

A NOTE ON NONFRAGMENTABILITY OF BANACH SPACES

S. ALIREZA KAMEL MIRMOSTAFEE

(Received 15 October 1999 and in revised form 4 May 2000)

ABSTRACT. We use Kenderov-Moors characterization of fragmentability to show that if a compact Hausdorff space X with the tree-completeness property contains a disjoint sequences of clopen sets, then $(C(X), \text{weak})$ is not fragmented by any metric which is stronger than weak topology. In particular, $C(X)$ does not admit any equivalent locally uniformly convex renorming.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B20, 46B26, 46E15, 54C45.

1. Introduction. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and ρ a metric on X . Given $\epsilon > 0$, a nonempty subset A of X is said to be *fragmented* by ρ down to ϵ if each nonempty subset of A has a nonempty τ -relatively open subset of A with ρ -diameter less than ϵ . The set A is said to be fragmented by ρ if A is fragmented by ρ down to ϵ for each $\epsilon > 0$. The set A is said to be *sigma-fragmented* by ρ [7] if for each $\epsilon > 0$, A can be expressed as $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,\epsilon}$ with each $A_{n,\epsilon}$ fragmented by ρ down to ϵ .

The notion of fragmentability was originally introduced in [11] as an abstraction of phenomena often encountered, for example, in Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodym property, in weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces and in the dual of Banach spaces. The notion of σ -fragmentability appeared in [10] in order to extend the study of compact fragmented space to noncompact spaces. It turns out that the question of whether a given Banach space with weak topology is sigma-fragmented by the norm is closely connected with the question of the existence of an equivalent Kadec and locally uniformly convex norm. The reader may refer to [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] for some application of fragmentability and its variants in other topics of Banach spaces.

Kenderov and Moors [13, 14] used the following topological game to characterize fragmentability and sigma-fragmentability of a topological space X .

Two players Σ and Ω alternatively select subsets of X . The player Σ usually starts the game by choosing some nonempty subset A_1 of X , then the Ω -player chooses some nonempty relatively open subset A_1 , say B_1 , then Σ will choose a nonempty set $A_2 \subset B_1$ and in turn, Ω picks up some nonempty relatively open subset B_2 of A_2 . By continuing this procedure, the two players generate a sequence of sets

$$A_1 \supset B_1 \supset \cdots \supset A_n \supset B_n \supset \cdots \quad (1.1)$$

which is called a play and is denoted by $p = (A_i, B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. If

$$p_k = (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_k) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n) \quad (1.2)$$

are the first “ n ” move of some play (of the game), then we call p_k a *partial play* of

the game. The player Ω is said to have won the play if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ contains at most one point. Otherwise the player Σ is said to have won this play. Under the term *strategy* for the player Ω , we understand a mapping ω which assigns to every partial play p_n a nonempty relatively open subset $B_n = \omega(p_n)$ of A_n . The play $(A_i, B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is called an ω -play if $B_i = \omega(p_i)$ for every $i \geq 1$. Similarly, the partial play p_n is called a *partial ω -play*, if $B_i = \omega(p_i)$ for each $i < n$. The map ω is called a *winning strategy* for the player Ω if he/she wins every ω -play. If the space X is fragmentable by a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$, then Ω has an obvious winning strategy ω . Indeed, to each partial play p_n this strategy puts into correspondence some nonempty subset $B_n \subset A_n$ which is relatively open in A_n and has d -diameter less than $1/n$. Clearly, the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ has at most one point because it has d -diameter 0. It turns out that the existence of a winning strategy for player Ω characterizes fragmentability.

THEOREM 1.1 (see [13]). *The topological space X is fragmentable if and only if the player Ω has a winning strategy.*

By Theorem 1.1, it was shown in [15] that X/c_0 , where X is the Haydon-Zizler subspace of ℓ^∞ [5] is not fragmented by any metric. According to a result of Ribarska [18], if a Banach space admits an equivalent strictly convex renorming, then it is fragmented by a metric. It follows that X/c_0 does not admit strictly convex renorming. This could be considered as an extension of [1].

Although ℓ^∞ taken with its weak topology is not sigma-fragmented by the norm, it is fragmented by a lower semi-continuous metric (see [9, Example 3.2]). However, in [14], it is shown that fragmentability and sigma-fragmentability in a Banach space may be related to each other in the following way.

THEOREM 1.2 (see [14, Theorems 1.3, 1.4, and 2.1]). *For a Banach space X the following are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, weak) is sigma-fragmented by a metric which is stronger than the weak topology;
- (ii) (X, weak) is fragmented by a metric which is stronger than the weak topology;
- (iii) there exists a strategy ω for the player Ω such that, for every ω -play $p = (A_i, B_i)_i$ either $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} B_i = \emptyset$ or $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{norm-diam}(B_i) = 0$.

It is known that whenever X is compact and extremely disconnected, then $C(X)$ contains an isometric copy of ℓ^∞ (see [2, page 18]), therefore it is not sigma-fragmented by the norm. However, there exists a compact Hausdorff space X (with the tree completeness property) such that $C(X)$ does not contain a copy of ℓ^∞ (see [4]). It is natural to ask if such a space is sigma-fragmented by the norm. The above result enable us to give an answer to this question. More precisely, thanks to Theorem 1.2, we will show that if a compact Hausdorff space X with the tree-completeness property has a sequence of disjoint clopen sets, then $(C(X), \text{weak})$ is not (sigma) fragmented by any metric which is stronger than the weak topology. It follows that $C(X)$ does not admit any equivalent locally uniformly convex norm.

2. Results. Let $T = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{0,1\}^k$. The elements of T , are finite (possibly empty) strings of 0's and 1's. The empty string $()$ is the unique string of length 0; more

generally, the *length* $|t|$ of a string t is n if $t \in \{0,1\}^n$. The *tree-order* is defined by $s < t$ if $|s| < |t|$ and $t(m) = s(m)$ for $m \leq |s|$. Each $t \in T$ has exactly two immediate successors, that is, $t0$ and $t1$.

A topological space X is said to have the *tree-completeness property* if whenever $\{V_t\}_{t \in T}$ is a sequence of disjoint clopen sets in X there exists some $b \in \{0,1\}^{N^*}$, $N^* = N \cup \{0\}$, such that $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in N^*} V_{b|n}}$ is open. Evidently, every infinite extremally disconnected space [3] has the tree-completeness property. However, as it was mentioned in Section 1, there exists a compact Hausdorff space with the tree-completeness property which is not extremally disconnected.

DEFINITION 2.1. A subset Y of a compact Hausdorff space X is C^* -embedded [3] in X if every function in $C(Y)$ can be extended to a function in $C(X)$.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $\{N_t\}_{t \in T}$ be a sequence of infinite subsets of N , such that

- (i) $N_t \subset N_s$, whenever $s < t$.
- (ii) $N_t \cap N_s = \emptyset$, if t and s are not comparable.

Let $\{V_n\}_{n \in N^*}$ be a sequence of clopen subsets of a compact Hausdorff space X , such that $\overline{\bigcup_{k \in N_t} V_k}$ is open for each $t \in T$. If X has the tree-completeness property, then there exists some $b \in \{0,1\}^{N^*}$, such that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{k \in N_{b|n}} V_k})$ is C^* -embedded.

PROOF. Let

$$Z_{(\)} = X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{k \in N_{(\)}} V_k}, \quad Z_{ti} = (X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{k \in N_{ti}} V_k}) \setminus \bigcup_{s \leq t} Z_s, \quad (2.1)$$

for $i = 0, 1$ and $t \in T$. Then $\{Z_t\}_{t \in T}$ is a sequence of disjoint clopen subsets of X . By the tree-completeness property of X , there exists some $b \in \{0,1\}^{N^*}$, such that

$$\bigcup_{n \in N^*} Z_{b|n} = \bigcup_{n \in N^*} (X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{k \in N_{b|n}} V_k}) \quad (2.2)$$

is clopen in X , thus it is C^* -embedded. \square

LEMMA 2.3. Let $\{V_n\}_{n \in N}$ be an infinite disjoint sequence of clopen subsets of a compact Hausdorff space X and $\mu \in C(X)^*$, where X has the tree-completeness property. Then there exists an infinite set $N_1 \subset N$, such that $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_1} V_n}$ is clopen subset of X and $|\mu(f)| < \epsilon$, whenever $\text{supp}(f) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_1} V_n}$ and $\|f\| \leq 2$.

PROOF. Suppose that $2\|\mu\| < n\epsilon$. Note that for every infinite subset M of N , there exists some infinite subset M_1 of M such that $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in M_1} V_n}$ is clopen.

If the lemma were not true, we can find infinite disjoint subsets M_1, \dots, M_n of N and continuous functions f_1, \dots, f_n such that

$$\text{supp}(f_i) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in M_i} V_n} \text{ (clopen)}, \quad \|f_i\| \leq 2, \quad \mu(f_i) \geq \epsilon. \quad (2.3)$$

Put $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$, since f_i 's have disjoint support, we have $\|f\| \leq 2$, but $\mu(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(f_i) \geq n\epsilon$. This is a contradiction. \square

THEOREM 2.4. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space with the tree-completeness property. If X contains a disjoint sequence of clopen sets. Then $(C(X), \text{weak})$ is not (sigma) fragmented by any metric which is stronger than weak topology.

PROOF. By [Theorem 1.2](#), it is enough to show that for each strategy ω for the player Ω there exists an ω -play $p = (A_i, B_i)_i$ such that, $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} B_i \neq \emptyset$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{norm-diam}(B_i) > 0$. Fix a strategy ω for the player Ω . By induction on $|t|$, $t \in T$, we will construct partial ω -plays $p_t = (A_{(\cdot)}, B_{(\cdot)}, A_{t|1}, \dots, A_t)$. Then, we will show that there is some $b \in \{0, 1\}^{N^*}$, such that the ω -play $p_b = (A_{(\cdot)}, B_{(\cdot)}, A_{b|1}, \dots)$ has the required properties.

Let $\{V_n\}_{n \in N}$ be an infinite disjoint sequence of nonempty clopen subsets of X . Let $N_{(\cdot)}$ be an infinite subset of N such $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_{(\cdot)}} V_n}$ is a clopen subset of X . For some $f_{(\cdot)}$ in the unit ball of $C(X)$, we define

$$A_{(\cdot)} = \left\{ f : \|f\| \leq 1, f(x) = f_{(\cdot)}(x) \text{ for } x \in X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_{(\cdot)}} V_n} \right\} \quad (2.4)$$

as the first choice of the player Σ . Therefore, we have the partial ω -play $p_{(\cdot)} = (A_{(\cdot)}),$ clearly $\text{norm-diam}(A_{(\cdot)}) = 1$. Suppose that for every t with $|t| \leq n$, the partial ω -play $p_t = (A_{(\cdot)}, B_{(\cdot)}, A_{t|1}, B_{t|1}, \dots, A_t)$ has already been defined. Let $B_t = \omega(p_t)$ be the relatively open subset of A_t , chosen by the player Ω according to his/her strategy as the answer to this movement. Let $f'_t \in B_t$, since B_t is a relatively open subset of A_t , there are linear functionals $\mu_1^t, \dots, \mu_{K_t}^t$ on $C(X)$ and $\epsilon_t > 0$, such that

$$\{f \in A_t : \|f\| \leq 1, |\mu_i^t(f - f'_t)| < \epsilon_t, 1 \leq i \leq K_t\} \subset B_t. \quad (2.5)$$

Applying [Lemma 2.3](#), we can find an infinite subset N'_t of N_t , such that $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in N'_t} V_n}$ is clopen and

$$|\mu_i^t(f)| < \epsilon_t \text{ whenever } \text{supp}(f) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in N'_t} V_n}, \|f\| \leq 2 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq K_t. \quad (2.6)$$

Suppose that N_{t_0} and N_{t_1} are two disjoint infinite subset of N'_t , such that each $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_{ti}} V_n}$ is clopen, $i = 0, 1$. Let $f_{ti} = f'_t \cdot \chi_{X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_{ti}} V_n}}$ and define

$$A_{ti} = \left\{ f \in A_t : f(x) = f_{ti}(x) \text{ for } x \in X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_{ti}} V_n} \right\} \quad (i = 0, 1). \quad (2.7)$$

Then A_{t0} and A_{t1} are subsets of B_t with norm diameter 1 and we have the partial ω -plays

$$p_{ti} = (A_{(\cdot)}, B_{(\cdot)}, A_{t|1}, B_{t|1}, \dots, A_t, B_t, A_{ti}) \quad (i = 0, 1). \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, by induction on $|t|$, we proved that, there are partial ω -plays

$$p_t = (A_{(\cdot)}, B_{(\cdot)}, \dots, A_t), \quad (t \in T), \quad (2.9)$$

such that the following conditions hold:

(i) A_t is of the form

$$\left\{ f : \|f\| \leq 1, f(x) = f_t(x) \text{ for } x \in X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_t} V_n} \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

(ii) for each N_t , $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_t} V_n}$ is clopen,

- (iii) $N_t \subset N_s$, when $s < t$,
- (iv) $N_t \cap N_s = \emptyset$, when s and t are not comparable,
- (v) $\text{norm-diam}(A_t) = 1$ for each $t \in T$,
- (vi) $f_t(x) = f_{ti}(x)$ for $x \in X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \in N_t} V_n}$ and $i = 0, 1$.

Applying [Lemma 2.2](#), we can find some $b \in \{0, 1\}^{N^*}$, such that every continuous function on $\bigcup_{n \in N^*} (X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{k \in N_{b|n}} V_k})$ has a continuous extension on X . By (vi), the function $f_b^*(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{b|n}(x)$ is continuous on $\bigcup_{n \in N^*} (X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{k \in N_{b|n}} V_k})$, thus it has a continuous extension f_b on X without increasing norm. Clearly $f_b \in \bigcap_{n \in N^*} A_{b|n}$. Thus $\bigcap A_{b|n} \neq \emptyset$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{norm-diam}(A_{b|n}) = 1$, that is, the ω -play $p_b = (A_{(\cdot)}, B_{(\cdot)}, A_{b|1}, B_{b|1}, \dots)$ does not satisfy [Theorem 1.2\(iii\)](#). This proves the theorem. \square

COROLLARY 2.5. *If a compact Hausdorff space X with the tree-completeness property has an infinite sequence of clopen sets, then $C(X)$ does not admit any equivalent locally uniformly convex norm.*

PROOF. It is known that if $(C(X), \text{weak})$ admits an equivalent locally uniformly convex norm then it is norm-fragmented (see [\[7, Theorem 4.2\]](#)). Thus the result follows from [Theorem 2.4](#). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank the referee for his comments and careful observations.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, ℓ^∞/c_0 has no equivalent strictly convex norm, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), no. 2, 225–226. [MR 81b:46029](#). [Zbl 432.46013](#).
- [2] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 15, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1977. [MR 56#12216](#). [Zbl 369.46039](#).
- [3] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1960. [MR 22#6994](#). [Zbl 093.30001](#).
- [4] R. Haydon, *A nonreflexive Grothendieck space that does not contain ℓ_∞* , *Israel J. Math.* **40** (1981), no. 1, 65–73. [MR 83a:46028](#).
- [5] R. Haydon and V. Zizler, *A new space with no locally uniformly rotund renorming*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **32** (1989), no. 1, 122–128. [MR 90g:46027](#). [Zbl 694.46011](#).
- [6] J. E. Jayne, I. Namioka, and C. A. Rogers, *Norm fragmented weak* compact sets*, *Collect. Math.* **41** (1990), no. 2, 133–163. [MR 93d:46035](#). [Zbl 764.46015](#).
- [7] ———, *σ -fragmentable Banach spaces*, *Mathematika* **39** (1992), no. 1, 161–188. [MR 93i:46027](#). [Zbl 761.46008](#).
- [8] ———, *σ -fragmentable Banach spaces*, *Mathematika* **39** (1992), no. 2, 197–215. [MR 94c:46028](#). [Zbl 761.46009](#).
- [9] ———, *Fragmentability and σ -fragmentability*, *Fund. Math.* **143** (1993), no. 3, 207–220. [MR 94j:46020](#). [Zbl 801.46011](#).
- [10] ———, *Topological properties of Banach spaces*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **66** (1993), no. 3, 651–672. [MR 94c:46041](#). [Zbl 793.54026](#).
- [11] J. E. Jayne and C. A. Rogers, *Borel selectors for upper semicontinuous set-valued maps*, *Acta Math.* **155** (1985), no. 1–2, 41–79. [MR 87a:28011](#). [Zbl 588.54020](#).
- [12] P. S. Kenderov and A. K. Mirmostafae, *Nonfragmentability of Banach spaces*, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **51** (1998), no. 5–6, 9–12. [MR 2001f:46024](#).
- [13] P. S. Kenderov and W. B. Moors, *Game characterization of fragmentability of topological spaces*, *Mathematics and Education in Mathematics*, 1996, Proceedings of the 25-th Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, April 1996, Kazanlak, Bulgaria, pp. 8–18.

- [14] ———, *Fragmentability and sigma-fragmentability of Banach spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60** (1999), no. 1, 203–223. [MR 2001f:46025](#). [Zbl 953.46004](#).
- [15] A. K. Mirmostafaei, *On non-fragmentability of Banach spaces*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **108** (1998), no. 2, 163–167. [MR 99e:46019](#). [Zbl 937.46012](#).
- [16] I. Namioka, *Radon-Nikodým compact spaces and fragmentability*, Mathematika **34** (1987), no. 2, 258–281. [MR 89i:46021](#). [Zbl 654.46017](#).
- [17] N. K. Ribarska, *Internal characterization of fragmentable spaces*, Mathematika **34** (1987), no. 2, 243–257. [MR 89e:54063](#). [Zbl 645.46017](#).
- [18] ———, *A note on fragmentability of some topological spaces*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **43** (1990), no. 7, 13–15. [MR 92d:54042](#). [Zbl 763.54021](#).
- [19] ———, *The dual of a Gâteaux smooth Banach space is weak star fragmentable*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), no. 4, 1003–1008. [MR 92g:46020](#). [Zbl 760.46017](#).
- [20] J. Yu and X.-Z. Yuan, *The relationship between fragmentable spaces and class \mathcal{L} spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 11, 3357–3359. [MR 97a:46016](#). [Zbl 865.47048](#).

S. ALIREZA KAMEL MIRMOSTAFAEI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DAMGHAN COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 364, DAMGHAN 36715, IRAN

E-mail address: mirmostafa@mail.com

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk