

## METHODS OF CONSTRUCTING HYPERFIELDS

CH. G. MASSOUROS

54 Klious St. Cholargos  
Athens, Greece  
TK 155-61

(Received February 1, 1983 and in revised form July 21, 1983)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we introduce a class of hyperfields which contains non quotient hyperfields. Thus we give a negative answer to the question of whether every hyperfield is isomorphic to a quotient  $\frac{K}{G}$  of a field  $K$  by some subgroup  $G$  of its multiplicative group.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** *Hypercomposition, hyperfield, quotient hyperfield, hyperring.*  
**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 12K99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A hyperfield is a triplet  $(H, +, \cdot)$  where  $H$  is a non-empty set,  $+$  is a hypercomposition (i.e. a mapping whose domain is  $H \times H$  and whose range is the power set of  $H$ ), and  $\cdot$  an internal composition of  $H$  (i.e. for every  $x, y \in H$ ,  $x \cdot y \in H$ ). These two operations satisfy the following axioms:

#### I. Multiplicative axiom

$H$  is an almost-group with regard to the multiplication. An almost-group is a semigroup  $S$ , which is the union  $G \cup \{0\}$ , where  $G$  is a group and  $0$  a bilaterally absorbing element of  $S$ . By  $1$  we shall denote its neutral element and by  $0$  its absorbing element.

#### II. Additive axioms

- (i)  $x + y = y + x$
- (ii)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$
- (iii) For every  $x \in H$ , there exists one and only one  $x' \in H$  such that  $0 \in x + x'$ .  
 $x'$  is written  $-x$  and called the opposite of  $x$ ; moreover we shall write  $x - y$  instead of  $x + (-y)$ .
- (iv)  $z \in x + y$  implies that  $y \in z - x$

#### III. Distributive axiom

$$z \cdot (x + y) = z \cdot x + z \cdot y, \quad (x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$$

We remark that:

- (a) By usual conventions if  $\cdot$  is an internal composition of a set  $E$  and  $X, Y$  are

subsets of  $E$ ,  $X \cdot Y$  is the set of all  $x \cdot y$  such that  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . If " $\cdot$ " is a hypercomposition in  $E$  then  $X \cdot Y$  signifies the union  $\bigcup_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} x \cdot y$ . In both cases  $x \cdot Y$  and  $X \cdot y$  will have the same meaning as  $\{x\} \cdot Y$  and  $X \cdot \{y\}$  respectively.

(b) By virtue of axioms II.(iii) and II.(iv) one has

$$x + 0 = x \text{ for each } x \in H$$

Indeed;  $0 \in x - x$  so  $x \in x + 0$ . Let's suppose that some  $y$  different than  $x$  belongs to  $x + 0$ . Then  $0 \in x - y$  which is absurd because of II.(iii). So  $x + 0 = x$ .

Also, because  $+$  is a hypercomposition, the distributive axiom gives:

$(a + b)(c + d) \subseteq ac + ad + bc + bd$ . (properties of hyperfields can be found in [3], [5])

(c) If we replace the multiplicative axiom I by

I'.  $H$  is a multiplicative semigroup having a bilaterally absorbing element  $0$ . we obtain a more general structure  $(H, +, \cdot)$  which is called hyperring. (see [4], [6])

The concept of the hyperfield has been introduced by M. Krasner in his study [1].

The hyperfield that occurs there was obtained by considering a quotient of the type

$\frac{K}{\pi_\rho}$  where  $K$  is a valued field and  $\pi_\rho$  an equivalence relation defined from the

field's valuation. This hyperfield was called the residual of  $K(\text{mod } \pi_\rho)$ .

## 2. THE QUOTIENT HYPERFIELD.

Let  $F$  be a field and  $G$  a subgroup of  $F$ 's multiplicative group. Then the multiplicative classes modulo  $G$  in  $F$  form a partition of  $F$ . We shall denote by  $\bar{F} = \frac{F}{G}$  the set of the classes of this partition. A multiplication can be introduced in  $\bar{F}$  by defining the product  $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}$  of two classes  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  to be the class which results from their setwise product. It can also be proved (see [2]) that if we add  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  as subsets of  $F$ , the set that results is a union of classes modulo  $G$ . This enables us to define the sum  $\bar{x} + \bar{y}$  of  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  to be the set of all classes  $\bar{z} \in \bar{F}$  which are contained in the setwise sum of  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ .  $\bar{F}$  endowed with these two operations becomes a hyperfield ([2]) called quotient hyperfield of  $F$  by  $G$ .

If  $F$  is a ring and  $G$  a normal subgroup of  $F^*$  ( $: = F \setminus \{0\}$ ) (that is a subset of  $R$ , such that  $(G, \cdot)$  is a group, and  $xG = Gx$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ ) then

$\bar{F} = \frac{F}{G}$  will be a hyperring called the quotient hyperring of  $F$  by  $G$ .

The question that Krasner sets ([2]) is whether there are other hyperfields besides the quotient hyperfields. Indeed it is quite important to find out how rich the class of all hyperfields is, for if there were only quotient hyperfields then a good part of hyperfield theory could have been deduced directly from corresponding results in field theory.

At first one can notice that the residual hyperfields are always quotient hyperfields. Next we shall prove that every subhyperfield of a quotient hyperring is a quotient of a field by a multiplicative group. Indeed let  $\frac{R}{G}$  be a hyperring and  $\frac{F}{G}$  a subhyperfield of  $\frac{R}{G}$ . Then

LEMMA 1. If  $uG$  is the unit of  $\frac{F}{G}$ , then  $uG$  is a multiplicative group.

PROOF. Let  $x = u\gamma$  be an element of  $uG$ . Since  $uG = Gu$  we have:  
 $xuG = (u\gamma)uG = u(u\gamma')G = u^2G \subseteq uG \cdot uG = uG$ . So the class  $xuG$  is a subset of  $uG$ , thus  $xuG = uG$ . In the same way we can show that  $uGx = uG$  and therefore  $uG$  is indeed a group.

Now if we denote by  $[[FG]]$  the subring of  $R$  which is generated by the set  $FG$ , we observe that  $[[FG]] = uG [[FG]] = [[FG]] uG$ .

LEMMA 2. The unit of  $uG$  is also the unit of  $[[FG]]$

PROOF. Let  $e$  be the unit of  $uG$ . If  $s \in [[FG]]$  then there exist  $s' \in [[FG]]$  and  $\gamma \in G$  such that  $s = s'(\gamma)$ . Thus we have:  $se = (s'(\gamma))e = s'((\gamma)e) = s'(\gamma) = s$ . Similarly  $es = s$  and so  $e$  is the unit of  $[[FG]]$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  a normal multiplicative subgroup of  $R^*$  and  $\frac{R}{G}$  the quotient hyperring of  $R$  by  $G$ . Then every subhyperfield of  $\frac{R}{G}$  is the quotient of a subfield of  $R$  by some subgroup of this subfield's multiplicative group.

PROOF. Let  $\frac{F}{G}$  be a subhyperfield of  $\frac{R}{G}$  and let  $uG$  be its unit. We observe that  $\frac{F}{G} = \frac{[[FG]]}{uG}$ . Now since  $\frac{[[FG]]}{uG}$  is a hyperfield, for every  $t \in [[FG]]$  there exists an element  $t' \in [[FG]]$  such that  $tG \cdot t'G = uG$ , and therefore there exist  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G$  such that  $(t\gamma)(t'\gamma') = e$ , where  $e$  is both the unit of  $G$  and the unit of  $[[FG]]$ . Thus  $\gamma t' \gamma'$  is the inverse element of  $t$  and therefore  $[[FG]]$  is a field.

### 3. NON QUOTIENT HYPERFIELDS.

We shall construct a class of hyperfields which contains hyperfields that are not isomorphic to quotient ones. For this purpose we consider a commutative multiplicative almost-group  $(H, \cdot)$  in which we introduce a hypercomposition  $+$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= \{x, y\} & \text{if } x, y \neq 0 \text{ and } x \neq y \\ x + x &= H \setminus \{x\} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and  $x + 0 = 0 + x = x$  for every  $x \in H$

Then:

PROPOSITION 2. The triplet  $(H, +, \cdot)$  is a hyperfield.

PROOF. At first one can notice that the opposite of  $x$  is  $x$  itself since  $0 \in x + x$ . Next it is obvious that the hypercomposition is commutative and that the associativity is valid when one of  $x, y, z$  is equal to 0. Now if  $x, y, z \neq 0$  and distinct, then we have:

$$x + (y + z) = x + \{y, z\} = (x + y)U(x + z) = \{x, y\}U\{x, z\} = \{x, y, z\}$$

A similar calculation shows  $(x + y) + z = \{x, y, z\}$ . Finally if two of  $x, y, z$  are equal to each other; for example  $x = y$ , we have:

$$x + (y + z) = x + (x + z) = x + \{x, z\} = (x + x)U(x + z) = [H \setminus \{x\}]U\{x, z\} = H$$

$$\text{and } (x + y) + z = (x + x) + z = [H \setminus \{x\}] + z \supseteq (z + z)U(w + z) = [H \setminus \{z\}]U\{w, z\} = H, \text{ with } w \neq z$$

Now as far as the axiom II.iv. is concerned we have:

(i) if  $y = x$  then  $x + y = x + x = H \setminus \{x\}$ . This means that for every  $z \in H \setminus \{x\}$  we must have  $x \in z + x$  which is in fact true.

(ii) If  $y \neq x$ , 0 then  $x + y = \{x, y\}$ , thus  $z = x$  or  $z = y$ . If  $z = x$  then  $x \in z + y = \{z, y\}$  and if  $z = y$  then  $x \in z + y = H \setminus \{y\}$ . So this axiom is valid as well.

Finally it is straight forward to verify the distributive axiom.

**THEOREM.** The class of the hyperfields constructed as above contains elements that do not belong to the class of quotient hyperfields.

**PROOF.** We choose an almost group  $(H, \cdot)$  with  $\text{card } H > 3$  and such that  $x^2 = 1$  for every  $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$ . Next we consider the hyperfield  $(H, +, \cdot)$  which is constructed according to the above method and we suppose that this hyperfield is isomorphic to a quotient hyperfield  $(\frac{F}{G}, +, \cdot)$ . Then for  $\frac{F}{G}$  the following must be valid:

(i)  $xG \cdot xG = G$  or  $x^2G = G$  for every  $x \in F$

(ii)  $G = -G$

(iii)  $G + G = (\frac{F}{G}) \setminus G$  from which  $G + G = F \setminus G$

Because of (i)  $G$  must contain all the squares of  $F$ . But if  $F$  is not of characteristic 2 then every element of  $F$  can be written as a difference of two squares:

$$x = \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^2 + (-1)\left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^2$$

So we have  $G - G = G + G = F$ . This contradicts (iii).

Now if the characteristic of  $F$  is 2 then the sum of two squares is always a square; thus  $G \subseteq G + G$ , which contradicts again (iii). So  $H$  cannot be isomorphic to any quotient hyperfield.

**REMARKS.** (a) This theorem gives something more, that is,  $H$  cannot be isomorphic even to a subhyperfield of a quotient hyperring.

(b) Two other classes of hyperfields completely different can be found in [7]. The problem of those hyperfields' isomorphism to quotient hyperfields is also discussed there but no final answer is given.

#### REFERENCES

1. KRASNER, M. Approximation des corps values complete de caractéristique  $p \neq 0$  par ceux de caractéristique 0. Colloque d'Algèbre Supérieure (Bruxelles, Décembre 1956), CBRM, Bruxelles 1957.
2. KRASNER, M. A class of hyperrings and hyperfields. *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* 6 (1983) 307-312.
3. MITTAS, J. : Sur les hyperanneaux et les hypercorps *Mathematica Balkanica*, t. 3. (1973) 368-382.
4. MITTAS, J. Hyperanneaux et certains de leur propriétés *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Serie A* t. 269 (1969) 623-626.
5. STRATIGOPOULOS, D. Hyperanneaux, hypercorps, hypermodules, hyperspaces vectoriels et leurs propriétés élémentaires, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Serie A*, t. 269 (1969) 489-492.
6. STRATIGOPOULOS, D. Hyperanneaux artiniens, centralisateur d'un hypermodule et théorème de densité, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Serie A*, t. 269 (1969) 889-891.
7. STRATIGOPOULOS, D. and MASSOUROS, Ch. G. : On a class of fields *Mathematica Balkanica*, t. 12.

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)