

## A RESULT OF COMMUTATIVITY OF RINGS

VISHNU GUPTA

Department of Mathematics  
M.D. University, P.G. Regional Centre  
Rewari (Haryana) INDIA

(Received December 11, 1990 and in revised form September 9, 1991)

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove the following:

**THEOREM.** Let  $n > 1$  and  $m$  be fixed relatively prime positive integers and  $k$  is any non-negative integer. If  $R$  is a ring with unity 1 satisfying  $x^k[x^n, y] = [x, y^m]$  for all  $x, y \in R$  then  $R$  is commutative.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Commutator ideal, nilpotent elements.

**1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16A 70.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Psomopoulos [12] proved that if  $R$  is a ring with unity satisfying the properties that for each  $x, y \in R$ ,

- (i)  $x^k[x^n, y] = [x, y^m]$
- (ii)  $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$
- (iii)  $(xy)^k = x^k y^k$

where  $n > 1$  and  $m$  are fixed relatively prime positive integers and  $k$  is any non-negative integer, then  $R$  is commutative. In this paper we prove the theorem stated in the abstract which improve above theorem of Psomopolous [12] where conditions (ii) and (iii) are superfluous.

Throughout,  $R$  will denote an associative ring with unit 1. We use the following notations.

$Z(R)$ , the center of  $R$ .

$[x, y] = xy - yx$

$C(R)$ , the commutator ideal of  $R$ .

$N(R)$ , the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ .

$D(R)$ , the set of all zero divisors in  $R$ .

### 2. MAIN RESULTS.

We state our main result as follows.

**MAIN THEOREM.** Let  $n > 1$  and  $m$  be fixed relatively prime positive integers and  $k$  is any non-negative integer. If  $R$  is a ring with unity 1 satisfying

$$(*) \quad x^k[x^n, y] = [x, y^m] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R$$

then  $R$  is commutative.

We begin with the following lemmas which will be used in proving our main theorem.

**LEMMA 1** ([2], Theorem 1). Let  $R$  be a ring satisfying an identity  $q(X) = 0$ , where  $q(X)$  is a polynomial identity in non-commuting in-determinates, its coefficient being integers with highest common factor one. If there exists no prime  $p$  for which the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $GF(p)$  satisfies  $q(X) = 0$ , then  $R$  has a nil commutator ideal and the nilpotent elements of  $R$  form an ideal.

**LEMMA 2** ([8], p. 221). If  $x, y \in R$  and  $[x, y]$  commute with  $x$ , then  $[x^n, y] = nx^{n-1}[x, y]$  for all positive integer  $n$ .

**LEMMA 3** ([9]). Let  $R$  be a ring with unity and let  $f : R \rightarrow R$  be a function such that  $f(x+1) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . If for some positive integer  $n$ ,  $x^n f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then necessarily  $f(x) = 0$ .

**LEMMA 4.** If  $R$  is a ring satisfying (\*) in the hypothesis of the main theorem then

$$C(R) \subseteq N(R) \subseteq Z(R)$$

**PROOF.** By Lemma 3 of [12] we have  $N(R) \subseteq Z(R)$  when  $R$  satisfies  $x^k[x^n, y] = [x, y^m]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . This is a polynomial identity with coprime integral coefficients. But if we consider (i)  $x = e_{22}$  and  $y = e_{21}$ , if  $n > 1$ ,  $m > 1$  and (ii)  $x = e_{21}$  and  $y = e_{22}$  if  $n > 1$  and  $m = 1$ , we find that no ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $GF(p)$ ,  $p$  a prime, satisfies this identity. Hence by Lemma 1,  $C(R)$  is a nil ideal and thus

$$C(R) \subseteq N(R) \subseteq Z(R).$$

**PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM.** By Lemma 4, we have

$$C(R) \subseteq N(R) \subseteq Z(R)$$

Thus all commutators are central. Moreover, we know that  $R$  is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible rings  $R_\alpha$  each of which a homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Thus we can assume that  $R$  is subdirectly irreducible ring. Hence  $I$ , the intersection of all non-zero ideals is non-zero.

**CASE 1.** Let  $n > 1$  and  $m > 1$ .

By using Lemma 2, we write (\*) as

$$nx^{n+k-1}[x, y] = [x, y^m] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $c = 2^{n+k} - 2 > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} nc x^{n+k-1}[x, y] &= n \{ 2^{n+k} x^{n+k-1}[x, y] - 2x^{n+k-1}[x, y] \} \\ &= n 2^{n+k} x^{n+k-1}[x, y] - 2nx^{n+k-1}[x, y] \\ &= n(2x)^{n+k-1}[2x, y] - 2[x, y^m] \\ &= [2x, y^m] - 2[x, y^m] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Hence  $nc x^{n+k-1}[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Now replace  $x$  by  $x+1$  and by using Lemma 3, we get

$$nc[x, y] = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

All commutators are central and hence by Lemma 2

$$[x^m, y] = nc x^{m-1}[x, y] = 0.$$

Thus  $x^m \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ . We replace  $y$  by  $y^m$  in (2.1) to get

$$nx^{n+k-1}[x, y^m] = [x, (y^m)^m]. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 nx^{n+k-1}[x, y^m] &= n[x, y^m]x^{n+k-1} \\
 &= nmy^{m-1}[x, y]x^{n+k-1} \\
 &= nmy^{m-1}x^{n+k-1}[x, y] \\
 &= my^{m-1}[x, y^m]
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$[x, (y^m)^m] = my^{m-1}[x, y^m] = my^{m-1}y^{(m-1)^2}[x, y^m]. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus by using (2.5) and (2.6), we can write (2.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 my^{m-1}[x, y^m] &= my^{m-1}y^{(m-1)^2}[x, y^m] \\
 my^{m-1}(1 - y^{(m-1)^2})[x, y^m] &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$my^{m-1}(1 - y^{nc(m-1)^2})[x, y^m] = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

We claim that

$$D(R) \subseteq Z(R).$$

Let  $a \in D(R)$  then

$$a^{nc(m-1)^2} \in Z(R) \cap D(R) \quad \text{and} \quad Ia^{nc(m-1)^2} = 0.$$

By (2.7), we get

$$ma^{m-1}(1 - a^{nc(m-1)^2})[x, a^m] = 0.$$

Thus

$$(1 - a^{nc(m-1)^2})ma^{m-1}[x, a^m] = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

If  $ma^{m-1}[x, a^m] \neq 0$ , then

$$1 - a^{nc(m-1)^2} \in D(R)$$

Hence  $I(1 - a^{nc(m-1)^2}) = 0$  and  $I = 0$ . This is contradiction. Now we have

$$ma^{m-1}[x, a^m] = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 n^2x^{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1}[x, a] &= nx^{n+k-1}[x, a^m] \\
 &= [x, (a^m)^m] \\
 &= m(a^m)^{m-1}[x, a^m] \\
 &= a^{(m-1)^2}ma^{m-1}[x, a^m] = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + 1$  in (2.10) and using Lemma 3 we get

$$n^2[x, a] = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

By using Lemma 2, we can write (\*) as

$$x^k[x^a, y] = my^{m-1}[x, y]. \tag{2.12}$$

Let  $d = 2^m - 2 > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m d y^{m-1}[x, y] &= m 2^m y^{m-1}[x, y] - 2 y^{m-1}[x, y] \\
&= m(2y)^{m-1}[x, 2y] - 2m y^{m-1}[x, y] \\
&= x^k[x^n, 2y] - 2x^k[x^n, y] \\
&= x^k[x^n, 2y] - x^k[x^n, 2y] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Hence  $m d y^{m-1}[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Now replacing  $y$  by  $y + 1$  and by using Lemma 3, we get

$$m d[x, y] = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

All commutators are central and hence by Lemma 2

$$[x, y^{md}] = m d y^{md-1}[x, y] = 0$$

Thus  $y^{md} \in Z(R)$  for all  $y \in R$ . Now replacing  $x$  by  $x^n$  in (2.12), we get

$$x^{nk}[(x^n)^n, y] = m y^{m-1}[x^n, y] \tag{2.15}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
x^{nk}[(x^n)^n, y] &= x^{nk} n (x^n)^{n-1} [x^n, y] \\
&= n x^{nk} x^{n-1} x^{(n-1)^2} [x^n, y] \\
&= n x^{n+k-1} x^{nk-k} x^{(n-1)^2} [x^n, y] \\
&= n x^{n+k-1} x^{(n-1)k} x^{(n-1)^2} [x^n, y] \\
&= n x^{n+k-1} x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)} [x^n, y]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m y^{m-1}[x^n, y] &= m[x^n, y] y^{m-1} \\
&= m n x^{n-1} [x, y] y^{m-1} \\
&= m n x^{n-1} y^{m-1} [x, y] \\
&= n x^{n-1} m y^{m-1} [x, y] \\
&= n x^{m-1} x^k [x^n, y] \\
&= n x^{n+k-1} [x^n, y]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Thus by using (2.16) and (2.17) we can write (2.15) as

$$n x^{n+k-1} x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)} [x^n, y] = n x^{n+k-1} [x^n, y].$$

$$n x^{n+k-1} (1 - x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)}) [x^n, y] = 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Hence by using (2.18) we get,

$$n x^{n+k-1} (1 - x^{md(n-1)(n+k-1)}) [x^n, y] = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Since  $a \in D(R)$ , we have

$$a^{md(n-1)(n+k-1)} \in Z(R) \cap D(R) \quad \text{and} \quad Ia^{md(n-1)(n+k-1)} = 0.$$

By (2.19) we get

$$n a^{n+k-1} (1 - a^{md(n-1)(n+k-1)}) [a^n, y] = 0.$$

This can be written as

$$(1 - a^{md(n-1)(n+k-1)}) n a^{n+k-1} [a^n, y] = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

If  $n a^{n+k-1} [a^n, y] \neq 0$ . Then

$$1 - a^{md(n-1)(n+k-1)} \in D(R)$$

and  $I(1 - a^{md(n-1)(n+k-1)}) = 0$  and hence  $I = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have

$$na^{n+k-1}[a^n, y] = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 y^{m-1} y^{m-1}[a, y] &= my^{m-1}[a, y] my^{m-1} - a^k [a^n, y] my^{m-1} \\ &= a^k my^{m-1}[a^n, y] - a^k a^{nk} [(a^n)^n, y] \\ &= a^{nk+k} n (a^n)^{n-1} [a^n, y] - a^{nk+k} n a^{n-1} a^{(n-1)^2} [a^n, y] \\ &= a^{nk} a^{(n-1)^2} n a^{n+k-1} [a^n, y] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $y + 1$  in (2.22) and using Lemma 3, we get

$$m^2 [a, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in R.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $x$ , we get

$$m^2 [x, a] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in R. \quad (2.23)$$

But  $m^2$  and  $n^2$  are relatively prime. Hence there exists integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $m^2\alpha + n^2\beta = 1$ . Multiplying (2.11) by  $\beta$  and (2.23) by  $\alpha$  and adding, we get

$$[x, a] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

Hence  $a \in Z(R)$ , which proves our claim.

We know that  $x^{nc}$  and  $x^{ncm} \in Z(R)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{nc} - x^{ncm}) nx^{n+k-1} [x, y] &= nx^{nc} x^{n+k-1} [x, y] - nx^{ncm} x^{n+k-1} [x, y] \\ &= nx^{n+k-1} [x, x^{nc} y] - x^{ncm} [x, y^m] \\ &= nx^{n+k-1} [x, x^{nc} y] - [x, (x^{nc} y)^m] \\ &= nx^{n+k-1} [x, x^{nc} y] - nx^{n+k-1} [x, x^{nc} y] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(x - x^{ncm-nc+1}) nx^{n+k-1} x^{nc-1} [x, y] = 0$ , i.e.

$$n(x - x') x^p [x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R \quad (2.24)$$

where  $t = ncm - nc + 1 > 1$  and  $p = n + k + nc - 2$ .

We know that  $y^{md}$  and  $y^{mdn} \in Z(R)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (y^{md} - y^{mdn}) my^{m-1} [x, y] &= my^{md} y^{m-1} [x, y] - my^{mdn} y^{m-1} [x, y] \\ &= my^{m-1} [xy^{md}, y] - y^{mdn} x^k [x^n, y] \\ &= my^{m-1} [xy^{md}, y] - x^k [(xy^{md})^n, y] \\ &= my^{m-1} [xy^{md}, y] - my^{m-1} [xy^{md}, y] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $m(y - y^{mdn-md+1}) y^{md-1} y^{m-1} [x, y] = 0$ . That is  $m(y - y^u) y^q [x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , where  $u = mdn - md + 1 > 1$  and  $q = md + m - 2$ . Interchanging  $x$  and  $y$ , we get

$$m(x - x') x^q [x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.25)$$

We know that  $(m, n) = 1$ . Hence there exists integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $m\alpha + n\beta = 1$ . Multiplying (2.24) by  $\beta(x - x') x^q$  and multiplying (2.25) by  $\alpha(x - x') x^p$  and adding, we get

$$(x - x')(x - x') x^{p+q} [x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R$$

This can be written as

$$(x - x^2h(x))x^{p+q+1}[x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R \quad (2.26)$$

where  $h(x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  with integer coefficients.

Suppose  $R$  is not commutative. Then by a well known result of Herstein [6], there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $x - x^2h(x) \notin Z(R)$ . From this it is clear that  $x \notin Z(R)$ . Hence  $x$  and  $x - x^2h(x)$  is not a zero divisor. Hence  $(x - x^2h(x))x^{p+q+1}$  is also not a zero divisor. Thus

$$[x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in R \quad (2.27)$$

This gives a contradiction. Hence  $R$  is commutative.

**CASE 2:** Let  $n > 1$  and  $m = 1$ . Then (\*) can be written as

$$x^k[x^n, y] = [x, y] \quad (2.28)$$

Let  $e = 2^{k+n} - 2 > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e[x, y] &= 2^{k+n}[x, y] - 2[x, y] \\ &= 2^{k+n}x^k[x^n, y] - [2x, y] \\ &= (2x)^k[(2x)^n, y] - [2x, y] \\ &= [2x, y] - [2x, y] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

All commutators are central and hence by Lemma 2,

$$[x^e, y] = ex^{e-1}[x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Hence  $e^e \in Z(R)$ . Now replacing  $x$  by  $x^n$  in (2.28) we get

$$x^{nk}[(x^n)^n, y] = [x^n, y]. \quad (2.29)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x^{nk}[(x^n)^n, y] &= nx^{nk}(x^n)^{n-1}[x^n, y] \\ &= nx^{nk}x^{(n-1)}x^{(n-1)^2}[x^n, y] \\ &= nx^{nk-k}x^{n+k-1}x^{(n-1)^2}[x^n, y] \\ &= nx^{n+k-1}x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)}[x^n, y] \\ &= nx^{n-1}x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)}x^k[x^n, y] \\ &= nx^{n-1}x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)}[x, y]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

and

$$[x^n, y] = nx^{n-1}[x, y]. \quad (2.31)$$

Thus, by using (2.30) and (2.31), we can write (2.29) as

$$nx^{n-1}x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)}[x, y] = nx^{n-1}[x, y].$$

Thus

$$nx^{n-1}(1 - x^{(n-1)(n+k-1)})[x, y] = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

Thus, by using (2.32), we get

$$nx^{n-1}(1 - x^{e(n-1)(n+k-1)})[x, y] = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

Let  $a \in D(R)$  then

$$a^{e(n-1)(n+k-1)} \in Z(R) \cap D(R) \quad \text{and} \quad Ia^{e(n-1)(n+k-1)} = 0.$$

By using (2.33) we get

$$na^{n-1}(1 - a^{e(n-1)(n+k-1)})[a, y] = 0.$$

Then

$$(1 - a^{e(n-1)(n+k-1)})na^{n-1}[a, y] = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

If  $na^{n-1}[a, y] \neq 0$ . Then

$$(1 - a^{e(n-1)(n+k-1)}) \in D(R)$$

and  $I(1 - a^{e(n-1)(n+k-1)}) = 0$ . Hence  $I = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have

$$[a^n, y] = na^{n-1}[a, y] = 0.$$

Hence  $a^k[a^n, y] = [a, y] = 0$  for all  $y \in R$ . Now  $a \in Z(R)$ . We know that  $x^e$  and  $x^{en} \in Z(R)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (x^e - x^{en+ek})[x, y] &= x^e[x, y] - x^{en+ek}[x, y] \\ &= [x^{e+1}, y] - x^{en+ek}x^k[x^n, y] \\ &= [x^{e+1}, y] - x^{ek}x^k[(x^{e+1})^n, y] \\ &= [x^{e+1}, y] - x^{(e+1)k}[(x^{e+1})^n, y] \\ &= [x^{e+1}, y] - [x^{e+1}, y] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(x - x^{en+ek-e+1})x^{e-1}[x, y] = 0$ . If  $R$  is not commutative then by a well known result of Herstein [5] there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $x - x^v \notin Z(R)$  where  $v = en + ek - e + 1 > 1$ . By using smaller arguments as in the last paragraph of case 1, we get a contradiction. Hence  $R$  is commutative.

We give examples which show that all the hypotheses of our main theorem are essential. The following example show that  $R$  is not commutative if  $m$  and  $n$  are not relatively prime or the ring is without unity in the hypothesis of our main theorem.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in F, F : \text{field} \right\}$$

Then  $R$  is a ring without unity satisfying  $x^k[x^2, y] = [x, y^3]$  and for all non-negative integer  $k$ . But  $R$  is not commutative.

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in GF(2) \right\}$$

Then  $R$  is a ring with unity satisfying  $x^k[x^4, y] = [x, y^4]$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and for all non-negative integer  $k$ . But  $R$  is not commutative.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** I express my sincere thanks to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. ASHRAF, M. and QUADRI, M. A., On commutativity of associative rings, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 38 (1988), 267-271.
2. BELL, H. E., On some commutativity theorems of Herstein, *Arch. Math.* 24 (1973), 34-38.
3. BELL, H. E., A commutativity study for periodic rings, *Pacific J. Math.* 70 (1977), 29-36.

4. BELL, H. E., On the power map and ring commutativity, Canadian Math. Bull. 21 (1978), 399-404.
5. HERSTEIN, I. N., A generalization of a theorem of Jacobson, Amer. J. Math. 73 (1951), 756-762.
6. HERSTEIN, I. N., Two remarks on the commutativity of rings, Canadian J. Math. 7 (1955), 411-412.
7. HERSTEIN, I. N., Power maps in rings, Michigan Math. J. 8 (1961), 29-32.
8. JACOBSON, N., The structure of rings, A.M.S. Colloq. Publ. 37 (1964).
9. NICHOLSON, W. K. and YAQUB, A., A commutativity theorem for rings and groups, Canad. Math. Bull. 22 (1979), 412-413.
10. NICHOLSON, W. K. and YAQUB, A., A commutativity theorem, Algebra Universalis 10 (1980), 260-263.
11. PSOMOPOULOS, E., A commutativity theorem for rings, Math. Japon. 29 (1984), 371-373.
12. PSOMOPOULOS, E., A commutativity theorem for rings and groups with constraints on commutators, Glasnik Matematicki 20 (1985), 7-14.

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)