A REGULAR GRAPH OF GIRTH 6 AND VALENCY 11

P.K. WONG

Department of Mathematics
Seton Hall University
South Orange, New Jersey 07079 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT. Let $f(11,6)$ be the number of vertices of an $(11,6)$-cage. By giving a reg-
ular graph of girth 6 and valency 11, we show that $f(11,6) \leq 240$. This is the best
known upper bound for $f(11,6)$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Regular graph, cage, latin square.
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 05C35.

1. INTRODUCTION.

A graph is said to be <u>regular</u> of valency v if each of its vertices has valency v.
A regular graph of valency v and girth g with the least possible number of vertices
is called a (v,g)-cage. The number of vertices of a (v,g)-cage is denoted by $f(v,g)$.
The existence of (v,g)-cages was proved by Erdös and Sachs [1].

When $g = 6$, it is easy to see that $f(v,6) \geq 2(v^2 - v + 1)$ $(v \geq 3)$. Also, it is
known that, when $v-1$ is a prime power, $f(v,6) = 2(v^2 -v +1)$ and the graph can be con-
structed by using a complete set of orthogonal latin squares (see [2, p.7]). When $v=7$,
O'Keefe and Wong showed that $f(7,6) = 90$ [3]. Thus far, no other $(v,6)$-cages have
been found.

The construction of an $(11,6)$-cage is of great interest and this would settle an
open problem in projective geometry: can a projective plane of order 10 exist? We
now give a regular graph of girth 6 and valency 11 with 240 vertices. Therefore,
$f(11,6) \leq 240$. This is the best known upper bound for $f(11,6)$. The graph is presented
in the following way. Eighteen (18) "opposite" vertices A_n and B_n ($n = 1,2, \ldots,9$)
are added to Figure 8 in [2, p.7] with $k = 10$. B_n is adjacent to A_n, $1n, 2n, \ldots, 10n$
($n=1,2,\ldots,9$). The array of numbers in Table I shows how the vertices of set Y are
joined to those of set X, row N standing for set N of Y ; the number M appearing
in row N means that vertex NM is adjacent to the vertex of set X in the same
column (M, N = 1,2, \ldots , 10). We note that the sets 2,3, \ldots ,10 are obtained by
permuting cyclically the rows of set 1. Because of this very unusual property of the
graph, the author believes that this upper bound is not the best possible one.

Table I

(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(110)
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5

(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(210)
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6

(31)	(32)	(33)	(34)	(35)	(36)	(37)	(38)	(39)	(310)
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7

(41)	(42)	(43)	(44)	(45)	(46)	(47)	(48)	(49)	(410)
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	$A5$	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8

(51)	(52)	(53)	(54)	(55)	(56)	(57)	(58)	(59)	(510)
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9

(61)	(62)	(63)	(64)	(65)	(66)	(67)	(68)	(69)	(610)
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10

(71)	(72)	(73)	(74)	(75)	(76)	(77)	(78)	(79)	(710)
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1

(81)	(82)	(83)	(84)	(85)	(86)	(87)	(88)	(89)	(810)
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2

(91)	(92)	(93)	(94)	(95)	(96)	(97)	(98)	(99)	(910)
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2

(101)	(102)	(103)	(104)	(105)	(106)	(107)	(108)	(109)	(1010)
7	6	10	3	8	4	2	9	1	5
A_1	8	7	1	4	9	5	3	10	6
3	A_2	9	8	2	5	10	6	4	7
2	4	A_3	10	9	3	6	1	7	8
6	3	5	A_4	1	10	4	7	2	9
9	7	4	6	A_5	2	1	5	8	10
4	10	8	5	7	A_6	3	2	6	1
10	5	1	9	6	8	A_7	4	3	2
8	1	6	2	10	7	9	A_8	5	3
5	9	2	7	3	1	8	10	A_9	4

REFERENCES

1. ERDÖS, P. and SACHS, H., Reguläre Graphen Gegebener Taillenweite mit minimaler Knotenzahl, WISS.Z. Uni. Halle (Math. Nat.) 12(1963),251-257.

2. WONG, P. K., Cages-A survey. J. Graph Theory 6(1982), 1-22.

3. O'KEEFE, M. and WONG, P. K., The smallest graph of girth 6 and valency 7, J. Graph Theory 5(1981), 79-85.

4. DENES, J. and KEEDWELL, A. D., Latin squares and their applications, Academic, New York (1974).

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk