A GENERALIZED MEIJER TRANSFORMATION

G. L. N. RAO

Department of Mathematics
Jamshedpur Co-operative College of the
Ranchi University
Jamshedpur, India

and

L. DEBNATH

Department of Mathematics
Univresity of Central Florida
Orlando, Florida 32816, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT. In a series of papers [1-6], Kratzel studies a generalized version of the classical Meijer transformation with the Kernel function $(st)^{\nu} \eta (q, \nu + 1; (st)^{q})$. This transformation is referred to as GM transformation which reduces to the classical Meijer transform when q = 1. He also discussed a second generalization of the Meijer transform invoiving the Kernel function $\lambda_{\nu}^{(n)}(x)$ which reduces to the Meijer function when n = 2 and the Laplace transform when n = 1. This is called the Meijer-Laplace (or ML) transformation. This paper is concerned with a study of both GM and ML transforms in the distributional sense. Several properties of these transformations including inversion, uniqueness, and analyticity are discussed in some detail.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Distributional GM and ML transforms, Meijer Transform.

1980 AMS MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 46F12, 44A20.

1. INTRODUCTION.

   In Zemanian's book [7, p170] the Meijer transformation is defined by means of the integral

$$K_{\nu} [f(t)] = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} (st)^{\nu/2} K_{\nu} (2\sqrt{st}) f(t) \, dt, \qquad (1.1)$$

where $K_{\nu} (z)$ is the modified Bessel function of third kind of order ν, and has the integral representation [7, p148]

$$K_{\nu}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} \int_{1}^{\infty} (t^{2} - 1)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-zt} \, dt, \qquad (1.2)$$

for Re $\nu > -\frac{1}{2}$, Re z > 0.

   An alternative form of (1.2) is

$$K_{\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\nu-1} e^{-t - \frac{z^2}{4t}} \, dt \qquad (1.3)$$

Kratzel [1, p149] has introduced a generalization of the Meijer trnasformation in the form

$$F(s) = K_\nu^{(q)} \{f(t)\} = \int_0^\infty (st)^\nu \, n(q, s + 1; (st)^q) \, f(t) \, dt, \qquad (1.4)$$

where $q \geq 1$ and $|\arg s| < \frac{\pi}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{q})$.

In his other paper [3, p143], Kratzel considered an integral representation of $n(\rho, \beta; z)$ in the form

$$n(\rho, \beta; z) = \int_0^\infty t^{-\beta} \, e^{-t - zt^{-\rho}} \, dt \qquad (1.5)$$

where $\rho > o$ and $|\text{arc } z| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. When $\rho = 1$, $\beta = \nu + 1$,

$$n(1, \nu + 1; \frac{z^2}{4}) = 2(\frac{z^2}{2})^{\nu/2} K_\nu(z) \qquad (1.6)$$

Result (1.4) reduces to (1.1) when $q = 1$.

Also, Kratzel introduced a second generalization of the Meijer transformation ([1, p148], [2, p328], [3, p 369], [4, p 383] and [5, p105]) in the form

$$F(s) = L_\nu^{(n)} \{f(t)\} = \int_0^\infty \lambda_\nu^{(n)} \{n(st)^{1/n}\} \, f(t) \, dt \qquad (1.7)$$

where $\text{Re } \nu > \frac{1}{n} - 1$, $\text{Re } \{n(st)\frac{1}{n}\} > 0$ and the Kernel $\lambda_\nu^{(n)}(z)$ is given by

$$\lambda_\nu^{(n)}(z) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{n} \, (\frac{z}{n})}{\Gamma(\nu + 1 - \frac{1}{n})} \int_1^\infty (t^n - 1)^{\nu - \frac{1}{n}} e^{-zt} \, dt, \qquad (1.8)$$

with $\text{Re } \nu > \frac{1}{n} - 1$, $\text{Re } z > 0$ and $n = 1,2,3\ldots..$

It is noted that (1.7) reduces to (1.1) when $n = 2$, and to the Laplace transform when $n = 1$. Also, (1.7) is a special case of a more general transformation studied by Dimovski [8, p23; 9, p141; 10, p156].

The purpose of this paper is to study both (1.4) and (1.7) in the distributional sense and establish theorems concerning complex inversion, uniqueness and analyticity.

2. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS.

we use the notation and the terminology similar to those of Kratzel [1 - 3] and Zemanian [7, pp170-200]. The following differential operators will be needed for this study:

$$S_{\nu,q}^k \phi(t) = [t^{\nu-q+1} D_t\{t^{q-\nu} D_t^q \phi(t)\}]^k, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\phi(t)$ is a complex smooth function.

$$M_{\nu,n}[\lambda_\nu^{(n)}(t)] = t^{\nu n} D_t^{n-1} [t^{1-n\nu} D_t \lambda_\nu^{(n)}(t)], n = 1, 2,\ldots., \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\lambda_\nu^{(n)}(t)$ is defined in (1.8).

The operators (2.1) and (2.2) will be used to investigate (1.4) and (1.7) respectively.

3. FUNCTION SPACE $K_{\nu,a}$ AND ITS DUAL.

        We define the following seminorms on certain complex smooth functions $\phi(t)$
(Zemanian [7, p176]):

$$\gamma_{\nu,a}^{k} (\phi) = \underset{o < t < \infty}{\text{Sup}} \left| e^{at} \, t^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \, S_{\nu,q}^{k} \, \phi(t) \right| \qquad (3.1)$$

where a is a real number, ν is a complex number with Re $\nu > o$.

    We next define $K_{\nu,a}$ as the linear space of all functions $\phi(t)$ on $o < t < \infty$ for
which the seminorms $\gamma_{\nu,a}^{k}$ exist for each k = 0, 1, 2,...... Each $\gamma_{\nu,a}^{k}$ is a seminorm on
$K_{\nu,a}$ which is complete and hence a Frechet space. We note that D(I) is subspace of
$K_{\nu,a}$. The differential operator $S_{\nu,q}^{k}$ is a continuous linear mapping of $K_{\nu,a}$ into it-
self [7, p171]. It is noted that the differential operator is slightly different from
that used in the book [7].

    LEMMA 3.1: If

$$\phi(z) = z^{\nu} \, \eta \, (q, \, \nu + 1; \, z^{q}) \qquad (3.2)$$

where Re $\nu > o$ and $q \geq 1$, $\left| \arg z \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{q})$, then $\phi(st) \, \varepsilon \, K_{\nu,a}$ for every t in (o,∞)
and for every fixed nonzero s.

PROOF: We have from (3.1)

$$\gamma_{\nu,a}^{k} \phi(st) = \underset{o < t < \infty}{\text{Sup}} \left| e^{at} \, t^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \, S_{\nu,q}^{k} \, \phi \, (st) \right|, \text{ Re } \nu > 0.$$

Making reference to [1, p153], we use the fact

$$S_{\nu,q}^{k} \, \phi(st) = (-1)^{k(q+1)} \, s^{k} \, \phi(st) \qquad (3.3)$$

combined with the asymptotic property of $\phi(t)$ [1, p 153] as t → 0. We prove that, as
t → 0, the seminorms $\gamma_{\nu,a}^{k} \, \phi(st)$ are finite for $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$ and for every fixed $s \neq 0$. Also,
as t → ∞, it can be shown that $\gamma_{\nu,a}^{k} \, \phi$ are finite for a < 0 which follows from the
asymptotic property of the function η [1, p 149].

DEFINITION 1: The distributional generalized Meijer transform of f(t) is defined by

$$F(s) = K_{\nu,a}^{(q)} \, f(t) = <f(t), \, (st)^{q} \, \eta \, (q, \, \nu + 1; \, (st)^{q}) >, \qquad (3.4)$$

for every s in $\Omega_{f} = \{s; \, s \neq 0, \, \left| \arg s \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{q}| \text{ and } q \geq 1\}$, where < f, ϕ > represents
the number assigned to some ϕ in a testing function space by a member of the dual space.

    In short, we call it as the distributional GM - transform of f.

    Since by Lemma 3.1, $\phi(st) \, \varepsilon \, K_{\nu,a}$ for every fixed nonzero s, and for $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$;
definition (3.4) has a sense as the application of $f(t) \, \varepsilon \, K_{\nu,a}'$ to $\phi(st) \, \varepsilon \, K_{\nu,a}$ where
a is any negative real number and $K_{\nu,a}'$ is the dual space of $K_{\nu,a}$.

DEFINITION 2: A distribution f is called a GM-transformable distribution if $f \, \varepsilon \, K_{\nu,a}'$
for some real number a.

NOTE: Lemma 3.1 is not true for (i) Re $\nu = 0$, $\nu \neq 0$; (ii) $\nu = 0$; and (iii) Re $\nu < 0$.

4. ANALYTICITY OF F (s)

    The analyticity of F(s) can be expressed in the following theorem:

THEOREM 4.1: If

$$F(s) = < f(t), \, (st)^{q} \, \eta \, (q, \, \nu + 1; \, (st)^{q}) > \qquad (4.1)$$

for s $\varepsilon \, \Omega_{f}$, then F(s) is analytic on Ω_{f}; and

$$D_{s} \, F(s) = < f(t), \, D_{s} \, (st)^{q} \, \eta \, (q, \, \nu + 1; \, (st)^{q}) > \qquad (4.2)$$

PROOF: A fairly standard procedure can be used to prove this theorem. However, we state some initial steps for the proof.

$$\frac{F(s + \Delta s) - F(s)}{\Delta s} - <f(t), D_s(st)^q \eta(q, \nu + 1; (st)^q)> = <f(t), \Psi_{\Delta s}(t)>$$

$$(4.3)$$

where

$$\Psi_{\Delta s}(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta s}[(st + \Delta st)^\nu \eta(q, \nu + 1; (st + \Delta st)^q - (st)^q \eta(q, \nu + 1; (st)^q)]$$

$$(4.4)$$

We use the series expansion of η from [6, p 142] as

$$\eta(q, \alpha; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}(1 - \alpha - nq)(-z)^n + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}(\frac{\alpha - 1 - m}{q})(-1)^m z^{\frac{m-\alpha+1}{q}} \quad (4.5)$$

and then asymptotic behavior of η as given in [6, p 142]. After some calculation, it can be shown that

$$D_s(st)^q \eta(q, \nu + 1; (st)^q) \epsilon K_{\nu, a} \quad (4.6)$$

so that (4.2) and (4.3) have a sense. We next follow the arguments given in [7, pp 185-186] combined with the use of Cauchy's integral formula to complete the proof of the theorem.

5. FUNCTION SPACE $G_{\nu, a}$ AND ITS DUAL

We now define $G_{\nu, a}$ as the linear space of all complex-valued smooth functions $\phi(t)$ on $o < t < \infty$. The topology of this space is generated by a set of seminorms $\sigma_{\nu, a, n}^n$ as

$$\sigma_{\nu, a, n}^n \lambda_\nu^{(n)}(t) = \sup_{o < t < \infty} |e^{at} t^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} M_{\nu, n}^n \lambda_\nu^{(n)}(t)|, \quad (5.1)$$

where $M_{\nu, n}^n$ is the differential operator defined by (2.2). It is noted that (5.1) exists. We denote the dual space of $G_{\nu, a}$ by $G_{\nu, a}'$.

LEMMA 5.1: If

$$\phi(st) = \lambda_\nu^{(n)}\{\nu(st)^{\frac{1}{n}}\} \quad (5.2)$$

for Re $\nu > o$, then $\phi(st) \epsilon G_{\nu, a}$ for t in (o, ∞) and for every fixed s such that $s \neq o$ provided $\nu > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$.

PROOF: It follows from [3, p 371] that

$$M_{\nu, n}^k \lambda^{(n)}(z) = z^n \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}}[z^{1-n\nu} \frac{d}{dz} \lambda_\nu^{(n)}(z)] = (-1)^n z \lambda_\nu^{(n)}(z), \quad (k=o).$$

Using the following asymptotic property of $\lambda_\nu^{(n)}(z)$ given in [3, p 371] in the form

$$\lambda_\nu^{(n)}(z) = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{r}{n}) + 0(1) \text{ as } z \to o, \text{ Re } \nu > o, \quad (5.3)$$

we obtain

$$\sigma_{\nu, n, a}^n \lambda_\nu \{n(st)^{1/n}\} = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} |e^{at} t^{\nu - 1} n(st)^{1/n} \lambda_\nu^{(n)}\{n(st)^{1/n}\}|$$

which are finite for each $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ as $t \to o$ if

$$\sup_{o < t < \infty} |e^{at} t^{\nu - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}} n s^{\frac{1}{n}} \lambda_\nu^{(n)}\{n(st)^{1/n}\}|$$

are finite provided $\nu > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$.

We next consider the case for $t \to \infty$. For $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z = n(st)^{1/n}$, we use equation (7) of [3, p 372] to obtain

$$e^{at} \; t^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}} \; n \; s^{\frac{1}{n}} \; \lambda_\nu^{(n)} \{n(st)^{1/n}\}$$

$$= e^{at} \; t^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}} \; n \; s^{\frac{1}{n}} \; (2n)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \; n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \; \{(st)^{1/n}\} \; ^{\nu(n-1)+\frac{1}{n}-1}$$

$$\times e^{-n(st)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \{1 + 0 \; (\frac{1}{n(st)^{1/n}})\}, \; t \to \infty, \; s \neq o$$

This expression is asymptotically equal to

$$e^{at} \; n^{\frac{1}{2}} \; s^{\frac{1}{n}} \; t^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}} \; (2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \; s^{\{(n-1)\; \nu + \frac{1}{n} - 1\}\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$\times t^{\frac{1}{n} \{(n-1) \; \nu + \frac{1}{n} - 1\}} \; e^{-n(st)^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \; s \neq o$$

which is finite if a < o.

REMARK: Even if we take a more general differential operator (that is, of a greater order, say k) it must involve terms $\exp[-n(st)^{1/n}]$ asymptotically as $t \to \infty$, which tends to zero as $t \to \infty$.

DEFINITION 3: A distribution f(t) is called an M-L transformable distribution if f(t) $\varepsilon \; G_{\nu,a}'$ for some real number a and Re $\nu > \frac{1}{n} - 1$.

DEFINITION 4: The M-L transform of a M-L transformable distribution g $\varepsilon \; G_{\nu,a}'$ is defined by

$$G(s) = < g(t), \; \lambda_\nu^{(n)} \{n(st)^{\frac{1}{n}}\} > \tag{5.4}$$

where s $\varepsilon \; \Omega_f' = \{s, \text{ Re } s > o; \; -\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg s < \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ which is given in [3, p 372].

6. COMPLEX INVERSION THEOREM FOR THE TRANSFORM (1.4).

Kratzel [1, p 151] proved an inversion theorem for (1, p 151) in the classical sense.

In order to discuss a complex inversion theorem, we need the Wright function $\Phi(q, \alpha; z)$ defined in [11] in the form

$$\Phi(q, \alpha; z) = \sum_{n=o}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n! \; \Gamma(qn + \alpha)} \tag{6.1}$$

This reduces to Bessel function for q = 1, that is,

$$\Phi(1, \nu + 1; -\frac{z^2}{4}) = (\frac{z}{2})^{-\nu} \; J_\nu(z) \tag{6.2}$$

One of the properties of the Wright function [1, p 151] can be expressed as

$$K_\nu^{(n)}\{\Phi \; (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{\nu + 1}{q}; \; t)\} = \frac{1}{q} \; \frac{1}{s-1} \tag{6.3}$$

which is needed in proving the following theorem:

THEOREM 6.1: If

(i) G(s) is holomorphic in Ω where

$$\Omega = \{s; \text{ Re } s^{\frac{q}{q+1}} \geq c, \; |\arg s| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \; (1 + \frac{1}{q}), \; q \geq 1, \tag{6.4}$$

$$(ii) \; g(t) = \frac{q}{2\pi i} \int_L \sigma^{-\nu} \; G(\sigma) \; \Phi \; (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{\nu+1}{q}; \; \sigma(t)) \; d\sigma, \tag{6.5}$$

where the path of integration L is given by

$$L: \text{ Re } s^{q/q+1} = c, \; |\arg s| \to \frac{\pi}{2} \; (1 + \frac{1}{q}) \text{ as } s \to \infty;$$

then

$$G(s) = K_\nu^{(q)} \{g(t)\} \tag{6.6}$$

In other words, we prove that, for any $\Phi(s) \in D$ (I) in the sense of convergence in $D'(I)$:

$$< K_\nu^{(q)} \{g(t)\}, \ \phi(s) > \ = \ < G(s), \ \Phi(s) > \tag{6.7}$$

where $K_\nu^{(q)}$ is given by (1.4)

PROOF: In view of condition (ii) of the theorem, the left hand side of (6.7) can be written as

$$< \ < \frac{q}{2\pi i} \int_L \ \sigma^{-\nu} \ G(\sigma) \ \Phi(\frac{1}{q}, \ \frac{\nu+1}{q}; \ \sigma(t)) \ d\sigma, \ (st)^\nu \ \eta \ (q, \ \nu + 1; \ (st)^q >, \ \Phi(s) >$$

$$= \ < \frac{q}{2\pi i} \int_L \ [\ \int_0^\infty \ (\frac{st}{\sigma})^\nu \eta \ (q, \ \nu + 1; \ (st)^q \ \Phi \ (\frac{1}{q}, \ \frac{\nu+1}{q}; \ \sigma(t)) \ dt \ G \ (\sigma) \ d\sigma], \ \Phi(s) >$$

$$= \ I \ \text{(say)}$$

In view of (6.3), this expression yields

$$I = \ < \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \ \frac{G(\sigma)}{s-\sigma} \ d\sigma, \ \Phi(s) > \tag{6.8}$$

which is equal to, using a relation in [1, p 152]

$$= \ < G(s), \ \Phi(s) >$$

This completes the proof.

We shall give here a weaker version of a uniqueness theorem.

THEOREM 6.2: If

$$F(s) = K_\nu^{(q)} \ f(t) \ \text{on} \ \Omega_f$$

$$G(s) = K_\nu^{(q)} \ g(t) \ \text{on} \ \Omega_g$$

and

$$F(s) = G(s) \ \text{on} \ \Omega_f \cap \Omega_g,$$

then $f(t) = g(t)$ in the sense of equality in $D'(I)$.

PROOF: By Inversion Theorem (6.1), we have

$$F(s) - G(s) = K_\nu^{(q)} \ [f(t)] - K_\nu^{(q)} \ [g(t)]$$

$$= K_\nu^{(q)} \ [f(t) - g(t)] = 0 \ \text{in} \ \Omega_f \cap \Omega_g.$$

This implies that $f(t) = g(t)$ in $\Omega_f \cap \Omega_g$ in the sense of equality in $D'(I)$.

7. CLOSING REMARKS: A transform more general than (1.4) and (1.7) was introduced by Oberchkoff in 1958. A modified version of that transform was studied by Dimorski [9 - 10] who proved both real and complex inversion theorems. We would like to discuss some of these thoerems in the sense of distribution in a subsequent paper.

REFERENCES

1. KRATZEL, E. Integral Transformation of Bessel-type, <u>Proceedings of international</u> <u>Conference on Generalized Functions and Operational Calculus</u>, <u>Varna</u>, (1975) 148-155.

2. KRATZEL, E., Bemerkunger Zur Meijer-Transformation und Anwendungen, <u>Math</u> <u>Nachr</u>. <u>30</u> (1965) 327-334.

3. KRATZEL, E., Eine Verallgemeinerung der Laplace - und Meijer - Transformation, <u>Wiss</u>. <u>Z</u>. <u>Univ</u>. <u>Jena</u>. <u>Math</u> - <u>Naturw</u> <u>Reihe</u>, <u>Heft</u> <u>5</u> (1965) 369-381.

4. KRATZEL, E. Die Faltung der L-Transformation, <u>Wiss</u>. <u>Z</u>. <u>Univ</u>. <u>Jena</u>, <u>Math</u> - <u>Naturw</u>. <u>Reihe</u>, <u>Heft</u> <u>5</u> (1965) 383-390.

5. KRATZEL, E. Differentiations Satze der L-Transformation und Differential gleichungen nach dem operator,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \, [t^{\frac{1}{n} - \nu} \, (t^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \, \frac{d}{dt})^{n-1} \, t^{\nu+1 - \frac{2}{n}}],$$

   <u>Math</u> <u>Nachr</u> <u>35</u> (1967) 105-114.

6. KRATZEL, E. and MENZER, H., Verallgereinerte Hankel - Functionen, <u>Pub</u>. <u>Math</u>. <u>Debrecen</u>, <u>18</u>, Fasc 1-4 (1971) 139-147.

7. ZEMANIAN, A. H. <u>Generalized Integral Transformation</u>, <u>Interscience</u>, New york (1968).

8. DIMOVSKI, I. H. On a Bessel-Type Integral Transformation due to Obrechkoff, <u>Compt</u>. <u>Rend</u>. <u>Acad</u>. <u>Bulg</u>. <u>Sci</u>. <u>27</u> (1974) 23 -26.

9. DIMOVSKI, I. H. A Transform Approach to Operational Calculus for the General Bessel-type Differential Operator, <u>Compt</u>. <u>Rend</u>. <u>Acad</u>. <u>Bulg</u>. <u>Sci</u>. <u>27</u> (1974) 155-158.

10. DIMOVSKI, I. H. On an Integral Transformation due to Obrechkoff, <u>Proc</u>. <u>of the</u> <u>Conference on Analytic Functions</u>, Kozubnik, Lecture Notes, 798 (1979) 141-147, Springer Verlag.

11. WRIGHT, E.M. The Asymptotic Expansion of Generalized Bessel Function, <u>Proc</u>. <u>Lond</u>. <u>Math</u>. <u>Soc</u>. <u>2</u> (1934) 257-270.

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk