

TWO LARGE SUBSETS OF A FUNCTIONAL SPACE

F.S. CATER

Department of Mathematics
Portland State University
Portland, Oregon 97207 U.S.A.

(Received May 29, 1984)

ABSTRACT. Let P_1 denote the Banach space composed of all bounded derivatives f of everywhere differentiable functions on $[0,1]$ such that the set of points where f vanishes is dense in $[0,1]$. Let D_0 consist of those functions in P_1 that are unsigned on every interval, and let D_1 consist of those functions in P_1 that vanish on dense subsets of measure zero. Then D_0 and D_1 are dense G_δ -subsets of P_1 with void interior. Neither D_0 nor D_1 is a subset of the other.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Banach space of functions, derivative dense G_δ -subset.
1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 26A24

1. INTRODUCTION.

The real vector space D of all bounded derivatives of everywhere differentiable functions on $[0,1]$ is a Banach space [1] under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)|.$$

(At the endpoints 0 and 1 we require that the one sided derivatives exist.) Tibor Salat essentially proved [1] that the set

$$D_0 = \{f \in D: f \text{ is unsigned on any interval}\}$$

is a nowhere dense subset of D . To do this, he observed that

$$P_1 = \{f \in D: f = 0 \text{ on a dense subset of } [0,1]\}$$

is a nowhere dense Banach subspace of D and $D_0 \subset P_1$. Since P_1 is a Banach space in its own right, it is natural to study D_0 as a subset of P_1 . Put

$$D_1 = \{f \in P_1: f \neq 0 \text{ almost everywhere on } [0,1]\}.$$

In this note, we prove that D_0 and D_1 are "large" subsets of the Banach space P_1 .

THEOREM 1. D_0 is a dense G_δ -set in P_1 with void interior.

THEOREM 2. D_1 is a dense G_δ -set in P_1 with void interior.

Now put $E = \{f \in P_1 : f \text{ is not almost everywhere discontinuous}\}.$

If $f \in P_1$, then f must vanish at every point where f is continuous, and $E \subset P_1 \setminus D_1$. We obtain from Theorem 2, a result of Clifford Weil [2].

COROLLARY 1 (C. Weil). E is a first category subset of P_1 .

Clifford Weil [3] proved most of Theorem 1. Finally, we show that neither of the sets D_0 or D_1 is a subset of the other, and we prove an analogue of Theorem 2 for spaces of nonnegative derivatives. (I take this opportunity to thank the referee for simplifying many of my arguments.)

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof that D_0 is a dense G_δ -set in P_1 is essentially given in [3], so we leave it.

It remains to prove that D_0 has void interior. Let $f \in P_1$ and $\epsilon > 0$. It is easy to find an interval $[a, b]$ for which $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ and $|f(x)| < \epsilon$ for $x \in [a, b]$. Now let $g(x) = f(x)$ if $x \notin [a, b]$, and $g(x) = 0$ if $x \in [a, b]$. Clearly $g \in P_1$ but $g \notin (D_0 \cup D_1)$. Finally, $\|f - g\| \leq \epsilon$. Thus every open set in P_1 contains functions $\notin D_0 \cup D_1$, so $D_0 \cup D_1$ has void interior in P_1 . \square

Proof of Theorem 2. For each positive integer n , define

$$E_n = \{f \in P_1 : m\{x : f(x) = 0\} \geq n^{-1}\}.$$

Then $D_1 = P_1 \setminus \bigcup_n E_n$. We claim that each E_n is closed in P_1 . Let $f_k \in E_n$ and $f \in P_1$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k - f\| = 0$. Say

$$A_k = \{x : f_k(x) = 0\}$$

and $m A_k \geq n^{-1}$. Then at each $x \in A = \bigcap_j \bigcup_{k \geq j} A_k$, $f(x) = 0$. But $m A \geq n^{-1}$ so $f \in E_n$. Thus E_n is closed in P_1 .

It remains to prove that E_n is nowhere dense. Let $f \in E_n$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Use [4] to get a function $g \in D_1$ such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$. But there is a number $c > 0$ such that $c < \epsilon$ and $m\{x : 0 < |f(x)| \leq c\} < n^{-1}$. It follows that

$$m\{x : f(x) = -cg(x)\} < n^{-1}.$$

Finally,

$$m\{x : f(x) + cg(x) = 0\} < n^{-1}$$

and $f + cg \notin E_n$. Moreover, $\|(f + cg) - f\| = \|cg\| \leq c < \epsilon$. Thus E_n is nowhere dense.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we saw that $D_0 \cup D_1$, and hence D_1 , has void interior. \square

It follows from Theorems 1 and 2 that $D_0 \cap D_1$, the set of all functions in P_1 that vanish on dense sets of measure zero and are unsigned in any interval, is a dense G_δ -subset of P_1 . Next we show that the sets D_0 and D_1 are quite different. Neither is a subset of the other.

THEOREM 3. The Sets $D_0 \setminus D_1$ and $D_1 \setminus D_0$ are nonvoid.

PROOF. Let h be a function in D_0 . We construct a sequence of intervals (a_n, b_n) , with mutually disjoint closures, such that $b_n - a_n < 2^{-n}$ and $h(a_n) = h(b_n) = 0$ for each n , and $\bigcup_n (a_n, b_n)$ is dense in $[0, 1]$. Let $h_n = h \psi_{(a_n, b_n)}$ where ψ means

characteristic function: for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $h_n(x) = h(x) \psi_{(a_n, b_n)}(x)$. It follows that $h_n \in P_1$, and the sequence $(\|h_n\|)$ is bounded. Put

$$f = \sum_n 2^{-n} h_n \in P_1.$$

Now f cannot be signed on any subinterval of an (a_n, b_n) , so $f \in D_0$. Clearly for $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_n (a_n, b_n)$, $h_n(x) = 0$ for all n and $f(x) = 0$. But

$$m\{[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_n (a_n, b_n)\} = 1 - \sum_n (b_n - a_n) > 0.$$

Thus $f \in D_0 \setminus D_1$.

We use [4] to obtain a function in $D_1 \setminus D_0$. □

Now put

$$P_2 = \{f \in P_1 : f \text{ is nonnegative}\},$$

$$D_2 = \{f \in P_2 : f > 0 \text{ almost everywhere on } [0, 1]\}.$$

Then P_2 is a complete metric space in its own right. We conclude by showing that D_2 is a "large" subset of P_2 .

THEOREM 4. D_2 is a dense G_δ -subset of P_2 with void interior.

PROOF. Define E_n as in the proof of Theorem 2. Then $E_n \cap P_2$ is a closed subset of P_2 . It remains to prove that $D_2 = P_2 \setminus \bigcup_n (E_n \cap P_2)$ is dense in P_2 . We use [4] to construct $g \in D_2$ such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$. For any $f \in P_2$ and any $c > 0$, we have $f + cg \in D_2$ and $\|(f + cg) - f\| = \|cg\| \leq c$. So D_2 is a dense G_δ -subset of P_2 .

That D_2 has void interior follows from the same proof (for Theorem 1) that D_0 has void interior, so we leave this point. □

Compare Theorem 4 to the work in [5]. There it is shown that the singular functions form a dense G_δ -subset of the complete metric space of continuous nondecreasing functions on $[0, 1]$ under the sup metric. When the primitives of the functions in P_2 are taken, [5] suggests that D_2 is a "small" subset of P_2 . Of course the metric used in [5] was different from the one used here.

REFERENCES

1. SALAT, TIBOR, On functions that are monotone on no interval, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981) 754-755.
2. WEIL, CLIFFORD, The space of bounded derivatives, Real Analysis Exchange **3** #1 (1977-8) 38-41.
3. WEIL, CLIFFORD, On nowhere monotone functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Society, **56** (1976) 388-398.
4. ZAHORSKI, Z., Sur la première dérivée, Transactions of the Amer. Math. Society, Vol **69** (1950), 26, Lemma 11.
5. ZAMFIRESCU, TUDOR, Most monotone functions are singular, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981) 47-49.

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru