

SOLVING SOME NONLINEAR EQUATIONS BY SUCCESSIVE APPROXIMATIONS

ALBERT K. KALINDE

Received 10 June 2001

The iterations scheme generated by an infinite sequence of operators satisfying some contractive conditions in a complete metric space is used to solve some integral equations of Hammerstein type.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 45G10, 47J25.

1. Introduction. Iterations processes are powerful tools for solving both differential and integral equations in a complete metric space. One of the generalizations of the Picard iterations scheme consists of iterations generated by an infinite sequence (T_n) of operators T_n and described by

$$x_0 \in X, \quad x_{n+1} = T_n(x_n), \quad (1.1)$$

where X is a complete metric space and T_n a selfmap of X .

This type of schemes was considered and studied by some authors using various contractive conditions (see [1] and the references therein).

The consideration of (1.1) is motivated by its application to Hammerstein integral equations. Its use gives rise to new sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of these equations. For that purpose, (1.1) is formulated as follows:

$$x_0 \in X, \quad X_{n+1} = T_n f(x_n), \quad (1.2)$$

where both T_n and f are selfmaps of X . The contractive condition to be satisfied in our paper is given by

$$d(T_m f x, T_n f y) \leq \max \{y d(x, y), a d(x, T_m f x) + b d(y, T_n f y)\}, \quad (1.3)$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $x, y \in X$, where $0 \leq y < 1$ and a, b are given real numbers such that $0 \leq a, b < 1$ with $a + b < 1$.

A slightly weakened contractive condition considered is described by

$$d(T_n f x, T_{n+1} f y) \leq \max \{y d(x, y), a d(x, T_n f x) + b d(y, T_{n+1} f y)\}, \quad (1.4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all n sufficiently large, where y, a , and b are the numbers appearing in (1.3).

The type of Hammerstein integral equations we consider in this paper is in the form

$$u(x) + \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(y, u(y)) dy = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where Ω is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^N (or \mathbb{C}^N), $N \geq 1$ and $k(x, y)$, $f(x, t)$ are real- or complex-valued functions defined on $\Omega \times \Omega$ and on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ (or on $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}$), respectively and measurable in both variables. We are looking for solutions of (1.5) in the Banach space $L^1(\Omega)$ of real- or complex-valued functions u on Ω that are Lebesgue integrable on Ω , equipped with its usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ given by

$$\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)| dx. \quad (1.6)$$

2. Hammerstein integral equations

THEOREM 2.1. *Let Ω , $f(x, t)$, and $k(x, y)$ be as described above and such that*

- (1) $f(x, u(x)) \in L^1(\Omega)$ for all $u \in L^1(\Omega)$,
- (2) for every $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, there exists a nonnegative function $\beta \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that for almost all $x \in \Omega$, $\int_{\Omega} |k(x, y)u(y)| dy \leq \beta(x)$,
- (3) there exists a real number $0 \leq h < 1/3$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |k(x, y)[u(y) - v(y)]| dy \leq h \left| u(x) - \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)v(y) dy \right| \quad (2.1)$$

for all $u, v \in L^1(\Omega)$ and for almost all x, y in Ω ,

- (4) $|f(y, u(y)) - v(y)| \leq |u(y) - v(y)|$ for all $u, v \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Then, (1.5) has a unique solution in $L^1(\Omega)$.

PROOF. For every $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, we may set

$$\begin{aligned} fu(x) &= f(x, u(x)), \\ Kfu(x) &= \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)f(y, u(y)) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

By conditions (1) and (2), Kf defines an operator from $L^1(\Omega)$ into itself. From condition (3), we deduce that

$$\|Ku - Kv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq h\|u - Kv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.3)$$

For all natural number $n \geq 1$, we may set

$$K^n fu(x) = K(K^{n-1} fu(x)) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)K^{n-1} fu(y) dy \quad (2.4)$$

with the convention that $K^0 = I$.

First we prove by mathematical induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ that for all $u, v \in L^1(\Omega)$ and all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$\|K^n fu - K^m fv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq h^n \|fu - K^m fv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Indeed, for $n = 1$ we have by (2.3),

$$\|Kfu - K^m fv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = \|K(fu - K^{m-1} fv)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq h\|fu - K^m fv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Assume that for $n = r$ we have

$$\|K^r fu - K^m fv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq h^r \|fu - K^m fv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad (2.7)$$

then, for $n = r + 1$ we get

$$\|K^{r+1}fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq h\|K^r fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq h^{r+1}\|fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad (2.8)$$

which establishes the claim.

But conditions (1) and (4) imply that

$$\|fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.9)$$

Furthermore, we know that

$$\|u - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u - K^n fu\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|K^n fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad (2.10)$$

as $0 < h < 1$, it is clear that $h^n \leq h$ and hence, we get

$$\|K^n fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq h\|u - K^n fu\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + h\|K^n fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad (2.11)$$

which finally yields

$$\|K^n fu - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{h}{1-h}\|u - K^n fu\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{h}{1-h}\|v - K^mfv\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Condition (1.3) is, therefore, satisfied, with $a = b = h/(1-h)$ and

$$\frac{h}{1-h} + \frac{h}{1-h} = \frac{2h}{1-h} < 1, \quad \text{because } 3h < 1. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, for arbitrary $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, we may consider the approximation process (1.2) in the following form:

$$u_0 \in L^1(\Omega), \quad u_{n+1} = K^n f(u_n), \quad (2.14)$$

and show that it converges. Indeed, by mathematical induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we establish that

$$\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \left(\max \left\{ \gamma, \frac{h}{1-2h} \right\} \right)^n \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad (2.15)$$

where γ is given in (1.3). Indeed, for $n = 1$ we have by (2.14) and by (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &= \|K^0 fu_0 - Kfu_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{h}{1-h}\|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{h}{1-h}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

from which we deduce that

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{h}{1-2h}\|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad (2.17)$$

hence (2.15) is valid for $n = 1$.

Assume now that (2.15) is true for $n = r$, we prove it for $n = r + 1$. Indeed, using (2.14) and (2.12) again we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{r+1} - u_{r+2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &= \|K^r f u_r - K^{r+1} f u_{r+1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{h}{1-h} \|u_r - K^r f u_r\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{h}{1-h} \|u_{r+1} - K^{r+1} f u_{r+1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

from which we deduce, after applying (2.14) again, that

$$\|u_{r+1} - u_{r+2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{h}{1-2h} \|u_r - u_{r+1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.19)$$

Then, the induction hypothesis applied to the right-hand side yields that (2.15) is valid for $n = r + 1$ and this establishes the claim.

Now set $\alpha = \max\{y, h/(1-2h)\}$, it is clear that $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

We show that (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain by applying (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_{n+p}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &\leq \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \cdots + \|u_{n+p-1} - u_{n+p}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \alpha^n (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \cdots + \alpha^{p-1}) \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

This implies that (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\Omega)$ and hence converges to, say $w \in L^1(\Omega)$.

We prove that $K^n f w = w$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we get

$$\|w - K^r f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|w - u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|u_n - K^n f u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|K^n f u_n - K^r f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.21)$$

Applying (2.12) and (2.14) finally yields

$$\|w - K^r f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1-h}{1-2h} \|w - u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{1-2h} \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.22)$$

For n tending to infinity, the right-hand side converges to 0 and this is valid for any $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, the claim is true and, therefore, (1.5) has a unique solution. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let Ω be a measurable set in \mathbb{R}^N (or in \mathbb{C}^N) with $N \geq 1$. Let $f(x, t)$ be a function defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ (or on $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}$), that is, real- or complex-valued and measurable in both variables. Let $f_k(x, y)$ be a real- or complex-valued function defined on $\Omega \times \Omega$, that is, the limit almost everywhere on $\Omega \times \Omega$ of a sequence $(k_n(x, y))$ of real- or complex-valued functions $k_n(x, y)$ that are measurable in both variables on $\Omega \times \Omega$. Assume that these functions are such that*

- (i) $f(x, u(x)) \in L^1(\Omega)$ for every $u \in L^1(\Omega)$,
- (ii) for every $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, there exists a nonnegative function $\beta(x, y) \in L^1(\Omega \times \Omega)$ such that $|k_n(x, y)u(y)| \leq \beta(x, y)$ a.e. on $\Omega \times \Omega$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(iii) there exist real numbers $0 \leq a, b < 1$ with $a + b < 1$, such that

$$(1+a) \int_{\Omega} |k_n(x, y) f(y, u(y))| dy + (1+b) \int_{\Omega} |k_{n+1}(x, y) f(y, v(y))| dy \leq a |u(x)| + b |v(x)| \quad (2.23)$$

for all $u, v \in L^1(\Omega)$, for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. Then (1.5) has a unique solution in $L^1(\Omega)$.

PROOF. Let $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ be arbitrary. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, as $k_n(x, y)$ is measurable in both variables, conditions (i) and (ii) imply, by Fubini's theorem applicable to $\beta(x, y)$, that the quantity $K_n f u$ defined on Ω by

$$K_n f u(x) = \int_{\Omega} k_n(x, y) f(y, u(y)) dy \quad (2.24)$$

is a real- or complex-valued function that belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$ and hence that $K_n f$ is a selfmap of $L^1(\Omega)$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n(x, y) = k(x, y)$ a.e. on $\Omega \times \Omega$, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies by condition (ii) that for every $x \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n f u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} k_n(x, y) f(y, u(y)) dy = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(y, u(y)) dy. \quad (2.25)$$

Therefore, $K f u$ defined by

$$K f u(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(y, u(y)) dy \quad (2.26)$$

is a function that belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$. As $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ is arbitrary and that the sequence $(K_n f u)$ converges pointwise to $K f u$, hence the sequence $(K_n f)$ of operators from $L^1(\Omega)$ into itself converges pointwise to the operator $K f$ also from $L^1(\Omega)$ into itself.

We now show that there exist $0 \leq a, b < 1$ with $a + b < 1$, such that

$$|K_n f u(x) - K_{n+1} f v(x)| \leq a |u(x) - K_n f u(x)| + b |v(x) - K_{n+1} f v(x)| \quad (2.27)$$

a.e. on Ω for all $u, v \in L^1(\Omega)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large.

Assume that for all $0 \leq a, b < 1$ with $a + b < 1$, there exist $u_0, v_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that

$$|K_{n_0} f u_0(x) - K_{n_0+1} f v_0(x)| > a |u_0(x) - K_{n_0} f u_0(x)| + b |v_0(x) - K_{n_0+1} f v_0(x)| \quad (2.28)$$

a.e. Then we have

$$|K_{n_0} f u_0(x)| + |K_{n_0+1} f v_0(x)| > a |u_0(x)| + b |v_0(x)| - a |K_{n_0} f u_0(x)| - b |K_{n_0+1} f v_0(x)| \quad (2.29)$$

a.e. Therefore, we have

$$a |u_0(x)| + b |v_0(x)| < (1+a) \int_{\Omega} |k_{n_0}(x, y) f(y, u_0(y))| dy + (1+b) \int_{\Omega} |k_{n_0+1}(x, y) f(y, v_0(y))| dy, \quad (2.30)$$

which is a contradiction to condition (iii). Hence, (2.27) is valid. Consequently, we deduce that for all n sufficiently large

$$\|K_n f u - K_{n+1} f v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq a \|u - K_n f u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + b \|v - K_{n+1} f v\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.31)$$

Therefore, if we consider the iterations process

$$u_0 \in L^1(\Omega), \quad u_{n+1} = K_n f(u_n), \quad (2.32)$$

by using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, then the sequence (u_n) converges to say $w \in L^1(\Omega)$.

We prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n f w = w$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. Indeed, for n sufficiently large, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w - K_n f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &\leq \|w - u_{n+2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|u_{n+2} - K_n f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|w - u_{n+2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|K_{n+1} f u_{n+1} - K_n f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Then applying (2.31), we get

$$\|w - K_n f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|w - u_{n+2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + a \|u_{n+1} - K_{n+1} f u_{n+1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + b \|w - K_n f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad (2.34)$$

from which we deduce that for n sufficiently large,

$$(1 - b) \|w - K_n f w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|w - u_{n+2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + a \|u_{n+1} - u_{n+2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (2.35)$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n f w = w$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. As the sequence $(K_n f)$ of operators converges pointwise to the operator $K f$, hence

$$w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (K_n f w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n f(w) = K f(w), \quad (2.36)$$

and this shows that $w \in L^1(\Omega)$ is the unique solution of (1.5). This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed point theorems for some families of maps*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **21** (1990), no. 1, 10-20.

ALBERT K. KALINDE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FORT HARE, PRIVATE BAG X1314, ALICE 5700, SOUTH AFRICA

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk