

TWO PROPERTIES OF THE POWER SERIES RING

H. AL-EZEH

Department of Mathematics
University of Jordan
Amman, Jordan

(Received July 31, 1986 and in revised form October 29, 1986)

ABSTRACT. For a commutative ring with unity, A , it is proved that the power series ring $A[[X]]$ is a PF-ring if and only if for any two countable subsets S and T of A such that $S \subseteq \text{ann}_A(T)$, there exists $c \in \text{ann}_A(T)$ such that $bc = b$ for all $b \in S$. Also it is proved that a power series ring $A[[X]]$ is a PP-ring if and only if A is a PP-ring in which every increasing chain of idempotents in A has a supremum which is an idempotent.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Power series ring, PP-ring, PF-ring, flat, projective, annihilator ideal and idempotent element.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 13B.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Rings considered in this paper are all commutative with unity. Let $A[[X]]$ be the power series ring over the ring A . Recall that a ring A is called a PF-ring if every principal ideal is a flat A -module. Also a ring A is called a PP-ring if every principal ideal is a projective A -module.

It is proved in Al-Ezeh [1] that a ring A is a PF-ring if and only if the annihilator of each element $a \in A$, $\text{ann}_A(a)$, is a pure ideal, that is for all $b \in \text{ann}_A(a)$ there exists $c \in \text{ann}_A(a)$ such that $bc = b$. A ring A is a PP-ring if and only if for each $a \in A$, $\text{ann}_A(a)$ is generated by an idempotent, see Evans [2]. In Brewer [3], semihereditary power series rings over von Neumann regular rings are characterized. In this paper we characterize PF- power series rings and PP- power series rings over arbitrary rings.

For any reduced ring A (i.e. a ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements), it was proved in Brewer et al. [4] that

$$\text{ann}_{A[[X]]}(a_0 + a_1X + \dots) = N[[X]]$$

where N is the annihilator of the ideal generated by the coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots

2. MAIN RESULTS.

LEMMA 1. Any PF-ring A is a reduced ring.

PROOF. Assume that there is a nonzero nilpotent element in A . Let n be the least positive integer greater than 1 such that $a^n = 0$. So $a \in \text{ann}(a^{n-1})$. Because A is a PF-ring there exists $b \in \text{ann}(a^{n-1})$ such that $ab = a$. Thus $a^{n-1} \underset{A}{=} (ab)^{n-1} = a^{n-1} b^{n-1} = 0$ since $ba^{n-1} = 0$.

Contradiction. So any PP-ring is a reduced ring.

THEOREM 2. The power series ring $A[[X]]$ is a PF-ring if and only if for any two countable sets $S = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ and $T = \{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$ such that $S \subseteq \text{ann}(T)$, there exists $c \in \text{ann}(T)$ such that $b_i c = b_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

PROOF. First, we prove that $A[[X]]$ is a PF-ring.

Let $g(X) = b_0 + b_2 X + \dots$, and

$f(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots$, and let

$g(X) \in \text{ann}(f(X))$. Then $g(X) f(X) = 0$.

The ring A is in particular a PF-ring because for all $b \in \text{ann}(a)$, there exists $c \in \text{ann}(a)$ such that $bc = b$. So by Lemma 1, A is a reduced ring. Thus

$b_i a_j = 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots; j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

So

$\{b_0, b_1, \dots\} \subseteq \text{ann}(a_0, a_1, \dots)$. So by assumption, there exists $c \in \text{ann}(a_0, a_1, \dots)$ such that $b_i c = b_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Hence $g(X)c = g(X)$ and $c \in \text{ann}(f(X))$. Consequently, the ring $A[[X]]$ is a PF-ring. Conversely, assume $A[[X]]$ is a PF-ring.

Let $\{b_0, b_1, \dots\} \subseteq \text{ann}(a_0, a_1, \dots)$. Let $g(X) = b_0 + b_1 X + \dots$, and $f(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots$. Then $g(X) f(X) = 0$. Therefore $g(X) \in \text{ann}(f(X))$. Thus there exists $h(X) = c_0 + c_1 X + \dots$

in $\text{ann}(f(X))$ such that $g(X) h(X) = g(X)$.

Consequently, $h(X) f(X) = 0$ and $g(X) (h(X) - 1) = 0$. Since A is reduced,

$c_i a_j = 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $b_i (c_0 - 1) = 0$ for all i and $b_i c_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. Hence $\{c_0, c_1, \dots\} \subseteq \text{ann}(a_0, a_1, \dots)$ and $b_i (c_0 - 1) = 0$. So $c_0 \in \text{ann}(a_0, a_1, \dots)$ and $b_i c_0 = b_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Therefore the above condition holds.

Because any PP-ring is a PF-ring, every PP-ring is a reduced ring. On a reduced ring A , a partial order relation can be defined by $a \leq b$ if $ab = a^2$. The following lemma is given in Brewer[3] and Brewer et al.[4].

LEMMA 3. The relation \leq defined above on a reduced ring A is a partial order.

PROOF. Clearly the relation \leq is reflexive. Now assume $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$. Then $ab = a^2$ and $ba = b^2$. So, $(a-b)^2 = a^2 - 2ab + b^2 = 0$. Because A is reduced $a - b = 0$,

or $a = b$. To prove transitivity of \leq , assume $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$. So $ab = a^2$ and $bc = b^2$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}(ac - ab)^2 &= a^2(c^2 - 2cb + b^2) \\ &= a^2(c^2 - b^2) \\ &= ab(c - b)(c + b) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

because $b(c - b) = 0$. Since A is reduced, $ac - ab = 0$ or $ac = ab = a^2$. Therefore $a \leq b$.

THEOREM 4. The power series ring $A[[X]]$ is a PP-ring if and only if A is a PP-ring in which every increasing chain of idempotents of A with respect to \leq has a supremum which is an idempotent element in A .

PROOF. Assume $A[[X]]$ is a PP-ring. Let $a \in A$. Since $A[[X]]$ is a PP-ring and idempotents in $A[[X]]$ are in A , $\text{ann}_A(a) = eA[[X]]$. We claim $\text{ann}_A(a) = eA$. Because $ea = 0$, $rea = 0$ for all $r \in A$. Hence $eA \subseteq \text{ann}_A(a)$. Now let $b \in \text{ann}_A(a)$. Hence $b \in \text{ann}_A(a)$. Thus $b = eg(X)$ for some $g(X) = b_0 + b_1X + \dots$. Consequently, $b = eb_0$.

That is $b \in eA$. Whence A is a PP-ring.

To complete the proof of this direction, let $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \dots$ be an increasing chain of idempotents in A . Because $A[[X]]$ is a PP-ring and since idempotents of $A[[X]]$ are in A , $\text{ann}_A(e_0 + e_1X + \dots) = eA[[X]]$. Now we claim $1 - e = \sup\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$.

Since $ee_i = 0$, $e_i(1 - e) = e_i = e_i^2$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$.

So $e_i \leq 1 - e$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Let y be an upper bound of $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$. So $e_i \leq y$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$.

Hence $1 - y \in \text{ann}_A(e_0 + e_1X + \dots)$.

Thus $1 - y = ec$ for some $c \in A$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}y(1 - e) &= (1 - ce)(1 - e) \\ &= 1 - ec - e + ec \\ &= 1 - e\end{aligned}$$

So $1 - e \leq y$. Therefore $1 - e = \sup\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$.

To prove the other way around, consider $\text{ann}_A(f(X))$ where $f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ann}_A(f(X)) &= \text{ann}_A(a_0, a_1, \dots)[[X]] \\ \text{ann}_A(a_0, a_1, \dots) &= \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{ann}_A(a_i)\end{aligned}$$

$$= \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i A, \quad e_i^2 = e_i$$

because A is a PP-ring.

Let $d_0 = e_0$, $d_1 = e_0 e_1$, ..., $d_n = d_{n-1} e_n$, ...

One can easily check that

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i A = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A$$

Also it is clear that

$$d_0 \geq d_1 \geq d_2 \quad \dots$$

Therefore

$$1 - d_0 \leq 1 - d_1 \leq 1 - d_2 \quad \dots$$

By assumption, this increasing chain of idempotents has a supremum which is an idempotent.

Let

$$\text{Sup}\{1 - d_0, 1 - d_1, 1 - d_2, \dots\} = d. \quad \text{So}$$

$$(1 - d_i) d = 1 - d_i \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, \dots$$

We claim that

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A = (1 - d)A.$$

Now $1 - d \geq d_i$. So $(1 - d)d_i = 1 - d$. Hence

$$(1 - d)A \subseteq d_i A \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$\text{Thus } (1 - d)A \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A.$$

Let $y \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A$. Then $y = d_i y_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - d_i)(1 - y) &= 1 + d_i y - d_i - y \\ &= 1 - d_i \end{aligned}$$

Because $y d_i = d_i^2 = d_i^2 y_i = d_i y_i = y$.

Therefore $1 - d_i \leq 1 - y$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$

Because $d = \sup\{1 - d_0, 1 - d_1, 1 - d_2, \dots\}$,
 $d \leq 1 - y$. So $d = d(1 - y) = d - dy$

Hence $dy = 0$. Thus $y(1 - d) = y - yd = y$

That is $y \in (1 - d)A$. Therefore $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A = (1 - d)A$.

Consequently,

$$\text{ann}_{A[[X]]}(f(X)) = (1 - d) A[[X]]$$

Therefore $A[[X]]$ is a PP-ring.

REFERENCES

1. AL-EZEH, H. On Some properties of Polynomial rings. I.J.M.M.S To appear
2. EVANS, M. On commutative PP-rings. Pac. J. Math. 41(1972) 687-697.
3. BREWER, J. "Power series over commutative rings". Lecture Notes in pure and applied Mathematics No. 64, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel (1981).
4. BREWER, J. , RUTTER, E. and WATKINS, J. Coherence and weak global dimension of $R[[X]]$ when R is Von Neumann regular, J. of Algebra 46(1977) 278-289.

Special Issue on Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations

Call for Papers

The purpose of this special issue is to study singular boundary value problems arising in differential equations and dynamical systems. Survey articles dealing with interactions between different fields, applications, and approaches of boundary value problems and singular problems are welcome.

This Special Issue will focus on any type of singularities that appear in the study of boundary value problems. It includes:

- Theory and methods
- Mathematical Models
- Engineering applications
- Biological applications
- Medical Applications
- Finance applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Boundary Value Problems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	May 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	August 1, 2009
Publication Date	November 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Juan J. Nieto, Departamento de Análisis Matemático,
Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de

Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain;
juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es

Guest Editor

Donal O'Regan, Department of Mathematics, National
University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland;
donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie