CONVOLUTION AND DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION

T.N. SHANMUGAM

Department of Mathematics
Anna University
Madras 600 025, India

ABSTRACT. Let A denote the class of all functions f analytic in the open unit disc U with $f(0) = 0 = f'(0)-1$. Let h be any convex univalent analytic function on U with $h(0) = 1$ and Re $h(z) > 0$ in U. Let $g \in A$ be fixed. Denote by $S_g(h)$ the class of all functions $f \in A$ such that, $g*f(z) \neq 0$ in   U   and $\dfrac{a(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} \prec h(z)$, $z \in U$ ($\prec$ denote subordination). It is proved in this paper that the class $S_g(h)$ is closed under convolution with convex functions. It has also been established that $S_g(h) \subseteq S_{\phi*g}(h)$ where ϕ is any convex univalent function in A. Four other classes are also defined and studied using mainly the convex hull method and the methd of differential subordination.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Convolution, Convex function, Close-to-convex function, Quasi-convex function, Starlike function, Subordination.
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. Primary 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $U = \{z: |z| < 1 \}$ and H(U) be the class of all holomorphic functions defined on U. Let $A = \{f \in H(U)/f(0) = 0,\ f'(0) = 1 \}$. Let f, $g \in H(U)$ and $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty b_n z^n$. Then the convolution of f and g is denoted as

$$(f*g)(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n b_n z^n.$$

Let g and $G \in H(U)$, $g(z)$ is said to be <u>subordinate</u> to $G(z)$ (written $g(z) \prec G(z)$) in U if $G(z)$ is univalent in U, $g(0) = G(0)$ and $g(U) \subseteq G(U)$. Let S*, K, Q and C denote the subclass of A consisting of Starlike univalent, convex univalent, Quasi-Convex and close-to-convex functions respectively. Let M_α denote the class of functions in A which are α-convex (Mocanu sense) in U.

As a general reference for the definitions and properties of the above classes the reader may consult the book by A.W. Goodman [1].

The aim of this paper is to give various subclasses of A, analogous to the classes S*, K, Q, C and M_α, and to study some properties of the new classes.

2. BASIC THEOREMS AND DEFINITION OF THE NEW CLASSES.

We need the following theorems to prove our main results.

THEOREM 2.1 [2]: Let $\phi \in A$ be convex univalent, $g \in S^*$ and $F \in H(U)$ such that $\text{Re}\, F(z) > 0$ for $\forall\, z \in U$. Then $\dfrac{\phi*Fg}{\phi*g}$ lies in the convex hull of F(U).

THEOREM 2.2 [3]: Let β, $\nu \in C$, $h \in H(U)$ be convex univalent in U with h(0) = 1 and $\text{Re}(\beta h(z) + \nu) > 0$, $\forall\, z \in U$ and let

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \ldots \in H(U). \quad \text{Then}$$

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \nu} \prec h(z) \implies p(z) \prec h(z)$$

A modification of Theorem 2.2 is given in

THEOREM 2.3 [4]: Let β, $\nu \in C$, $h \in H(U)$ be convex univalent in U with h(0) = 1 and $\text{Re}(\beta h(z) + \nu) > 0$, $\forall\, z \in U$ and let $q \in H(U)$ with q(0) = 1 and $q(z) \prec h(z)$, $\forall\, z \in U$. If

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \ldots \quad \text{is in } H(U), \text{ then}$$

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \nu} \prec h(z) \implies p(z) \prec h(z).$$

To avoid repetition we say once and for all in this paper, unless otherwise specified, g will denote a fixed function in A and h will always denote a convex univalent function on U with h(0) = 1 and Re h(z) > 0 for $\forall\, z \in U$.

DEFINITION 2.1: Let $S_g(h)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in A$ such that $\dfrac{(g*f)\,(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in U and satisfying

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} \prec h(z) \tag{2.1}$$

DEFINITION 2.2: Let $K_g(h)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in A$ such that $(g*f)'(z) \neq 0$ in U and satisfying

$$1 + \frac{z(g*f)''(z)}{(g*f)'(z)} \prec h(z) \tag{2.2}$$

DEFINITION 2.3: Denote by $C_g(h)$ the class of all functions $f \in A$ such that $\dfrac{(g*f)(z)}{z} \neq 0$ for $\forall\, z \in U$ and satisfying

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*\Psi)(z)} \prec h(z), \quad \forall\, z \in U, \text{ for some } \Psi \in S_g(h) \tag{2.3}$$

REMARK 2.1:   If $g(z) = z/(1-z)^a$ (a real) then $S_g(h)$ and $C_g(h)$ coincides with the classes $S_a(h)$ and $C_a(h)$ respectively introduced in [4]. $K_g(h)$ is the class $K_a(h)$ introduced in [5]. For the choice $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, the classes $S_a(h)$ and $K_a(h)$ were investigated by S. Owa et al [6]. If $g(z) + \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}$ and $h(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $S_g(h)$ is nothing but the class of pre-Starlike functions of order α introduced by Ruschewegh in [7].

If $g(z)$ is taken as z then $S_g(h)$ is the class A and hence in general functions in $S_g(h)$ need not be univalent.

DEFINITION 2.4:   Let α be any real number.   Let $K_g^\alpha(h)$ denote the class of functions $f \in A$ such that $\frac{(g*f)(z)}{z} \neq 0$ and $(g*f)'(z) \neq 0$ in U and

$$J_g(\alpha;\ f(z)) = \alpha(1 + \frac{z(g*f)''(z)}{(g*f)'(z)}) + (1-\alpha)\ \frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} \qquad (2.4)$$

is subordinate to $h(z)$.

REMARK 2.2:   When $g(z) = z/(1-z)^a$ $K_g^\alpha(h)$ is the same as $K_a^\alpha(h)$ introduced in

[5]. As it can be seen clearly that $K_g^0(h) = S_g(h)$ and $K_g'(h) = K_g(h)$, $K_g^\alpha(h)$ provides a 'continuous passage' from the class $K_g(h)$ to $S_g(h)$ as α decreases from 1 to 0.

DEFINITION 2.5:   Let $Q_g(h)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in A$ such that $\frac{(g*f)(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in U and satisfying for some $\Phi \in K_g(h)$

$$\frac{[z(g*f)'(z)]'}{(g*\Phi)'(z)} < h(z), \qquad \forall\ z \in U. \qquad (2.5)$$

REMARK 2.3:   When $g(z) = z/(1-z)^a$ we shall denote the class $Q_g(h)$ by $Q_a(h)$. If further a=1 and $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, $Q_a(h)$ is the class of Quasi-Convex functions introduced by K.I. Noor and D.K. Thomas [8].

3. MAIN THEOREMS.

THEOREM 3.1: If $f \in S^*(h)$ and g is a convex function then $f \in S_g(h)$.

PROOF: We have

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} = \frac{(g*zf')(z)}{(g*f)(z)} = \frac{(g* \frac{zf'}{f}.f)(z)}{(g*f)\ (z)}$$

Since $f \in S^*(h)$, $Re(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) > 0$ and g convex, and hence by an application of Theorem A we get,

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} < h(z)$$

which implies $f \in S_g(h)$.

It is a well known fact that $K \subseteq S^*$ and $f\epsilon K$ if and only $zf'\epsilon S^*$, we shall now extend this fact to the class $S_g(h)$ and $K_g(h)$.

THEOREM 3.2:   (i) $K_g(h) \subseteq S_g(h)$

                (ii) $f\epsilon K_g(h)$ if and only if $zf'\epsilon S_g(h)$

     PROOF: (i) Let $p(z) = \dfrac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)}$, Logarithamic derivative of $p(z)$ and a multiplication by z gives

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = 1 + \frac{z(g*f)''(z)}{(g*f)(z)} . \tag{3.1}$$

If $f\epsilon K_g(h)$ then by Definition 2.2 the right side of (3.1), and hence the left side is subordinate, to $h(z)$. Applying Theorem 2.2 we get, $p(z) < h(z)$ and hence the result (i). To prove (ii) we have for any two functions f, $g\epsilon A$ such that $\dfrac{(f*g)(z)}{z} \neq 0$, $(f*g)'(z) \neq 0$.

$$\frac{z(g*zf')'(z)}{(g*zf')*(z)} = 1 + \frac{z(g*f)''(z)}{(g*f)'(z)} . \tag{3.2}$$

Now, if $f\epsilon K_g(h)$ then from (2.2) and (3.2) $\dfrac{z(g*zf')''(z)}{(g*zf')(z)} < h(z)$.   Therefore $zf'\epsilon S_g(h)$.   Conversly, if $zf'\epsilon S_g(h)$, from (2.1) and (3.2),

$$1 + \frac{z(g*f)''(z)}{(g*f)'(z)} < h(z) \text{ and hence } f\epsilon K_g(h).$$

     REMARK 3.1:   For $g(z) = z/(1-z)^a$ Theorem 3.1 gives Theorem 3 in [5] as a particular case.

     Next we prove the classes $S_g(h)$ and $K_g(h)$ are closed under convolutions with convex univalent functions.

     THEOREM 3.3:   Let $\Phi\epsilon A$ be convex univalent then for every $f\epsilon S_g(h)$, $\Phi*f\epsilon S_g(h)$.

     PROOF: Let $F(z) = \dfrac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)}$.   If $f\epsilon S_g(h)$, then $F < h$. Now,

$$\frac{z(g*\Phi*f)'(z)}{(g*\Phi*f)(z)} = \frac{(\Phi*z(g*f)')(z)}{(\Phi*(g*f))(z)} = \frac{(\Phi*F(g*f))(z)}{(\Phi*(g*f))(z)} .$$

Since $f\epsilon S_g(h)$, $g*f\epsilon S^*(h) \subseteq S^*$ and it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$\dfrac{(\Phi*F(g*f))(z)}{(\Phi*(g*f))(z)}$ lies in the convex hull of $F(U)$.   But $F < h$ and h is convex.   Therefore the convex hull of $F(U)$ is a subset of $h(U)$ and the conclusion follows.

     COROLLARY 3.1:   Let $\phi\epsilon A$ be convex univalent then for every $f\epsilon K_g(h)$, $\Phi*f\epsilon K_g(h)$.

     PROOF: This follows easily from Theorem 3.2 (ii) and Theorem 3.3.

THEOREM 3.4: $S_g(h) \subseteq S_{\phi * g}(h)$ for every convex univalent function ϕ with $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 1$.

PROOF: Let $f \epsilon S_g(h)$, then by Theorem 3.3 $\phi * f \epsilon S_g(h)$. Hence

$\dfrac{z(g * \phi * f)'(z)}{(g * \phi * f)(z)} \prec h(z)$. That is $f \epsilon S_{\phi * g}(h)$. Normalizing condition on ϕ are forced

because of the conditions on g's.

COROLLARY 3.2: $K_g(h) \subseteq K_{\phi * g}(h)$ for every convex univalent function ϕ in A.

PROOF: Follows easily from Theorem 3.2 (ii) and Theorem 3.4.

REMARK   3.2:   If $g(z) = z/(1-z)^{a+1} = K_{a+1}(z)$ and   observing   that
$(h_\gamma * K_{a+1}) = K_a(z)$,   where $h_\gamma(z) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{\gamma + 1}{n + \gamma} z^n$ with $\gamma = a-1$.   Then Theorem 3.4 and
Corollary 3.2 reduce to the fact that $S_{a+1}(h) \subseteq S_a(h)$ and $K_{a+1}(h) \subseteq K_a(h)$ for
$a > 1$.   These two containment results are proved respectively in [4] and [5].

It follows easily from Definition 2.3 by taking $\Psi = f$ that $S_g(h) \subseteq C_g(h)$.   We
now prove that the class $C_g(h)$ is closed under convolution with a convex function.

THEOREM 3.5: Let $f \epsilon C_g(h)$ with respect to a function $f_1 \epsilon S_g(h)$.   Then for every

convex univalent function $\phi \epsilon A$, $\phi * f \epsilon C_g(h)$ with respect to $\phi * f_1 \epsilon S_g(h)$.

PROOF: It is clear from Theorem 3.3 that $\phi * f_1 \epsilon S_g(h)$.   Let $F(z) = \dfrac{z(g * f)'(z)}{(g * f_1)(z)}$.

Since $f \epsilon C_g(h)$ with respect to $f_1 \epsilon S_g(h)$, it follows that $F(z) \prec h(z)$, $\forall$ $z \epsilon U$, in

particular Re $F(z) > 0$, also we have $g * f_1 \epsilon S^*$.   Now

$$\frac{z(g * \phi * f)'(z)}{(g * \phi * f_1)(z)} = \frac{(\phi * z(g * f)')(z)}{(\phi * (g * f_1))(z)} = \frac{(\phi * F(g * f_1))(z)}{(\phi * (g * f_1))(z)} .$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 we get Theorem 3.5.

REMARK 3.3: If $g(z) = z/(1-z)^a$, and $\phi = h_\gamma(z)$, we get Theorem 4 of [4] as a
particular case of Theorem 3.5.

THEOREM 3.6: $C_g(h) \subseteq C_{\phi * g}(h)$ for every convex univalent function $\phi \epsilon A$.

PROOF: It follows exactly in the same way as Theorem 3.4 and is hence omitted.

REMARK 3.4: If $g(z) = z/(1-z)^a$ and $\phi(z) = h_\gamma(z)$ with $\gamma = a-1$ we get Theorem 3 of
[4].

THEOREM 3.7:   (i) Let $\alpha > 0$, then $K_g^\alpha(h) \subseteq S_g(h)$

(ii) for $\alpha > \beta > 0$, $K_g^\alpha(h) \subseteq K_g^\beta(h)$.

PROOF: (i) Let $p(z) = \dfrac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)}$, then $J_g(\alpha; f(z)) = p(z) + \dfrac{\alpha z p'(z)}{p(z)}$. Since $f \epsilon K_g^\alpha(h)$ it follows that $J_g(\alpha; f(z)) < h(z)$. Now an application of Theorem 2.2

gives that $p(z) < h(z)$. Hence $f \epsilon S_g(h)$.

(ii) The case $\beta = 0$ is contained in (i) so assume $\beta > 0$. Now,

$$J_g(\beta; f(z)) = (1-\beta) \frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} + \beta(1 + \frac{z(g*f)''(z)}{(g*f)'(z)})$$

$$= (1 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} J_g(\alpha; f(z))$$

by (i) $\dfrac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} < h(z)$ and by assumption $J_g(\alpha; f(z)) < h(z)$ and hence

$J_g(\beta; f(z)) < h(z)$ (as $\beta/\alpha < 1$). Therefore $f \epsilon K_g^\beta(h)$.

REMARK 3.5: If $g(z) = z/(1-z)$ and $h(z) = \dfrac{1+z}{1-z}$ the first part of Theorem 3.7 reduces to the result due to Mocanu and Reade [9] that all α-convex functions are starlike univalent and the second part of Theorem 3.7 reduces to a result of Sakaguchi [10]. If $g(z) = z/(1-z)^a$ then Theorem 3.7 gives Theorem 6 of Padmanabhan and Manjini [5] as a particular case.

THEOREM 3.8: (i) $K_g(h) \subseteq Q_g(h) \subseteq C_g(h)$

(ii) $f \epsilon Q_g(h)$ if and only if $zf' \epsilon C_g(h)$.

PROOF: (i) By taking $f = \Phi$ it follows easily from the definition of the class $Q_g(h)$ that $K_g(h) \subseteq Q_g(h)$. To prove the other inclusion, set

$$p(z) = \frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)} . \quad \text{Then}$$

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\dfrac{z(g*\Phi)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)}} = \frac{[z(g*f)'(z)]'}{(g*\Phi)'(z)} \qquad (3.3)$$

If $f \epsilon Q_g(h)$ then there exists a $\Phi \epsilon K_g(h)$ such that the right hand side of (3.3) and hence the left hand side of (3.3) is subordinate to $h(z)$.

Since $\Phi \epsilon K_g(h) \subseteq S_g(h)$ we have $\text{Re} \dfrac{z(g*\Phi)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)} > 0$ in U. Hence applying Theorem 2.3 we get $p(z) < h(z)$. That is $f \epsilon C_g(h)$.

To prove (ii) we have for any two functions f and Φ satisfying the non-zero convolution conditions that

$$\frac{z[z(g*f)'(z)]'}{z(g*\Phi)'(z)} = \frac{z(g*zf')'(z)}{(g*z\Phi')(z)} . \qquad (3.4)$$

Now, if $f \epsilon Q_g(h)$ with respect to a function $\Phi \epsilon K_g(h)$, then the left hand side of 3.4 is subordinate to $h(z)$. Now $z\Phi' \epsilon S_g(h)$ by Theorem 3.2 (ii) and hence by the definition of $C_g(h)$ and by (3.4) $zf' \epsilon C_g(h)$. Conversely, if $zf' \epsilon C_g(h)$, then there exists a function $\Phi_1 \epsilon S_g(h)$ such that

$$\frac{z(g*zf')'(z)}{(g*\Phi_1)(z)} \prec h(z).$$

Now there exists a $\Phi \epsilon K_g(h)$ such that $z\Phi' = \Phi_1$ and hence the RHS of 3.4 is subordinate to $h(z)$ which implies the LHS of 3.4 is subordinate to $h(z)$ which in turn gives that $f \epsilon Q_g(h)$.

REMARK 3.6:   When $g(z) = z/1-z$ Theorem 3.8 reduces to Theorem 1 of Noor and Thomas [8].   By Theorems 3.2 (i) and 3.8 and from the observation we made just before Theorem 3.5 we get the following inclusions

$$K_g(h) \longrightarrow S_g(h)$$
$$\downarrow \qquad \searrow$$
$$Q_g(h) \longrightarrow C_g(h)$$

where, the direction of the 'arrows' indicate the respective inclusions.

THEOREM 3.9:   If $f \epsilon Q_g(h)$,   then   for   every   convex   univalent   function $\Phi \epsilon A$, $\Phi * f \epsilon Q_g(h)$.

THEOREM 3.10: $Q_g(h) \subseteq Q_{\Phi*g}(h)$ for every convex univalent function $\Phi \epsilon A$, in particular $Q_{a+1}(h) \subseteq Q_a(h)$ for $a \geqslant 1$.

PROOF: The proofs of the above Theorems 3.9 and 3.10 are omitted because it will follow from 3.8 and the corresponding theorems for the class $C_g(h)$.

4.   CONCLUDING REMARKS.

It would be interesting to find a necessary and sufficient condition on the function $g(z)$ so that $f*g$ univalent implies f is univalent.

REFERENCES

1. GOODMAN, A.W., Univalent Functions, Vol. I and II, Mariner Publishing Co., Inc., Florida, Tampa, USA (1984).

2. BARNARD, R.W. and KELLOGG, C., Applications of Convolution Operators to Problems in Univalent Function Theory, Michigan , Math. J. 27 (1980), 81-94.

3. EENIGENBURG, P., MILLER, S.S., MOCANU, P.T. and READE, M.O., On a Briet-Boquet Differential Subordination, Revue. Roum. Pure et Applique Vol. 29 (1984), No. 9, 1-7.

4. PADMANABHAN, K.S. and PARVATHAM, R., Some Applications of Differential Subordination, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., Vol. 32, No. 3 (1985), 321-330.

5. PADMANABHAN, K.S. and MANJINI, R., Certain Applications of Differential Subordination, Pubi de L'Institut Mathe' Matique, Vol. 39 (53) (1986) 107-118.

6. OWA, S., FUKIU, S., SAKAGUCHI, K. and OGAWA, S., An Application of the Ruschewegh
        Derivatives, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., Vol. 9, No. 4, (1986), 721-
        730.

7. RUSCHEWEGH, ST., Linear Operators Between Classes of Pre-Starlike Functions,
        Comment. Math. Helvetici, Vol. 52 (1977), 497-509.

8. INAYAT NOOR, K. and THOMAS, D.K., On Quasi-Convex Univalent Functions, Internat.
        J. Math. and Math. Sci., Vol. 3 (1980), 255-266.

9. MOCANU, P.T. and READE, M.O., On Generalized Convexity in Conformal Mappings,
        Revue Roum. Math. Pure et Appl, Vol. 16 (1971), 1541-1544.

10. SAKAGUCHI, K.S., A Note on P-Valent Functions, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 14
        (1962), 312-321.

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk