

## AN EDGEWORTH EXPANSION FOR A SUM OF M-DEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES

WAN SOO RHEE

Faculty of Management Sciences  
The Ohio State University  
Columbus, Ohio 43210

(Received February 20, 1985)

**ABSTRACT.** Given a sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  of  $m$ -dependent random variables with moments of order  $3+\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), we give an Edgeworth expansion of the distribution of  $S_0^{-1}$  ( $S = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $\sigma^2 = ES^2$ ) under the assumption that  $E[\exp(itS_0^{-1})]$  is small away from the origin. The result is of the best possible order.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** *Edgeworth Expansion,  $m$ -dependent, Berry-Esseen bound, Central Limit Theorem.*

**1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** *P60F05, S60G50*

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

A sequence of random variables (r.v.)  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is said to be  $m$ -dependent if for each  $i \leq j \leq n-m-1$  the two sequences  $(X_i)_{i \leq j}$  and  $(X_i)_{i > j+m}$  are independent. Let  $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $\sigma^2 = ES^2$ . A Berry-Esseen bound of the exact order for the distribution of  $S_0^{-1}$  has been obtained by V.V. Shergin [1] under the assumption of existence of moments of order  $2+\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ).

The purpose of this work is to establish an Edgeworth expansion for the distribution of  $S_0^{-1}$ , under the assumption of the existence of moments of order  $3+\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ). Provided that the characteristic function of  $E\exp(itS_0^{-1})$  is small away from the origin, this bound is of the best possible order ( $O(n^{-(1+\alpha)/2})$  in the stationary case). The result is stated and discussed in section 2, section 3 outlines the proof and section 4 contains the various estimates needed.

## 2. MAIN RESULT AND DISCUSSION.

Let  $\Phi(t)$  and  $\Psi(t)$  be the distribution and density function respectively of a standard normal random variable. Let  $\mu = E(S^3)\sigma^{-3}$ ,

$$L = (m+1)^2 \sigma^{-3} \sum_{j \leq n} E|X_j|^3, \quad M = (m+1)^{2+\alpha} \sigma^{-3-\alpha} \sum_{j \leq n} E|X_j|^{3+\alpha},$$

$$N = \sum_{|j-k| \leq 12m} \sigma^{-5} E|X_j|^3 E(X_k^2)$$

THEOREM 1. There exists an universal constant  $K$  such that if we set

$$A = M + L^2 + N \log^{3/2} L^{-1} + \exp -(MK)^{-1} + L \exp -(LK)^{-2}$$

and

$$\delta = \sup \{ |E \exp(itS\sigma^{-1})|, (KL)^{-1} < |t| < A^{-1} \}$$

then

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P(S\sigma^{-1} \leq t) - \Phi(t) - \mu^3/6 (1-t^2)\Psi(t)| \leq K(A+\delta A^{-1}).$$

To see what is the order of  $A$ , let us specialize to the case when we have a stationary sequence  $(X_j)$  such that  $\sigma_n^2 = ES_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . In this case  $\sigma_n >$

$\beta\sqrt{n}$ , where  $\beta$  is some constant, so we get  $M$  of the order  $n^{-(1+\alpha)/2}$ ,  $L$  of the order  $n^{-1/2}$ , and  $N$  of the order  $n^{-5/2}$ . So, in  $A$ , the main term is  $M$  (or the main terms are  $L^2$  and  $M$  if  $\alpha = 1$ ). So, provided  $\delta$  is small enough the bound given by theorem A is of order  $n^{-(1+\alpha)/2}$ , the best possible order.

Theorem A gives a first order expansion, but it is clear that the same type of methods will apply for higher order expansion, though the computation becomes rather complex.

## 3. METHODS.

We first suppose  $m=1$ . We will use the following estimate, proved by the author in [2], using ideas of V.V. Shergin:

LEMMA 1. There exists a universal constant  $K_2$ , such that if  $S$  is a sum of  $m$ -dependent random variables then for  $K_2|t|L < 1$ , we have

$$|E \exp(itS\sigma^{-1})| \leq (1+K_2|t|) \max\{ \exp(-t^2/80), (K_2|t|L)^{-1/4} \log L \}. \quad (3.1)$$

We shall use Esseen smoothing inequality,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P(S\sigma^{-1} \leq t) - \Phi(t) - \mu/6 (1-t^2)\Psi(t)| \leq 24A + \int_{-A^{-1}}^{A^{-1}} J(t) dt, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$J(t) = |E \exp(itS\sigma^{-1}) - \exp(-t^2/2)(1-i\mu^3 t^3/6\sigma^3)|. \quad (3.3)$$

The integral for  $(K_2 L e^{20})^{-1} \leq |t| < A^{-1}$  is bounded by

$$2\delta A^{-1} + K_3 |\mu| \sigma^{-3} \exp(-(K_3 L)^{-2})$$

for some constant  $K_3$ . Let  $T_0 = \inf(20 \log^{1/2} L^{-1}, M^{-1/2})$ .

For  $T_0 \leq |t| \leq (\kappa_2 L e^{20})^{-1}$ , we have  $(K_2 |t| L)^{-1/4} \log L \leq L^5$ , so it follows easily that the integral is bounded by  $K_3 (L^2 + \exp\{-(MK_3)^{-1}\})$ .

To study  $J(t)$  for  $|t| \leq T_0$ , let  $f(t) = E \exp(itS_0^{-1})$ .

In order to simplify notations, we set  $U = S_0^{-1}$  and  $Y_i = X_i S_0^{-1}$ . We have

$f'(t) = i E U \exp(itU)$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $1 \leq l \leq 6$ , let

$U_{j,l} = \sum_{k-j > l} Y_k$  and let  $U_{j,0} = U$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= i E \left( \sum_{j \leq n} Y_j \exp(itU) \right) \\ &= i E \left\{ \sum_{j \leq n} Y_j \exp(itU_{j,1}) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \leq n} Y_j (\exp(it(U - U_{j,1})) - 1) \exp(itU_{j,2}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \leq n} Y_j (\exp(it(U - U_{j,1})) - 1) (\exp(it(U_{j,1} - U_{j,2})) - 1) \exp(itU_{j,3}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=2,3,4} \sum_{j \leq n} Y_j \prod_{0 \leq l \leq r} (\exp(it(U_{j,l} - U_{j,l+1})) - 1) \exp(itU_{j,r+1}) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \leq n} Y_j \prod_{0 \leq l \leq 5} (\exp(it(U_{j,l} - U_{j,l+1})) - 1) \exp(itU_{j,6}) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Except for the last term, the last exponential in each term is independent of the first part of the term. The first term has expectation zero. For the second and third, we expand the expectation of the first part, then replace  $E(\exp(itU_{j,k}))$ , ( $k=2,3$ ), by  $E(\exp(itU))$ , modulo a perturbation. We use several time the estimate (3.1) for these computations. The last two terms are bounded more directly. The result is a relation of the type

$$f'(t) = (-t/2 - i\mu t^3/6 + R(t))f(t) + H(t)$$

where  $R(t)$  and  $H(t)$  are small. Integration of this relation yield the needed estimate for  $f(t)$ .

The method just described has been used in the stationary case by A.N. Tikhomirov [3]. It does not seem possible to extend his method directly to the general case. However, the estimate (3.1) made this possible. It should be noted that the method used to obtain (3.1) does not seem to extend to establish theorem A.4. ESTIMATES.

We shall use the fact that for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\exp(ix) - 1| \leq |x|^\alpha$ ,

$$|\exp(ix) - 1 - ix| \leq |x|^{1+\alpha}, \quad |\exp(ix) - 1 - ix + x^2/2| \leq |x|^{2+\alpha}.$$

Let  $a_j = i E(Y_j \exp(it(U - U_{j,1})) - 1)$ . Then the above formula give

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= -t E(Y_j (U - U_{j,1})) - (it^2/2) E(Y_j (U - U_{j,1})^2) \\ &\quad + t^{2+\alpha} R_j^1(t) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $|R_j^1(t)| \leq E|Y_j (U - U_{j,1})|^{2+\alpha}$ . Let

$$b_j = i E(Y_j (\exp(it(U - U_{j,1})) - 1) (\exp(it(U_{j,1} - U_{j,2})) - 1)).$$

Then

$$b_j = -it^2 E(Y_j(U-U_{j,1})(U_{j,1}-U_{j,2})) + |t|^{2+\alpha} R_j^2(t) \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$|R_j^2(t)| \leq E(|Y_j| |U-U_{j,1}| |U-U_{j,2}| (|U-U_{j,1}|^\alpha + |U-U_{j,2}|^\alpha)).$$

To prove theorem 4, we can as well assume  $L \leq 10^{-3}$  for otherwise by taking  $K \geq 10^6$ , the inequality will be automatically satisfied. Then, for each  $j$ , we have

$$E|Y_j|^2 \leq (E|Y_j|^3)^{2/3} \leq L^{2/3} \leq 10^{-2}.$$

From 1-dependence, for  $j \leq n$ ,  $l \leq 4$ , we have

$$E U_{j,l}^2 = E U^2 - \sum_{|k-j| \leq l} E Y_k^2 - \sum_{k=j-l-1}^{j+l} E Y_k Y_{k+1}.$$

(this assumes  $l+1 \leq j \leq n-l-1$ ; the proof of the estimate below is similar in the other cases). So finally  $E U_{j,l}^2 \geq 1/2$ . By using (3.1), where  $t$  is changed in  $tE U_{j,l}^2$ , we get that for  $8K_2|t|L < 1$ , we have

$$\text{for each } j \text{ and } l \leq 4, |E \exp(itU_{j,l})| \leq a(t) \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$a(t) = (1+K_2|t|) \max\{\exp(-t^2/320), (8K_2tL)^{-1/4} \log L\}. \quad (4.4)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(itU) - \exp(itU_{j,2}) &= (\exp(it(U-U_{j,2}))-1)\exp(itU_{j,3}) \\ &+ (\exp(it(U-U_{j,2}))-1)(\exp(it(U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}))-1)(\exp(itU_{j,4})) \\ &+ (\exp(it(U-U_{j,2}))-1)(\exp(it(U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}))-1) \\ &\quad (\exp(it(U_{j,3}-U_{j,4}))-1)(\exp(itU_{j,5})) \end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|E(\exp(itU) - \exp(itU_{j,2}))| \\ &\leq t^2 a(t) \{E(U-U_{j,2})^2 + E|U-U_{j,2}| |U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}|\} \\ &\quad + t^3 E|U-U_{j,2}| |U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}| |U_{j,3}-U_{j,4}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

It should be noted that if one uses at this point the cruder estimate  $|E \exp(itU_{j,3})| \leq 1$ , the order of the bound obtained in the stationary case drops from  $O(1/n)$  to  $O(\log^{1/2} n/n)$ . In a similar but simpler way, we get

$$|E(\exp(itU) - \exp(itU_{j,3}))| \leq t^2 \{E(U-U_{j,3})^2 + E|U-U_{j,3}| |U_{j,3}-U_{j,4}|\}.$$

The expectation of the term in (3.4) obtained for  $r=2$  is bounded by

$$H_1(t) = t^{3+\alpha} a(t) E|Y_j(U-U_{j,1})(U_{j,1}-U_{j,2})| |U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}|^\alpha.$$

The expectation of the terms obtained for  $r=3$  and  $4$  is similarly bounded by  $2H_1(t)$  and  $4H_1(t)$  respectively using the fact that  $|\exp(itZ)-1| \leq 2$  for all  $Z$ . Finally the expectation of the last term is bounded by

$$2|t|^5 E|Y_j(U-U_{j,1})(U_{j,1}-U_{j,2})| E|U_{j,3}-U_{j,4}| |U_{j,4}-U_{j,5}|.$$

It remains to combine these estimates. By 1-dependence, we have

$$\sum_j E Y_j(U-U_{j,1}) = \sum_j E Y_j U = E U^2 = 1$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j (E Y_j(U-U_{j,1})^2 + 2E Y_j(U-U_{j,1})(U_{j,1}-U_{j,2})) \\ &= \sum_j E Y_j U^2 = E U^3 = \mu. \end{aligned}$$

So, from (3.4) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= (-t - i\mu t^2/2 + t^3 R(t))f(t) \\ &\quad + (1+t^4)a(t)H_2(t) + (1+t^5)H_3(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\text{where } |R(t)| \leq \sum_j R_j^1(t) + R_j^2(t),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } |H_2(t)| &\leq \sum_j E |Y_j(U-U_{j,2})| E((U-U_{j,2})^2 + |U-U_{j,2}| |U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}|) \\ &\quad + 7 \sum_j E |Y_j(U-U_{j,1})(U_{j,1}-U_{j,2})| |U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}|^\alpha \\ H_3(t) &\leq \sum_j E |Y_j(U-U_{j,1})| E |U-U_{j,2}| |U_{j,2}-U_{j,3}| |U_{j,3}-U_{j,4}| \\ &\quad + \sum_j E |Y_j(U-U_{j,1})(U-U_{j,2})| E((U-U_{j,3})^2 + |U-U_{j,3}| |U_{j,3}-U_{j,4}|) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_j E |Y_j(U-U_{j,1})(U_{j,1}-U_{j,2})| E |U_{j,3}-U_{j,4}| |U_{j,4}-U_{j,5}|. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (4.3) and the  $c_r$ -inequality of [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j R_j^1(t) &\leq \sum_j E |Y_j| |U-U_{j,1}|^{2+\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_j (E |Y_j|^{3+\alpha})^{1/(3+\alpha)} (E |U-U_{j,1}|^{3+\alpha})^{1/(3+\alpha)} \\ &\leq (\sum_j E |Y_j|^{3+\alpha})^{1/(3+\alpha)} (\sum_j E |U-U_{j,1}|^{3+\alpha})^{1/(3+\alpha)} \\ &\leq K_4 M. \end{aligned}$$

Similar computations give

$$|R(t)| \leq K_5 M, \quad |H_2(t)| \leq K_5 M, \quad |H_3(t)| \leq K_5 N.$$

Let  $H(t) = t^3 a(t)H_2(t) + (1+t^5)H_4(t)$ . We now assume  $t \geq 0$ , the case  $t \leq 0$  is similar.

From (4.6), we get  $f(t) = G(t)f_1(t)$  where

$$f_1(t) = \exp(-t^2/2 - i\mu t^3/6 + \int_0^t u^3 R(u) du)$$

$$\text{and } G(t) = 1 + \int_0^t H(u) \exp(u^2/2 + i\mu u^3/6 - \int_0^u s^3 R(s) ds) du.$$

So we have  $f(t) = f_1(t) + f_2(t)$ , where

$$f_2(t) = \int_0^t H(u) \exp(-t^2/2 + u^2/2 + \int_0^t s^3 R(s) ds) du. \quad (4.7)$$

We have  $2M^{1/2}T_0 \leq 1$ . So for  $t \leq T_0$ , we have

$$\left| \int_u^t s^3 R(s) ds \right| \leq (t^2 - u^2)(t^2 + u^2) K_5 M/4 \leq t^2/4 - u^2/4.$$

Hence

$$|f_2(t)| \leq \int_0^t H(u) \exp(-t^2/4 + u^2/4) du. \quad (4.8)$$

We can also assume that  $L$  is small enough so that  $80K_2L \log^{1/2} L^{-1} \leq e^{-8}$  for otherwise by taking  $K$  large enough, theorem A will be automatically satisfied. But for  $|t| \leq T_0 = 20 \log^{1/2} L^{-1}$  we have  $\exp(-t^2/320) \geq L^{400/320}$  and  $(8K_2|t|L)^{-1/4} \log L \leq L^{-2}$ , so we have  $a(t) \leq (1+K_2|t|)\exp(-t^2/320)$ . It follows then easily from (4.8) that

$$|f_2(t)| \leq K_6 \{M(1+t^2)e^{-t^2/320} + (1+t^4)N\} \quad (4.9)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_1(t) - \exp(-t^2/2)(1+it^3/6)| \\ & \leq \exp(-t^2/2) |\{\exp(it^3/6) - 1 - it^3/6\}| \\ & + |\exp(-t^2/2 + it^3/6)(\exp(K_5 t^4 M) - 1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t \leq T_0$  and, as already used, we can then suppose  $K_5 t^2 M \leq t^2/4$ .

We get, using the fact that  $|e^{-a} - e^{-b}| \leq |b-a|\exp(-\inf(a,b))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_1(t) - \exp(-t^2/2)(1+it^3/6)| \\ & \leq \exp(-t^2/4)(eK_5 t^4 M + \mu^2 t^6). \end{aligned} \quad (4-10)$$

We have  $\mu = EU^3 = \sum_{i,j,k \leq n} EY_i Y_j Y_k$ . Considering that  $EY_j = 0$  for each  $j$ , and that the variables are 1-dependent,  $EY_i Y_j Y_k$  is zero unless there is an  $\ell$  with  $i, j, k \in \{\ell, \ell+1, \ell+2\}$ . It follows easily that  $EU^3 \leq K_7 \sum E|Y_i|^3 \leq K_7 L$ . Estimation of  $\int_{-T_0}^{T_0} J(t) dt$  using (4.9) and (4.10) gives the result in the case of 1-dependence.

We reduce the case of  $m$ -dependence to 1-dependence by using the standard blocking argument. If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is a  $m$ -dependent sequence, for  $j \leq [n/m]$ , we set

$$Z_j = \sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} X_i$$

and we set for  $jm < n$ ,

$$Z_{n,m+1} = \sum_{i=jm+1}^m X_i.$$

The  $(Z_j)$  are 1-dependent; we apply the bound of theorem A to the  $Z_j$ , then compute the moments of the  $Z_j$  in function of the moments of the  $X_i$  using the  $c_r$ -inequality.

## REFERENCES

- [1] SHERGIN, V.V. On the convergence rate in the central limit theorem for m-dependent random variables, Theor. Prob. appl. XXIV (1979), 782-796.
- [2] RHEE, W. On the characteristic function of a sum of m-dependent random variables, to appear.
- [3] Tikhomirov, A.N. On the convergence rate in the central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables, Theor. Prob. appl. XXV (1980), 790-809.
- [4] LOEVE, M. Probability theory, 3rd edition, VanNostrand, Princeton (1963).
- [5] FELLER, W. An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. 2, Wiley, New York (1971).

## Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

### Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Lean Yu**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [yulean@amss.ac.cn](mailto:yulean@amss.ac.cn)

**Shouyang Wang**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; [sywang@amss.ac.cn](mailto:sywang@amss.ac.cn)

**K. K. Lai**, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk)