

ON A CLASS OF p -VALENT STARLIKE FUNCTIONS OF ORDER α

M.K. AOUF

Dept. of Maths., Faculty of Science
University of Mansoura
Mansoura, Egypt

(Received October 9, 1986)

ABSTRACT. Let Ω denote the class of functions $w(z)$, $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ analytic in the unit disc $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. For arbitrary fixed numbers A, B , $-1 < A \leq 1$, $-1 \leq B < 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < p$, denote by $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ the class of functions $P(z) = p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ analytic in U such that $P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if $P(z) =$

$\frac{p + [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$, $w \in \Omega$, $z \in U$. Moreover, let $S(A, B, p, \alpha)$ denote

the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ analytic in U and satisfying the

condition that $f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = P(z)$ for some $P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ and all z in U .

In this paper we determine the bounds for $|f(z)|$ and $|\arg \frac{f(z)}{z}|$ in $S(A, B, p, \alpha)$, we investigate the coefficient estimates for functions of the class $S(A, B, p, \alpha)$ and we study some properties of the class $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. p -Valent, analytic, bounds, starlike functions of order α .

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 30A32, 30A36.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let A_p (p a fixed integer greater than zero) denote the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ which are analytic in $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. We use Ω to denote the class of bounded analytic functions $w(z)$ in U satisfies the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ for $z \in U$.

Let $P(A, B)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) denote the class of functions having the form

$$P_1(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in U and such that $P_1(z) \in P(A, B)$ if and only if

$$P_1(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad w \in \Omega, \quad z \in U. \quad (1.2)$$

The class $P(A, B)$ was introduced by Janowski [1].

For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < p$, denote by $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ the class of functions

$P(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ which are analytic in U and which satisfy that $P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = (p - \alpha) P_1(z) + \alpha, \quad P_1(z) \in P(A, B). \quad (1.3)$$

Using (1.2) in (1.3), one can show that $P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = \frac{p + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad w \in \Omega. \quad (1.4)$$

It was shown in [1] that

$P_1(z) \in P(A, B)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = \frac{(1 + A)p(z) + 1 - A}{(1 + B)p(z) + 1 - B} \quad (1.5)$$

for some $p(z) \in P(1, -1) = P$ (the class of functions of form (1.1) which are analytic in U and have a positive real part in U). Thus, from (1.3) and (1.5), we have

$P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = \frac{[p + pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]p(z) + [p - pB - (A - B)(p - \alpha)]}{(1 + B)p(z) + 1 - B}, \quad p(z) \in P. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, let $S(A, B, p, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f(z) \in A_p$ which satisfy

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = P(z) \quad (1.7)$$

for some $P(z)$ in $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ and all z in U .

We note that $S(A, B, 1, 0) = S^*(A, B)$, is the class of functions $f_1(z) \in A_1$ which satisfy

$$\frac{zf'_1(z)}{f_1(z)} = P_1(z), \quad P_1 \in P(A, B). \quad (1.8)$$

The class $S^*(A, B)$ was introduced by Janowski [1]. Also, $S(1, -1, p, \alpha) = S_{\alpha}(p)$, is the class of p -valent starlike functions of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < p$, investigated by Goluzine [2].

From (1.3), (1.7) and (1.8), it is easy to show that $f \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if for $z \in U$

$$f(z) = z^p \left[\frac{f_1(z)}{z} \right]^{(p - \alpha)}, \quad f_1 \in S^*(A, B). \quad (1.9)$$

2. THE ESTIMATION OF $|f(z)|$ AND $|\arg \frac{f(z)}{z}|$ FOR THE CLASS $S(A, B, p, \alpha)$.

LEMMA 1. Let $P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$. Then, for $|z| \leq r$, we have

$$\left| p(z) - \frac{p[pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}{1-B^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)r}{1-B^2r^2}.$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that the transformation

$$P_1(z) = \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} \text{ maps } |w(z)| \leq r \text{ onto the circle}$$

$$\left| P_1(z) - \frac{1-ABr^2}{1-B^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(A-B)r}{1-B^2r^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the result follows from (1.3) and (2.1).

THEOREM 1. If $f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then for $|z| = r$, $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$C(r; -A, -B, p, \alpha) \leq |f(z)| \leq C(r; A, B, p, \alpha), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$r^p(1 + Br) \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{B} \quad \text{for } B \neq 0,$$

$$C(r; A, B, p, \alpha) =$$

$$r^p e^{A(p-\alpha)r} \quad \text{for } B=0.$$

These bounds are sharp, being attained at the point $z = re^{i\phi}$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, by

$$f_*(z) = z^p f_0(z; -A, -B, p, \alpha) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$f^*(z) = z^p f_0(z; A, B, p, \alpha), \quad (2.4)$$

respectively, where

$$f_0(z; A, B, p, \alpha) = \begin{cases} (1 + Be^{-i\phi}z) \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{B} & \text{for } B \neq 0 \\ e^{A(p-\alpha)e^{-i\phi}z} & \text{for } B = 0 \end{cases}.$$

PROOF. From (1.9), we have $f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$f(z) = z^p \left[\frac{f_1(z)}{z} \right]^{(p-\alpha)}, \quad f_1 \in S^*(A, B). \quad (2.5)$$

It was shown by Janowski [1] that for $f_1(z) \in S^*(A, B)$

$$f_1(z) = z \exp \left(\int_0^z \frac{P_1(\zeta) - 1}{\zeta} d\zeta \right), \quad P_1(z) \in P(A, B). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus from (2.5) and (2.6), we have for $f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$

$$f(z) = z^p \exp \left(\int_0^z \frac{P(\zeta) - p}{\zeta} d\zeta \right), \quad P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha).$$

Therefore

$$|f(z)| = |z|^p \exp \left(\operatorname{Re} \int_0^z \frac{P(\zeta) - p}{\zeta} d\zeta \right).$$

substituting $\zeta = zt$, we obtain

$$|f(z)| = |z|^p \exp \left(\operatorname{Re} \int_0^1 \frac{P(zt) - p}{t} dt \right).$$

Hence

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|^p \exp \left(\int_0^1 \max_{|zt| = rt} \left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{P(zt) - p}{t} \right) dt \right).$$

From Lemma 1, it follows that

$$\max_{|zt| = rt} \frac{P(zt) - p}{t} = \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)r}{1+Br};$$

then, after integration, we obtain the upper bounds in (2.2). Similarly, we obtain the bounds on the left-hand side of (2.2) which ends the proof.

REMARKS ON THEOREM 1.

1. Choosing $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Goluzina [2].
2. Choosing $p = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Janowski [1].
3. Choosing $p = 1$, $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Robertson [3].
4. Choosing $p = 1$, $A = 1$ and $B = \alpha = 0$ in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Singh [4].

THEOREM 2. If $f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then for $|z| = r < 1$

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right) \right| \leq \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{B} \sin^{-1}(Br), \quad B \neq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right) \right| \leq A(p-\alpha)r, \quad B = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

These bounds are sharp, being attained by the function $f_0(z)$ defined by

$$f_0(z) = \begin{cases} z^p (1 + B \delta z)^{\frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{B}}, & B \neq 0, \\ z^p \exp(A(p-\alpha) \delta z), & B = 0, |\delta| = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

PROOF. It was shown by Goe1 and Mehrok [5] that for $f_1 \in S^*(A, B)$

$$\left| \arg \frac{f_1(z)}{z} \right| \leq \frac{(A-B)}{B} \sin^{-1}(B), \quad B \neq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\left| \arg \frac{f_1(z)}{z} \right| \leq A, \quad B = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of (1.9), (2.10) and (2.11).

REMARK ON THEOREM 2.

Choosing $p = 1$, $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in Theorem 2, we get the result due to Pinchuk [6].

3. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE CLASS $S(A, B, P, \alpha)$.

LEMMA 2. If integers p and m are greater than zero; $0 \leq \alpha < p$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} &= \frac{1}{m^2} \{ (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} [k^2(B^2-1) + (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)] \times \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction on m . For $m = 1$, the lemma is obvious.

Next, suppose that the result is true for $m = q-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{q^2} \{ (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} [k^2(B^2-1) + \\ &(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)] \times \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} \} \\ &= \frac{1}{q^2} \{ (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{q-2} [k^2(B^2-1) + \\ &(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)] \times \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} \\ &+ [(q-1)^2(B^2-1) + (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2(q-1)B(B-A)(p-\alpha)] \times \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{q-2} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} \times \left\{ \frac{(q-1)^2 B^2 + (B-A)^2 (p-\alpha)^2 + 2(q-1)B(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{q^2} \right\}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2}.$$

Showing that the result is valid for $m = q$. This proves the lemma.

THEOREM 3. If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bk|}{k+1} \quad (3.2)$$

for $n \geq p+1$, and these bounds are sharp for all admissible A, B and α and for each n .

PROOF. As $f \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$, from (1.4) and (1.7), we have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p + [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad w \in \Omega.$$

This may be written as

$$\{Bzf'(z) + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)]f(z)\} w(z) = pf(z) - zf'(z).$$

Hence

$$[B \{pz^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} z^{p+k}\} + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] \{z^p +$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}\} w(z) = p \{z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} z^{p+k}\} -$$

$$\{pz^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} z^{p+k}\}$$

or

$$[pB + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(p+k)B +$$

$$[-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)]\} a_{p+k} z^k] w(z) = (p-p) +$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{p - (p+k)\} a_{p+k} z^k$$

which may be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ (p+k)B + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] \} a_{p+k} z^k w(z) \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ -k \} a_{p+k} z^k \quad (3.3)$$

where $a_p = 1$ and $w(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k+1} z^{k+1}$.

Equating coefficients of z^m on both sides of (3.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{ (p+k)B + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] \} a_{p+k} b_{m-k} =$$

$$\{ -m \} a_{p+m};$$

which shows that a_{p+m} on right-hand side depends only on

$$a_p, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{p+(m-1)}$$

of left-hand side. Hence we can write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{ (p+k)B + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] \} a_{p+k} z^k w(z) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^m \{ -k \} a_{p+k} z^k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_k z^k$$

for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and a proper choice of $A_k (k \geq 0)$.

Let $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{ (p+k)B + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] \}^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 r^{2k} \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{ (p+k)B + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] \} a_{p+k} r^k e^{i\theta k} \right|^2 d\theta \\ \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{ (p+k)B + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)] \} a_{p+k} r^k e^{i\theta k} \right|^2 |w(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\ \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m \{ -k \} a_{p+k} r^k e^{i\theta k} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_k r^k e^{i\theta k} \right|^2 d\theta \\ \geq \sum_{k=0}^m | -k |^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 r^{2k} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |A_k|^2 r^{2k} \\ \geq \sum_{k=0}^m k^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 r^{2k}. \quad (3.4)$$

Setting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (3.4), the inequality (3.4) may be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{ |(p+k)B + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha)]|^2 - k^2 \} |a_{p+k}|^2 \geq m^2 |a_{p+m}|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Simplification of (3.5) leads to

$$|a_{p+m}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{ k^2(B^2 - 1) + (B-A)(p-\alpha)[(B-A)(p-\alpha) + 2kB] \} |a_{p+k}|^2. \quad (3.6)$$

Replacing $p+m$ by n in (3.6), we are led to

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(n-p)^2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} \{ k^2(B^2 - 1) + (B-A)(p-\alpha)x [B-A)(p-\alpha) + 2kB] \} |a_{p+k}|^2, \quad (3.7)$$

where $n \geq p+1$.

For $n = p+1$, (3.7) reduces to

$$|a_{p+1}|^2 \leq (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2$$

or

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq (A-B)(p-\alpha) \quad (3.8)$$

which is equivalent to (3.2).

To establish (3.2) for $n > p+1$, we will apply induction argument.

Fix n , $n \geq p+1$, and suppose (3.2) holds for $k = 1, 2, \dots, \dots, \dots, n-(p+1)$. Then

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(n-p)^2} \{ (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-(p+1)} \{ k^2(B^2 - 1) + (B-A)(p-\alpha)[(B-A)(p-\alpha) + 2kB] \} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + B_j|^2}{(j+1)^2} \}. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, from (3.7), (3.9) and Lemma 2 with $m = n - p$, we obtain

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + B_j|^2}{(j+1)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.2). This proof is based on a technique found in Clunie [7].

For sharpness of (3.2) consider

$$f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1 - B\delta z)^{\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{B}}}, \quad |\delta| = 1, B \neq 0.$$

REMARK ON THEOREM 3.

Choosing $p = 1$, $A = 1$, and $B = -1$ in Theorem 3, we get the result due to Robertson [3] and Schild [8].

4. DISTORTION AND COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR FUNCTIONS IN $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$.

THEOREM 4. If $P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then

$$|\arg P(z)| \leq \sin^{-1} \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)r}{p - [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}, \quad |z| = r.$$

The bound is sharp.

PROOF. The proof follows from Lemma 1. To see that the result is sharp, let

$$P(z) = p \left\{ \frac{1 + [B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})]\delta_1 z}{1 + \delta_1 Bz} \right\}, \quad |\delta_1| = 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Putting

$$\delta_1 = \frac{r}{z} \left\{ \frac{-[B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})] + Br}{1 + [B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})]Br^2} + \frac{i\sqrt{1 - [B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})]^2 r^2} \sqrt{1 - B^2 r^2}}{1 + [B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})]Br^2} \right\}, \quad r = |z|,$$

in (4.1), we have

$$\arg P(z) = \sin^{-1} \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)r}{p - [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}.$$

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1 is

COROLLARY 1. If $P(z)$ is in $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then for $|z| \leq r < 1$

$$\frac{p - (A-B)(p-\alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}{1 - B^2 r^2} \leq |P(z)| \leq \frac{p + (A-B)(p-\alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}{1 - B^2 r^2},$$

and

$$\frac{p - (A-B)(p-\alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}{1 - B^2 r^2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \{P(z)\} \leq \frac{p + (A-B)(p-\alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}{1 - B^2 r^2}.$$

REMARK ON COROLLARY 1.

Choosing $p = 1$, $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in the above corollary we get the following distortion bounds studied by Libera and Livingston [9] stated in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2. If $P(z)$ is in $P(1, -1, \alpha) = P(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ (the class of functions $P(z)$ with positive real part of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$), then for $|z| \leq r < 1$

$$\frac{1 - 2(1 - \alpha)r + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} \leq |P(z)| \leq \frac{1 + 2(1 - \alpha)r + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2}.$$

and

$$\frac{1 - 2(1 - \alpha)r + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \{P(z)\} \leq \frac{1 + 2(1 - \alpha)r + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2}.$$

The coefficient bounds which follow are derived by using the method of Clunie [7].

THEOREM 5. If $P(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ is in $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then

$$|b_n| \leq (A - B)(p - \alpha), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (4.2)$$

these bounds are sharp.

PROOF. The representation $P(z)$ in (1.4) is equivalent to

$$[B + (A - B)(p - \alpha) - B P(z)]w(z) = P(z) - p, \quad w \in \Omega. \quad (4.3)$$

or

$$[B + (A - B)(p - \alpha) - B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k]w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad b_0 = p. \quad (4.4)$$

This can be written as

$$[(A - B)(p - \alpha) - B \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k z^k]w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k z^k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_k z^k, \quad (4.5)$$

the last term also being absolutely and uniformly convergent in compacta on U .

Writing $z = re^{i\theta}$, performing the indicated integration and making use of the bound $|w(z)| \leq |z| < 1$ for z in U gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A - B)^2(p - \alpha)^2 + B^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |b_k|^2 r^{2k} = \\
& \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| (A - B)(p - \alpha) + B \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k r^k e^{ik\theta} \right|^2 d\theta \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \{ (A - B)(p - \alpha) + B \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k r^k e^{ik\theta} \} w(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n b_k r^k e^{ik\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_k r^k e^{ik\theta} \right|^2 d\theta \\
& \geq \sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|^2 r^{2k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |q_k|^2 r^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last term is non-negative and $r < 1$, therefore

$$(A - B)^2(p - \alpha)^2 + B^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |b_k|^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|^2, \quad (4.6)$$

or

$$|b_n|^2 \leq (A - B)^2(p - \alpha)^2 + (B^2 - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |b_k|^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Since $-1 \leq B < 1$, we have $B^2 - 1 \leq 0$. Hence

$$|b_n| \leq (A - B)(p - \alpha), \quad (4.8)$$

and this is equivalent to (4.2). If $w(z) = z^n$, then

$$P(z) = p + (A - B)(p - \alpha)z^n + \dots,$$

which makes (4.2) sharp.

REMARK ON THEOREM 5.

Choosing $p = 1$, $A = 1$, and $B = -1$ in Theorem 5, we get the result due to Libera [10] stated in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. If $P(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in P(1, -1, 1, \alpha) = P(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

then

$$|b_n| \leq 2(1 - \alpha), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots;$$

these bounds are sharp.

REFERENCES

1. JANOWSKI, W. Some external problems for certain families of analytic functions, Ann. Polon. Math., 28 (1973), 297-326.
2. GOLUZINA, E. G. On the coefficients of a class of functions, regular in a disk and having an integral representation in it, J. of Soviet Math. (2) 6 (1974), 606-617.
3. ROBERTSON, M. S. On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math., 37 (1936), 169-185.
4. SINGH, R. On a class of starlike functions, J. Indian Math Soc., 32 (1968), 208-213.
5. GOEL, R. M. and MEHROK, B. S. On a class of close-to-convex functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 12(5) (1981), 648-658.
6. PINCHUK, B. On starlike and convex functions of order α , Duke Math. J., 35 (1968), 721-734.
7. CLUNIE, J. On meromorphic schlicht functions, J. London Math. Soc., 34 (1959), 215-216.
8. SCHILD, A. On starlike functions of order α , Amer. J. Math., 87(1) (1965), 65-70.
9. LIBERA, R. J. and LIVINGSTON, A. E. Bounded functions with positive real part, Czechoslovak Math. J., 22(97) (1972), 195-209, Praba.
10. LIBERA, R. J. Univalent α -spiral functions, Canadian J. Math., 19 (1967), 449-456.

Present Address: Dept. of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Qatar
P.O. Box 2713
Doha-Qatar

Special Issue on Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations

Call for Papers

The purpose of this special issue is to study singular boundary value problems arising in differential equations and dynamical systems. Survey articles dealing with interactions between different fields, applications, and approaches of boundary value problems and singular problems are welcome.

This Special Issue will focus on any type of singularities that appear in the study of boundary value problems. It includes:

- Theory and methods
- Mathematical Models
- Engineering applications
- Biological applications
- Medical Applications
- Finance applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Boundary Value Problems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Manuscript Due | May 1, 2009 |
| First Round of Reviews | August 1, 2009 |
| Publication Date | November 1, 2009 |

Lead Guest Editor

Juan J. Nieto, Departamento de Análisis Matemático,
Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de

Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain;
juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es

Guest Editor

Donal O'Regan, Department of Mathematics, National
University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland;
donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie