

FUZZY $c\gamma$ -OPEN SETS AND FUZZY $c\gamma$ -CONTINUITY IN FUZZIFYING TOPOLOGY

T. NOIRI and O. R. SAYED

Received 17 June 2001

The concepts of fuzzy $c\gamma$ -open sets and fuzzy $c\gamma$ -continuity are introduced and studied in fuzzifying topology and by making use of these concepts, some decompositions of fuzzy continuity are introduced.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40, 54C08.

1. Introduction. In [8, 9, 10], Ying introduced the concept of fuzzifying topology with the semantic method of continuous valued logic. All the conventions in [8, 9, 10] are good in this paper. Andrijević [3] introduced the concepts of b -open sets in general topology. We note that the concepts of γ -open sets and γ -continuity are considered by Hanafy [4] to fuzzy topology. In [7], the concepts of fuzzy γ -open sets and fuzzy γ -continuity are introduced and studied in fuzzifying topology. In the present paper, we define and study the concepts of $c\gamma$ -open sets and $c\gamma$ -continuity in fuzzifying topology. The main purpose of the present paper is to obtain decompositions of fuzzy continuity in fuzzifying topology by making use of fuzzy γ -continuity and fuzzy $c\gamma$ -continuity.

2. Preliminaries. We present the fuzzy logical and corresponding set theoretical notations due to Ying [8, 9].

For any formulae φ , the symbol $[\varphi]$ means the truth value of φ , where the set of truth values is the unit interval $[0, 1]$. We write $\vDash \varphi$ if $[\varphi] = 1$ for any interpretation. The original formulae of fuzzy logical and corresponding set theoretical notations are

- (1) (a) $[\alpha] = \alpha (\alpha \in [0, 1])$;
- (b) $[\varphi \wedge \psi] := \min([\varphi], [\psi])$;
- (c) $[\varphi \rightarrow \psi] := \min(1, 1 - [\varphi] + [\psi])$.

(2) If $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $[x \in \tilde{A}] := \tilde{A}(x)$.

(3) If X is the universe of discourse, $[\forall x \varphi(x)] := \inf_{x \in X} [\varphi(x)]$.

In addition the following derived formulae are given:

- (1) $[\neg \varphi] := [\varphi \rightarrow 0] = 1 - [\varphi]$;
- (2) $[\varphi \vee \psi] := [\neg(\neg \varphi \wedge \neg \psi)] := \max([\varphi], [\psi])$;
- (3) $[\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi] := [(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)]$;
- (4) $[\varphi \wedge \psi] := [\neg(\varphi \rightarrow \neg \psi)] := \max(0, [\varphi] + [\psi] - 1)$;
- (5) $[\varphi \dot{\vee} \psi] := [\neg \varphi \rightarrow \psi] = [\neg(\neg \varphi \wedge \neg \psi)] = \min(1, [\varphi] + [\psi])$;
- (6) $[\exists x \varphi(x)] := [\neg \forall x \neg \varphi(x)] = \sup_{x \in X} [\varphi(x)]$;
- (7) if $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, then

- (a) $[\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}] := [\forall x(x \in \tilde{A} \rightarrow x \in \tilde{B})] = \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - \tilde{A}(x) + \tilde{B}(x));$
- (b) $[\tilde{A} \equiv \tilde{B}] := [(\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}) \wedge (\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{A})];$
- (c) $[\tilde{A} \dot{\equiv} \tilde{B}] := [(\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}) \wedge (\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{A})],$

where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the family of all fuzzy sets in X .

We do not often distinguish the connectives and their truth value functions and state strictly our results on formalization as Ying does. We now give the following definitions and results in fuzzifying topology which are used in the sequel.

DEFINITION 2.1 (see [8]). Let X be a universe of discourse, $P(X)$ the family of subsets of X , and $\tau \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $\tau(X) = 1, \tau(\emptyset) = 1;$
- (2) for any $A, B, \tau(A \cap B) \geq \tau(A) \wedge \tau(B);$
- (3) for any $\{A_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \tau(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \geq \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tau(A_\lambda).$

Then τ is called a fuzzifying topology and (X, τ) is a fuzzifying topological space.

DEFINITION 2.2 (see [8]). The family of fuzzifying closed sets, denoted by $F \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as $A \in F := X \sim A \in \tau$, where $X \sim A$ is the complement of A .

DEFINITION 2.3 (see [8]). Let $x \in X$. The neighborhood system of x , denoted by $N_x \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as $N_x(A) = \sup_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau(B)$.

DEFINITION 2.4 (see [8, Lemma 5.2]). The closure \tilde{A} of A is defined as $\tilde{A}(x) = 1 - N_x(X \sim A)$.

In [8, Theorem 5.3], Ying proved that the closure $\tilde{\cdot} : P(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is a fuzzifying closure operator (see [8, Definition 5.3]) since its extension $\tilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$, $\tilde{A} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha \tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ satisfies the following Kuratowski closure axioms:

- (1) $\models \tilde{\emptyset} \equiv \emptyset;$
- (2) for any $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{F}(X), \models \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{\tilde{A}};$
- (3) for any $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{F}(X), \models \tilde{\tilde{A}} \cup \tilde{B} \equiv \tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B};$
- (4) for any $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{F}(X), \models (\tilde{\tilde{A}}) \subseteq \tilde{A},$

where $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \{x : \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha\}$ is the α -cut of A and $\alpha \tilde{A}(x) = \alpha \wedge \tilde{A}(x)$.

DEFINITION 2.5 (see [9]). For any $A \in P(X)$, the interior of A , denoted by $A^\circ \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as follows: $A^\circ(x) = N_x(A)$.

From [8, Lemma 3.1] and the definitions of $N_x(A)$ and A° for $A \in P(X)$ we have $\tau(A) = \inf_{x \in A} A^\circ(x)$.

DEFINITION 2.6 (see [5]). For any $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{F}(X), \models (\tilde{A})^\circ \equiv X \sim \overline{(X \sim \tilde{A})}$.

LEMMA 2.7 (see [5]). If $[\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}] = 1$, then

- (1) $\models \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B};$
- (2) $\models (\tilde{A})^\circ \subseteq (\tilde{B})^\circ.$

LEMMA 2.8 (see [5]). Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. For any \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} :

- (1) $\models X^\circ = X;$
- (2) $\models (\tilde{A})^\circ \subseteq \tilde{A};$
- (3) $\models (\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B})^\circ \equiv (\tilde{A})^\circ \cap (\tilde{B})^\circ;$
- (4) $\models (\tilde{A})^{\circ\circ} \supseteq (\tilde{A})^\circ.$

LEMMA 2.9 (see [5]). *Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. For any $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$,*

- (1) $\models X \sim (\tilde{A})^{\circ-} \equiv (X \sim \tilde{A})^{-\circ}$;
- (2) $\models X \sim (\tilde{A})^{-\circ} \equiv (X \sim \tilde{A})^{\circ-}$.

LEMMA 2.10 (see [2, 5]). *If $[\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}] = 1$, then*

- (1) $\models (\tilde{A})^{\circ-} \subseteq (\tilde{B})^{\circ-}$;
- (2) $\models (\tilde{A})^{-\circ} \subseteq (\tilde{B})^{-\circ}$.

DEFINITION 2.11. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.

(1) The family of fuzzifying $c\alpha$ -open [6] (resp., $c\text{semi-open}$ [5], $c\text{pre-open}$ [2], $c\beta$ -open [1]) sets, denoted by $c\alpha\tau$ (resp., $cS\tau$, $cP\tau$, $c\beta\tau$) $\in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as follows: $A \in c\alpha\tau$ (resp., $cS\tau$, $cP\tau$, $c\beta\tau$) := $\forall x (x \in A \cap A^{\circ-} \rightarrow x \in A^{\circ})$.

(2) The family of fuzzifying $c\alpha$ -closed [6] (resp., $c\text{semi-closed}$ [5], $c\text{pre-closed}$ [2], $c\beta$ -closed [1]) sets, denoted by $c\alpha F$ (resp., cSF , cPF , $c\beta F$) $\in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as follows: $A \in c\alpha F$ (resp., cSF , cPF , $c\beta F$) := $X \sim A \in c\alpha\tau$ (resp., $cS\tau$, $cP\tau$, $c\beta\tau$).

DEFINITION 2.12 (see [10]). Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.

(1) The family of fuzzifying γ -open sets, denoted by $\gamma\tau \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as follows: $A \in \gamma\tau := \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow x \in A^{\circ-} \cup A^{-\circ})$,

(2) The family of fuzzifying γ -closed sets, denoted by $\gamma F \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as follows: $A \in \gamma F := X \sim A \in \gamma\tau$,

(3) Let (X, τ) , (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $\gamma C \in \mathcal{F}(Y^X)$ called fuzzy γ -continuity, is given as $\gamma C(f) := \forall u (u \in U \rightarrow f^{-1}(u) \in \gamma\tau)$.

LEMMA 2.13 (see [7]). (1) $\models \tau \subseteq \gamma\tau$; (2) $\models F \subseteq \gamma F$.

DEFINITION 2.14 (see [10]). Let (X, τ) and (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $C \in \mathcal{F}(Y^X)$ called fuzzy continuity, is given as $C(f) := \forall u (u \in U \rightarrow f^{-1}(u) \in \tau)$.

3. Fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -open sets

DEFINITION 3.1. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.

(1) The family of fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -open sets, denoted by $c\gamma\tau \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as $A \in c\gamma\tau := \forall x (x \in A \cap (A^{\circ-} \cup A^{-\circ}) \rightarrow x \in A^{\circ})$.

(2) The family of fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -closed sets, denoted by $c\gamma F \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as $A \in c\gamma F := X \sim A \in c\gamma\tau$.

LEMMA 3.2. For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I$, $(1 - \alpha + \beta) \wedge (1 - \gamma + \delta) \leq 1 - (\alpha \wedge \gamma) + (\beta \wedge \delta)$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space, then

- (1) $c\gamma\tau(X) = 1$, $c\gamma\tau(\emptyset) = 1$;
- (2) $c\gamma\tau(A \cap B) \geq c\gamma\tau(A) \wedge c\gamma\tau(B)$.

PROOF. The proof of (1) is straightforward.

(2) From [Lemma 3.2](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c\gamma\tau(A) \wedge c\gamma\tau(B) &= \inf_{x \in A} (1 - (A^{\circ-} \cup A^{-\circ})(x) + A^{\circ}(x)) \wedge \inf_{x \in B} (1 - (B^{\circ-} \cup B^{-\circ})(x) + B^{\circ}(x)) \\
 &= \inf_{x \in A \cap B} ((1 - (A^{\circ-} \cup A^{-\circ})(x) + A^{\circ}(x)) \wedge (1 - (B^{\circ-} \cup B^{-\circ})(x) + B^{\circ}(x))) \\
 &\leq \inf_{x \in A \cap B} (1 - ((A^{\circ-} \cup A^{-\circ}) \cap (B^{\circ-} \cup B^{-\circ}))(x) + (A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ})(x)) \\
 &\leq \inf_{x \in A \cap B} (1 - ((A \cap B)^{\circ-} \cup (A \cap B)^{-\circ})(x) + (A \cap B)^{\circ}(x)) = c\gamma\tau(A \cap B).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

□

THEOREM 3.4. *Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space, then*

- (1) $c\gamma F(X) = 1$, $c\gamma F(\emptyset) = 1$;
- (2) $c\gamma F(A \cup B) \geq c\gamma F(A) \wedge c\gamma F(B)$.

PROOF. From [Theorem 3.3](#) the proof is obtained. □

THEOREM 3.5. *Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space, then*

- (1) (a) $\models \tau \subseteq c\alpha\tau$; (b) $\models cP\tau \subseteq c\alpha\tau$; (c) $\models cS\tau \subseteq c\alpha\tau$; (d) $\models c\gamma\tau \subseteq cS\tau$; (e) $\models c\gamma\tau \subseteq cP\tau$; (f) $\models c\beta\tau \subseteq c\gamma\tau$; (g) $\models \tau \subseteq c\gamma\tau$.
- (2) (a) $\models F \subseteq c\alpha F$; (b) $\models cPF \subseteq c\alpha F$; (c) $\models cSF \subseteq c\alpha F$; (d) $\models c\gamma F \subseteq cSF$; (e) $\models c\gamma F \subseteq cPF$; (f) $\models c\beta F \subseteq c\gamma F$; (g) $\models F \subseteq c\gamma F$.

PROOF. From the properties of the interior and closure operations and [\[9, Theorem 2.2\(3\)\]](#),

- (1) (a) $[A \in \tau] = [A \subseteq A^{\circ}] \leq [A \cap A^{\circ-} \subseteq A^{\circ}] = [A \in c\alpha\tau]$;
- (b) $[A \in cP\tau] = [A \cap A^{-\circ} \subseteq A^{\circ}] \leq [A \cap A^{\circ-} \subseteq A^{\circ}] = [A \in c\alpha\tau]$;
- (c) $[A \in cS\tau] = [A \cap A^{\circ-} \subseteq A^{\circ}] \leq [A \cap A^{\circ-} \subseteq A^{\circ}] = [A \in c\alpha\tau]$;
- (d) $c\gamma\tau(A) = \inf_{x \in A} (1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x)) \leq \inf_{x \in A} (1 - A^{\circ-}(x) + A^{\circ}(x)) = cS\tau(A)$;
- (e) $c\gamma\tau(A) = \inf_{x \in A} (1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x)) \leq \inf_{x \in A} (1 - A^{-\circ}(x) + A^{\circ}(x)) = cP\tau(A)$;
- (f) $c\beta\tau(A) = \inf_{x \in A} (1 - A^{\circ-}(x) + A^{\circ}(x)) \leq \inf_{x \in A} (1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x)) = c\gamma\tau(A)$;
- (g) $[A \in \tau] = [A \subseteq A^{\circ}] \leq [A \cap (A^{-\circ} \cup A^{\circ-}) \subseteq A^{\circ}] = [A \in c\gamma\tau]$.

(2) The proof is obtained from (1). □

REMARK 3.6. In crisp setting, that is, in case that the underlying fuzzifying topology is the ordinary topology, we have

$$\models A \in \gamma\tau \wedge A \in c\gamma\tau \rightarrow A \in \tau. \tag{3.2}$$

Of course the implication “ \rightarrow ” in (3.2) is either the Lukaciewicz’s implication or the Boolean’s implication since these implications are identical in crisp setting. But in fuzzifying setting the statement (3.2) may not be true as illustrated by the following counterexample.

COUNTEREXAMPLE 3.7. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let τ be a fuzzifying topology on X defined as follows: $\tau(X) = \tau(\emptyset) = \tau(\{a\}) = \tau(\{a, c\}) = 1$; $\tau(\{b\}) = \tau(\{a, b\}) = 0$; and $\tau(\{c\}) = \tau(\{b, c\}) = 1/8$. From the definitions of the interior and the closure of a

subset of X and the interior and the closure of a fuzzy set of X we have the following:
 $\gamma\tau(\{a, b\}) = 7/8$, $c\gamma\tau(\{a, b\}) = 1/8$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.*

- (1) $\models A \in \tau \rightarrow (A \in \gamma\tau \wedge A \in c\gamma\tau)$;
- (2) *if* $[A \in \gamma\tau] = 1$ *or* $[A \in c\gamma\tau] = 1$, *then* $\models A \in \tau \rightarrow (A \in \gamma\tau \wedge A \in c\gamma\tau)$.

PROOF. (1) This follows from [Theorem 3.5\(g\)](#) and [Lemma 2.13\(1\)](#).

(2) Assume that $[A \in \gamma\tau] = 1$, then for each $x \in A$, we have $\max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) = 1$ and so for each $x \in A$, $1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x) = A^{\circ}(x)$. Thus, $[A \in \gamma\tau] \wedge [A \in c\gamma\tau] = [A \in c\gamma\tau] = \inf_{x \in A} (1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x)) = \inf_{x \in A} A^{\circ}(x) = [A \in \tau]$. Now, assume that $[A \in c\gamma\tau] = 1$, then for each $x \in A$, $1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x) = 1$ and so for each $x \in A$, $\max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) = A^{\circ}(x)$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [A \in \gamma\tau] \wedge [A \in c\gamma\tau] \\ = [A \in \gamma\tau] = \inf_{x \in A} \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) = \inf_{x \in A} A^{\circ}(x) = [A \in \tau]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3) \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.9. *Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. Then $\models (A \in \gamma\tau \wedge A \in c\gamma\tau) \rightarrow A \in \tau$.*

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} [A \in \gamma\tau \wedge A \in c\gamma\tau] \\ = \inf_{x \in A} \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) \wedge \inf_{x \in A} (1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x)) \\ = \max(0, \inf_{x \in A} \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + \inf_{x \in A} (1 - \max(A^{\circ-}(x), A^{-\circ}(x)) + A^{\circ}(x)) - 1) \\ \leq \inf_{x \in A} A^{\circ}(x) = [A \in \tau]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4) \quad \square$$

4. Fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -neighborhood structure

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $x \in X$. The $c\gamma$ -neighborhood system of x , denoted by $c\gamma N_x \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as $c\gamma N_x(A) = \sup_{x \in B \subseteq A} c\gamma\tau(B)$.

THEOREM 4.2. *A mapping $c\gamma N : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^N(P(X))$, $x \rightarrow c\gamma N_x$, where $\mathcal{F}^N(P(X))$ is the set of all normal fuzzy subsets of $P(X)$, has the following properties:*

- (1) $\models A \in c\gamma N_x \rightarrow x \in A$;
- (2) $\models A \subseteq B \rightarrow (A \in c\gamma N_x \rightarrow B \in c\gamma N_x)$;
- (3) $\models A \in c\gamma N_x \wedge B \in c\gamma N_x \rightarrow A \cap B \in c\gamma N_x$.

Conversely, if a mapping $c\gamma N$ satisfies (2) and (3), then $c\gamma N$ assigns a fuzzifying topology on X which is denoted by $\tau_{c\gamma N} \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$ and defined as

$$A \in \tau_{c\gamma N} := \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow A \in c\gamma N_x). \quad (4.1)$$

PROOF. (1) If $[A \in c\gamma N_x] = \sup_{x \in H \subseteq A} c\gamma\tau(H) > 0$, then there exists H_0 such that $x \in H_0 \subseteq A$. Now, we have $[x \in A] = 1$. Therefore, $[A \in c\gamma N_x] \leq [x \in A]$ always holds.

(2) The proof is immediate.

(3) From [Theorem 3.3\(2\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [A \cap B \in c\gamma N_x] &= \sup_{x \in H \subseteq A \cap B} c\gamma\tau(H) = \sup_{\substack{x \in H_1 \subseteq A, \\ x \in H_2 \subseteq B}} c\gamma\tau(H_1 \cap H_2) \\
 &\geq \sup_{\substack{x \in H_1 \subseteq A, \\ x \in H_2 \subseteq B}} c\gamma\tau(H_1) \wedge c\gamma\tau(H_2) \\
 &= \sup_{x \in H_1 \subseteq A} c\gamma\tau(H_1) \wedge \sup_{x \in H_2 \subseteq B} c\gamma\tau(H_2) \\
 &= [A \in c\gamma N_x \wedge B \in c\gamma N_x].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Conversely, we need to prove that $\tau_{c\gamma N}(A) = \inf_{x \in A} c\gamma N_x(A)$ is a fuzzifying topology. From [\[8, Theorem 3.2\]](#) and since $\tau_{c\gamma N}$ satisfies properties (2) and (3), $\tau_{c\gamma N}$ is a fuzzifying topology. \square

THEOREM 4.3. *Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. Then $\vDash c\gamma\tau \subseteq \tau_{c\gamma N}$.*

PROOF. Let $B \in P(X)$; $\tau_{c\gamma N}(B) = \inf_{x \in B} c\gamma N_x(B) = \inf_{x \in B} \sup_{x \in A \subseteq B} c\gamma\tau(A) \geq c\gamma\tau(B)$. \square

5. Fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -derived sets, fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -closure, and fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -interior

DEFINITION 5.1. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. The fuzzifying $c\gamma$ -derived set of A , denoted by $c\gamma\text{-d} \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$, is defined as

$$c\gamma\text{-d}(A) = \inf_{B \cap (A - \{x\}) = \emptyset} (1 - c\gamma N_x(B)). \tag{5.1}$$

LEMMA 5.2. $c\gamma\text{-d}(A)(x) = 1 - c\gamma N_x((X \sim A) \cup \{x\})$.

PROOF. From [Theorem 4.2\(2\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c\gamma\text{-d}(A) &= 1 - \sup_{B \cap (A - \{x\}) = \emptyset} c\gamma N_x(B) \\
 &= 1 - \sup_{B \subseteq ((X \sim A) \cup \{x\})} c\gamma N_x(B) \\
 &= 1 - c\gamma N_x((X \sim A) \cup \{x\}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

\square

THEOREM 5.3. *For any A , $\vDash A \in F\tau_{c\gamma N} \leftrightarrow c\gamma\text{-d}(A) \subseteq A$.*

PROOF. From [Lemma 5.2](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [c\gamma\text{-d}(A) \subseteq A] &= \inf_{x \in X \sim A} (1 - c\gamma\text{-d}(A)(x)) = \inf_{x \in X \sim A} c\gamma N_x((X \sim A) \cup \{x\}) \\
 &= \inf_{x \in X \sim A} c\gamma N_x((X \sim A)) = [X \sim A \in \tau_{c\gamma N}] = [A \in F\tau_{c\gamma N}].
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

\square

DEFINITION 5.4. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. The $c\gamma$ -closure of A is denoted and defined as follows: $c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)(x) = \inf_{x \notin B \supseteq A} (1 - c\gamma F(B))$.

THEOREM 5.5. (1) $c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)(x) = 1 - c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$;

(2) $\models c\gamma\text{-cl}(\emptyset) \equiv \emptyset$;

(3) $\models A \subseteq c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)$.

PROOF. (1) $c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)(x) = \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - c\gamma F(B)) = \inf_{x \in X \sim B \subseteq X \sim A} (1 - c\gamma \tau(X \sim B)) = 1 - \sup_{x \in X \sim B \subseteq X \sim A} c\gamma \tau(X \sim B) = 1 - c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$.

(2) $c\gamma\text{-cl}(\emptyset)(x) = 1 - c\gamma N_x(X \sim \emptyset) = 0$.

(3) It is clear that for any $A \in P(X)$ and any $x \in X$, if $x \notin A$, then $c\gamma N_x(A) = 0$. If $x \in A$, then $c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)(x) = 1 - c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) = 1 - 0 = 1$. Then $[A \subseteq c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)] = 1$. \square

THEOREM 5.6. For any x and A ;

(1) $\models c\gamma\text{-cl}(A) \equiv c\gamma\text{-d}(A) \cup A$;

(2) $\models x \in c\gamma\text{-cl}(A) \leftrightarrow \forall B (B \in c\gamma N_x \rightarrow A \cap B \neq \emptyset)$;

(3) $\models A \equiv c\gamma\text{-cl}(A) \leftrightarrow A \in F\tau_{c\gamma N}$.

PROOF. (1) Applying Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.5(3), we have

$$x \in c\gamma\text{-d}(A) \cup A = \max(1 - c\gamma N_x((X \sim A) \cup \{x\}), A(x)) = c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)(x). \quad (5.4)$$

(2) $[\forall B (B \in c\gamma N_x \rightarrow A \cap B \neq \emptyset)] = \inf_{B \subseteq X \sim A} (1 - c\gamma N_x(B)) = 1 - c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) = [x \in c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)]$.

(3) From Theorem 5.5(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A \equiv c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)] &= \inf_{x \in X \sim A} (1 - c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)(x)) \\ &= \inf_{x \in X \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) = [(X \sim A) \in F\tau_{c\gamma N}] = [A \in \tau_{c\gamma N}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

\square

THEOREM 5.7. For any A and B , $\models B \dot{\equiv} c\gamma\text{-cl}(A) \rightarrow B \in F\tau_{c\gamma N}$.

PROOF. If $[A \subseteq B] = 0$, then $[B \dot{\equiv} c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)] = 0$. Now, we suppose $[A \subseteq B] = 1$, then we have $[B \subseteq c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)] = 1 - \sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$ and $[c\gamma\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq B] = \inf_{x \in X \sim B} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$. So,

$$[B \dot{\equiv} c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)] = \max \left(0, \inf_{x \in X \sim B} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) - \sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) \right). \quad (5.6)$$

If $[B \dot{\equiv} c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)] > t$, then $\inf_{x \in X \sim B} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) > t + \sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$. For any $x \in X \sim B$, $\sup_{x \in C \subseteq X \sim A} c\gamma \tau(C) > t + \sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$, that is, there exists C_x such that $x \in C_x \subseteq X \sim A$ and $c\gamma \tau(C_x) > t + \sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$. Now, we want to prove that $C_x \subseteq X \sim B$. If not, then there exists $x' \in B \sim A$ such that $x' \in C_x$. Hence, we can obtain that $\sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) \geq c\gamma N_{x'}(X \sim A) \geq c\gamma \tau(C_x) > t + \sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $F\tau_{c\gamma N}(B) = \tau_{c\gamma N}(X \sim B) = \inf_{x \in X \sim B} c\gamma N_x(X \sim B) \geq \inf_{x \in X \sim B} c\gamma \tau(C_x) > t + \sup_{x \in B \sim A} c\gamma N_x(X \sim A) > t$. Since t is arbitrary, it holds that $[B \dot{\equiv} c\gamma\text{-cl}(A)] \leq [B \in F\tau_{c\gamma N}]$. \square

DEFINITION 5.8. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. For any $A \subseteq X$, the $c\gamma$ -interior of A is given as follows: $c\gamma\text{-int}(A)(x) = c\gamma N_x(A)$.

THEOREM 5.9. *For any x , A , and B ,*

- (1) $\models B \in \tau_{cyN} \wedge B \subseteq A \rightarrow B \subseteq cy\text{-int}(A)$;
- (2) $\models A \equiv cy\text{-int}(A) \leftrightarrow A \in \tau_{cyN}$;
- (3) $\models x \in cy\text{-int}(A) \leftrightarrow x \in A \wedge x \in (X \sim cy\text{-d}(X \sim A))$;
- (4) $\models cy\text{-int}(A) \equiv X \sim cy\text{-cl}(X \sim A)$;
- (5) $\models B \dot{\equiv} cy\text{-int}(A) \rightarrow B \in \tau_{cyN}$;
- (6) (a) $\models cy\text{-int}(X) \equiv X$, (b) $\models cy\text{-int}(A) \subseteq A$.

PROOF. (1) If $B \not\subseteq A$, then $[B \in \tau_{cyN} \wedge B \subseteq A] = 0$. If $B \subseteq A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [B \subseteq cy\text{-int}(A)] &= \inf_{x \in B} cy\text{-int}(A)(x) \\ &= \inf_{x \in B} cyN_x(A) \geq \inf_{x \in B} cyN_x(B) \\ &= [B \in \tau_{cyN}] = [B \in \tau_{cyN} \wedge B \subseteq A]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} [A \equiv cy\text{-int}(A)] &= \min \left(\inf_{x \in A} cy\text{-int}(A)(x), \inf_{x \in X \sim A} (1 - cy\text{-int}(A)(x)) \right) \\ &= \inf_{x \in A} cy\text{-int}(A)(x) = \inf_{x \in A} cyN_x(A) = [A \in \tau_{cyN}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

(3) If $x \notin A$, then $[x \in cy\text{-int}(A)] = 0 = [x \in A \wedge x \in (X \sim cy\text{-d}(X \sim A))]$. If $x \in A$, then $[x \in cy\text{-d}(X \sim A)] = 1 - cyN_x(A \cup \{x\}) = 1 - cyN_x(A) = 1 - cy\text{-int}(A)(x)$, so that $[x \in A \wedge x \in (X \sim cy\text{-d}(X \sim A))] = [x \in cy\text{-int}(A)]$.

(4) It follows from [Theorem 5.5\(1\)](#).

(5) From (4) and [Theorem 5.7](#), we have

$$[B \dot{\equiv} cy\text{-int}(A)] = [X \sim B \dot{\equiv} cy\text{-cl}(X \sim A)] \leq [X \sim B \in F\tau_{cyN}] = [B \in \tau_{cyN}]. \quad (5.9)$$

(6) (a) It is obtained from (4) above and from [Theorem 5.5\(2\)](#).

(b) It is obtained from (3) above. \square

6. Fuzzifying cy -continuous functions

DEFINITION 6.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X$, a unary fuzzy predicates $cyC \in \mathcal{F}(Y^X)$, called cy -continuity, is given as

$$cyC(f) := \forall u \quad (u \in U \rightarrow f^{-1}(u) \in cy\tau). \quad (6.1)$$

DEFINITION 6.2. Let (X, τ) and (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X$, we define the unary fuzzy predicates $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{F}(Y^X)$ where $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ as follows:

- (1) $\gamma_1(f) := \forall B \quad (B \in F_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in cyF_X)$, where F_Y is the family of closed subsets of Y and cyF_X is the family of cy -closed subsets of X ;
- (2) $\gamma_2(f) := \forall x \forall u \quad (u \in N_{f(x)} \rightarrow f^{-1}(u) \in cyN_x)$, where N is the neighborhood system of Y and cyN is the cy -neighborhood system of X ;
- (3) $\gamma_3(f) := \forall x \forall u \quad (u \in N_{f(x)} \rightarrow \exists v \quad (f(v) \subseteq u \rightarrow v \in cyN_x))$;
- (4) $\gamma_4(f) := \forall A \quad (f(cy\text{-cl}_X(A)) \subseteq \text{cl}_Y(f(A)))$;

$$(5) \quad \gamma_5(f) := \forall B (c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(B))).$$

THEOREM 6.3. (1) $\models f \in c\gamma C \leftrightarrow f \in \gamma_1$;

(2) $\models f \in c\gamma C \rightarrow f \in \gamma_2$;

(3) $\models f \in \gamma_2 \leftrightarrow f \in \gamma_j$ for $j = 3, 4, 5$.

PROOF. (1) We prove that $[f \in c\gamma C] = [f \in \gamma_1]$

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in \gamma_1] &= \inf_{F \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - F_Y(F) + c\gamma F_X(f^{-1}(F))) \\ &= \inf_{F \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(Y - F) + c\gamma \tau(X \sim f^{-1}(F))) \\ &= \inf_{F \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(Y - F) + c\gamma \tau(f^{-1}(Y - F))) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + c\gamma \tau(f^{-1}(u))) \\ &= [f \in c\gamma C]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

(2) We prove that $\gamma_2(f) \geq c\gamma C(f)$. If $N_{f(x)}(u) \leq c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u))$, the result holds. Suppose $N_{f(x)}(u) > c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u))$. It is clear that if $f(x) \in A \subseteq u$ then $x \in f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(u)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f(x)}(u) - c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u)) &= \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} U(A) - \sup_{x \in B \subseteq f^{-1}(u)} c\gamma \tau(B) \\ &\leq \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} U(A) - \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} c\gamma \tau(f^{-1}(A)) \\ &\leq \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} (U(A) - c\gamma \tau(f^{-1}(A))). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

So, $1 - N_{f(x)}(u) + c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u)) \geq \inf_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} (1 - U(A) + c\gamma \tau(f^{-1}(A)))$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}(u) + c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u))) &\geq \inf_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} \min(1, 1 - U(A) + c\gamma \tau(f^{-1}(A))) \\ &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(v) + c\gamma \tau(f^{-1}(v))) \\ &= c\gamma C(f). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Hence, $\inf_{x \in X} \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}(u) + c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u))) \geq [f \in c\gamma C]$.

(3) (a) We prove that $\models f \in \gamma_2 \leftrightarrow f \in \gamma_3$. Since $c\gamma N_x$ is monotonous (Theorem 4.2(2)), it is clear that $\sup_{v \in P(X), f(v) \subseteq u} c\gamma N_x(v) = \sup_{v \in P(X), v \subseteq f^{-1}(u)} c\gamma N_x(v) = c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3(f) &= \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}(u) + \sup_{v \in P(X), f(v) \subseteq u} c\gamma N_x(v)) \\ &= \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}(u) + c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u))) = \gamma_2(f). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

(b) We prove that $\models f \in \gamma_4 \leftrightarrow f \in \gamma_5$.

First, for each $B \in P(Y)$, there exists $A \in P(X)$ such that $f^{-1}(B) = A$ and $f(A) \subseteq B$. So, $[c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(B))] \geq [c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(f(A)))]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_5(f) &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} [c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(B))] \\ &\geq \inf_{A \in P(X)} [c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(f(A)))] = \gamma_4(f).\end{aligned}\tag{6.6}$$

Second, for each $A \in P(X)$, there exists $B \in P(Y)$ such that $f(A) = B$ and $f^{-1}(B) \supseteq A$. Hence, $[c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(B))] \leq [c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(f(A)))]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_4(f) &= \inf_{A \in P(X)} [c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(f(A)))] \\ &\geq \inf_{B \in P(Y), B=f(A)} [c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(B))] \\ &\geq \inf_{B \in P(Y)} [c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(B))] = \gamma_5(f).\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

(c) We prove that $\models f \in \gamma_5 \leftrightarrow f \in \gamma_2$; from [Theorem 5.5\(1\)](#),

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_5(f) &= \forall B (c\gamma\text{-cl}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_Y(B))) \\ &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - (1 - c\gamma N_x(X \sim f^{-1}(B))) + 1 - N_{f(x)}(Y \sim B)) \\ &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}(Y \sim B) + c\gamma N_x(X \sim f^{-1}(B))) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}(u) + c\gamma N_x(f^{-1}(u))) = \gamma_2(f).\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

□

REMARK 6.4. In the following theorem, we indicate the fuzzifying topologies with respect to which we evaluate the degree to which f is continuous or $c\gamma C$ -continuous. Thus, the symbols $(\tau, U)\text{-}C(f)$, $(\tau_{c\gamma N}, U)\text{-}C(f)$, $(\tau, U_{c\gamma N})\text{-}c\gamma C(f)$, and so forth, will be understood.

Applying [Theorems 3.5\(g\)](#) and [4.3](#), one can deduce the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.5. (1) $\models f \in (\tau, U_{c\gamma N})\text{-}C \rightarrow f \in (\tau, U)\text{-}C$;
 (2) $\models f \in (\tau, U)\text{-}c\gamma C \rightarrow f \in (\tau_{c\gamma N}, U)\text{-}C$;
 (3) $\models f \in (\tau, U)\text{-}C \rightarrow f \in (\tau, U)\text{-}c\gamma C$.

7. Decompositions of fuzzy continuity in fuzzifying topology

THEOREM 7.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X$,

$$\models C(f) \rightarrow (c\gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f)).\tag{7.1}$$

PROOF. The proof is obtained from [Lemma 2.13\(1\)](#) and [Theorem 3.5\(g\)](#). □

REMARK 7.2. In crisp setting, that is, in the case that the underlying fuzzifying topology is the ordinary topology, one can have $\models (c\gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f)) \rightarrow C(f)$.

But this statement may not be true in general in fuzzifying topology as illustrated by the following counterexample.

COUNTEREXAMPLE 7.3. Let (X, τ) be the fuzzifying topological space defined in [Counterexample 3.7](#). Consider the identity function f from (X, τ) onto (X, σ) , where σ is a fuzzifying topology on X defined as follows:

$$\sigma(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & A \in \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$

Then, $7/8 \wedge 1/8 = \gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f) \not\leq C(f) = 0$.

THEOREM 7.4. Let (X, τ) and (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X$,

$$\vDash C(f) \rightarrow (\gamma C(f) \leftarrow c\gamma C(f)). \quad (7.3)$$

PROOF. $[\gamma C(f) \rightarrow c\gamma C(f)] = \min(1, 1 - \gamma C(f) + c\gamma C(f)) \geq \gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f)$. Also, $[c\gamma C(f) \rightarrow \gamma C(f)] = \min(1, 1 - c\gamma C(f) + \gamma C(f)) \geq \gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f)$. Then from [Theorem 7.1](#) we have $[\gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f)] \geq C(f)$ and so the result holds. \square

THEOREM 7.5. Let (X, τ) and (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. If $[\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))] = 1$ or $[c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))] = 1$ for each $u \in P(Y)$, then $\vDash C(f) \leftrightarrow (\gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f))$.

PROOF. We need to prove that $C(f) = \gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f)$. Applying [Theorem 3.8\(2\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma C(f) \wedge c\gamma C(f) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + \gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))) \wedge \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, (1 - U(u) + \gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))) \wedge (1 - U(u) + c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u)))) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + (\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u)) \wedge c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u)))) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + \tau(f^{-1}(u))) = C(f). \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

\square

THEOREM 7.6. Let (X, τ) and (Y, U) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$,

- (1) if $[\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))] = 1$ for each $u \in P(Y)$, then $\vDash \gamma C(f) \rightarrow (c\gamma C(f) \leftarrow C(f))$,
- (2) if $[c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))] = 1$ for each $u \in P(Y)$, then $\vDash c\gamma C(f) \rightarrow (\gamma C(f) \leftarrow C(f))$.

PROOF. (1) Since $[\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))] = 1$ and so $[f^{-1}(u) \subseteq ((f^{-1}(u))^{\circ-} \cup (f^{-1}(u))^{-\circ})] = 1$, then $[(f^{-1}(u) \cap ((f^{-1}(u))^{\circ-} \cup (f^{-1}(u))^{-\circ})) \subseteq (f^{-1}(u))^{\circ}] = [f^{-1}(u) \subseteq (f^{-1}(u))^{\circ}]$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
c\gamma C(f) &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))) \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + [(f^{-1}(u) \cap ((f^{-1}(u))^{\circ-} \cup (f^{-1}(u))^{-\circ})) \subseteq (f^{-1}(u))^{\circ}]) \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + [f^{-1}(u) \subseteq (f^{-1}(u))^{\circ}]) \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + \tau(f^{-1}(u))) = C(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

(2) Since $[c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))] = 1$, one can deduce that $((f^{-1}(u))^{\circ-} \cup (f^{-1}(u))^{-\circ}) = (f^{-1}(u))^{\circ}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma C(f) &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + \gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))) \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + [f^{-1}(u) \subseteq ((f^{-1}(u))^{\circ-} \cup (f^{-1}(u))^{-\circ})]) \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + [f^{-1}(u) \subseteq (f^{-1}(u))^{\circ}]) \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + \tau(f^{-1}(u))) = C(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

□

THEOREM 7.7. *Let (X, τ) , (Y, U) , and (Z, V) be three fuzzifying topological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X$ and $g \in Z^Y$,*

- (1) $\models c\gamma C(f) \rightarrow (C(g) \rightarrow c\gamma C(g \circ f))$;
- (2) $\models C(g) \rightarrow (c\gamma C(g) \rightarrow c\gamma C(g \circ f))$.

PROOF. (1) We prove that $[c\gamma C(f)] \leq [(C(g) \rightarrow c\gamma C(g \circ f))]$. If $[C(g)] \leq [c\gamma C(g \circ f)]$, then the result holds. If $[C(g)] > [c\gamma C(g \circ f)]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[C(g)] - [c\gamma C(g \circ f)] &= \inf_{v \in P(Z)} \min(1, 1 - V(v) + U(g^{-1}(v))) \\
&\quad - \inf_{v \in P(Z)} \min(1, 1 - V(v) + c\gamma\tau(g \circ f)^{-1}(v)) \\
&\leq \sup_{v \in P(Z)} (U(g^{-1}(v)) - c\gamma\tau(g \circ f)^{-1}(v)) \\
&\leq \sup_{u \in P(Y)} (U(u) - c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
[C(g) \rightarrow c\gamma C(g \circ f)] &= \min(1, 1 - [C(g)] + [c\gamma C(g \circ f)]) \\
&\geq \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - U(u) + c\gamma\tau(f^{-1}(u))) = c\gamma C(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

(2) Since the conjunction \wedge is commutative, from (1) above, one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
[C(g) \rightarrow (c\gamma C(f) \rightarrow c\gamma C(g \circ f))] &= [\neg(C(g) \wedge c\gamma C(f) \wedge \neg c\gamma C(g \circ f))] \\
&= [\neg(c\gamma C(f) \wedge C(g) \wedge \neg c\gamma C(g \circ f))] \\
&= [c\gamma C(f) \rightarrow (C(g) \rightarrow c\gamma C(g \circ f))] = 1. \quad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. M. Abd El-Hakeim, F. M. Zeyada, and O. R. Sayed, *β -continuity and $D(c, \beta)$ -continuity in fuzzifying topology*, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **7** (1999), no. 3, 547–558.
- [2] ———, *pre-continuity and $D(c, p)$ -continuity in fuzzifying topology*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **119** (2001), no. 3, 459–471.
- [3] D. Andrijević, *On b -open sets*, *Mat. Vesnik* **48** (1996), no. 1-2, 59–64.
- [4] I. M. Hanafy, *Fuzzy γ -open sets and fuzzy γ -continuity*, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **7** (1999), no. 2, 419–430.
- [5] F. H. Khedr, F. M. Zeyada, and O. R. Sayed, *Fuzzy semi-continuity and fuzzy csemi-continuity in fuzzifying topology*, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **7** (1999), no. 1, 105–124.
- [6] ———, *α -continuity and $c\alpha$ -continuity in fuzzifying topology*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **116** (2000), no. 3, 325–337.
- [7] T. Noiri and O. R. Sayed, *Fuzzy γ -open sets and fuzzy γ -continuity in fuzzifying topology*, *Sci. Math. Jpn.* **55** (2002), no. 2, 255–263.
- [8] M. Ying, *A new approach for fuzzy topology. I*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **39** (1991), no. 3, 303–321.
- [9] ———, *A new approach for fuzzy topology. II*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **47** (1992), no. 2, 221–232.
- [10] ———, *A new approach for fuzzy topology. III*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **55** (1993), no. 2, 193–207.

T. NOIRI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YATSUSHIRO NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, YATSUSHIRO, KUMAMOTO 866-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: noiri@as.yatsushiro-nct.ac.jp

O. R. SAYED: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ASSIUT UNIVERSITY, ASSIUT 71516, EGYPT

Current address: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YATSUSHIRO NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, YATSUSHIRO, KUMAMOTO 866-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: rashed67@yahoo.com

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk