OPERATOR REPRESENTATION OF WEAKLY CAUCHY SEQUENCES IN PROJECTIVE TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BANACH SPACES

J.M. BAKER

Department of Mathematics
Western Carolina University
Cullowhee, North Carolina 28723

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the above sequences always determine linear transformations and if the sequences are bounded under the least cross norm, that the transformations are continuous. Such operators are characterized to within algebraic isomorphism with the weak-star sequential closure of the tensor product space in its second dual, and consequently certain classes of weakly sequentially complete projective tensor products are exhibited.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let E and F be normed linear spaces and G and H subspaces of their duals E^* and F^*, respectively. Let λ be the least cross norm [8] (operator norm, norm giving the inductive topolgy), and consider $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$, the λ-completion of the tensor

product $E \otimes_\lambda F$. Then $G \otimes H$ is algebraically isomorphic to a subspace of

$(E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F)^*$ by $(\sum_i g_i \otimes h_i)(\sum_j x_j \otimes y_j) = \sum_{i,j} g_i(x_j)h_i(y_j)$ where $g_i \in G, h_i \in H,$

$x_j \in E$, $y_j \in F$. As such, $G \otimes H$ carries the dual norm λ^0 of λ which is itself

a cross norm different, in general, from the greatest cross norm γ[8] (nuclear

norm, norm giving the projective topology).

It is easy to show that in order for $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$ to be $\sigma(E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F, G \otimes_{\lambda} 0 H)$-

sequentially complete (or sequentially complete in its weak topology) it is

necessary that E and F be (weakly) sequentially complete in their respective

weak topologies $\sigma(E,G)$ and $\sigma(F,H)$. To motivate our work, let $E = \ell_1$, the

space of absolutely summable sequences, $G = c$, the space of convergent

sequences embedded in the bounded sequences, and F a weakly sequentially

complete Banach space. Then $\ell_1 \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$ is sequentially complete in its

$\sigma(\ell_1 \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F, c \otimes_\lambda^0 F^*)$ topology, and the canonical map $\ell_1 \otimes_\lambda F \to L(c,F)$ is

surjective, where $L(c,F)$ is the space of bounded linear transformations from

c into F. This example and others of λ-tensor products which are sequentially

complete under a weak topology and coincide with an associated operator space

are found in [1]. Similar examples exist where the sequential completeness is

under the weak topology. For instance, let E and F be reflexive Banach spaces

with bases such that every operator from E^* into F is compact. Then $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$ is

reflexive [4, p. 188], whence $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$ is weakly sequentially complete. Moreover,

since F has a basis it also has the approximation property (a.p.) [7, p. 115]

so that the canonical injection $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F \to L(E^*,F) = C(E^*,F)$ is surjective,

where $C(E^*,F)$ is the space of compact operators from E^* into F.

Thus, we will show that the above examples are special cases of more

general properties enjoyed by weakly Cauchy sequences in projective tensor

product spaces and that the equivalence class of such a sequence (definition

follows) always defines a linear transformation from G into H^* (Theorem 1)

which is continuous if the equivalence class is, in a sense, bounded (Theorem

3). Further, if G and H contain the extreme points of the unit cells S_{E*} and

S_{F^*}, we show that these equivalence classes are, algebraically, precisely the

functionals in the weak star sequential closure of $E \, \theta_\lambda \, F$ in its second dual

and if H is norm-closed that these functionals are operators from G into H^*

(Theorem 5). Consequently, for reflexive spaces E and weakly sequentially complete

spaces F such that every operator from E^* into F is compact, we obtain that

$E \, \theta_\lambda \, F$ is weakly sequentially complete if E or F has the a.p. (Corollary 6).

In addition to notation already introduced, J will be the usual embedding

of a normed linear space F in its second dual. If H is a subspace of F^*,

$\phi = F \to H^*$ is defined by $\phi(y)h = h(y)$, $y \in F$, $h \in H$. K(F) will be the weak-

star ($\sigma(F^{**}, F^*)$) sequential closure of JF in F^{**}, and $K_H(F)$ the $\sigma(H^*, H)$-

sequential closure of ϕF in H^* (this last space arising in a natural way in

our work). Thus, $K_{F^*}(F) = K(F)$ and $K_H(F) = F$ if F is $\sigma(F, H)$-sequentially

complete. The sense of the last equality (algebraic isomorphism, homeomorphism,

isometric isomorphism) depends on results in [10] and its bibliography which

can be used to generate corollaries to Theorems 1,3 and 5.

RESULTS:

It is simple to show that G and H are total subspaces ($G_0 = \{0\}$) of the

duals of the normed linear spaces E and F, respectively, if and only if $\sigma(E \, \theta \, F$,

$G \, \theta \, H)$ is Hausdorff on $E \, \theta \, F$. Also, if at least G is total over E, then

$E \, \theta \, F$ is algebraically isomorphic to a subspace of the linear transformations

from G into F by

$$(\Sigma \, x_i \, \theta \, y_i)g = \Sigma \, g(x_i)y_i$$

where $x_i \in E$, $y_i \in F$, $g \in G$.

We define $\omega(E, F, G, H)$ to be the set of equivalence classes of

$\sigma(E \, \theta \, F, G \, \theta \, H)$ - Cauchy sequences in $E \, \theta \, F$ where equivalence of sequences

means agreement in the limit at points of $G \ominus H$. This becomes a vector space

when given the natural addition and scalar multiplication and as such contains

a copy of $E \ominus F$:

$$E \ominus F \rightarrow \omega(E,F,G,H)$$

defined by

$$t \rightarrow z \text{ where } (t,t,t, \cdots) \in z$$

THEOREM 1:   Let E and F be normed linear spaces, G a total subspace

of E^* and H a norm-closed total subspace of F^*. Then $\omega(E,F,G,H)$ is

algebraically isomorphic to a subspace of the linear transformations from

G into $K_H(F)$.

PROOF: Let $\{z_i\} \in z \in \omega(E,F,G,H)$, where

$$z_i = \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} x_{k,i} \ominus y_{k,i}$$

for $x_{k,i} \in E$, $y_{k,i} \in F$, $i = 1,2,\cdots$. Then if $\epsilon > 0$, $g \in G$ and $h \in H$ there

exists $N > 0$ such that

$$\left| h\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s_n} g(x_{k,n})y_{k,n} \right) - h\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s_m} g(x_{k,m})y_{k,m} \right) \right| < \epsilon$$

for $m,n > N$. Fixing $g \in G$ and varying ϵ and h, we see that for each $g \in G$

the sequence $\{ \sum_{k=1}^{s_n} g(x_{k,i})y_{k,i} \}$ in F is $\sigma(F,H)$ – Cauchy.

Thus

$$h^* = \sigma(H^*,H) - \lim_i \phi\left[\sum_{k=1}^{s_i} g(x_{k,i})y_{k,i} \right]$$

is uniquely defined since H is total, is independent of the choice $\{z_i\} \in z$,

and lies in $K_H(F)$. Define $(U(z))g = h^*$. It is straight forward that $U(z)$ is

a linear (not necessarily continuous) map from G into $K_H(F)$ and that U is

linear.

Put $U(z) = 0$, $z \in \omega(E,F,G,H)$. Then for all $g \in G$, and consequently for every $h \in H$,

$$\lim_i \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} g(x_{k,i}) h(y_{k,i}) = 0$$

showing that $\{ \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} x_{k,i} \theta y_{k,i} \}$ converges to the null sequence in the $\sigma(E \theta F, G \theta H)$ topology. Thus, $z = 0$ and U is injective.

The following points out that if $G = E^*$ and $H = F^*$, every functional in $K(F)$ can be reached by a $U\omega$ map.

PROPOSITION 2: Let E and F be normed linear spaces. Then U has the property that given $y^{**} \in K(F)$ there exists $z \in \omega(E,F,E^*,F^*)$ and $x^* \in E^*$, $\| x^* \| = 1$, such that $(U(z))x^* = y^{**}$. Further, there exists a sequence $\{z_i\} \in z$ such that for all cross norms τ on $E \theta F$, $\tau(z_i) = \| y^{**} \|$, $i = 1,2, \cdots$.

PROOF: Let $\{y_n\} \subseteq F$ converge $\sigma(F^{**},F^*)$ to $y^{**} \in K(F)$, fix $x \in E$, $\| x \| = 1$, and let $z_i = x \theta y_i$. Then $\{z_i\}$ is $\sigma(E \theta F, E^* \theta F^*)$ – Cauchy in $E \theta F$. There exists $x^* \in S_{E^*}$, $\| x^* \| = 1$, such that $x^*(x) = 1$, whence $(U(z))x^* = y^{**}$. Since [5, Lemma 2] holds for normed linear spaces, we may assume $\| y^{**} \| = \| y_n \|$ so that $\tau(z_n) = \| x \| \, \| y_n \| = \| y^{**} \|$ for any cross norm τ.

Below, $\omega_\lambda(E,F,G,H)$ will denote the subspace of those $z \in \omega(E,F,G,H)$ such that $\sup_i \lambda(z_i) < + \infty$ for some $\{z_i\} \in z$.

THEOREM 3: Let G and H be total over the normed linear spaces E and F and H be norm closed in F^*. Then $\omega_\lambda(E,F,G,H)$ is algebraically isomorphic to a subspace of $L(G,K_H(F))$ by the mapping U, and

$$\| U(z) \| \leq \inf_{\{z_i\} \in z} \sup_i \lambda(z_i).$$

PROOF: Let $\{z_i\} \in z \in \omega_\lambda(E,F,G,H)$, with

$$z_i = \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} x_{k,i} \otimes y_{k,i}, \quad i = 1,2, \cdots. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\sup_{g \in S_G} \| (U(z))g \| = \sup_{g \in S_G} \sup_{h \in S_H} \lim_i \left| \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} g(x_{k,i})h(y_{k,i}) \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{g \in S_G} \sup_{h \in S_H} \overline{\lim_i} \lambda(z_i)$$

$$\leq \sup_i \lambda(z_i)$$

The central result, Theorem 5, provides an algebraic characterization of the weak-star sequential closure of $E \otimes_\lambda F$ in $(E \otimes_\lambda F)^{**}$ (i.e. in the dual of the space of integral bilinear forms on $E \times F$ [3]). The proof keys on [6] and [9] , and we cite [6] explicitly:

LEMMA A: (Rainwater): Let $\{x_n\}$ be a norm bounded sequence in a normed linear space X and M the set of extreme points of S_{X^*}. If $\{x_n\}$ is M-Cauchy, then $\{x_n\}$ is weakly Cauchy.

LEMMA 4: Let X be a normed linear space and W a total subspace of X^* which contains the extreme points of S_{X^*}, and $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ two norm bounded $\sigma(X,W)$-Cauchy sequences in X such that $\lim f(x_n) = \lim f(y_n)$ for every $f \in W$. Then $\{Jx_n\}$ and $\{Jy_n\}$ are $\sigma(X^{**},X^*)$ - convergent to the same functional in X^{**}.

PROOF: Both sequences converge in X^{**} to the same limit as the weakly Cauchy sequence $\{w_k\}$, where $w_{2k-1} = x_k$, $w_{2k} = y_k$, $k = 1,2, \cdots$.

THEOREM 5: Let E and F be normed linear spaces with G and H total subspaces of E^* and F^*, respectively. If G and H contain the extreme points of S_{E^*} and S_{F^*}, then $\omega_\lambda(E,F,G,H)$ is algebraically isomorphic to $K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$. If also H is norm closed in F^*, $K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$ is algebraically isomorphic to a

subspace of $L(G, K_H(F))$ by a mapping T which is continuous with $\|T\| \leq 1$. If

G and H determine the norm in E and F (i.e. have Dixmier characteristic one),

then $\|T\| = 1$.

PROOF: The extreme points of the unit ball of $(E \otimes_\lambda F)^*$ are precisely

those functionals of the form $x^* \otimes y^*$, where x^* and y^* are extreme points of

S_{E^*} and S_{F^*}, respectively [9]. Let $z \in \omega_\lambda(E, F, G, H)$ and choose $\{z_i\} \in z$ so

that $\sup_i \lambda(z_i) < +\infty$. By Lemma A, $\{z_i\}$ is weakly Cauchy in $E \otimes_\lambda F$. Define

$V: \omega_\lambda(E, F, G, H) \to K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$ by $V(z) = \lim J(z_i)$, where the limit is in the

weak-star topology of $(E \otimes_\lambda F)^{**}$. By Lemma 4, V is well-defined, and clearly

it is linear and injective. Moreover, V is surjective. For if $z^{**} \in K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$

then z^{**} is the weak-star limit of a weakly Cauchy, hence norm bounded,

sequence $\{z_i\}$ in $E \otimes_\lambda F$. Thus, for some z, $\{z_i\} \in z \in \omega_\lambda(E, F, G, H)$, and $V(z) = z^{**}$.

To establish the second claim, consider that V^{-1} is an algebraic

isomorphism of $K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$ onto $\omega_\lambda(E, F, G, H)$, and by Theorem 3 U is injective

from $\omega_\lambda(E, F, G, H)$ into $L(G, K_H(F))$. We take $T = UV^{-1}$. Thus, T is the required

isomorphism, and we claim that T when restricted to $J(E \otimes_\lambda F)$ is J^{-1} (consider-

ing $E \otimes_\lambda F$ <u>algebraically</u> embedded in $L(G, K_H(F))$). Let $t \in J(E \otimes_\lambda F)$. Now

$V^{-1}(t) = z$, where $\{J^{-1}(t), J^{-1}(t), \cdots\} \in z$. Consider $U(z) \in L(G, K_H(F))$.

Recalling that the action of $U(z)$ on $g \in G$ is independent of the choice of

sequence $\{z_i\} \in z$, we choose $\{J^{-1}(t), J^{-1}(t), \cdots\} \in z$. Then if

$t = J(\Sigma x_k \otimes y_k)$, $(U(Z))g = \sigma(H^*, H) - \lim \phi[\Sigma g(x_k) y_k] = (\Sigma x_k \otimes y_k)g$

for each $g \in G$, whence $T(J(\Sigma x_k \otimes y_k)) = \Sigma x_k \otimes y_k$ for every $\Sigma x_k \otimes y_k \in E \otimes_\lambda F$.

Let $\{z_i\} \in E \otimes_\lambda F$ converge weak-star to z^{**} in $K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$. We may take

$\lambda(z_i) = \|z^{**}\|$ [5] and from Theorem 3 obtain

$\|Tz^{**}\| = \|UV^{-1}z^{**}\| \leq \|z^{**}\|$, whence $\|T\| \leq 1$. If G and H determine the norms

in E and F and $x \otimes y \in E \otimes F$,

$$\| T(J(x \otimes y)) \| = \sup_{g \epsilon S_G} \| (x \otimes y)g \|$$

$$= \sup_{g \epsilon S_G} \| g(x) \phi (y) \|$$

$$= \sup_{g \epsilon S_G} \sup_{h \epsilon S_H} | g(x) h(y) |$$

$$= \sup_{g \epsilon S_{E*}} \sup_{h \epsilon S_{F*}} | g(x) h(y) |$$

$$= \lambda(x \otimes y)$$

$$= \| J(x \otimes y) \| ,$$

whence $\| T \| = 1$.

COROLLARY 6: Let E and F be Banach spaces such that every operator from E^* into F is compact with E or F having the a.p. Then if E is reflexive and F is weakly sequentially complete, $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$ is weakly sequentially complete.

PROOF: The a.p. insures that $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$ coincides with the space of compact operators from E^* into F [7, p. 113], whence $L(E^*,F) = E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$. In Theorem 5 use $G = E^*$ and $H = F^*$. Since the weak-star sequential closure of a normed linear space is equal to that of its norm completion, $K(E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F)$ is algebraically isomorphic under T to a subspace of $L(E^*,F)$. Since T, when restricted to $J(E \otimes_\lambda F)$, is the canonical map j of $E \otimes_\lambda F$ into $L(E^*,F)$, it follows that T, when restricted to the subspace $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$ of $K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$, is j extended to $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$. Thus,

$$j(E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F) \subseteq TK(E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F) \subseteq L(E^*,F) = E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$$

where each inclusion is isometric. It follows that T is an isometric isomorphism of $K(E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F)$ onto $E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda F$, completing the proof.

Theorem 5 and Corollary 6 give information in a variety of special cases. For instance, every operator from a reflexive space E into ℓ_1 is compact [2, p. 515], and ℓ_1, by having a Schauder basis, has the a.p. Thus,

$E \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_1$ is weakly sequentially complete by Corollary 6. In particular,

the spaces $\ell_q \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_1$ are weakly sequentially complete $(q > 1)$. For

$\frac{q}{q-1} > r > 1$, the spaces $\ell_q \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_r$ are reflexive [4, p. 189], and thus

weakly sequentially complete. However, if $p = \frac{q}{q-1} \le r$, the spaces

$\ell_q \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_r$ are not reflexive and there exists a non-compact operator from ℓ_p

into ℓ_r [4, p. 189]. Thus $L(\ell_p, \ell_r) \ne \ell_q \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_r$. But by [3, p. 122] we have

$(\ell_q \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_r)^{**} = (\ell_p \hat{\otimes}_\gamma \ell_{r/r-1})^* = L(\ell_p, \ell_r)$ so that $K(\ell_q \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_r) = L(\ell_p, \ell_r)$.

Therefore, $\ell_q \hat{\otimes}_\lambda \ell_r$ is not weakly sequentially complete, $\frac{q}{q-1} \le r$.

Following Theorem 5 one naturally seeks conditions under which T is

a homeomorphism. Among the more interesting questions is that of $K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$

being homeomorphic to the whole of $L(G, K_H(F))$. Aside from Corollary 6, in

dealing with some of the possibilities surrounding G and H we obtain results

which are accessible through the piecing together of several theorems in [3].

For instance, let E be a Banach space with separable dual E and F a separable

reflexive Banach space. Put $G = E^*$ and $H = F^*$. If E^* or F^* has the a.p. one

can show via Theorem 5 or [3] that $K(E \otimes_\lambda F)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $L(E^*, F)$.

REFERENCES

1. J.M. Baker, <u>Weak sequential completeness in spaces of operators</u>,
        Proc. A.M.S. <u>25</u> (1970), 193-198.

2. N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, <u>Linear Operators</u>, Part I, Interscience,
        N.Y., 1958.

3. A. Grothendieck, <u>Produits tensoriels topologiques et spaces nucleaires</u>,
        Memoirs A.M.S. <u>16</u> (1966).

4. J.R. Holub, Hilbertian operators and reflexive tensor products, Pacific
    J. Math. 36 (1971), pp. 185-194.

5. R.D. McWilliams, A note on weak sequential convergence, Pacific J.
    Math 12 (1962), 333-335.

6. J. Rainwater, Weak convergence of bounded sequences, Proc. A.M.S. 14
    (1963), 999.

7. H.H. Schaefer, Topological Vector Spaces, 2nd ed., MacMillan, N.Y., 1967.

8. R. Schatten, A theory of cross spaces, Annals of Math. Studies 26 (1950).

9. I. Singer, Les points extrémaux de la boule unité du dual d'un produit
    tensoriel normé inductif d'espaces de Banach, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 82
    (1958), 73-80.

10. I. Singer   , Weak compactness, pseudo-reflexivity, and quasi-
    reflexivity, Math. Ann. 154 (1964), 77-87.

Department of Mathematics, Western Carolina University, Cullowhee, NC 28723

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru