

## ON A SUBCLASS OF BAZILEVIČ FUNCTIONS

D. K. THOMAS

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University College of Swansea  
Singleton Park  
Swansea SA2 8PP  
Wales, U. K.

(Received September 24, 1985)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $B(\alpha)$  be the class of normalised Bazilevič functions of type  $\alpha > 0$  with respect to the starlike function  $g$ . Let  $B_1(\alpha)$  be the subclass of  $B(\alpha)$  when  $g(z) \equiv z$ . Distortion theorems and coefficient estimates are obtained for functions belonging to  $B_1(\alpha)$ .

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Bazilevič functions, subclasses of  $S$ , functions whose derivative has positive real part, close-to-convex functions, coefficient and length-area estimates.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 30C45.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $S$  be the class of normalised functions  $f$  which are regular and univalent in the unit disc  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $S^*$  be the subclass of  $S$  consisting of functions which are starlike, and denote by  $P$ , the class of functions which are regular in  $D$  and satisfy there the conditions  $p(0) = 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  for  $p \in P$ .

Bazilevič [1] showed that if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers, with  $\alpha > 0$ , then functions  $f$ , regular in  $D$ , and having the representation

$$f(z) = [(\alpha + i\beta) \int_0^z p(t)g(t)^{\alpha} t^{i\beta-1} dt]^{1/\alpha+i\beta} \dots \quad (1.1)$$

for  $g \in S^*$ ,  $p \in P$  and  $z \in D$ , also form a subclass of  $S$ , denoted by  $B(\alpha, \beta)$ , which contains both  $S^*$  and the class of close-to-convex functions. (Powers in (1.1) are principal values). When  $\beta = 0$ , we write  $B(\alpha, \beta) = B(\alpha)$ . Zamorski [2] and the author [3] gave proofs of the Bieberbach conjecture for  $f \in B(1/N)$ ,  $N$  a positive integer, and more recently Leach [4] has shown that the conjecture is true for  $f \in B(\alpha)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

Singh [5] considered the subclass  $B_1(\alpha)$  of  $B(\alpha)$ , obtained by taking the starlike function  $g(z) \equiv z$ , and gave sharp estimates for the modules of the coefficients  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ , and  $a_4$ , where for  $z \in D$ ,

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \dots \dots \quad (1.2)$$

We note that  $B_1(1)$  is the subclass of  $S$  which consists of functions  $f$  for which  $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$  [6].

In this paper, we shall obtain some distortion theorems for  $f \in B_1(\alpha)$  and give sharp estimates for the coefficients  $a_n$  in (2) when  $f \in B_1(1/N)$ ,  $N$  is a positive integer.

## 2. DISTORTION THEOREMS.

THEOREM 1. Let  $f \in B_1(\alpha)$  and be given by (1.2). Then with  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,

$$(i) \quad Q_2(r)^{1/\alpha} \leq |f(z)| \leq Q_1(r)^{1/\alpha},$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } 0 < \alpha \leq 1,$$

$$r^{\alpha-1} Q_2(r)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{1-r}{1+r} \leq |f'(z)| \leq r^{\alpha-1} Q_1(r)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{1+r}{1-r},$$

and if  $\alpha \geq 1$

$$r^{\alpha-1} Q_1(r)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{1-r}{1+r} \leq |f'(z)| \leq r^{\alpha-1} Q_2(r)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{1+r}{1-r},$$

where

$$Q_1(r) = \alpha \int_0^r \rho^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right) d\rho,$$

and

$$Q_2(r) = \alpha \int_0^r \rho^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \right) d\rho.$$

Equality holds in all cases for the function  $f_\phi$ , defined by

$$f_\phi(z) = \left( \alpha \int_0^z t^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{1+te^{i\phi}}{1-te^{i\phi}} \right) dt \right)^{1/\alpha} \dots \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\phi = 0$  or  $\pi$ .

PROOF.

(i) Taking  $\beta = 0$  and  $g(z) \equiv z$  in (1.1), it follows that  $f$  satisfies the equation

$$z^{1-\alpha} f'(z) = f(z)^{1-\alpha} p(z) \dots \quad (2.2)$$

for  $z \in D$  and  $p \in P$ . Thus

$$f(z)^\alpha = \alpha \int_0^z t^{\alpha-1} p(t) dt,$$

and since  $|p(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-r}$  for  $z \in D$  [7], we have at once  $|f(z)| \leq Q_1(r)^{1/\alpha}$ .

To obtain the left-hand inequality in (i), we observe that, since  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq \frac{1-r}{1+r}$  [5], and so from (2.2)

$$\left| \frac{d}{dz} [f(z)]^\alpha \right| \geq \alpha r^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{1-r}{1+r} \right) \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Now let  $z_1$ ,  $|z_1| = r$  be chosen so that  $|f(z_1)^\alpha| \leq |f(z)^\alpha|$  for all  $z$  with  $|z| = r$ .

Then, writing  $w = f_1(z)^\alpha$ , it follows that the line segment  $\lambda$  from  $w = 0$  to  $w = f(z_1)^\alpha$  lies entirely in the image of  $D$ . Let  $L$  be the pre-image of  $\lambda$ , then by (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_1)|^\alpha &= \int_\lambda |dw| = \int_L \left| \frac{dw}{dz} \right| |dz| \\ &\geq \alpha \int_0^r \rho^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \right) d\rho = Q_2(r), \end{aligned}$$

which is the left-hand inequality in (i).

(ii) The proof follows at once from (2.2) and (i) on noting that for  $p \in P$ ,

$$\frac{1-r}{1+r} \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-r} \quad [7].$$

Equality is attained in (i) for  $f_0$  and in (ii) for  $f_0$  when  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and for  $f_\pi$  when  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

We remark that as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , the results of Theorem 1 should in some way correspond to the classical distortion theorems for regular starlike (univalent) functions [7]. The following shows that the bounds in Theorem 1 are asymptotic to the classical distortion theorems as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $Q_1(r)$  and  $Q_2(r)$  be defined as in Theorem 1. Then for  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$

- (i)  $Q_1(r)^{1/\alpha} \sim \frac{r}{(1-r)^2}$ ,
- (ii)  $Q_2(r)^{1/\alpha} \sim \frac{r}{(1-r)^2}$ ,
- (iii)  $Q_1(r) \sim Q_2(r) \sim 1$ .

PROOF.

We prove (i), since (ii) and (iii) are similar. As  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(r)^{1/\alpha} &= \left( \alpha \int_0^r \rho^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right) d\rho \right)^{1/\alpha} = r(1+2\alpha r)^{-\alpha} \left( \int_0^r \frac{\rho^\alpha}{1-\rho} d\rho \right)^{1/\alpha} \\ &\sim r(1-2\alpha r)^{-\alpha} \log(1-r)^{1/\alpha} \sim r e^{-2\log(1-r)} = \frac{r}{(1-r)^2} \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. Suppose that  $f(z) \neq w$  for  $z \in D$ , then

$$|w| \geq Q_2(1)^{1/\alpha} \sim \frac{1}{4} \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $w$  be a point on the boundary of  $f(D)$  closest to the origin. Let  $L_1$  denote the straight line from 0 to  $w$ , and  $L$  its pre-image in  $D$ . Then  $|w| > |f(z)|$  for  $z \in L \cap D$ . Since the circle  $|z| = r$ , for each  $0 \leq r < 1$ , intersects  $L$  at least once, Theorem 1 (i) gives  $|w| \geq Q_2(r)^{1/\alpha}$  and so  $|w| > Q_2(1)^{1/\alpha} \sim \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  (from Theorem 2 (ii)).

### 3. A COEFFICIENT THEOREM.

NOTATION.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n z^n$  means  $|\alpha_n| \leq |\beta_n|$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $f \in B_1(1/N)$ , with  $N$  a positive integer, and be given by (1.2).

Suppose also that for  $z \in D$ ,

$$f_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n,$$

where  $f_0$  is given by (2.1). Then

$$(i) \quad f(z) << f_0(z),$$

$$(ii) \quad \gamma_n \sim \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^N \left(\frac{N}{n}\right) (\log n)^{N-1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. (i) We first note that if  $|\alpha_n| \leq |\beta_n|$ , then for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n\right)^m << \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n z^n\right)^m.$$

To see this, let

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n\right)^m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{(m)} z^n \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n z^n\right)^m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(m)} z^n,$$

so that

$$A_n^{(k)} = \sum_{v=1}^n A_v^{(k-1)} \alpha_{n-v}, \quad B_n^{(k)} = \sum_{v=1}^n B_v^{(k-1)} \beta_{n-v}.$$

We now use induction on  $k$  to show that for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|A_n^{(k)}| \leq B_n^{(k)}$ . Clearly for

$n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $|A_n^{(1)}| = |\alpha_n| \leq \beta_n = B_n^{(1)}$ . Suppose now that  $|A_n^{(k)}| \leq B_n^{(k)}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$ . Then for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$|A_n^{(j+1)}| \leq \sum_{v=1}^n |A_v^{(j)}| |\alpha_{n-v}| \leq \sum_{v=1}^n B_v^{(j)} \beta_{n-v} = B_n^{(j+1)}.$$

Thus (i) now follows at once, since from (2.2) we can write

$$f(z) = z \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k z^k}{k+1/N} \right\}^N,$$

where  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$ , and since  $|p_k| \leq 2$  [7] we have

$$f(z) << z \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k+1/N} \right]^N = f_0(z).$$

(ii) When  $\alpha = 1/N$ , (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n = z \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1/N} \right]^N \\ &= z \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \binom{N}{v} \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^v \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1/N}\right)^v \end{aligned}$$

Now trivially,

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1}\right)^v << \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1/N}\right)^v << \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}\right)^v.$$

Write these three series as

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_n^{(v)} z^n, \quad \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} D_n^{(v)} z^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} E_n^{(v)} z^n \quad \text{respectively.}$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} E_n^{(v)} z^n = z^v \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1}\right)^v$$

Now a result of Littlewood [8, p. 193], states that if  $\nu$  is a fixed positive integer and

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1}\right)^{\nu} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\nu)} z^n,$$

then  $\phi_n^{(\nu)} \sim \frac{\nu}{n} (\log n)^{\nu-1}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus

$$E_n^{(\nu)} = \phi_{n-\nu}^{(\nu)} \sim \frac{\nu}{n} (\log n)^{\nu-1} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also

$$\sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} C_n^{(\nu)} z^n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1} - 1\right)^{\nu} \text{ and so}$$

$$C_n^{(\nu)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{j} (-1)^{\nu-j} \phi_n^{(j)} \\ \sim \frac{\nu}{n} (\log n)^{\nu-1} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus  $D_n^{(\nu)} \sim \frac{\nu}{n} (\log n)^{\nu-1}$  and so

$$\gamma_n \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^N \binom{N}{\nu} \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^{\nu} \nu D_n^{(\nu)} \sim \left(\frac{2}{N}\right) \binom{N}{n} (\log n)^{N-1}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. BAZILEVIČ, I. E., On a case of integrability in quadratures of the Loewner-Kurafew equation, Mat. Sb. 37 (79), 471-476. (Russian) MR17, #356.
2. ZAMORSKI, J., On Bazilevič schlicht functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 12 (1962), 83-90.
3. THOMAS, D. K., On Bazilevič functions, Math. Z. 109 (1969), 344-348.
4. LEACH, R. J., The coefficient problem for Bazilevič functions, Houston J. Math. 6 (1980), 543-547.
5. SINGH, R., On Bazilevič functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 261-271.
6. MacGREGOR, T. H., Functions whose derivative has positive real part, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1962), 532-537.
7. POMMERENKE, Ch., Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
8. LITTLEWOOD, J. E., Theory of functions, Oxford, 1944.

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)