the curvature is greater than $2/\eta$ and $C(\sigma)$ is concave towards the left side (as one moves away from $\zeta(\sigma)$) since otherwise for sufficiently small ϵ , the corresponding $E'(\sigma) \cap N(r, 2\epsilon)$ would be virtually straight lines and would intersect ∂D , contradicting the existence of the point q . But the j -half-characteristic emanating to the left of this $C(\sigma)$ from t would have to intersect $C(\sigma)$ in another point, which is impossible. Let $c_n = \zeta(s)$, where $s < s_0$ and $C(s) \subset N(pb_n, 1/n)$, and let d_n be the point at which $C(s)$ exits D . The desired conclusion now follows from a simple application of [Lemma 5.2](#). \square

LEMMA 5.4. *Let f have an i -fan \mathcal{F} at $p \in \partial D$. If $\inf\{\alpha(C, p) : C \in \mathcal{F}\} = 0$ ($\sup\{\alpha(C, p) : C \in \mathcal{F}\} = \pi$), then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $C \in \mathcal{F}$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda_1(\theta(G \cap N(p, \delta))) < \epsilon$, where G is the part of D to the right (left) of C .*

PROOF. It is sufficient to handle the case in which $\inf\{\alpha(C, p) : C \in \mathcal{F}\} = 0$. Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\alpha(C, p) < \epsilon$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\arg z'_C(s) = \theta(z'_C(s))$. Let $C'(s)$ denote the j -half-characteristic emanating to the right of $z_C(s)$ considered as oriented from $z_C(s)$ towards ∂D . We consider two cases.

CASE 1. For each sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $s_0 = s_0(\epsilon) < \epsilon$ such that all points of $C'(s)$ are joined to p by an i -arc for all $s < s_0$. Then it is easy to see that $\lambda_1(\theta(C'(s))) < \epsilon$, and in fact that for $s < s_0$, $C'(s)$ is everywhere concave

towards p and that the curvature at all points of $C'(s)$ is asymptotic to $1/s$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. From this it follows for sufficiently small ϵ , that $T = \cup\{C'(s) : s < s_0\}$ contains a set of the form $G \cap N(p, \delta)$ as in the statement. But $\lambda_1(\theta(T)) \leq \lambda_1(\theta(z(0, s_0))) + \epsilon < 2\epsilon$, for sufficiently small ϵ . Thus the lemma is established in this case.

CASE 2. For arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$ there are arbitrarily small s such that there are i -half-characteristics emanating rightwards from $C'(s)$ which do not exit at p . In this case for each positive integer n , pick a particular such $\epsilon < 1/n$, and a corresponding $s < 1/n$ for which $\lambda_1(\theta(pz_C(s))) < 1/n$ and such that there are i -half-characteristics emanating rightwards from $C'(s)$ which do not exit at p . Let $b_n = z_C(s)$. Then, it is easy to see that there is a $c_n \in C'(s)$ such that the i -half-characteristic emanating rightwards from c_n exits D at a point $d_n \neq p$ and such that $c_n d_n \subset N(p, 2/n)$. The desired conclusion now follows easily from [Lemma 5.2](#). \square

LEMMA 5.5. *Let $C, C' \in C_i(f, p)$ be joined by a j -arc A . Then the length of the translate of A down to $q \in C$ tends to 0 as $q \rightarrow p$.*

PROOF. Let A be parametrized by $w = w(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq L$, with $w(0) \in C$. Since each point of A is connected to p by an i -arc between C and C' , it is clearly enough to show that the conclusion is valid under the additional assumption that $\lambda_1(\theta(A)) < 1/10$. But then, if the length of the translates of A does not tend to 0, there is a j -arc joining p to a point of C' , which is impossible by [Corollary 4.3](#). \square

It follows immediately from this lemma and the HP-property that for a fan \mathcal{F} , $\{\alpha(C, p) : C \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an interval. The length of this interval, which gives the angular aperture of \mathcal{F} , will be denoted by $\delta(\mathcal{F})$. It also follows from this lemma that if i -characteristics C, C' , and C'' exit at p and the pairs C, C' and C', C'' are joined by j -arcs, then so are C and C'' . The following is also a straightforward consequence of [Lemma 5.5](#).

LEMMA 5.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a fan of f at $p \in D$ and let $C, C' \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, there is an integer n such that $\theta_f(z) - \arg(z - p) \rightarrow n\pi/2$ as $z \rightarrow p$ in the closed subregion of D between C and C' .*

LEMMA 5.7. *Let f have an i -fan \mathcal{F} at $p \in \partial D$. If the infimum of $\alpha(C, p) > 0$ (supremum of $\alpha(C, p) < \pi$), then \mathcal{F} has a rightmost (leftmost) characteristic.*

PROOF. We consider the rightmost case. Let β be the lower endpoint of the interval $\{\alpha(C, p) : C \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Obviously, we can assume that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $C' \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\alpha(C', p) = \beta + \epsilon$ since otherwise the interval would be $\{\beta\}$. Let C'' be the j -half-characteristic emanating rightwards from $z_{C'}(s_0)$, where $s_0 < \epsilon$ is so small that $\lambda_1(\theta(z_{C'}(0, s_0))) < \epsilon$. Let $w = w(\sigma)$, $0 \leq \sigma < L$, be the arc length parametrization of C'' , with $w(0) = z_{C'}(s_0)$. Let $E(\sigma)$ denote the i -characteristic through $w(\sigma)$. If $E(\sigma)$ exits at p , then $E(\sigma')$ exits at p

for all $\sigma' \in [0, \sigma]$ so that for all such σ' , $E(\sigma') \in \mathcal{F}$. From this we conclude that if $E(\sigma)$ exits at p , then $\lambda_1(\theta(w([0, \sigma]))) < \epsilon$. But then for ϵ sufficiently small there is a $\sigma_0 < L$ for which $E(\sigma)$ does not exit at p . Indeed, if this were not so, then as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we would have curvilinear triangles with right angle at $w(0)$, such that along each of the three sides the inclination of the tangent is contained in an interval of length ϵ (making them virtually straight line segments), and such that the angle at p tends to 0. However, it is clear that the ratio of the length of the side opposite to p to that of the side $z_{C'}([0, s_0])$ is bounded away from 0, which is obviously inconsistent with these conditions. The desired rightmost characteristic is then the one containing $E(\sigma_1)$, where $\sigma_1 = \sup\{\sigma : E(\sigma) \text{ exits at } p\}$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1. Say we have an i -characteristic C exiting at p ; without loss of generality, we can assume that $p = 0$ and that the positively oriented unit tangent vector at p is 1. We also assume that $0 \leq \alpha(C, p) \leq \pi/2$, the opposite case being handled analogously. Obviously, there is an i -fan \mathcal{F}_i at p . Let $I_i = \{\alpha(C, p) : C \in \mathcal{F}_i\}$. By Lemma 5.7, \mathcal{F}_i will have a rightmost (leftmost) characteristic unless the lower (upper) endpoint I_i is 0 (π). In the case that the lower endpoint is 0, we define $\alpha_R = 0$; otherwise, we define it to be $\alpha(C, p)$, where C is the rightmost characteristic in \mathcal{F}_i .

Let $\delta_R = \delta(\mathcal{F}_i)$. Then we have $\delta_R + \alpha_R \leq \pi/2$. To see this, say $\delta_R + \alpha_R > \pi/2$. We denote by α_L the angular size of the sector lying to the left of \mathcal{F}_i . It follows from Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 that the limit of $\theta(z)$ as $z \rightarrow p$ in each of these sectors exists. Then $\alpha_R, \alpha_L \leq \pi/2$ and $\alpha_L + \delta_R + \alpha_R = \pi$. Let $\mu = m_j/m_i$. It is clear from (1.2), together with Lemma 5.6, that $\delta(f(\mathcal{F}_i)) = \mu\delta_R$. In addition, simple trigonometry, together with Lemma 5.3, implies that the inclination of the image of the rightmost characteristic of \mathcal{F}_i is $\tan^{-1}(\mu \tan \alpha_R)$ and similarly for the image of its leftmost characteristic. It therefore follows that

$$\tan^{-1}(\mu \tan \alpha_R) + \tan^{-1}(\mu \tan \alpha_L) + \mu\delta_R = \pi. \quad (5.10)$$

(Minor modifications show that this equation remains valid in the cases where no rightmost and/or leftmost characteristic exists.) This is clearly impossible since for $\mu < 1$ ($\mu > 1$), apart from trivial exceptions, all three terms on the right-hand side of (5.10) are, respectively, less (greater) than $\alpha_R, \alpha_L, \delta_R$. In fact, $\delta_R + \alpha_R < \pi/2$, unless we are in the “degenerate case” in which $\alpha_R = \pi/2$ and $\delta_R = 0$, which we discuss at the end of the next paragraph.

First, we assume that $\delta_R + \alpha_R < \pi/2$. In this case there is a j -characteristic emanating from p and orthogonal to the leftmost i -characteristic in \mathcal{F}_i . To see that such an orthogonal characteristic exists, let q be a point of the leftmost i -characteristic of \mathcal{F}_i (near p) and let A be a (short) j -arc emanating from q away from \mathcal{F}_i . Then the length of the translates of A along this i -characteristic towards p are bounded below (and lie in D), since otherwise this i -characteristic would not be the leftmost one in \mathcal{F}_i . A simple limit argument

then gives the required j -characteristic J . It follows from a simple argument based on [Corollary 4.3](#) that no j -characteristic to the right of J exits at p , so that J is the rightmost characteristic of a j -fan \mathcal{F}_j . Let $\delta_L = \delta(\mathcal{F}_j)$ and let α_L be the acute angle between ∂D and the leftmost characteristic of \mathcal{F}_j if such exists, or 0 otherwise. The limit relationship between θ , ϕ and $\bar{\theta}$, $\bar{\phi}$ follows from [Lemmas 5.3](#), [5.4](#), and [5.6](#), together with simple trigonometry and [\(1.2\)](#). In the degenerate case we have $\alpha_R = \pi/2$ and $\delta_R = 0$. But then it follows from [Lemma 5.3](#) that the desired limits exist.

Next, we show that $E(f)$ is dense in B . Let $p \in B$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $N(p, 2\epsilon) \cap \partial D \subset B$. If θ is Lipschitz continuous in $N(p, \epsilon)$, then it is easy to see that there are characteristics exiting at all points of $B \cap N(p, \epsilon)$. On the other hand, if θ is not Lipschitz continuous in $N(p, \epsilon)$, then one of $|D_1\theta|$ or $|D_2\theta|$ must be unbounded there, so that some characteristic must exit in $N(p, 2\epsilon) \cap \partial D \subset B$.

Finally, we show that $E(f)$ is closed in B . Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of distinct points in $E(f)$ which converge to $p \in B$. It follows from the above construction that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each p_n there is a characteristic C_n exiting at p_n for which $\delta \leq \alpha(C_n, p_n) \leq \pi - \delta$. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that all of the C_n are i -characteristics. Similarly, we may assume that the p_n tend monotonically to p from one side; for definiteness, say from the right. Let C_n be parametrized by $z = z_n(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq \sigma_n$, with $z_n(0) = p_n$. We regard C_n as including its end points. In light of [Proposition 2.6](#), the distance between the endpoints of the C_n is bounded below by some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Since $\{C_n\}$ is a family of compact sets, some subsequence, which for convenience we continue to call $\{C_n\}$, converges to a set $S \subset \bar{D}$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric

$$d(X, Y) = \inf \{ \rho : X \subset N(Y, \rho), Y \subset N(X, \rho) \}. \quad (5.11)$$

It is easy to see that S is a connected compact set consisting of a union i -characteristics (without their endpoints) and a closed subset E of ∂D and that $p \in E$. If p is not an accumulation point of E , that is, if $\text{dist}(E \setminus \{p\}, \{p\}) > 0$, then there is an i -characteristic of f joining p to $E \setminus \{p\}$, so we are done. Thus we may assume that there is a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of distinct points of $E \setminus \{p\}$ monotonically approaching p , and from this we obtain a contradiction. Because the p_n lie to the right of p , the C_n move to the left, so that the points of E (near p) lie to the left of p , and thus the r_n lie to the left of p .

We now focus on an individual r_k for which $|r_k - p| < \epsilon_0/10$ (where ϵ_0 is the lower bound for the distance between the endpoints of the C_n) and such that the inclination of the tangent to ∂D along the (short) arc $r_k p$ is less than $1/100$. Let $u_n = z_n(s_n)$ be a point of C_n which minimizes distance to r_k , so that $u_n \rightarrow r_k$. Note that

$$N(r_k, |u_n - r_k|) \text{ lies to the left of } C_n \quad (5.12)$$

since if this were false for some n_0 , C_{n_0} would have to exit D between r_k and p , and consequently all the C_n for $n > n_0$ would be contained in the region bounded by C_{n_0} and an arc of ∂D joining p to a point between r_k and p , contradicting the assumption that $r_k \in E$. Consider the strip S_n of width $|r_k - p|/5$ whose sides have the normal direction of ∂D at r_k and whose centerline passes through u_n , and let W_n be the arc of C_n which joins two points of S_n and passes through u_n . For $w \in W_n$, let A_w denote the j -half-characteristic emanating to the right of C_n . We have

$$\lambda_1(A_w) \geq \frac{|r_k - p|}{10}, \quad (5.13)$$

for n sufficiently large, since any A_w for which this is not true would cross C_n twice. Furthermore,

$$|D_j \theta(z)| \leq \frac{10}{|r_k - p|} \quad \text{for } z \in A_w \cap N\left(w, \frac{|r_k - p|}{10}\right), \quad (5.14)$$

for all $w \in W_n$, $n \geq n_1$, since, otherwise because $|r_k - p| < \epsilon_0/10$, there would be an i -characteristic emanating from A_w which crosses C_n , a contradiction. In addition, it follows from (5.13) that

$$\frac{d\theta(z_n(s))}{ds} \geq -\frac{10}{|r_k - p|}, \quad \text{for } z_n(s) \in W_n \quad (5.15)$$

for n sufficiently large; that is, for such n the curvature of C_n towards the right is uniformly bounded. Now, from (5.15) and the fact that the subarcs of W_n on either side of u_n have length bounded below by $|r_k - p|/10$ and do not touch ∂D , it follows that for all $\rho > 0$, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$N(u_n, \epsilon) \cap W_n \subset \left\{ z : \left| \arg \left(\frac{z - u_n}{\xi} \right) \right| < \rho \text{ or } \left| \arg \left(\frac{z - u_n}{\xi} \right) - \pi \right| < \rho \right\}, \quad (5.16)$$

for sufficiently large n , where ξ is the positive unit tangent to ∂D at r_k . From (5.14) it follows by compactness that there is a subsequence of $\{A_{u_n}\}$ which converges to a j -characteristic C'_k emanating from r_k ; from (5.16) it follows that $\alpha(C'_k, r_k) = \pi/2$. Since all the C_n must cross C'_k , it follows that for all $\rho > 0$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that (5.16) holds with u_n replaced by the point of intersection of C'_k with W_n . This in turn implies that the image of ∂D is orthogonal to the image of C'_k at $f(r_k)$.

We can now use our familiar angle change argument on a positively oriented curvilinear triangle made up of the part of C_n between p_n and $t_{n,k} \in C'_k$, the j -arc $t_{n,k}r_k$, and the (short) boundary arc from r_k to p_n . If the changes in the

tangent inclination on these pieces are α, β, γ , respectively, and the corresponding changes in the images are α', β', γ' , and the interior angle at p_n is τ with a corresponding image angle τ' , then β, γ, β' , and γ' can be made arbitrarily small for k and n sufficiently large. But $\tau \geq \delta > 0$ and $\alpha' = (m_i/m_j)\alpha$, so that we get a contradiction with [Proposition 2.5](#) since

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \tau, \quad \alpha' + \beta' + \gamma' = \tau'. \quad (5.17)$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

Before ending this section, we mention the following simple consequence of [Theorem 5.1](#) or, more precisely, of its proof.

COROLLARY 5.8. *Let D and D' be Jordan domains with smooth boundaries, and let f be a cps-homeomorphism of D onto D' . Then, there is an at most countable set $S \subset \partial D$ such that θ_f and ϕ_f have continuous extensions to $\overline{D} \setminus S$.*

PROOF. Let S denote the set of points $p \in \partial D$ at which $\lim_{z \rightarrow p} \theta_f(z)$ or $\lim_{z \rightarrow p} \phi_f(z)$ fail to exist (as numbers). From the preceding considerations, it follows that for each $p \in S$, $\delta_i(p) + \delta_j(p) > 0$, where $\delta_k(p)$ denotes the angular size of the k -fan of f emanating from p . Let \mathcal{F} be one of these fans with angular size $\delta > 0$. Let \mathcal{F}' consist of all $C \in \mathcal{F}$ for which $\alpha(C, p) > \delta/2$, other than the leftmost and rightmost characteristics of \mathcal{F} . The angular size of this subfan \mathcal{F}' of \mathcal{F} is at least $\delta/2$. It follows from [Proposition 2.6](#) that there is a $\gamma = \gamma(\delta)$ such that $\lambda_1(C) \geq \gamma$ for all $C \in \mathcal{F}'$. For $p \in S$ for which $\delta_i(p) > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}'_i(p)$ denote the corresponding subfan and let $E_i(p)$ denote the corresponding set of second exit points. Then,

$$\lambda_1(E_i(p)) \geq \delta_i(p)\gamma(\delta_i(p)), \quad E_i(p_1) \cap E_i(p_2) \quad \text{for } p_1 \neq p_2. \quad (5.18)$$

From (5.18) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{p \in S} \delta_k(p)\gamma(\delta_k(p)) \leq 2\lambda_1(\partial D), \quad (5.19)$$

so that S is indeed at most countable. \square

6. cps-self-mappings of the half-plane. In this section we use [Theorem 4.1](#), together with an appropriate uniqueness argument, to completely determine the class of all (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphisms f of the upper half-plane $H = \{z : \Im z > 0\}$ onto itself. We do this by showing that for all such f , $\theta = \theta_f$ has well-defined values on $\mathbb{R} = \partial H$ and that these values are essentially noncharacteristic in the sense that, disregarding simple exceptions, the initial values of θ (may be taken to) lie entirely in one of the intervals $(0, \pi/2)$ or $(\pi/2, \pi)$. This reduction to a well-posed initial value problem is not straightforward since we do not know without the considerations of [Section 5](#) that θ is even bounded in

a neighborhood of each point of \mathbb{R} . Throughout this section f will denote an (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphism of H onto itself. We begin with a series of lemmas, the first of which is the key to the succeeding analysis.

LEMMA 6.1. *The mapping f does not have any doubly exiting characteristics.*

PROOF. Assume, to the contrary, that f has a doubly exiting i -characteristic C . By the remark immediately following the proof of Proposition 2.6, C must have distinct endpoints $a < b$. If α and β are the interior angles of the simple closed curve $C \cup [a, b]$ at a and b , then it follows from Proposition 2.6 that $\alpha = \beta = 0$. For $z \in C$, let C'_z be the j -half-characteristic emanating from z and lying in the exterior of $C \cup [a, b]$. There is a point $w \in C$ at which C is concave towards the exterior of $C \cup [a, b]$, so that C'_w joins w to a point u of ∂H . By Corollary 4.3, $u < a$ or $u > b$. If $u < a$, then for all $z \in aw \subset C$, the same corollary implies that C'_z joins z to a point of $(-\infty, a)$; in the opposite case for all $z \in bw \subset C$, C'_z joins z to a point of (b, ∞) . Application of Proposition 2.7 then gives a contradiction for z sufficiently close to a in the first case or to b in the second case. \square

LEMMA 6.2. *Let C be a characteristic arc of f given by $z = z(s)$, $0 < s < L$. Then, $\kappa(s) = d \arg z'(s)/ds$ has a single sign on $(0, L)$; that is, $\kappa(s) \geq 0$ a.e. on $(0, L)$ or $\kappa(s) \leq 0$ a.e. on $(0, L)$.*

PROOF. If the conclusion were not true, then by Proposition 2.1 there would be $s^+, s^- \in (0, L)$ such that the orthogonal half-characteristics C^+ issuing from $p^+ = z(s^+)$ to the left of C and C^- issuing from $p^- = z(s^-)$ to the right of C join p^+ and p^- to points a^+ and a^- of \mathbb{R} . We assume that $s^+ < s^-$, the opposite case being handled similarly. Let C' denote the closed subarc p^+p^- of C . Let D denote the interior of the simple closed piecewise smooth curve $[a^+, a^-] \cup C^+ \cup C^- \cup C'$. If the right-hand side of C faces the interior of D , then by Proposition 2.8 the half-characteristic E orthogonal to C issuing to the right of p^+ intersects ∂D . Since $E \cap C = \{p^+\}$ and E cannot touch the interior of either C^+ or C^- , E must meet $[a^+, a^-] \subset \partial D$. But then $E \cup C^+$ is a doubly exiting characteristic, which is impossible by the preceding lemma. If D is on the left-hand side of C , then an analogous argument produces a doubly exiting characteristic passing through p^- . \square

LEMMA 6.3. *Any nonexiting characteristic C must be a horizontal straight line.*

PROOF. Clearly, if C is a nonexiting straight-line characteristic, it must be horizontal. Thus, it is sufficient to show that the curvature is identically 0 on any nonexiting characteristic. Assume, to the contrary, that this is not the case for some such i -characteristic C given by $z = z(s)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$. Since by the preceding lemma $\arg z'(s)$ is monotone on $(-\infty, \infty)$, there are two cases:

- (i) $\arg z'(s)$ is unbounded in at least one direction,
- (ii) $\arg z'(s)$ is bounded in both directions.

In case (i) it is easy to see that at one end or the other, C must have an infinite inward spiral, which is clearly impossible. In case (ii) C is a U-shaped curve each end of which must extend upward or at worst be horizontal. Thus there must be some point p on C with nonvanishing curvature at which C is concave towards the inside of the U. But then by [Proposition 2.1](#), the j -half-characteristic C' emanating from p into the U must join p to ∂H . But this implies that C' intersects C in two points, which is impossible. \square

LEMMA 6.4. *If f has a nonexiting characteristic, then f must be affine with horizontal and vertical characteristics.*

PROOF. If f has a nonexiting i -characteristic C , then by the preceding lemma it must be a horizontal straight line. Let C' be any orthogonal characteristic. If the tangent to C' were nonvertical at any point $p \in C'$, then the i -characteristic through p would be a straight line intersecting C , an obvious contradiction. Thus, C' is a vertical line, so that the two families of characteristics are given by $\Re z = \text{const}$ and $\Im z = \text{const}$, from which the desired conclusion follows immediately. \square

Since this lemma gives a complete description of all f possessing a nonexiting characteristic, for the remainder of this section, unless otherwise stated, we assume that *all characteristics exit*, and so, in light of [Lemma 6.1](#), we assume that they exit exactly once. Each characteristic C will be parametrized by $z = Z_C(s)$, $0 \leq s < \infty$, so that $\alpha_C = \arg Z'_C(0)$ is the angle formed by C with the part of \mathbb{R} to the right of C . We adopt the convention $0 \leq \alpha_C \leq \pi$. Furthermore, each characteristic splits H into two pieces. Since characteristics belonging to the same family never cross in H , it makes sense to speak of a characteristic as lying to the right or left of one belonging to the same family. Obviously, C_1 lies to the left of C_2 if and only if $Z_{C_1}(0) \leq Z_{C_2}(0)$. Since by [Lemma 6.2](#), $\arg Z'_C(0)$ is monotonic, it follows that

$$\alpha_C \leq \arg Z'_C \leq \pi \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, \infty) \quad (6.1)$$

or

$$0 \leq \arg Z'_C \leq \alpha_C \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, \infty). \quad (6.2)$$

Were this is not so, we would have a doubly exiting characteristic, which is impossible by [Lemma 6.1](#), or a characteristic with an infinite inward spiral, which is also impossible.

LEMMA 6.5. *If C is a characteristic of f , then all of the orthogonal characteristics must exit in the same component of $H \setminus C$. In fact, this component is the right-hand one if $\arg Z'_C(s) \in [0, \pi/2)$ for some $s \geq 0$ and the left-hand one if $\arg Z'_C(s) \in (\pi/2, \pi]$ for some $s \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Let C be an i -characteristic. The first sentence follows easily from [Lemma 6.1](#), as in the proof of [Lemma 6.2](#). For the second conclusion, assume, for example, that $\arg Z'_C(s) \in [0, \pi/2)$ for some $s \geq 0$. Let C' be the j -half-characteristic emanating to the right of $Z'_C(s)$. If C' does not meet ∂H , then simple geometric considerations imply that as we move along C' away from $Z'_C(s)$, there will be some point p at which C' is concave to the left. But then the i -half-characteristic emanating leftward from p must meet ∂H but at the same time is trapped inside the infinite subregion of H bounded by $\{Z'_C(\sigma) : \sigma > s\} \cup C'$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, C' must meet ∂H . \square

If $\alpha_C = 0$, then because of the monotonicity of $\arg Z'_C$, this function would have to be nondecreasing and not constantly 0 on $[0, \infty)$. That is, C would have to be concave to the left. But then [Lemma 6.5](#) and [Proposition 2.1](#) would together imply that some orthogonal characteristic exits on both sides of C , in contradiction to [Lemma 6.1](#). We obtain a similar contradiction if $\alpha_C = \pi$. Thus,

$$0 < \alpha_C < \pi \quad \text{for all } C. \quad (6.3)$$

We denote by \mathcal{F}_i family i -characteristics of f .

LEMMA 6.6. *Either for all $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ all orthogonal characteristics exit to the left or for all $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ they exit to the right.*

PROOF. If not, then we would have C_1 and C_2 in \mathcal{F}_i with orthogonal characteristics exiting to the left and right, respectively. If $Z_{C_1}(0) \leq Z_{C_2}(0)$, then let C'_1 and C'_2 be infinite j -half-characteristics emanating from C_1 and C_2 with initial inclinations in $[0, \pi/2)$ and $(\pi/2, \pi]$, respectively. The only possibility is that the inclinations are 0 and π since otherwise they would cross. But then they must be horizontal lines since otherwise we would have doubly exiting characteristics. Appropriate downward translates of these lines will then coincide, which again produces a doubly exiting characteristic. Thus $Z_{C_1}(0) > Z_{C_2}(0)$. In this case the only possibility is that C_1 and C_2 are vertical lines, since otherwise they would cross. Let $p \in C_1$. If the j -arc C through p crossed C_2 , then it would exit both to the left and to the right of C_2 , making it a doubly exiting characteristic. Therefore, it must exit in $[Z_{C_2}(0), Z_{C_1}(0))$. But then if we translate the part of C_1 above p downward along C , we will obtain i -arcs which are rays with inclination in $(\pi/2, \pi)$, which will necessarily cross C_2 . This is impossible since distinct i -characteristics cannot cross in H . \square

LEMMA 6.7. *Let $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{F}_i$ with $Z_{C_1}(0) < Z_{C_2}(0)$. Then $\alpha_{C_1} \geq \alpha_{C_2}$.*

PROOF. We prove this for the case in which for all $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$, the j -characteristics crossing it exit to its right, that is, in which all of the characteristics in \mathcal{F}_i are concave to the right; the opposite case is handled analogously. If C_2 is a vertical line, then C_1 must be one too since otherwise C_1 would intersect C_2 , so that $\alpha_{C_1} = \alpha_{C_2} = \pi/2$. We therefore assume that C_2 is not a vertical line. It

follows from the preceding lemma that the characteristics in \mathcal{F}_j are concave to the left. By assumption the j -half-characteristic E' emanating to the left of C_2 from $Z_{C_2}(s)$ is infinite and must therefore intersect C_1 at some point $Z_{C_1}(s')$. Since E' is concave to the left, we have $\alpha_{C_1} \geq \arg Z'_{C_1}(s') \geq \arg Z'_{C_2}(s)$. The desired conclusion now follows since $\arg Z'_{C_2}(s) \rightarrow \alpha_{C_2}$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. \square

LEMMA 6.8. *Let $C_0 \in \mathcal{F}_i$ and assume that all j -characteristics which cross C_0 exit H to the right of C_0 . If C_0 exits H at x_0 and $x < x_0$, then there is an i -characteristic of f which exits H at x .*

PROOF. First of all, it is easy to see by a simple compactness argument that the set E of exit points $x \leq x_0$ of $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ is closed in \mathbb{R} . If $E \neq (-\infty, x_0]$, then there is some $x_1 \leq x_0$ in E , such that $(x_2, x_1) \cap E = \emptyset$, for some $x_2 < x_1$. Let C be the leftmost i -characteristic exiting at x_1 . Let the j -half-characteristic C'_s emanating leftward from $Z_C(s)$ be parametrized by $w_s(\sigma)$, $\sigma \geq 0$. Then it is easy to see that the i -characteristic through $w_s(\sigma)$ will exit H at a point $x \neq x_1$ arbitrarily near x_1 for $s, \sigma > 0$ sufficiently small, which contradicts the definition of x_2 . \square

We are now in a position to obtain a description of the initial values of $\theta = \theta_f$ and $\phi = \phi_f$. It follows from Lemma 6.6 that with appropriate choice of i and j , all j -characteristics passing through a point of any i -characteristic C exit to the right of C , and oppositely when i and j are reversed. Thus from Lemma 6.5, we conclude that

$$\alpha_C \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \quad \text{for all } C \in \mathcal{F}_i, \quad \alpha_C \in \left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right) \quad \text{for all } C \in \mathcal{F}_j. \quad (6.4)$$

Obviously,

$$\text{all } C \in \mathcal{F}_i (C \in \mathcal{F}_j) \text{ are concave to the right (left).} \quad (6.5)$$

For $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ we work with the angles α_C and call them $\theta^*(x)$, where $x \in \partial H$ is the point where the C exits. If we define $\theta^*(x)$ to be 0 for all x for which there is no $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ exiting at x , then Lemmas 6.7 and 6.8 imply that θ^* is a nonincreasing function on all of $\mathbb{R} = \partial H$; at the jump points of θ^* there are fans of exiting characteristics as described in Section 5. For definiteness we take θ^* to be continuous from the right. From the considerations of Section 5 it follows that there is at least one exiting characteristic at each point of ∂H , so that in light of the concavity of the characteristics and the monotonicity of θ^* , there are three intervals $I_{\pi/2}, I, I_0$ on which the function $\theta^*(x)$ takes only the value $\pi/2$, only values in $(0, \pi/2)$, and the value 0, respectively. Any of these three intervals may be empty; in fact, the only thing that cannot happen is that $\partial H = I_{\pi/2}$ or $\partial H = I_0$ since in the former (latter) case it can easily be seen that all j -characteristics (i -characteristics) would be horizontal. Finally,

if f is of the form $m_i x + m_j y + x_0$ or $m_j x + m_i y + x_0$, then we regard θ^* as being identically 0 or $\pi/2$, respectively, on all of ∂H .

THEOREM 6.9. *If f is a cps-homeomorphism of H onto itself, then the function $\theta^* = \theta_f^*$ on $\partial H = \mathbb{R}$ defined in the preceding paragraph (i) is nonincreasing, (ii) is continuous from the right, and (iii) has all of its values in $[0, \pi/2]$. Furthermore, given any such θ^* and any m_1, m_2 , there is a unique (m_1, m_2) -mapping f for which $\theta_f^* = \theta^*$ and $f(0) = 0$.*

COMMENT. This theorem says that the class \mathcal{A} of cps-homeomorphisms f of H onto itself with principal strains m_1 and m_2 and for which $f(0) = 0$ can be decomposed as $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$, where $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ consists of the two linear mappings $m_1 x + m_2 y$ and $m_2 x + m_1 y$, and where there is a one-to-one correspondence between each \mathcal{A}_i and the set of functions satisfying conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of the statement of the theorem. Here \mathcal{A}_i consists of the mappings for which the characteristics corresponding to stretch factor m_i are concave to the right.

To finish the proof of [Theorem 6.9](#), we need to show existence and uniqueness of the f corresponding to a given θ^* . To establish existence, we initially let θ^* be a strictly decreasing C^∞ -function with values in some compact subinterval I of $(0, \pi/2)$ and let

$$\phi^*(x) = \arctan\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} \tan \theta^*(x)\right). \quad (6.6)$$

By well-known existence theorems for hyperbolic systems, there exists a C^∞ solution θ, ϕ of (1.2) in some neighborhood of \mathbb{R} with initial data θ^*, ϕ^* . Now, for such θ, ϕ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_x &= (\cos \theta) D_1 \theta - (\sin \theta) D_2 \theta, \\ \phi_x &= (\cos \theta) D_1 \phi - (\sin \theta) D_2 \phi = \frac{m_1}{m_2} (\cos \theta) D_1 \theta - \frac{m_2}{m_1} (\sin \theta) D_2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

From (6.6), it follows that on $\mathbb{R} = \partial D$ there hold

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 \theta &= \frac{\theta_x m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta) \cos \theta} < 0, \\ D_2 \theta &= -\frac{\theta_x m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta}{(m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta) \sin \theta} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

so that the 1-characteristics are initially concave to the right and the 2-characteristics are initially concave to the left. But then as we move upward away from ∂H along the characteristics, the solution has decreasing $|D_1 \theta|$ and $|D_2 \theta|$, and furthermore from the concavity of the characteristics, it follows that we always have $\theta(z)$ in I . Thus, we can extend the solution little by little into all of H without any singularities developing. By the assignment (6.6) of ϕ^* the mapping f for which $f(0) = 0$, $\theta_f = \theta$ and $\phi_f = \phi$ is an (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphism of H onto itself.

Now let θ^* be any nonincreasing function on \mathbb{R} with values in $[0, \pi/2]$ which is continuous from the right, and let $\{\theta_n^*\}$ be a sequence of strictly decreasing C^∞ -functions such that $\overline{\theta_n^*(\mathbb{R})} \subset (0, \pi/2)$, and such that for each $a < b$,

$$\int_a^b |\theta_n^*(x) - \theta^*(x)| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.9)$$

Let (θ_n, ϕ_n) be the solution in H of the initial value problem for (1.2) with initial data $\theta_n^*(x)$ and $\phi_n^*(x) = \arctan((m_2/m_1) \tan \theta_n^*(x))$, and let f_n be the corresponding mapping of H onto H with $f_n(0) = 0$. By the compactness principle, some subsequence of $\{f_n\}$, which for convenience we continue to call $\{f_n\}$, converges locally uniformly on \overline{H} to an (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphism f of H onto itself. Since

$$\frac{\partial f_n(x, 0)}{\partial x} = (m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_n^*(x) + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta_n^*(x))^{1/2}, \quad (6.10)$$

it follows from (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(b) - f(a) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(b) - f_n(a) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b (m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_n^*(x) + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta_n^*(x))^{1/2} dx \\ &= \int_a^b (m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta^*(x) + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta^*(x))^{1/2} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

so that $\partial f(x, 0)/\partial x = (m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta^*(x) + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta^*(x))^{1/2}$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} . Because θ^* is continuous from the right, it therefore follows that $\theta_f^*(x) = \theta^*(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, as desired.

Finally, we have to show that given any such function θ^* on \mathbb{R} , together with its companion function $\phi^*(x) = \arctan((m_2/m_1) \tan \theta^*(x))$, the corresponding (m_1, m_2) -self-homeomorphism f of H with $f(0) = 0$ is unique; in other words, that the functions θ and ϕ in H corresponding to these boundary functions are uniquely determined in H . This is not completely obvious since θ^* and ϕ^* may not be Lipschitz continuous (nor even continuous for that matter). We deduce uniqueness by “spreading out,” in effect, the initial line so as to transform the given problem into a standard Cauchy problem with Lipschitz data.

We begin by proving uniqueness (in the domain of dependence of $[a, b]$) under the assumption that $\theta^*([a, b]) \subset (\epsilon, \pi/2 - \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, that θ^* is nonincreasing, and that it is continuous at a and b . The general case follows easily from this, as we indicate below.

Let $\theta(a) = \alpha \geq \beta = \theta(b)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a = 0$. Let $\bar{\theta}(x) = \alpha - \theta^*(x)$, so that $\bar{\theta}$ is nondecreasing on $[0, b]$ with values in $[0, \alpha - \beta]$. Let P denote the countable set of points of $[0, b]$ at which this function has jumps, the jump at p being denoted by $\delta(p) = \delta_1(p) + \delta_2(p)$, where $\delta_1(p)$ and $\delta_2(p)$ are the angles of the associated fans of 1- and 2-characteristics

emanating from p (see [Section 5](#)). For each $n \geq 1$, let $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = b$ be a set of points of $[0, \alpha - \beta] \setminus P$ such that $|a_k - a_{k-1} - 1/n| < 1/n^2$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. We define $\xi_n(a_k) = a_k + \bar{\theta}(a_k)$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and let ξ_n be linear on each of the intervals $[a_{k-1}, a_k]$. Then obviously, the inverse η_n of ξ_n on the interval $J = [0, b + \alpha - \beta]$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with constant 1. Also, if we define $\bar{\theta}_n$ on J to be the piecewise linear function for which $\bar{\theta}_n(\xi_n(a_k)) = \bar{\theta}(a_k)$, then $\bar{\theta}_n$ is also Lipschitz continuous with constant 1 on J . Using the compactness of the families $\{\eta_n\}$ and $\{\bar{\theta}_n\}$, we obtain functions $\eta, \bar{\theta}^*$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition with constant 1 such that η maps J onto $[0, b]$ and $\bar{\theta}^*$ maps J onto $[0, \alpha - \beta]$ with derivative 1 on the subintervals of J corresponding to the jumps in $\bar{\theta}$ and such that for each x for which the original θ is continuous at $\eta(x)$ we have $\bar{\theta}^*(x) = \bar{\theta}(\eta(x))$. For $p \in P$ we denote $\lim_{x \rightarrow p^-} \theta^*(x)$ by $\theta^-(p)$ and similarly for ϕ^* . Note that since θ^* and ϕ^* are continuous from the right, $\theta^*(p)$ and $\phi^*(p)$ are equal to their limits as $x \rightarrow p^+$. Also, by the relationship between the fan angles of θ^* at p and the sizes of their images under f at $f(p)$ established in [Section 5](#), it follows that

$$\phi^-(p) = \lim_{x \rightarrow p^-} \phi^*(x) = \phi^+(p) + \left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right)\delta_2(p) + \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)\delta_1(p). \quad (6.12)$$

For any $x \in J$ for which θ^* , and consequently ϕ^* also, is continuous at $\eta(x)$, we let $\Theta(x) = \theta^*(\eta(x))$ and $\Phi(x) = \phi^*(\eta(x))$. On the other hand, on the interval $I \subset J$ corresponding to $p \in P$, we let Θ vary linearly from $\theta^-(p)$ to $\theta^*(p)$ and Φ vary linearly from $\phi^-(p)$ to $\phi^*(p)$. The functions Θ and Φ so defined on the interval J are clearly Lipschitz continuous.

For $t \in J$, let $L_1(t), L_2(t)$ be the halves of the lines $x - y = t$ and $x + y = t$ emanating upwards from the x -axis. We extend Θ and Φ to the triangle T bounded by $L_1(0)$ and $L_2(b + \alpha - \beta)$ by letting $R'_i = m_i\Theta - m_j\Phi$ be constant on the each line $L_i(p)$ for all $p \in J$. The functions Θ and Φ are obviously Lipschitz continuous on T . Now consider a Lipschitz continuous mapping $z = u + iv : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies the initial condition

$$z(x, 0) = \eta(x) \quad (6.13)$$

and the differential equations

$$\arg\{z_x + z_y\} = \Theta(x, y), \quad \arg\{z_y - z_x\} = \Theta(x, y) + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (6.14)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (v_y + v_x) \cos \Theta - (u_y + u_x) \sin \Theta &= 0, \\ (v_y - v_x) \sin \Theta + (u_y - u_x) \cos \Theta &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

Writing these differential equations in the form

$$V_y + V_x = -(\Theta_y + \Theta_x)U, \quad U_y - U_x = -(\Theta_y - \Theta_x)V, \quad (6.16)$$

where $U = u \cos \Theta + v \sin \Theta$ and $V = v \cos \Theta - u \sin \Theta$, and converting them to integral equations, it is easy to see that the linear hyperbolic system (6.15) with initial condition (6.13) has at most one Lipschitz continuous solution. We now consider the restriction to the domain of dependence D corresponding to $[a, b]$ of any (m_1, m_2) -mapping f corresponding to initial values θ^* and ϕ^* , and define $z : T \rightarrow D$ as follows. For $p \in P$, let $I(p) \subset J$ be the corresponding closed interval. If $t \in I(p)$, let $R(t)$ be the union of the two legs of the closed isosceles right triangle $T(p)$ with base $I(p)$, and for all other $t \in J$ let $R(t) = t$. Note that each $L_i(\rho)$ meets a unique $R(t)$. If $\zeta \in T(p)$ for some p , then we define $z(\zeta) = p$. If $\{\zeta\} = L_1(\rho_1) \cap L_2(\rho_2)$ and ζ is not in any $T(p)$, then we define ζ_i, t_i , by $\{\zeta_i\} = L_i(\rho_i) \cap R(t_i)$. We then define $z(\zeta)$ to be the point on the intersection of the 1-characteristic of f through $\eta(\rho_1)$, whose initial inclination is $\Theta(\zeta_1)$, with the 2-characteristic through $\eta(\rho_2)$, whose initial inclination is $\Theta(\zeta_2) + \pi/2$. This mapping is well defined. Indeed, for each ρ not in any $I(p)$, $p \in P$, the indicated characteristics emanate from $\eta(\rho)$, in light of what we deduced about the fans in Section 5. On the other hand, if $\rho \in I(p)$ for some $p \in P$, then on the left side of any $R(\rho)$, Θ decreases linearly from $\theta^-(p) - \delta_2(p)$ to $\theta^*(p)$ and Φ decreases linearly from $\phi^-(p) - (m_1/m_2)\delta_2(p)$ to $\phi^*(p)$. Since an analogous statement holds for the right side of $R(\rho)$, we again have that the indicated characteristics are well defined. Obviously, $z(t) = \eta(t)$ for $t \in J$. Because of the definition of Θ and Φ in terms of the invariance of $R'_i = m_i\Theta - m_j\Phi$ on the L_i , and the fact that the $R_i = m_i\theta - m_j\phi$ are invariant on the i -characteristics of f , we see that the inclination of the curve $z(L_1(\rho))$ at $z(\zeta)$ is $\Theta(\zeta)$ and that that of $z(L_2(\rho))$ is $\Theta(\zeta) + \pi/2$, that is, that $\theta(z(\zeta)) = \Theta(\zeta)$. In addition, this means that changes in $\Theta(\zeta)$ and $\theta(z(\zeta))$ coincide on segments of the $L_i(t)$. It is clear that there is some $K = K(m_1, m_2)$, such that Θ is Lipschitz continuous with constant K on each $L_i(t)$. It is also clear that all the characteristics of f in the domain of dependence D have length bounded by some $M < \infty$. Moreover, the initial points of $z(L_j(\rho_1))$ and $z(L_j(\rho_2))$ are at most $|\eta(\rho_1) - \eta(\rho_2)| \leq |\rho_1 - \rho_2|$. From these last two facts, together with the convexity of the characteristics of f and the fact that $\Delta\Theta$ and $\Delta\theta \circ z$ coincide on segments of the L_i , it is easy to show using the HP-property that if S is a segment of $L_i(\rho)$, then the length of $z(S)$ is at most $(KM + 1)\lambda_1(S)$, so that z is Lipschitz continuous. Thus, z is a Lipschitz continuous solution of the initial value problem for (6.15) with initial values (6.13). From this it follows that the characteristics are uniquely determined in the entire domain of dependence D of $[a, b]$ and, in turn, that the $R_i = m_i\theta - m_j\phi$, and consequently θ and ϕ also, are uniquely determined there.

The foregoing establishes the desired uniqueness in the domain of dependence of largest open interval I on which $0 < \theta^*(x) < \pi/2$, so that we only need to consider the case that $I \neq \mathbb{R}$. Thus we assume that $I = (a, b) \neq \mathbb{R}$. Assume first that $I = (a, b) \neq \emptyset$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case the domain of dependence is the curvilinear triangle made up of \bar{I} , an arc A of the rightmost 1-characteristic

exiting at a , and an arc B of the leftmost 2-characteristic exiting at b . Since the fan angles at b are determined by the size of the jump in θ^* at b , we see that an initial piece of the 2-fan at b , being determined by the corresponding fan angle and B , is unique. Now, the 1-characteristics making up the 1-fan at b emanate from b , are concave towards the right and have angles which tend to 0, and furthermore do not exit to the right of b . From this it follows that they are horizontal lines, which gives us the uniqueness of the 1-fan at b and hence the uniqueness of θ and ϕ in $N([b, \infty), \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. (The case in which there is no jump at b is essentially the same, with only minor changes.) Similarly, we get uniqueness in a neighborhood $N([-\infty, a), \epsilon)$. Since θ and ϕ are Lipschitz continuous on all horizontal lines in H , their uniqueness in the entire half-plane follows immediately. That f itself is unique follows from the condition $f(0) = 0$. The cases in which $a = -\infty$ or $b = \infty$ are in effect included in this argument. The only remaining cases are those in which $(a, b) = \emptyset$, that is, those in which θ^* is identically 0 or $\pi/2$ on \mathbb{R} or in which $\theta^*(x)$ jumps from $\pi/2$ to 0 at $a \in \mathbb{R}$. In the first two of these cases, f is easily seen to be linear. In the final case, let the angular sizes of the 1- and 2-fans at a be δ_1 and δ_2 , and let the angular sizes of the sectors to the right and left of these fans, respectively, be α_R and α_L . Then, it is clear from [Theorem 5.1](#) that $\alpha_R = \alpha_L = 0$ since if $\alpha_R > 0$, for example, there would be a 1-characteristic exiting to the right of a . As above, on the basis of the concavity of the characteristics, we see that the fans at a are made up of straight lines. The uniqueness then follows since the numbers δ_1 and δ_2 are uniquely determined by conditions [\(5.2\)](#).

It is clear that the proof can be modified to show uniqueness for solutions to Cauchy problems for [\(1.2\)](#) associated with transformation problems in much greater generality.

7. Closing remarks. In this section we briefly discuss some issues pertinent to further investigation of the transformation problem for cps-mappings. We begin by pointing out that although [Theorem 6.9](#) might lead one to believe that “exterior domains” are somewhat simpler to deal with than Jordan domains, the half-plane situation is, in effect, a fluke because the absence of doubly exiting characteristics essentially (i.e., apart from the simple degenerate cases in which $\theta^*(x) = \pi/2$ on $(-\infty, a)$ and/or 0 on (b, ∞) , discussed in the final paragraph of the preceding section) means that all of the mappings in question are reducible to Cauchy problems. It is not too hard to show that this favorable circumstance arises also in the case of cps-self-homeomorphisms of the exterior of a disk, making possible their complete description. This case, though, is substantially more complex than that of half-planes since it involves two fundamentally different classes of mappings, corresponding to the two kinds of HP-nets with a single isolated singularity in \mathbb{C} (see [\[5, Theorem 3.3\]](#)). Moreover, in contrast to the half-plane case in which the Cauchy data is given on a straight line and so (interpretation aside) has the standard initial value format,

in the case of $\mathbb{C} \setminus N(0, 1)$, the data given on $\partial N(0, 1)$ actually generates a unique solution in the entire exterior of the disk. Because it would appear that there are few domains for which no cps-self-homeomorphisms have doubly exiting characteristics, it would be of considerable interest to determine all of them; in fact, it may well turn out that the only possibilities are half-planes and the exteriors of disks.

Although the most appealing transformation problems for cps-mappings are ones for which D and D' are (piecewise smoothly bounded) Jordan domains, this case unfortunately leads to a highly over-determined situation in which there is no way of working exclusively with Cauchy problems since all of the characteristics are necessarily doubly exiting, and which is further complicated by the possibility of nonexistence established in [Theorem 3.1](#). These circumstances suggest that one seek explicit nonexistence and qualitative results, and in this direction we formulate two conjectures.

CONJECTURE 7.1. *There is a very strong tendency for cps-homeomorphisms to bend domains (because of the way they change total curvature of characteristics); specifically, there are no cps-self-homeomorphisms of disks.*

CONJECTURE 7.2. *For any two given smoothly bounded Jordan domains and any given m_1, m_2 there are at most a finite number of (m_1, m_2) -homeomorphisms of one onto the other.*

We believe that the case of cps-self-homeomorphisms of strips should prove both interesting, because they are “almost” Jordan domains, and tractable, because of the geometric simplicity of their boundaries, even though it is likely that it nonetheless gives rise to subtle blow-up questions.

In [\[5\]](#) we showed that for an HP-function θ defined on a smoothly bounded Jordan domain D the nontangential limits of θ exist a.e. on ∂D , a conclusion considerably weaker than that of [Corollary 5.8](#). We believe, however, that one can replace the proof of [\[5\]](#) by one that yields the conclusion of this corollary without any assumption beyond the smoothness of ∂D . Doing so, however, will require an analysis of the local behavior and global consequences associated with the two kinds of boundary singularities that we have shown cannot arise in the context of a cps-homeomorphism of D onto a smoothly bounded image domain, namely, points $p \in \partial D$ at which there is an exiting characteristic along which $\lim_{z \rightarrow p} \theta(z)$ does not exist and those at which there are simply no exiting characteristics at all, both of which possibilities can present themselves in the more general context.

One can contemplate the extension of some of the results about planar cps-mappings to their higher-dimensional counterparts. In that context, the underlying equations corresponding to [\(1.2\)](#) have in some sense a similar form (see [\[4\]](#)), but are substantially more complex and allow considerably more leeway for the avoidance of singularity formation. In particular, there exist nontrivial cps-self-homeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^3 , examples of which were found by Yin [\[14\]](#),

and we believe that an interesting investigation would result from an attempt to discover all of them.

Before closing, we must mention the significant foundational conjecture that the partial derivatives of a C^1 mapping $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with constant principal strains $m_1 \neq m_2$ are necessarily locally Lipschitz continuous. In this direction we showed in [3] that if J_f is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha > (1/2)(\sqrt{5} - 1)$, f is necessarily an (m_1, m_2) -mapping, and the proof can be sharpened to show that $\alpha > 1/2$ is sufficient.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Carathéodory and E. Schmidt, *Über die Hencky-Prandtschen Kurven*, Z. angew. Math. Mech. **3** (1923), 468–475 (German).
- [2] M. Chuaqui and J. Gevirtz, *Constant principal strain mappings on 2-manifolds*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2000), no. 4, 734–759.
- [3] J. Gevirtz, *On planar mappings with prescribed principal strains*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **117** (1992), no. 4, 295–320.
- [4] ———, *A diagonal hyperbolic system for mappings with prescribed principal strains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **176** (1993), no. 2, 390–403.
- [5] ———, *Hencky-Prandtl nets and constant principal strain mappings with isolated singularities*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **25** (2000), no. 1, 187–238.
- [6] ———, *Singularity sets of constant principal strain deformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), no. 2, 600–625.
- [7] W. S. Hemp, *Optimum Structures*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1973.
- [8] H. Hencky, *Über einige statisch bestimmte Fälle des Gleichgewichts in plastischen Körpern*, Z. angew. Math. Mech. **3** (1923), 241–251 (German).
- [9] R. Hill, *The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity*, Clarendon, Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [10] F. John, *On quasi-isometric mappings. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **21** (1968), 77–110.
- [11] ———, *On quasi-isometric mappings. II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1969), 265–278.
- [12] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Studia Mathematica/Mathematische Lehrbücher, vol. 25, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [13] L. Prandtl, *Über die Eindringungsfestigkeit (Härte) plastische Baustoffe und die Festigkeit von Schneiden*, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. **1** (1921), 15–20 (German).
- [14] W.-L. Yin, *Two families of finite deformations with constant strain invariants*, Mech. Res. Comm. **10** (1983), no. 3, 127–132.

Julian Gevirtz: Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile and Academia Chilena de Ciencias, Almirante Montt 454, Santiago, Chile

Current address: 2005 North Winthrop Road, Muncie, IN 47304, USA

E-mail address: jgevirtz@bsu.edu

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br