

WEAK GARDENS OF EDEN FOR 1-DIMENSIONAL TESSELLATION AUTOMATA

MICHAEL D. TAYLOR

Mathematics Department
University of Central Florida
Orlando, Florida

(Received July 16, 1984)

ABSTRACT: If T is the parallel map associated with a 1-dimensional tessellation automaton, then we say a configuration f is a weak Garden of Eden for T if f has no pre-image under T other than a shift of itself. Let $WG(T)$ = the set of weak Gardens of Eden for T and $G(T)$ = the set of Gardens of Eden (i.e., the set of configurations not in the range of T). Typically members of $WG(T) - G(T)$ satisfy an equation of the form $Tf = S^m f$ where S^m is the shift defined by $(S^m f)(j) = f(j+m)$. Subject to a mild restriction on m , the equation $Tf = S^m f$ always has a solution f , and all such solutions are periodic. We present a few other properties of weak Gardens of Eden and a characterization of $WG(T)$ for a class of parallel maps we call $(0, 1)$ -characteristic transformations in the case where there are at least three cell states.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Cellular automata, tessellation automata, Gardens of Eden, parallel maps.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 68D10

1. INTRODUCTION.

A Garden of Eden configuration for a tessellation automaton is one which has no predecessor under application of the local transition function; it must be a "given" configuration, hence the colorful name. By a weak Garden of Eden we mean a configuration which has no predecessor other than perhaps some shift of itself. To simplify matters, we will consider only 1-dimensional tessellation automata. We illustrate here a technique which can often be used to manufacture periodic weak Gardens of Eden for parallel maps. We also present a few simple results: For example, one-to-one parallel maps always have weak Gardens of Eden. Finally we consider $(0, 1)$ -characteristic parallel maps. A $(0, 1)$ -characteristic parallel map is defined in the following way: Let t be its local transition function. There must be a given word a such that for all words b having the same length as a we have

$$t(b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(We assume, of course, that 0, 1, a , and b are made up of symbols from our set of states for our tessellation automaton.) This set of characteristic parallel maps is ubiquitous in the sense that all other parallel maps can be constructed from combinations of them. We give a characterization of the Gardens of Eden of the $(0, 1)$ -characteristic parallel

maps and then a characterization of those weak Gardens of Eden which are not Garden of Eden for the same class of maps under the additional assumption that the cells of the tessellation automata have at least three possible states.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a fixed, finite set which we think of as an alphabet or set of states or "colors". We always assume 0 and 1 are members of A . By a (1-dimensional) configuration we mean a function $f: Z \rightarrow A$ where Z is the set of integers. Let C be the set of all configurations. By a string we mean a function $f: J \rightarrow A$ where J is an interval in Z . If $J = [j+1, j+m] = \{j+1, j+2, \dots, j+m\}$, then we say the string has length m ; we do not in general demand that strings have finite length. If g is a configuration, then the restriction of g to an interval in Z is called a string in g .

Note that we use the term string in a way which is not quite standard. Usually "string" is taken to be synonymous with "word", and by a word over A one means a finite sequence of elements of A . The differences between the two concepts are that strings may have infinite lengths and that one specifies where a string is in Z (i.e., one gives an interval in Z as its domain). We say more about this in section 4.

By a local map or local transition function we mean a function of the form $t: A^n \rightarrow A$ where A^n is the n -fold cartesian product of A with itself. We can then define $t: C^n \rightarrow C$ (note this is the same symbol t as in the last sentence but a different function) in pointwise fashion:

$$(t(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n))(j) = t(f_1(j), f_2(j), \dots, f_n(j))$$

where $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in C$ and $j \in Z$. If $\text{Map}(C, C) =$ the set of functions from C to C , then we can define yet a third t , this time

$$t: \text{Map}(C, C) \rightarrow \text{Map}(C, C)$$

by the standard pointwise extension technique:

$$(t(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n))(f) = t(T_1(f), T_2(f), \dots, T_n(f))$$

where $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in \text{Map}(C, C)$ and $f \in C$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $A = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and suppose we endow A with the algebraic structure of $Z/(p)$, the integers modulo p . Define $t: A^2 \rightarrow A$ by $t(x, y) = x + y$. Then for $f_1, f_2 \in C$ and $T_1, T_2 \in \text{Map}(C, C)$ we would write $t(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_2$ and $t(T_1, T_2) = T_1 + T_2$.

By a shift (or translation) S^p , where $p \in Z$, we mean the function $S^p: C \rightarrow C$ defined by $(S^p(f))(j) = f(j+p)$ where $f \in C$ and $j \in Z$. Now let $W = [j+1, j+n]$, a finite interval in Z . If $t: A^n \rightarrow A$ is a local map, then the parallel map (or global map or window-transformation or W-transformation) T with window W defined by t is the function $T: C \rightarrow C$ defined by $T = t(S^{j+1}, S^{j+2}, \dots, S^{j+n})$.

EXAMPLE 2. Using t and A from Example 1 and setting $W = \{2, 3\}$, we obtain $T = t(S^2, S^3) = S^2 + S^3$. Then for $f \in C$ and $i \in Z$ we have $(Tf)(i) = f(i+2) + f(i+3)$.

NOTE. In this example we wrote Tf instead of $T(f)$, and we will continue this convention throughout this paper.

For T a parallel map we say a configuration f is a Garden of Eden provided it is not in the range of T . Information about Gardens of Eden can be found in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], and [6]. We say f is a weak Garden of Eden of T provided that whenever

$Tg = f$, then g must be of the form $S^k f$ for some k . Let $G(T)$ = the set of Gardens of Eden of T and $WG(T)$ = the set of weak Gardens of Eden. We see that $G(T) \subset WG(T)$.

3. ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF WEAK GARDENS OF EDEN

PROPOSITION 1. If f is a weak Garden of Eden, but not a Garden of Eden for the parallel maps T , the $Tf = S^\ell f$ for some integer ℓ .

PROOF. Since f must be in the range of T , we can find g such that $Tg = f$. But $g = S^k f$ for some integer k . As parallel maps commute with shifts, we have $Tf = S^{-k} f$. \square

Suppose the parallel map T is defined by $T = t(S^{j+1}, \dots, S^{j+n})$ where t is a local map. We say t is permutive in the i th variable (or hyperactive in the i th variable) provided that whenever we chose $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ from A with the properties that $a_i \neq b_i$ but $a_k = b_k$ for $k \neq i$, then $t(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq t(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$.

We say T is permutive (or hyperactive) in the i th variable if and only if t is.

If J is an interval in Z and r an integer and f and g are functions from J and $J + r$ respectively into A , we say g is a copy of f provided that $g(j + r) = f(j)$ for every $j \in J$. (Note: $J + r = \{j + r : j \in J\}$.)

PROPOSITION 2. Let T be a parallel map with window W . For every integer $i \notin W$, the equation $Tf = S^i f$ has a solution f . Further, every solution is periodic.

PROOF. We may consider A to be $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ and endow it with the algebraic structure of $Z/(p)$. As pointed out before, this structure may be lifted in a pointwise fashion onto the configurations and then onto the set of maps of C into C . Then the equation $Tf = S^i f$ may be rewritten $(T - S^i)f = 0$ (the constant 0 configuration). Since $i \notin W$, it follows that $T - S^i$ is permutive in its first or last variable. The fact that there is a solution follows from Theorem 6.6 of [7] and the periodicity of f from Theorem 9.1 of [7] and the periodicity of the constant 0 configuration. \square

EXAMPLE 3. We illustrate how one may sometimes manufacture weak Gardens of Eden by using the ideas in Propositions 1 and 2. Let $A = \{0, 1\}$ (which we identify with $Z/(2)$) and set $T = S^1 \cdot (1 + S^0)$ (note that we are using both multiplication and addition mod 2). Our window is $W = [0, 1]$. Let us seek a weak Garden of Eden satisfying $Tf = S^3 f$. Such an f must satisfy $f(j+3) = f(j+1) \cdot (1 + f(j))$ for every integer j . We start with a "seed" string, for example 001, and use the recurrence relation on f to extend it indefinitely to the right. The result is 001010101... (The length of the "seed" one starts with is readily determined from the length of W and the distance of ℓ from W ; the choice of the "seed" string is a matter of experimentation.) We see from this that we might try the configuration f given by ... 01010101 It is easily checked that the only pre-image of f under T is a shift of f one unit to the right or left.

EXAMPLE 4. For any shift S^k , we have

$$G(S^k) = \emptyset \text{ and } WG(S^k) = C.$$

EXAMPLE 5. If $t : A \rightarrow A$ is a permutation of A and $T = t(S^k)$, then every f satisfying $Tf = S^i f$ for $i \neq k$ is a weak Garden of Eden. Such f 's are easily manufactured by starting with a "seed" string and appealing to $t(f(j + k)) = f(j + i)$.

EXAMPLE 6. We give an example of a weak Garden of Eden which is not a Garden of Eden and is not periodic. Let $A = \{0, 1\}$ and $T = (1 + S^0) \cdot (1 + S^1) \cdot S^2 \cdot (1 + S^3)$. Let f be a configuration containing an infinite number of 1's such that any two successive 1's are separated from one another by either two 0's or three 0's and f is not periodic.

A portion of f might well look like this

...1001000100100100100010001001

Because $(Tf)(j) = 1$ only when $f(j) = f(j+1) = f(j+3) = 0$ and $f(j+2) = 1$, it is easily checked that the only pre-image of f is $S^{-2}f$. That means that $Tf = S^2f$ so that $2 = \ell \in W = [0, 3]$ and Proposition 2 does not apply.

PROPOSITION 3. Every weak Garden of Eden has at most a single pre-image.

PROOF: Let $f \in WG(T) - G(T)$ and suppose $Tg = Th = f$. There must exist integers ℓ and m such that $g = S^\ell f$ and $h = S^m f$. Then $T(S^\ell f) = T(S^m f) = f$ so that $S^{-\ell} f = T(f) = S^{-m} f$. Hence $S^{m-\ell} f = f$. Therefore $h = S^m f = (S^\ell \circ S^{m-\ell})f = S^\ell f = g$. \square

EXAMPLE 7. Let $A = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and treat it as $Z/(p)$. Set $T = S^1 - S^0$. To say that $Tg = f$ is to say that $g(j+1) - g(j) = f(j)$ for every integer j . One can see from this that T is onto and that every f has exactly $|A|$ pre-images where $|A|$ = the cardinality of A . Then by Proposition 3, $WG(T) = \emptyset$.

We note also that information about parallel maps which are h-to-one can be found in [7], [8], and [9] and that if $h \geq 2$, then $WG(T) - G(T) = \emptyset$.

PROPOSITION 4. Every one-to-one parallel map has a weak Garden of Eden which is not a Garden of Eden.

PROOF: Let T be a one-to-one parallel map, and let ℓ be an integer not in T 's window. Then $Tf = S^\ell f$ has a solution f . This means $T(S^{-\ell} f) = f$. But f has a unique pre-image, so it must be the desired configuration. \square

PROPOSITION 5. If T and Q are parallel maps such that Q is one-to-one and we define the parallel map R by $R = Q^{-1} \circ T \circ Q$, then $Q(G(R)) = G(T)$ and $Q(WG(R) - G(R)) = WG(T) - G(T)$.

PROOF. Note that by [10] we know Q^{-1} must be a parallel map, and hence so is R . It is straightforward to show $Q(G(R)) = G(T)$, so we consider only the second half of the conclusion. Let $f \in WG(T) - G(T)$. We need only show that $Q^{-1}f \in WG(R) - G(R)$. Clearly $Q^{-1}f \notin G(R)$ since $f \notin G(T)$. Suppose g is a configuration such that $Rg = Q^{-1}f$. Then $T(Qg) = f$ and thus $Qg = S^\ell f$ for some integer ℓ . Hence $g = S^\ell(Q^{-1}f)$. Therefore

$$WG(T) - G(T) \subset Q(WG(R) - G(R))$$

Since $T = Q \circ R \circ Q^{-1}$, containment in the other direction also holds. \square

PROPOSITION 6. For any parallel maps T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n we have $WG(T_1) \cap \dots \cap WG(T_n) \subset WG(T_1 \circ \dots \circ T_n)$.

PROOF. Let $f \in WG(T_1) \cap \dots \cap WG(T_n)$ and suppose $(T_1 \circ \dots \circ T_n)g = f$. There is an integer ℓ_1 such that $(T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_n)g = S^{\ell_1} f$ or, equivalently, $(T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_n)(S^{-\ell_1} g) = f$. Clearly we can continue this process to produce an integer ℓ such that $g = S^\ell f$. \square

4. CHARACTERISTIC PARALLEL MAPS.

Let a and b be distinct elements of A and (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) a given ordered n -tuple in A^n . We call $t: A^n \rightarrow A$ the (a, b) -characteristic local map for (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) provided

$$t(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ & \text{and} \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We then call T an (a, b) -characteristic parallel map for (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) provided it is of the form $T = t(S^{j+1}, S^{j+2}, \dots, S^{j+n})$ for some integer j . We will consider only $(0, 1)$ -characteristic parallel maps and for brevity will refer to them as characteristic maps.

We note that all parallel maps can be built up from characteristic maps. Let $A = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and let us treat it as the integers mod p . Let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N be all the ordered n -tuples in A^n , and for each i let t_i be the characteristic local map for s_i . If $t: A^n \rightarrow A$ is a local map and $c_i = t(s_i)$ for every i , then $t = c_1 t_1 + \dots + c_N t_N$. Take a window $W = [j+1, j+n]$ in the integers and for each i let T_i be the characteristic map $t_i(s^{j+1}, s^{j+2}, \dots, s^{j+n})$. If T is the parallel map defined by t and having window W , then we can write $T = c_1 T_1 + \dots + c_N T_N$.

In this section we give characterizations of $G(T)$ and $WG(T) - G(T)$ for T a characteristic map. In the case of $WG(T) - G(T)$ we assume A contains at least three elements.

Before beginning we need some terminology.

Recall that by a word over A we mean an ordered sequence (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) of elements of A , but we use the symbolism $a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ for it. If $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ and $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$, two words over A , then we can concatenate them to produce a single word $ab = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$. We assume the existence of an empty word z such that $az = za = a$ for every word a . If $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ where the a_i 's are elements of A , then the length of a is $|a| = m$. If a, b , and c are words such that $a = bc$, we say b is a left factor of a ; if c is not the empty word, we say b is proper left factor of a . Right factors and proper right factors are defined in a similar fashion. If $c \in A$, then $c^1 = c$ and $c^{n+1} = c^n c$ where $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Clearly strings of finite length are almost the same thing as words. If we have a string $f: J \rightarrow A$ where $J = [j+1, j+m]$ and $f(j+i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then we say f is a copy of the word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$, and we even permit ourselves to write (abusing notation slightly) $f = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$. If h is a configuration and J an interval in \mathbb{Z} , then we say h has a copy of the word a at J provided $h|J$, considered as a string, is a copy of a .

It is also useful to define what we mean by successive occurrences of symbols and words in a configuration. Let f be a configuration. For an element b of A , we say that distinct integers i and j mark successive occurrences of b in f provided $f(i) = f(j) = b$ and also provided that for all k strictly between i and j we have $f(k) \neq b$. If a is a word over A , we say f contains successive occurrences of a at the intervals J and $r+J$, where $r > 0$, provided that $f|J$ and $f|(r+J)$ are copies of a and provided that for every s such that $0 < s < r$ we know $f|(s+J)$ is not a copy of a .

DEFINITION. Let a be a word over A . We define a set of natural numbers $O(a)$ thus: $r \in O(a)$ if and only if there exists a configuration f and an interval J such that f contains successive occurrences of a at J and $r+J$.

EXAMPLE 8. Let $A = \{0, 1\}$ and $a = 10101$. We can have a configuration in which we have "overlapping" occurrences of a like this,

$$\begin{array}{c} 10101 \\ 10101, \end{array}$$

so that we must have $2 \in O(a)$. If we try to set up successive "overlapping" occurrences thus,

$$\begin{array}{c} 10101 \\ 10101, \end{array}$$

we see we have introduced a third occurrence of a in this fashion,

$$\begin{array}{c} 10101 \\ 10101 \\ 10101, \end{array}$$

so that $4 \notin O(a)$. On the other hand, if we write $10101 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 10101$, then we see that by filling in the blanks with 1's we introduce no third occurrence of a . Therefore $6, 7, 8, \dots \in O(a)$. As a matter of fact $5 \in O(a)$ since 1010110101 contains exactly two occurrences of a . Hence $O(a) = \{2\} \cup [5, \infty)$.

LEMMA 2. Let A be a set containing 0 and 1, and let a be a given, nonempty word over A . If there exists a natural number m such that $a0^m a$ contains a third occurrence of a , then for every natural number n , the word $a1^n a$ contains no third occurrence of a .

PROOF. Suppose there are natural numbers m and n such that $a0^m a$ and $a1^n a$ each contain a third copy of a . We will produce a contradiction.

Note first that the third copy of a must contain symbols from 0^m and 1^n so that a cannot be a constant word.

There are only certain ways the third occurrences of a could lie in $a0^m a$ and $a1^n a$, and consideration of these ways gives rise to cases.

Case 1. Suppose $a = b0^\ell$ where b is a nonempty proper right factor of a and $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. We have $a = cb$ for some word c . If $|b| \leq \ell$, then $a = cb = b0^\ell$ implies b is a constant word made up of 0's and hence so is a . This is impossible, so $|b| > \ell$. Again from $a = cb = b0^\ell$ it follows that $b = b_10^\ell$ where b_1 is a proper right factor of b . Then we must have $a = cb_10^\ell = b_10^{2\ell}$. Note also that $|b_1| < |b|$. As we argued above, we may show that $|b_1| > \ell$ and hence that $a = cb_20^{2\ell} = b_20^{3\ell}$ where b_2 is a proper right factor of b_1 and $|b_2| > \ell$. Clearly we can construct an infinite sequence b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots such that b_{n+1} is a proper right factor of b_n and $|b_n| > |b_{n+1}| > \ell$ for every n . Contradiction.

We also see from this proof that we cannot have $a = b1^\ell$ where b is right factor of a and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, nor can we have $a = 0^\ell b$ or $a = 1^\ell b$ where b is a left factor of a and $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ or $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ respectively.

Case 2. We must be able to write $a = b_00^m c_0 = b_11^n c_1$ where b_0 and b_1 are nonempty proper right factors of a and c_0 and c_1 are nonempty proper left factors of a . We may, without loss of generality, suppose that $|b_0| \leq |b_1|$.

We first show that for some natural number k we have $a = (b_00^m)^k c$ where c is a left factor of b_00^m . We know $a = c_0 d$ for some word d . Suppose we can construct a sequence of words c'_0, c'_1, \dots, c'_j such that c'_{i+1} is a proper right factor of c'_i for $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ and $a = (b_00^m)^{j+1} c'_j = (b_00^m)^j c'_j d$. (Certainly this is true for $j = 0$ with $c'_0 = c_0$.) Suppose $|c'_j| \leq |b_00^m|$. From $(b_00^m)^{j+1} c'_j = (b_00^m)^j c'_j d$ we see that c'_j must be a left factor of b_00^m . If we set $c = c'_j$, we see from $a = (b_00^m)^{j+1} c'_j$ that we are done. Suppose on the other hand that $|c'_j| > |b_00^m|$. From $(b_00^m)^{j+1} c'_j = (b_00^m)^j c'_j d$ we see that $c'_j = b_00^m c'_{j+1}$, which makes c'_{j+1} a proper right factor of c'_j , and we must have $a = (b_00^m)^{j+2} c'_{j+1} = (b_00^m)^{j+1} c'_{j+1} d$. As the lengths of the c'_i 's are decreasing, we must ultimately be able to find one which we can use as c .

We know from the fact that $a = (b_00^m)^k c = b_11^n c_1$, where c is a left factor of b_00^m , that we can write b_0 in the form $b_0 = e1^n f$. Since $|b_0| \leq |b_1|$ and $(b_00^m)^k c = b_11^n c_1$,

it follows there must be a j such that $b_1 = (b_0 0^m)^j e = (b_0 0^m)^{j-1} b_0 0^m e = (b_0 0^m)^{j-1} e l^n f 0^m e$. It follows from this and the fact that b_1 is a right factor of a that $f 0^m e$ must be a right factor of a . Recall that $b_0 = e l^n f$ is also a right factor of a . Thus one of the two words $f 0^m e$ and $e l^n f$ must be a right factor of the other. Suppose $f 0^m e$ is a right factor of $e l^n f$ and for every word x let $Z(x)$ = the number of 0's in x . Then $Z(f 0^m e) = Z(f) + m + Z(e) \leq Z(e l^n f) = Z(e) + Z(f)$. This is impossible. A similar argument based on counting the number of 1's in a word disposes of the possibility that $e l^n f$ is a right factor of $f 0^m e$. \square

This lemma gives us information about $O(a)$; it tells us that as long as A has at least two elements, at least one of those elements, say 1, can be inserted between two copies of a as often as we wish without inadvertently producing a third copy of a , and hence $|a| + n \in O(a)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. This in turn says that when computing $O(a)$, we need check only $1, 2, \dots, |a|$.

PROPOSITION 7. If A contains at least two elements and a is a given, nonempty word over A , then the interval $[|a| + 1, \infty)$ in Z is contained in $O(a)$.

This result gives significance to the following characterization of $\text{ran}(T)$ and $G(T)$ when T is a characteristic parallel map:

PROPOSITION 8. Let T be a characteristic map for (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) . We identify (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) with the word $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$. Then $f \in \text{ran}(T)$ if and only if

- (1) f takes on only the values 0 and 1, and
- (2) if i and j mark successive occurrences of 1 in f with $i < j$, then $j - i \in O(a)$.

Then $G(T)$ is of course the set of configurations which fail to satisfy (1) or (2).

PROOF. It is trivial that members of $\text{ran}(T)$ satisfy (1) and (2). Suppose f satisfies (1) and (2) and W is the window for T . We begin construction of a configuration g by placing a copy of a on every interval $k + W$ for which $f(k) = 1$. If two such intervals $k_1 + W$ and $k_2 + W$ happen to overlap, we know from the definition of $O(a)$ that we shall be able to construct both copies simultaneously. If there are intervals between the copies of a where g has been assigned no value, we know from Lemma 2 a constant value can be assigned there which will not produce any extra copies of a word a . In this way we can construct g in such a way that g has a copy of a at $k + W$ if and only if $f(k) = 1$, and thus $Tg = f$. \square

PROPOSITION 9. Suppose A has at least three elements and T is a characteristic map for the word a over A . Then $f \in \text{WG}(T) - G(T)$ if and only if

- (1) $Tf = S^v f$ for some integer v ,
- (2) f is not the constant 0 configuration,
- (3) f has neither a first nor a last integer at which it takes on the value 1, and
- (4) if i and j mark successive occurrences of 1 in f , then $|i - j| \leq |a|$.

PROOF. Let W = the window for T and assume $0, 1, 2 \in A$.

Suppose $f \in \text{WG}(T) - G(T)$. Proposition 1 implies (1). By Lemma 2 we may, without loss of generality, assume the word $a l^n a$ contains no third occurrence of a for every natural number n . This implies the constant configuration g of value 1 contains no copies of a , and hence $Tg = 0$ (the constant configuration of value 0). Now if we examine the proof of Lemma 2, we find the only property of 0 and 1 used there was the fact that they are distinct; we could just as easily have used 0 and 2. We deduce from this

that there can be at most one element of A , say 0 , such that a word of the form $a0^m$, where m is a natural number, can have a third occurrence of a . So we can, without loss of generality, also assume that for every natural number n the word $a2^n a$ contains no third occurrence of a . Thus the constant configuration of value 2 , h , satisfies $Th = 0$. Since the constant zero configuration has two pre-images, it cannot be a weak Garden of Eden, so (2) holds. For the rest of this first half of the proof we continue to assume every $a1^n a$ and $a2^n a$ contains no third occurrence of a . Suppose j is the last integer at which $f(j) = 1$. Let $g = S^{-j}f$ where $Tf = S^j f$; this means $Tg = f$. We must have a copy of a at $j + W$ in g . Suppose r is the largest integer in $j + W$. Define

$$g_1(i) = \begin{cases} g(i) & \text{if } i \leq r \\ 1 & \text{if } r+1 \leq i \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(i) = \begin{cases} g(i) & \text{if } i \leq r \\ 2 & \text{if } r+1 \leq i. \end{cases}$$

Then g_1 and g_2 have copies of a at $k + W$ if and only if g does, so $Tg_1 = Tg_2 = Tg = f$. So f must have distinct pre-images, an impossibility, and we conclude there is no last integer at which f takes on the value 1 . Similarly there can be no such first integer. Hence (3) holds. Let us continue with g as defined above and suppose integers i and j mark successive occurrences of 1 in f where $j > i$ and $j - i > |a|$. This means g has copies of a at $i + W$ and $j + W$ and also that between these two intervals there is an interval J which overlaps no copy of a . By changing the values of g on J first to 1 and then to 2 , we can construct two different pre-images for f . Since this is impossible, (4) holds.

Now suppose f is a configuration satisfying (1) - (4). We know from (1) that $S^{-j}f$ is a pre-image of f under T . Suppose $Tg = f$. For every j such that $f(j) = 1$, we must have a copy of a at $j + W$ in g . Taking this fact in conjunction with (2) - (4), we see that g is covered by overlapping copies of a and hence is uniquely determined; thus $g = S^{-j}f$. So $f \in \text{WG}(T) = G(T)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was partially supported by a grant from the Division of Sponsored Research, The University of Central Florida, in the summer of 1983.

REFERENCES

1. AMOROSO, S. and COOPER, G. The Garden-of-Eden Theorem for Finite Configurations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26**, 158-164 (1970).
2. AMOROSO, S., COOPER G. and PATT, Y. Some Clarifications of the Concept of a Garden-of-Eden Configuration, J. Comput. System Sci. **10**, 77-82 (1975).
3. MOORE, E.F. Machine Models of Self-reproduction, in Essays on Cellular Automata (A.W. Burks, Ed.) 187-203, Univ. of Illinois Press, Urbana, Illinois, 1970.
4. MYHILL, J. The Converse of Moore's Garden-of-Eden Theorem, in Essays on Cellular Automata (A.W. Burks, Ed.), 204-205, University of Illinois Press, Urbana, Illinois, 1970.
5. SMITH, A.R. Introduction to and Survey of Polyautomata Theory, in Automata, Languages, Development (A Lindenmayer, G. Rozenberg, Ed.) 405-424, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
6. SKYUM, S. Confusion in the Garden of Eden, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **50**, 332-336 (1975).
7. HEDLUND, G.A. Endomorphisms and Automorphisms of the Shift Dynamical System, Math. Systems Theory **3**, 320-375 (1969).

8. NASU, M. Local Maps Inducing Surjective Global Maps of One-dimensional Tessellation Automata, Math Systems Theory 11, 327-351 (1978).
9. NASU, M. An Interconnection of Local Maps Inducing onto Global Maps, Discrete Applied Math. 2, 125-150 (1980).
10. RICHARDSON, D. Tessellations with Local Transformations, J. of Computer and Sys. Sci. 6, 373-388 (1972).
11. AMOROSO S. and PATT, Y. Decision Procedure for Surjectivity and Injectivity of Parallel Maps for Tessellation Structures, J. Comput. System Sci. 6, 448-464 (1972).

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru