

INVOLUTIONS WITH FIXED POINTS IN 2-BANACH SPACES

M.S. KHAN

Department of Mathematics and Computing
College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University
P.O. Box 32486, Alkhod, Muscat,
Sultanate of Oman

and

M.D. KHAN

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh - 202002, India

(Received January 28, 1992 and in revised form April 2, 1992)

ABSTRACT. Some results on fixed points of involution maps in 2-Banach spaces have been obtained. These are extensions of those proved earlier by Goebel-Zlotkiewicz, Sharma-Sharma, Assad-Sessa and Iseki.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Involution, 2-Banach spaces, coincidence points, fixed points.
1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Gähler ([1]-[3]) initiated the concepts of 2-metric and 2-Banach spaces in a series of papers. These new spaces have subsequently been studied by several mathematicians in recent years. Like other spaces, the fixed point theory has also been developed in the frame work of these spaces. It was Iseki ([4], [5]) who for the first time, obtained basic results on fixed points in 2-metric and 2-Banach spaces. Since then quite a number of authors have extended and generalized fixed point theorems of Iseki and various other results involving contraction type mappings. For an extensive bibliography one is referred to Iseki ([6]).

In this note, some fixed point theorems for certain involutions in 2-Banach spaces have been obtained which can be viewed as a 2-Banach space extension of a result due to Assad and Sessa [7], which in turn generalizes a fixed point theorem of Goebel and Zlotkiewicz [8] concerning an involution of a closed convex subset of a Banach space. The work of Assad and Sessa [7] was inspired by the contractive condition introduced by Delbosco [9]. Our result also generalizes a theorem of Sharma and Sharma [10]. It is important to note that in our proof continuity of the map under consideration is not essentially needed, and hence the same is unnecessarily stringent in Sharma and Sharma [10] and Iseki [5].

2. PRELIMINARIES.

We assume the familiarity with the basic theory of 2-Banach spaces as given in White [11]. But for the sake of completeness we present here some pertinent definitions.

The following notions are essentially due to Gähler [1].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a linear space, and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ be a real-valued function defined on X . Then the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a **linear 2-normed space** if, for $a, b, c \in X$,

- (i) $\|a, b\| = 0$ if and only if a and b are linearly dependent,
- (ii) $\|a, b\| = \|b, a\|$,
- (iii) $\|a, \beta b\| = |\beta| \|a, b\|$, β being real,
- (iv) $\|a, b + c\| \leq \|a, b\| + \|a, c\|$.

Here $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a 2-norm and is a non-negative function.

DEFINITION 2.2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a linear 2-normed space X is called a **convergent sequence** if there is an element $x \in X$ such that the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x, y\| = 0$ for all $y \in X$. If $\{x_n\}$ converges to x , we write $x_n \rightarrow x$ and call x the limit of $\{x_n\}$. Of course, here $\dim X \geq 2$ otherwise every sequence of points in such a space would converge to every point of the space.

DEFINITION 2.3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a linear 2-normed space X is called a **Cauchy sequence** if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n, y\| = 0$ for every $y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.4. A linear 2-normed space in which every Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence is called a **2-Banach space**.

We also need the following notion from Assad and Sessa [7].

Let Φ be the family of continuous functions $\phi: \mathfrak{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$, (where \mathfrak{R}_+ stands for the set of non-negative reals) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\phi(1, 1, 1) = k < 2$,
- (ii) for $s \geq 0, t \geq 0$, the inequality $s \leq \phi(t, 2t, s)$ implies that $s \leq kt$.

3. RESULTS.

Throughout this section, X stands for a 2-Banach space with $\dim X \geq 2$, and I denotes the identity map on X .

THEOREM 3.1. Let T be a self-mapping of X and $\phi \in \Phi$ such that

$$(A) \quad T^2 = I,$$

(B) $\|Tx - Ty, a\| \leq \phi(\|x - y, a\|, \|x - Tx, a\|, \|y - Ty, a\|)$, for all x, y, a in X . Then T has at least one fixed point.

PROOF. Let x be an arbitrary point in X . Put $y = \frac{1}{2}(Tx + x)$, $z = Ty$ and $u = 2y - z$. It is easy to observe that

$$2\|Tx - y, a\| = \|x - Tx, a\| = 2\|x - y, a\|.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - z, a\| &= \|T^2x - Ty, a\| \\ &\leq \phi(\|Tx - y, a\|, \|Tx - T^2x, a\|, \|y - Ty, a\|) \\ &= \phi(\|x - y, a\|, 2\|x - y, a\|, \|y - Ty, a\|) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - x, a\| &= \|2y - Ty - x, a\| = \|Tx - Ty, a\|, \\ &\leq \phi(\|x - y, a\|, \|x - Tx, a\|, \|y - Ty, a\|) \\ &= \phi(\|x - y, a\|, 2\|x - y, a\|, \|y - Ty, a\|) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|u - z, a\| = 2\|y - Ty, a\|$$

Hence

$$\|y - Ty, a\| \leq \phi(\|x - y, a\|, 2\|x - y, a\|, \|y - Ty, a\|).$$

By the hypothesis (ii), we obtain

$$\|y - Ty, a\| \leq k\|x - y, a\| = \frac{k}{2}\|x - Tx, a\|.$$

Let us put $Gx = \frac{1}{2}(Tx + x)$, for any x in X . Then by the foregoing inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|G^2x - Gx, a\| &= \|Gy - y, a\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|y - Ty, a\| \\ &\leq \frac{k}{4}\|x - Tx, a\| \\ &\leq \frac{k}{4}\|x - (2Gx - x), a\| \\ &= \frac{k}{2}\|Gx - x, a\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all x, a in X .

Now, for an arbitrary point x_0 in X , let $x_n = G^n x_0 = Gx_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $m \geq n \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m - x_n, a\| &= \|G^m x_0 - G^n x_0, a\| \\ &\leq \|G^m x_0 - G^{m-1} x_0, a\| + \dots + \|G^{n+1} x_0 - G^n x_0, a\| \\ &= \sum_{r=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^r \|Gx_0 - x_0, a\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{1-k/2}\right) \|Gx_0 - x_0, a\|. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges in X . Put $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* - Gx^*, a\| &\leq \|x^* - x_{n+1}, a\| + \|Gx_n - Gx^*, a\| \\ &\leq \|x^* - x_{n+1}, a\| + \frac{1}{2}\|x_n - x^*, a\| + \frac{1}{2}\|Tx_n - Tx^*, a\| \\ &\leq \|x^* - x_{n+1}, a\| + \frac{1}{2}\|x_n - x^*, a\| + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\|x_n - x^*, a\|, \|x_n - Tx_n, a\|, \|x^* - Tx^*, a\|) \\ &\leq \|x^* - x_{n+1}, a\| + \frac{1}{2}\|x_n - x^*, a\| + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\|x_n - x^*, a\|, 2\|(Gx_n - x_n), a\|, 2\|(x^* - Gx^*), a\|). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$2\|x^* - Gx^*, a\| \leq \phi(0, 0, 2\|x^* - Gx^*, a\|).$$

So again by condition (ii), we get

$$\|x - Gx^*, a\| = 0, \text{ for all } a \text{ in } X.$$

Hence, $(x^* - Gx^*)$ and a are linearly dependent for all a in X . Since $\dim X \geq 2$, the only way $(x^* - Gx^*)$ can be linearly dependent with all a in X , is that $x^* - Gx^* = 0$. Hence $x^* = Tx^*$ as required. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.1 (Sharma and Sharma [10]). Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be such that $T^2 = I$ and

$$\|Tx - Ty, a\| \leq \alpha \|x - y, a\| + \beta (\|x - Tx, a\| + \|y - Ty, a\|), \quad (3.1)$$

for all x, y, a in X , where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + 4\beta < 2$. Then T has at least one fixed point.

PROOF. The condition (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty, a\| &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cdot 2 \|x - y, a\| + 2\beta \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\|x - Tx, a\| + \|y - Ty, a\|) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2\beta\right) \max\{2 \|x - y, a\|, \frac{1}{2} (\|x - Tx, a\| + \|y - Ty, a\|)\} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2\beta\right) \max\{2 \|x - y, a\|, \|x - Tx, a\|, \|y - Ty, a\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we assume that

$$\phi(p, q, r) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2\beta\right) \max\{2p, q, r\},$$

then, by Theorem 3.1, T has at least one fixed point. This completes the proof.

REMARKS.

(a) A critical observation of the proof of the main theorem in Sharma and Sharma [10], reveals that they have used the continuity of the involution map but failed to mention the same. However, in our proof this additional condition is not required.

(b) When X is the usual Banach space, Corollary 3.1 reduced to a theorem of Iseki [5]. In a private communication Professor Iseki agreed that the continuity of the involution map is essentially needed for his proof to hold.

COROLLARY 3.2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, suppose, in addition, that at least one of the following strict inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* - Tx, a\| &< \|x^* - x, a\| + \|x - Tx, a\|, \\ \|x^* - x, a\| &< \|x^* - Tx, a\| + \|Tx - x, a\| \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for all a and $x (\neq x^*)$ in X . Then x^* is the unique fixed point of T .

PROOF. By Theorem 3.1, $Tx^* = x^*$. Suppose also that $Ty^* = y^*$ for some $y^* \in X$. Assume that $x^* \neq y^*$. Then using (3.2), we have

$$\|x^* - y^*, a\| = \|x^* - Ty^*, a\| < \|x^* - y^*, a\| + \|y^* - Ty^*, a\| = \|x^* - y^*, a\|,$$

which is impossible. Therefore, $x^* = y^*$. Similarly, other condition in (3.2) also implies that $x^* = y^*$.

Now, we apply Theorem 3.1 to obtain a coincidence theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. Let T and S be the self-mappings of X , and $\phi \in \Phi$ such that the following hold:

$$(i) \quad T^2 = I, S^2 = I, TS = ST,$$

(ii) $\|Tx - Ty, a\| \leq \phi(\|Sx - Sy, a\|, \|Sx - Tx, a\|, \|Sy - Ty, a\|)$, for all x, y, a in X . Then there exists at least one point x_o in X such that $Tx_o = Sx_o$.

PROOF. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that TS has at least one fixed point x_o . Then clearly $Tx_o = Sx_o$. This completes the proof.

REMARK. In case, one assumes some additional conditions on TS , as in Corollary 3.2, then x_o in Theorem 3.2 becomes the unique fixed point of TS . Then, commutativity of T, S and the uniqueness of x_o can be used to show that x_o is actually a common fixed point of S and T . Further, if S and T satisfies conditions similar to one in Corollary 3.2, then their common fixed point x_o is also unique.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The first author is grateful to Professor S. Sessa for supplying the preprint of [7], which motivated the present study.

REFERENCES

1. GÄHLER, S., Lineare 2-normierte Räume, Math. Nachr. 28 (1965), 1-43.
2. GÄHLER, S., 2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur, Math. Nachr. 26 (1963), 115-148.
3. GÄHLER, S., Über die uniformisierbarkeit 2-metrischer Räume, Math. Nachr. 28 (1965), 235-244.
4. ÍSEKI, K., Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces, Maths Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ. 3 (1975), 133-136.
5. ÍSEKI, K., Fixed point theorems in Banach spaces, Maths Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ. 2 (1976), 11-13.
6. ÍSEKI, K., Mathematics on 2-normed spaces, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 13 (2) (1977), 127-135.
7. ASSAD, N.A. & SESSA, S., Involution maps and fixed points in Banach spaces, Math. J. Toyama Univ. 14 (1991), 141-146.
8. GOEBEL, K. & ZLOTKIEWICZ, E., Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces, Colloq. Math. 23 (1971), 103-106.
9. DELBOSCO, D., A unified approach to all contractive mappings, Jñanabha 16 (1986), 1-11.
10. SHARMA, P.L. & SHARMA, B.K., Non-contraction type mappings in 2-Banach spaces, Nanta Math. 12 (1) (1979), 91-93.
11. WHITE, A.G., 2-Banach spaces, Math. Nachr. 42 (1969), 43-60.

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br