A TRACE THEOREM FOR CALORIC FUNCTIONS

H.N. MHASKAR

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
California State University
Los Angeles, California 90032 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT. Given a solution of the heat equation in an open strip, we state necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a boundary function in a given weighted Banach space. We then investigate the relationship between the smoothness of this boundary function and the growth of the solution of the heat equation.

1. INTRODUCTION.

An interesting problem in classical analysis can be described as follows. We are given a sufficiently nice function on an open domain and we wish to extend it to the boundary of the domain while preserving continuity in some sense. When this is possible, we also wish to investigate the relationship between the smoothness of the boundary function and the growth of the function on the domain. For example, we have the following well known theorems in the theory of H^p spaces:

THEOREM 1. ([1], p. 33) Let f be a complex valued harmonic function in the open unit disc $\{z : |z| < 1\}$ and set

$$
M_p(r,f) := \begin{cases} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p \, dt \right]^{1/p} & ; \ 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 2\Pi} |f(re^{it})| & ; \ p = \infty \ . \end{cases} \tag{1.1}
$$

(a) If $1 < p \leq \infty$, then f is the Poisson integral of a function in L^p on the unit circle if and only if

$$
\sup_{0<r<1} M_p(r,f) < \infty \ . \tag{1.2}
$$

(b) For p = 1, f is the Poisson integral of a complex Baire measure on the unit circle if and only if

$$
\sup_{0<r<1} M_1(r,f) < \infty \ . \tag{1.3}
$$

The following theorem of Hardy and Littlewood relates the smoothness of the boundary function with the growth of the function on the disc.

THEOREM 2.   ([2], p. 78) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, f be analytic in the open unit disc and(1.2)hold.
(Then f is the Poisson integral of a 2Π-periodic function g in $L^P[-\Pi, \Pi].$)   The follow-
ing are equivalent:

$$\sup_{0 < |h| \leq t} \int_0^{2\Pi} |g(\Theta + h) - g(\Theta)|^P \, d\Theta = \sigma(t^{\alpha p}) \tag{1.4}$$

$$M_p(r, f^1) = \alpha(1 - r)^{\alpha - 1} \tag{1.5}$$

Here, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

It is well known that caloric functions (i.e. the solutions of the heat equation)
share quite a few properties with analytic functions. (e.g [3], [4]).   In 1954,
Czipszer [5] obtained an analogue of Theorem 1(b) for caloric functions defined on the
strip R x (0,c) where the boundary measure is not necessarily finite itself, but a
certain weight function is integrable with respect to the measure.   In my dissertation
[6], it is demonstrated how the proof of Czipszer can be modified to yield an analogue
of Theorem 1(a), not just for L^P spaces but even for more general weighted rearrangement
invariant Banach spaces.

In this paper, we wish to report a somewhat more interesting part of our work in
[6], namely, an analogue of Theorem 2.   Unlike the case of analytic functions, the first
order modulus of continuity does not seem to be an adequate measurement of the smoothness
of the boundary function.   Introducing a second order modulus of continuity, we shall
obtain a relationship between the growth of the caloric functions and the smoothness of
its boundary values.

2.   MAIN RESULTS.

Let X be a rearrangement invariant Banach function space.   We assume the following:

(A)   X is isometrically isomorphic to the normed dual of its associate space.

(B)   If f is in X, then

$$\lim_{h \to 0} || \ f(x+h) - f(x) \ || = 0 \ . \tag{2.1}$$

Both the conditions are satisfied for L^P spaces if $1 < p < \infty$.   In general, our theorems
will not be true for $p = 1, \infty$.   With slight modifications, it is possible to obtain the
versions valid for the space $C_0(R)$.   A survey of some of the important properties of
the rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces can be found in [7], [8].

We say that a function u is caloric on $S_c := R \times (0,c)$ if

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \ ; \ (x,t) \ \epsilon \ S_c \ . \tag{2.2}$$

For a function u caloric on S_c, we define the following analogue of the norms M_p defined
in (1.1):

$$N(u,c;t) := N_X(u,c;t) := (c - t)^{\frac{1}{2}} || \ w(c-t, x) \ u(x,t)||, \ 0 < t < c \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$w(h,x) := \exp (- x^2/4h) \tag{2.4}$$

In [6], we proved the following analogue of Theorem 1.1(a), the analogue of
Theorem 1.1(b) being given in [5].

The following are equivalent:

(a) u is a caloric function on S_c and for each $h \in (0,c)$,

$$\sup_{0 < t < h} N(u,h;t) < \infty \quad .$$

(2.5)

(b) There exists a function f such that $w(h,x)f(x) \in X$ for each $h \in (0,c)$ and

$$u(x,t) = H(f,x,t)$$

(2.6)

where the operator H is defined by

$$H(f,xt,t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int w(t,x-y)f(y)dy \quad .$$

(2.7)

In (2.7) and in all the other formulae of the paper, all integrals are taken over the whole real line unless otherwise specified.

We note that the boundary function f itself is not necessarily in X. Because of the presence of the weight functions $w(h,x)$, we need to modify the expression for the usual second order modulus of continuity to measure the smoothness of f. In [9], Freud introduced a certain modification which was found to be completely satisfactory for the study of weighted polynomial approximation of such functions. This new modulus is defined as follows. Let $h > 0$. With $F(x) := w(h,x)f(x)$, put

$$\omega_2(h,f,\delta) := \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} ||F(x+2t) - 2F(x+t) + F(x)||$$
$$+ \delta \sup || \zeta_\delta(x) [f(x+t) - F(x)]|| + \delta^2 ||\zeta_\delta^2(x)F(x)||$$

(2.8)

where

$$\zeta_\delta(x) := \min \{\delta^{-1}, (1+x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \quad .$$

(2.9)

Then the modulus of smoothness of f is given by

$$\Omega_2(h,f,\delta) := \inf_{a,b \in R} \omega_2(h,f(x) - a - bx, \delta) \quad .$$

(2.10)

This modulus measures not just the smoothness of f but also the growth of f near ∞. In [10] we proved

THEOREM 2. Let $h > 0$, $\delta > 0$, $w(h,x)f(x) \in X$. Then there exist constants c_1, c_2 depending upon h and X alone such that

$$c_1 K(h,f,\delta) \leq \Omega_2(h,f,\delta) \leq c_2 K(h,f,\delta)$$

(2.11)

where the K-functional $K(h,f,\delta)$ is given by

$$K(h,f,\delta) := \inf \{||w(h,x)f_1(x)|| + \delta^2||w(h,x)f_2''(x)||\}$$

(2.12)

the inf being taken over all f_1 and f_2 such that $f = f_1 + f_2$, $w(h,x)f_1(x) \in X$, and f_2 is a twice iterated integral of a function $f_2'' \in X$.

We can now state our main theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let $c > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

(a) Suppose for each $h \in (0,c)$, $w(h,x)f(x) \in X$ and

$$\Omega_2(h,f,\delta) = \sigma(\delta^\alpha) \quad .$$

(2.13)

Define u by (2.6). Then u is a caloric function on S_c satisfying (2.5) and further,

$$N(\frac{\delta u}{\delta t}, h; t) = \sigma(t^{\alpha/2 - 1}) \quad \underline{\text{for each}} \ h \in (0,c) \ \underline{\text{and}} \ \underline{t} \in (0,h) \quad .$$

(2.14)

(b) Let u be a caloric function satisfying (2.5) and (2.14) for each h ε (0,c) Then there exists a function f such that for every h ε (0,c), w(h,x)f(x)εX and further, (2.6) and (2.13) hold.

3. PROOFS.

We adopt the following notation. A << B means that there exists a constant c independent of the obvious variables such that A ≤ cB. A∿B means that A << B and B << A. Thus, in Theorem 2.2,

$$K(h,f,\delta) \sim \Omega_2 (h,f,\delta) .$$ (3.1)

If s > 0, I(s) will denote the indicator function of X; thus,

$$I(s) := \sup_{||f|| = 1} || f(st) || .$$ (3.2)

It is known [7] that

$$I(s) \leq \max (1,s^{-1}) .$$ (3.3)

Further, for convenience, we shall often write w_h instead of w(h,·).

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3 .

(a) We shall prove that 0 < t < h < h_1 < c, then

$$N(\frac{\delta u}{\delta t}, h, t) << t^{-1}\Omega_2(h_1, f; \sqrt{t}) .$$ (3.4)

We use Theorem 2.2. Let f = g + f_2 where $w_h g \epsilon$ X, f_2 is a twice iterated integral of a locally integrable function f_2'' with $w_h f_2'' \epsilon$ X. Then

$$u(x,t) = v(x,t) + u_2(x,t)$$ (3.5)

where $v(x,t) := H(g,x,t)$; $u_2(x,t) := H(f_2, x,t)$. Note that

$$\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2} = H(f_2'', x,t) .$$ (3.6)

Hence, in view of the easily verified identity

$$\frac{(X - y)^2}{t} - \frac{y^2}{h} = \frac{h - t}{th} \left(y - \frac{xh}{h-t}\right) - \frac{x^2}{h - t}$$ (3.7)

$$\left|\left| \exp \left(- \frac{x^2}{4(h-t)}\right) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} \right|\right|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{h}{h - t}} \left|\left| H \left(\exp \left(- \frac{y^2}{4h}\right) f_2''(y), \frac{xh}{h-t} , \frac{ht}{h-t}\right)\right|\right|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{h}{h-t}} \left|\left| \exp \left(- \frac{y^2}{4h}\right) f_2''(y) \right|\right| .$$ (3.8)

Thus,

$$N \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} , h,t\right) << || w_h f_2''|| \leq t^{-1}t|| w_{h_1} f''_2|| .$$ (3.9)

Part (a) will be proved if we now show that

$$N(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} , h,t) << t^{-1}|| w_{h_1} f_1|| .$$ (3.10)

Let $0<t<h<h_1<c$.   From

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int \exp\left(- \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}\right) g(y)dy$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int \left[\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t^2} - \frac{1}{2t}\right] \exp\left(\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}\right) g(y)dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_R \left\{\frac{1}{4t^2}\left[\frac{(h-t)^2}{h^2}\left(y - \frac{h}{h-t} x\right)^2 + 2\frac{(h-t)t}{h^2}y(y- \frac{h}{h-t} x) + \frac{t^2}{c^2} y^2\right]\right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{2t}\right\} \exp\left[- \frac{h-t}{4ht}\left(y- \frac{h}{h-t} x\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{4(h-t)}\right] \exp\left(- \frac{y^2}{4h}\right)g(y)dy \quad . \qquad (3.11)$$

We shall estimate each term in (3.11) separately.

$$\left|\left|\int_R \left(y - \frac{h}{h-t} x\right)^2 \exp\left[- \frac{h-t}{4ht}\left(y - \frac{h}{h-t} x\right)^2\right] w_h(y)g(y)dy\right|\right|$$

$$\leq I\left(\frac{h}{h-t}\right) \int_R y^2 \exp\left(- \frac{h-t}{4ht} y^2\right) dy \cdot || w_h g||$$

$$<< \left(\frac{4ht}{h-t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} || w_{h_1} g|| \qquad (3.12)$$

$$\left|\left|\int_R y(y - \frac{h}{h-t} x) \exp\left[- \frac{h-t}{4ht}\left(y - \frac{h}{h-t} x\right)^2\right] w_h(y)g(y)dy \right|\right|$$

$$<< I\left(\frac{h}{h-t}\right) \int_R \exp\left(- \frac{h-t}{4ht} y^2\right) dy || y^2 g(y)w_h(y)||$$

$$<< \frac{4ht}{h-t} || w_{h_1} g|| \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\left|\left|\int_R y^2 \exp\left[- \frac{h-t}{4ht} (y - \frac{h}{h-t} x)^2\right] g(y)w_h(y)dy\right|\right|$$

$$\leq I\left(\frac{h}{h-t}\right) \int_R \exp\left(- \frac{h-t}{4ht} y^2\right) dy \cdot || y^2 g(y)w_h(y)||$$

$$<< \left(\frac{4ht}{h-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||w_{h_1} g|| \qquad (3.14)$$

$$||\int_R \exp\left\{-\frac{h-t}{4ht}\left(y-\frac{h}{h-t}x^2\right)\right\}\ w_h(y)g(y)dy||$$

$$\leq I\left(\frac{h}{h-t}\right)\int_R \exp\left(-\frac{h-t}{4ht}y^2\right)dy\ ||w_h g|| \ll \left(\frac{4ht}{h-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}||w_{h_1}g||\ . \qquad (3.15)$$

Inequality (3.10) follows from (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15). This completes the proof of part (a).

(b)   Choose d small enough so that we can choose $0 < h_1 < h - 2d < c$.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N}||\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4h_1}\right)\left[u(x,\frac{d}{2^{n+1}})-u(x,\frac{d}{2^n})\right]\ ||$$

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{N}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4h_1}\right)\int_{d/2^{n+1}}^{d/2^n}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t)dt\ ||$$

$$\leq\sum_{n=0}^{N}||_{d/2^{n+1}}\int^{d/2^n}\exp-\frac{x^2}{4(h-t)}\ |\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t)|\ dt\ ||$$

$$\ll\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{d}{2^{n+1}}\quad\sup_{\frac{d}{2^{n+1}}\leq t\leq\frac{d}{2^n}}\ ||\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4(h-t)}\right)\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\ ||$$

$$\ll\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{d^{\alpha/2}}{2^{n\alpha/2}}\ . \qquad (3.16)$$

Thus,

$$||\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4h_1}\right)\left[u(x,\frac{d}{2^{N+1}})-u(x,d)\right]=\sigma(d^{\alpha/2}) \qquad (3.17)$$

and the series

$$\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4h_1}\right)\left\{u,(x,d)+\sum_{n=0}\left[u(x,\frac{d}{2^{n+1}})-u(x,\frac{d}{2^n})\right]\right\} \qquad (3.18)$$

converges in X to say $\exp(-x^2/4h_1)f(x)$.
A standard argument then shows that

$$u(x,t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\int_R w_t(x-y)f(y)dy \qquad (3.19)$$

for all $0 < t < h_1$ and hence for all (x,t) in S_c. Since a subsequence of (3.18) can be chosen to converge almost everywhere, f is not dependent upon h_1 as it might appear to be. Further, from (3.17)

$$||w_{h_1}(x)[f(x)-u(x,d)]||\ll d^{\alpha/2}\ . \qquad (3.20)$$

Also,

$$||w_{h_1}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(x,d)||\leq||\exp-\frac{x^2}{4(h-d)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(x,d)||\ll d^{\alpha/2-1}\ . \qquad (3.21)$$

The proof of part (b) is now complete in view of (3.20), (3.21) and Theorem 2.2. □

REFERENCES

1. HOFFMAN, K. Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.

2. DUREN, P.L. Theory of H^p spaces, Academic Press, N.Y., 1970.

3. DOOB, J.L. A probability approach to heat equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 80 (1955), 216-280.

4. HARTMAN, P. and WINTNER, A. On the solution of the equation of heat conduction, Amer. Jour. of Math., 72 (1950), 359-395.

5. CZIPSZER, J. Hovezetes Vegetelen Rudban, I, Magyar Tudomanyos Akademia Alkalmazolt Matematikai Intezetenek Kolzlemenyei, 3, (1954), 395-405.

6. MHASKAR, H.N. Weighted polynomial approximation on the whole real line and related topics, Ph.D. dissertation, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 1980.

7. BENNETT, C. Banach function spaces and interpolation methods, I (The abstract theory), Jour. of Funct. Anal., 17 (1974), 409-440.

8. ZAANEN, A.C. Integration, North Holland Publishers, Amsterdam, 1967.

9. FREUD, G. On the direct and converse theorems in the theory of weighted polynomial approximation, Math. Zeit., 126 (1972), 123-134.

10. FREUD, G. and MHASKAR, H.N. K-functionals and moduli of continuity in weighted polynomial approximation, Arkiv for Mat., 21 (1983), 145-161.

Special Issue on
Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods**: artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields**: asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management

- **Implementation aspects**: decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk