

TORSIONAL VIBRATIONS OF NONHOMOGENEOUS MAGNETOSTRICTIVE ELASTIC CIRCULAR CYLINDER

A.N. ABD-ALLA

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science at Sohag
Sohag, Egypt

and

LOKENATH DEBNATH

Department of Mathematics
University of Central Florida
Orlando, Florida 23816, U.S.A.

(Received July 7, 1992 and in revised form September 1, 1993)

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the torsional vibrations of a nonhomogeneous magnetostriuctive elastic cylinder. The cylinder is subjected to the action of a circumferential magnetic field produced by an axial current of constant density and the deformation of a magnetostriuctive cylinder is produced by a constant longitudinal magnetic field. The frequency equation is determined, and the displacement and stress components are numerically calculated with graphical presentations.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Torsional vibration, magnetostriuctive cylinder

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 73D35

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of torsional deformation of magnetostriuctive cylinder has received some attention in recent years. Recently, devices such as the "Twistor" in the field of digital computer use the magnetostriuctive effect as shown by Lewis [1]. Motivated by this work, a study is made of torsional vibrations of a nonhomogeneous magnetostriuctive elastic cylinder. This study is based on simplified version of nonlinear magnetoelastic equations and the constitutive relations considered by Abd-Alla and Maugin [2] and Maugin [3]. Further, the cylinder is subjected to the action of a circumferential magnetic field produced by an axial current of constant density and the deformation of a magnetostriuctive cylinder is produced by a constant longitudinal magnetic field. The associated frequency equation is determined. The displacement and stress components are numerically calculated with graphical presentations.

2. BASIC EQUATIONS

Making reference to [2], we denote the density of magnetostriuctive elastic solid by ρ , the magnetic induction by \mathcal{B}_K the Poila-Kirchhoff stress tensor by T_{KL} , the tensor of elasticity coefficients by C_{KLMN} , the tensor of coefficients of magnetostriiction by B_{KLMN} , and the tensor of magnetic susceptibilities by χ_{KL} . For the usual magnetostriiction, we consider the linear terms in ∇u and the quadratic terms in the magnetic field \mathbf{H} . The equations of motion and equations of magnetic field are given by Abd-Alla and Maugin [2] in the form

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u_L}{\partial t^2} = T_{KL,K}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{K \cdot K} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where commas are used to denote differentiation with respect to the Cartesian coordinates. The constitutive relations are

$$T_{KL} = C_{KLMN} u_{M,N} + B_{KLMN} H_M H_N, \quad (2.3)$$

$$B_K = \mu_{KL} H_L - B_{KLMN}^0 H_L u_{M,N}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$B_{KLMN} = \frac{1}{2} B_{KLMN} + \chi_{LN} \chi_{KM} + \left(\delta_{K(M} \delta_{N)L} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{NM} \delta_{KL} \right),$$

$$B_{KLMN}^0 = B_{KLMN} + \delta_{LM} \delta_{NK} + \delta_{KM} \delta_{NL} + \delta_{KL} \delta_{NM}, \quad (2.5abc)$$

$$\mu_{KL} = \delta_{KL} + \chi_{KL}.$$

It follows from result (2.5a) that due to the quadratic nature of magnetostriction, the magnetic contribution to (2.5abc) comes from three effects: (i) magnetostriction, (ii) the fact that the body is magnetized ($\chi_{KL} \neq 0$), and (iii) the Lorentz force that yields the contribution represented by the term within the parentheses in (2.5a). We use the simplified version of the anisotropic nonlinear magnetoelastic equations (2.1)-(2.2) and the constitutive relations (2.3)-(2.5abc) and obtain the simplest stress-displacement-magnetostrictive field relations which are valid for an isotropic nonhomogeneous and magnetostrictive medium in the form

$$T_{KL} = \lambda \delta_{KL} u_{M,M} + 2\mu u_{K,L} + 2p H_K H_L - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{KL} H_M H_M, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$C_{KLMN} = \lambda \delta_{KL} \delta_{MN} + \mu(\delta_{KN} \delta_{LM} + \delta_{KM} \delta_{LN}), \quad B_{KLMN} = b_0 \delta_{KM} \delta_{LN}, \quad \mu_{KL} = \chi_0 \delta_{KL}, \quad (2.7abc)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} b_0 + \chi_0^2 + 1 \right) \quad (2.7d)$$

In terms of the cylindrical polar coordinates (r, θ, z) , the following constitutive relations describe the interaction of the elastic and magnetic fields so that the stress components are

$$\begin{aligned} T_{rr} &= \lambda \varepsilon + 2\mu \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + 2p H_r^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \\ T_{\theta\theta} &= \lambda \varepsilon + 2\mu \left(\frac{u_r}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) + 2p H_\theta^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \\ T_{zz} &= \lambda \varepsilon + 2\mu \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} + 2p H_z^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \\ T_{r\theta} &= \mu \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} - \frac{u_\theta}{r} \right) + 2p H_r H_\theta \\ T_{rz} &= \mu \left(\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} \right) + 2p H_r H_z \\ T_{\theta z} &= \mu \left(\frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial \theta} \right) + 2p H_\theta H_z \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{u_r}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z}, \quad \alpha = H_r^2 + H_\theta^2 + H_z^2, \quad (2.9ab)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_r, u_\theta, u_z)$ is the displacement field vector, λ, μ are elastic constants and H 's are the components of magnetic field.

The equations of motion in cylindrical polar coordinates are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial T_{rz}}{\partial z} + \frac{T_{rr} - T_{\theta\theta}}{r} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial t^2}, \\ \frac{\partial T_{r\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial T_{\theta z}}{\partial z} + \frac{2}{r} T_{r\theta} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial t^2}, \\ \frac{\partial T_{rz}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T_{\theta z}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial T_{zz}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} T_{rz} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial t^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10abc)$$

3. TORSIONAL VIBRATIONS

We take the positive direction of the z -axis along the axis of the cylinder, and assume that the displacement vector \mathbf{u} has its only non-vanishing component u_θ which is independent of θ . This means that the normal cross sections ($z = \text{constant}$) of the cylinder are rotating with respect to each other without distortion. With the magnetostrictive cylinder of inner radius $r = b$, outer radius $r = a$ and of length l , the boundary conditions are prescribed as

(i) The shearing force has the form

$$(T_{\theta z})_{z=0, r=b} = f(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq r \leq b \\ \beta r, & b \leq r \leq a \end{cases} \quad (3.1ab)$$

where β is a constant.

(ii) The mixed boundary conditions are in the following form

$$u_\theta = 0 \quad \text{at } z = l, \quad T_{r\theta} = 0 \quad \text{at } r = a. \quad (3.2ab)$$

The second condition means that the curved surface ($r = a$) of the cylinder is free from mechanical tractions.

(iii) The cylinder is subjected to the action of a circumferential magnetic field produced by an axial current of constant density I_0 and the deformation of a magnetostrictive cylinder is produced by a constant longitudinal magnetic field H_0 . These can be expressed as

$$H_r = 0, \quad H_\theta = \frac{1}{2} I_0 r, \quad H_z = H_0. \quad (3.3abc)$$

(iv) In view of the non-homogeneity, the rigidity μ and density ρ are chosen in the following form

$$\mu = \mu_0 e^{-kz}, \quad \rho = \rho_0 e^{-kz}, \quad (3.4ab)$$

where μ_0, ρ_0 and k are constants.

The only nonvanishing equation of motion is

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} - \frac{u_\theta}{r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial t^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{where } c^2 = \frac{\mu_0}{\rho_0}.$$

We seek separable solution for the displacement field in the form

$$u_\theta = R(r)Z(z)e^{i\omega t}. \quad (3.6)$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.5) gives

$$\frac{1}{R} \left(\frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{dR}{dr} - \frac{R}{r^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{d^2 Z}{dz^2} - k \frac{dZ}{dz} \right) = -m^2, \quad (3.7)$$

where m is a separation constant. Equation (3.7) splits up into two equations, namely:

$$\frac{d^2R}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{dR}{dr} + \left(q^2 - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) R = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\frac{d^2Z}{dz^2} - k \frac{dZ}{dz} - m^2 Z = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$q^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + m^2. \quad (3.10)$$

The solutions for R and Z are

$$R = A_1 J_1(qr) + B_1 Y_1(qr), \quad Z = C_1 e^{\lambda_1 z} + D_1 e^{\lambda_2 z}, \quad (3.11ab)$$

where

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} (k \mp \sqrt{k^2 - 4m^2}), \quad (3.12ab)$$

A_1, B_1, C_1 and D_1 are arbitrary constants and $J_1(x)$ and $Y_1(x)$ are Bessel functions of the first and second kind of order one, respectively.

Now, for a solid cylinder, $\frac{u_0}{r}$ must be finite at $r = 0$, hence $B_1 = 0$, and therefore the solution of equation (3.5) can be written as

$$u_0 = J_1(qr) [C e^{\lambda_1 z} + D e^{\lambda_2 z}] e^{i\omega t} \quad (3.13)$$

and the stress components are

$$T_{\theta\theta} = \mu_0 e^{-\lambda_1 z} [C e^{\lambda_1 z} + D e^{\lambda_2 z}] q J_2(qr) e^{i\omega t} \quad (3.14)$$

$$T_{\theta z} = \mu_0 e^{-\lambda_1 z} [\lambda_1 C e^{\lambda_1 z} + \lambda_2 D e^{\lambda_2 z}] J_1(qr) e^{i\omega t} + p J_0 H_0 r, \quad (3.15)$$

where $C = A_1 C_1$ and $D = A_1 D_1$. It is noted that the influence of magnetic field is evident in the shearing stress component $T_{\theta z}$ which is a function of the radial distance. Making use of the boundary condition (3.2b) we obtain the frequency equation

$$J_2(qa) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

This equation has infinitely many roots, the first non-zero eight positive roots are given in Table 1 where $\varepsilon_n = (qa)_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ε_n	5.1362	8.4172	11.6198	14.7959	21.1170	24.4205	27.4205	30.5692

Table 1.

Furthermore, the boundary condition (3.2a) gives

$$C e^{\lambda_1 t} + D e^{\lambda_2 t} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

By virtue of condition (3.1ab), the following result follows from equation (3.15)

$$\mu_0 [C \lambda_1 + D \lambda_2] J_1(qr) + p J_0 H_0 r = f(r). \quad (3.18)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.18) by $r J_1(qr)$ and then integrating in the range $(0, a)$ we get

$$C \lambda_1 + D \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\mu_0 Y} \int_0^a r f(r) J_1(qr) dr \quad (3.19)$$

where we have used the following results [4]:

$$\gamma = \int_0^a r J_1^2(qr) dr = \frac{a^2}{2} \{J_1(qa)\}^2, \quad \int_0^a r^2 J_1(qr) dr = 0. \quad (3.20ab)$$

Now, using the condition (3.1), equation (3.19) becomes

$$C\lambda_1 + D\lambda_2 = -\frac{\beta b^2}{\mu_0 \gamma} J_2(qb). \quad (3.21)$$

The constants C, D can be determined by solving the two equations (3.17) and (3.21) so that

$$C = \frac{\Delta_C}{\Delta}, \quad D = \frac{\Delta_D}{\Delta}, \quad (3.22ab)$$

where

$$\Delta_C = \frac{\beta b^2}{\mu_0 \gamma} J_2(qb) e^{\lambda_2}, \quad \Delta_D = -\frac{\beta b^2}{\mu_0 \gamma} J_2(qb) e^{\lambda_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = \lambda_2 e^{\lambda_2} - \lambda_1 e^{\lambda_1}. \quad (3.23abc)$$

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The displacement and stress for the first mode of vibration are given below graphically. Computational work is carried out with the following data:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= 100 \text{Am/m} & I_0 &= 100 \text{Am/m}^2 \\ \mu_0 &= 0.621 \text{N/m}^2 & \rho_0 &= 3.22 \text{Kg/m}^3 \\ k &= 0.05 & \beta &= 10^5 \\ s/k &= 1 & kb &= 1/2 \\ l/a &= 2 & z/a &= 2 \\ q/a &= 5.13562 & p &= 0.2 \times 10^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The displacement, $-u_\theta \times 10^6$ at different radii is shown in Fig. 1. The stress components, $-T_{r\theta} \times 10^5$ and $-T_{\theta\theta} \times 10^4$ are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 respectively, for fixed value of time $t = 1$ sec. It is obvious from Fig. 1 that the maximum value of the displacement occurs near $r/a = 0.36$ and the displacement changes its sign at the point $r/a = 0.74$. The maximum values of $-T_{r\theta}$, from Fig. 2, occurs near $r/a = 0.6$. Moreover, from Fig. 3, it follows that the component of stress $-T_{\theta\theta}$ has the maximum value near $r/a = 0.35$ and it changes its sign at the point $r/a = 0.65$ when there exists magnetostriction effect, and at the point $r/a = 0.75$ when there is no magnetostriction. This means that the effect of the magnetostriction reduces the value of $-T_{\theta\theta}$ and it becomes more sensitive with the increasing of the distance.

REFERENCES

- [1] LEWIS, J. A. The small-field theory of the Joule and Wiedemann effects, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 1 (1962), 13-20.
- [2] ABD-ALLA, A. N. and MAUGIN, G. A. Nonlinear magnetoacoustic equations, *J. Acoust. Soc. Amer.*, 82 (5) (1987), 1746-1752.
- [3] MAUGIN, G. A. *Continuum Mechanics of Electromagnetic Solids*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1988).
- [4] WATSON, G. N. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Function*, 4th Ed., Cambridge University Press, London, 1966.
- [5] BELTZER, A. I. *Acoustics of Solids*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1988).

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk