

THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR EXCHANGEABLE RANDOM VARIABLES

HU-MING ZHANG

Department of Mathematics
Shan Xi University
Tai Yuan, Shan Xi, P.R. China

and

ROBERT L. TAYLOR

Department of Statistics
University of Georgia
Athens, GA 30602, U.S.A.

(Received January 13, 1993 and in revised form July 25, 1993)

ABSTRACT. In this note, necessary and sufficient conditions for laws of the iterated logarithm are developed for exchangeable random variables.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. The law of the iterated logarithm, exchangeable sequence of random variables.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary, 60G09, 60F15; Secondary, 60G07.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In 1929, Kolmogorov proved a law of the iterated logarithm (LIL) for independent random variables under certain boundedness conditions. Hartman and Winter in 1941 verified that the LIL is universally true for i.i.d. random variables when the second moment exists. There are certain extensions of the LIL to martingales. However, there appears to have been no discussions on this problem for exchangeable random variables. We address this problem in this paper and extend the LIL to exchangeable random variables with necessary and sufficient conditions for the LIL in terms of conditional mean and variance.

Random variables (r.v.'s) X_1, \dots, X_n are said to be exchangeable if the joint distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n is permutation invariant. A sequence of r.v.'s $\{X_n\}$ is said to be exchangeable if every finite subset of the sequence is exchangeable. Obviously, i.i.d. random variables are exchangeable, but not vice versa. The LIL is said to hold for a sequence of r.v.'s $\{X_n\}$ with $EX_n = 0$ for all n if

$$P \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\sqrt{2S_n^2 \log \log S_n^2}} = 1 \right] = 1$$

where $S_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2$ and \log denote the natural log to the base e . The following example shows that the LIL can fail even for exchangeable r.v.'s while a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s may

satisfy the LIL and not be independent r.v.'s.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that $EX_1 = 0$ and $EX_1^2 = 1$ and let $Y_n = ZX_n$, $n \geq 1$, where the random variable Z is independent of the sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ with $P(Z = a) = P(Z = b) = 0.5$. It is not difficult to see that $\{Y_n\}$ is a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s.

If $a = 2$ and $b = 0$, then $EY_n = 0$ and $EY_n^2 = 2$ for every $n \geq 1$. We define $S_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n EY_j^2$ and $U_n^2 = 2 \log \log S_n^2$. Clearly,

$$P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n Y_j}{S_n U_n} = 1\right) = 0.5 P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

in view of the fact that by [4],

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (-X_j)}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = 1, \text{ a.s.} \quad (1.2)$$

In this case, the LIL is almost nowhere true for the sequence of exchangeable random variables $\{Y_n\}$ versus the LIL holding for the sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\{X_n\}$.

However, if $a = 1$ and $b = -1$, then $EY_n^2 = 1$, $S_n^2 = n$, and $U_n^2 = 2 \log \log n$, which yields from (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j / S_n U_n = 1\right) \\ &= 0.5 P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} = 1\right) \\ &+ 0.5 P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n (-X_j) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} = 1\right) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

This is another case where the LIL holds for exchangeable r.v.'s $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ which might definitely not be a sequence of independent r.v.'s as long as

$$\begin{aligned} & P(X_1 < a)P(X_1 < b) + P(X_1 > -a)P(X_1 > -b) \\ & \neq P(X_1 < a)P(X_1 > -a) + P(X_1 < b)P(X_1 > -b). \end{aligned}$$

A similar example can be constructed to show that under certain conditions the LIL holds for martingales but fails for exchangeable r.v.'s and vice versa. Thus, conditions for the LIL to hold may be very different for exchangeable r.v.'s than for independent r.v.'s or martingales.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the LIL to hold for exchangeable r.v.'s are established in the next section.

2. THE LIL FOR EXCHANGEABLE r.v.'s.

Below we establish the LIL and give the necessary and sufficient conditions for exchangeable r.v.'s to satisfy the LIL by using de Finetti's theorem. Let Φ denote the collection of distribution functions on \mathfrak{R} (real numbers) and provide Φ with topology of weak convergence of distribution functions. Then, de Finetti's theorem [2] asserts that for an infinite sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s $\{X_n\}$ there exists a probability measure μ on the Borel σ -field Σ of subsets of Φ such that

$$P\{g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B\} = \int_{\Phi} P_F\{g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B\} d\mu(F) \quad (1.4)$$

for any $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ and any Borel function $g: R^n \rightarrow R, n \geq 1$. Moreover, $P_F[g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B]$ is computed under the assumption that the sequence of r.v.'s $\{X_n\}$ is i.i.d. with common distribution function F , where $E_F g(X_n)$ is the conditional mean obtained by integrating $g(x)$ with respect to $P_F(\cdot)$ given by (1.4).

From (1.4), we know that if $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) , then $\{E_F g(X_n)\}$ is a sequence of random variables on (Φ, Σ, μ) and for each $F \in \Phi$ given, $\{X_n\}$ are independent, identically distributed.

Taylor and Hu (1987) showed that for a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s $\{X_n\}$ such that $E_F |X_1| < \infty \mu - \text{a.s.}$

$$E_F X_1 = 0 \mu - \text{a.s.} \text{ if and only if } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Moreover, it was observed that $E_F X_1 = 0 \mu - \text{a.s.}$ is equivalent to $E(X_1, X_2) = 0$. Blum, Chernoff, Rosenblatt, and Teicher (1958) showed that for a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s $\{X_n\}$ such that $EX_1^2 < \infty$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \text{ converged in distribution to a } N(0, \sigma^2) \text{ r.v.}$$

if and only if

$$E_F X_1 = 0 \mu - \text{a.s.} \text{ and } E_F X_1^2 = \sigma^2 \mu - \text{a.s.} \quad (1.5)$$

which is equivalent to the alternative and structurally simpler condition $EX_1 X_2 = 0$ and $EX_1^2 X_2^2 = 1$.

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the LIL to hold for exchangeable random variables are patterned after these results.

THEOREM 1. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s with $EX_1 = 0$ and $0 < EX_1^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} = \sigma, \text{ a.s.,} \quad (1.6)$$

if and only if

$$\nu_F = E_F X_1 = 0 \text{ and } \sigma_F^2 = E_F (X_1 - \nu_F)^2 = \sigma^2, \mu - \text{a.s.} \quad (1.7)$$

COMMENT. Condition (1.7) is equivalent to $EX_1 X_2 = 0$ and $EX_1^2 X_2^2 = 1$.

PROOF. First, observe that (1.6) is equivalent to

$$P \left[\sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma, \text{ i.o.} \right] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c > 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } c < 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Next, from (1.4) and by the continuity of probability measure and the bounded convergence theorem,

$$P \left[\sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma, \text{ i.o.} \right] \quad (1.9)$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\bigcup_{n=k}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Phi} P_F \left[\bigcup_{n=k}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma \right) \right] d\mu(F) \\
&= \int_{\Phi} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_F \left[\bigcup_{n=k}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma \right) \right] d\mu(F) \\
&= \int_{\Phi} P_F \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma, \text{ i.o.} \right) d\mu(F) \\
&= \int_{\Phi} P_F \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma - \sqrt{n/(2 \log \log n)} \nu_F, \text{ i.o.} \right\} d\mu(F).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we conclude from (1.8) and (1.9) that (1.6) is equivalent to (1.10) and (1.11) where

$$P_F \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma - \sqrt{n/(2 \log \log n)} \nu_F, \text{ i.o.} \right\} \quad (1.10)$$

$$= 0, \mu\text{-a.s.}, \text{ for any } c > 1.$$

and

$$P_F \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma - \sqrt{n/(2 \log \log n)} \nu_F, \text{ i.o.} \right\} \quad (1.11)$$

$$= 1, \mu\text{-a.s.}, \text{ for any } c < 1.$$

Clearly, the “if” part follows easily from (1.10)-(1.11) since $\{X_n - \nu_F, n \geq 1\}$ are conditionally i.i.d. with zero mean given F , which leads to

$$P_F \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma_F, \text{ i.o.} \right] \quad (1.12)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for any } c > 1 \\ 1 & \text{for any } c < 1 \end{cases}, \text{ for each } F \in \Phi,$$

when $0 < \sigma_F < \infty$ by the LIL. The above with $\nu_F = 0$ and $\sigma_F = \sigma$, μ -a.s., confirms (1.10) and (1.11) and hence establishes (1.6).

To prove the “only if” part, we first compare (1.10) with (1.12) to assert $\nu_F \leq 0$, μ -a.s.. Otherwise, if $\mu(F: \nu_F > 0) > 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mu(E) > 0, E = \{F: \nu_F > \varepsilon\} \quad (1.13)$$

and on the set E , for all sufficiently large n

$$c\sigma - \sqrt{n/(2 \log \log n)} \nu_F < -2\sigma_F. \quad (1.14)$$

Hence from (1.12),

$$P_F \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma - \sqrt{n/(2 \log \log n)} \nu_F, \text{ i.o.} \right] \quad (1.15)$$

$$\geq P_F \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq -2\sigma_F, \text{ i.o.} \right]$$

$$= 1, \text{ for any } c > 1 \text{ and } F \in E.$$

It should be mentioned that although (1.15) is deduced under the assumption that $0 < \sigma_F < \infty$, (1.15) is still true when $\sigma_F = 0$ as a trivial case and $\sigma_F = \infty$ is excluded from consideration in view of the fact that $E\sigma_F^2 \leq E E_F X_1^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$. The contradiction of (1.15) to (1.10) makes the assertion $\nu_F \leq 0, \mu$ -a.s.. A similar argument from (1.11) and (1.12) concludes $\nu_F \geq 0, \mu$ -a.s., thus, $\nu_F = 0, \mu$ -a.s., has been confirmed. With this, we can reduce (1.10) and (1.11) to

$$P_F \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \geq c\sigma, \text{ i.o.} \right\} \quad (1.16)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for any } c > 1 \\ 1 & \text{for any } c < 1 \end{cases}, \quad \mu\text{-a.s.},$$

A comparison of (1.12) with (1.16) yields $\sigma_F = \sigma, \mu$ a.s., to complete the proof of Theorem 1. \square

We remark that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied if $a = 1$ and $b = 1$, but are not satisfied if $a = 2$ and $b = 0$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let X be a random variable with $EX = 0$ and $0 < EX^2 < \infty$, and let $X_n = X, n \geq 1$. Then (1.7) and (1.6) clearly fail for the exchangeable sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$.

For a sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$, let T be the tail σ -field defined by $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(X_j; j \geq n)$ and let

$$T = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j / \sqrt{2n \log \log n}. \quad (1.17)$$

When $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.'s such that $EX_1 = 0$ and $EX_1^2 = \sigma^2$, T is almost surely equal to the constant σ . Theorem 1 also yields $T = \sigma$ a.s. if condition (1.6) holds for exchangeable random variables, and the example in Section 1 shows that Theorem 1 may be obtained for non-independent random variables. It is also worth observing that for exchangeable r.v.'s condition (1.7) is the necessary and sufficient condition for $n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ to converge in distribution to a $N(0, \sigma^2)$ r.v. It is possible for $n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ to converge in distribution to a mixture of normal distributed r.v.'s (cf: Chapter 2 of Taylor, Daffer, and Patterson). For example, if $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s with $EX_1 = 0$, $EX_1^2 < \infty$, and $E(X_1, X_2) = 0$ (equivalently $\nu_F = 0, \mu$ -a.s.), then $n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ converges in distribution to a r.v. Z which has distribution function $F(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(\sigma^{-1}x) dG(\sigma)$ where Φ is the standard normal distribution function and G is a distribution function with support contained in $[0, \infty)$. Theorem 2 provides a LIL for this setting.

THEOREM 2. If $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of exchangeable r.v.'s with $EX_1^2 < \infty$, then in (1.17) T is an extended random variable which can be defined by

$$T = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{on } \{E(X_1 | T) > 0\} \\ \sqrt{E(X_1^2 | T)} & \text{on } \{E(X_1 | T) = 0\} \\ -\infty & \text{on } \{E(X_1 | T) < 0\} \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

REMARK. Traditionally, hypotheses of limit theorems for exchangeable random variables are phrased in terms of $\nu_F = E_F(X_1)$ and $\sigma_F^2 = E_F(X_1^2) - \nu_F^2$ which are random variables on the probability space (Φ, Σ, μ) . It can be shown that $g(\omega) = P(X_1 \leq t | T)(\omega)$ is a measurable mapping of (Ω, \mathcal{A}) into (Φ, Σ) and μ can be identified with the induced probability measure P_g

where T is any σ -field which make the exchangeable r.v.'s $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ conditionally i.i.d. (e.g., T could be the tail σ -field). Hence, T can be identified with T_F , a r.v. on (Φ, Σ, μ) defined by

$$T_F = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{on } \{F: \nu_F > 0\} \\ \sigma_F & \text{on } \{F: \nu_F = 0\} \\ -\infty & \text{on } \{F: \sigma_F < 0\} \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

and the proof of Theorem 2 follows from the proof of Theorem 1. Note that $T = T_F \circ \sigma$ a.s. where σ denotes the composition mapping.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Since $EX_1^2 < \infty$, ν_F , and σ_F^2 exist for μ -almost every $F \in \Phi$. From (1.12) it follows that

$$P_F \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} = \sigma_F \right] = 1,$$

for μ -almost every $F \in \Phi$. The proof then follows by observing that

$$T_F = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \nu_F) / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} + \sqrt{n/(2 \log \log n)} \nu_F \right\}$$

completing the proof. \square

From the proof of Theorem 2, it is clear that the hypothesis $EX_1^2 < \infty$ can be replaced with $E_F X_1^2 < \infty$ μ -a.s.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. These authors are indebted to the referee for the constructive comments and very excited for his/her positive assessment. Also, example 2 in this paper is provided by the referee.

REFERENCES

1. BLUM, S.; CHERNOFF, H.; ROSENBLATT, M. and TEICHER, H., Central limit theorems for exchangeable processes, *Canadian J. Math.* **10** (1958), 222-229.
2. DE FINNETTI, B., *Theory of Probability*, Wiley, New York, 1974.
3. KOLMOGOROV, A., Über das Gesetz des iterierten logarithmus, *Math. Ann.* **101** (1929), 126-135.
4. HARTMAN, P. and WINTER, A., On the law of the iterated logarithm, *Amer. J. Math.* **63** (1941), 169-176.
5. TAYLOR, R.L. and HU, T.C., On laws of large numbers for exchangeable random variables, *Stoch. Anal. and Appl.* **5** (1987), 323-334.
6. TAYLOR, R.L.; DAFFER, P.Z., and PATTERSON, R.F., Limit theorems for sums of exchangeable random variables, *Rowman and Allanheld Monographs in Probability and Statistics*, Totowa, N.J., 1985.

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2009
Publication Date	September 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru