

RESEARCH NOTES

A SIMPLE CHARACTERIZATION OF COMMUTATIVE H^* -ALGEBRAS

PARFENY P. SAWOROTNOW

(Received 23 March 1998)

ABSTRACT. Commutative H^* -algebras are characterized without postulating the existence of Hilbert space structure.

Keywords and phrases. H^* -algebra, commutative H^* -algebra, maximal regular ideals, multiplicative linear functionals, Gelfand transform, completely symmetric algebra.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46K15, 46J40.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the space of all maximal regular ideals in a commutative H^* -algebra A and let $x(M)$, $M \in \mathfrak{M}$, denote the Gelfand transform of x , Loomis [3] (in the sequel we use notation of Naimark [5]). Then it is easy to show (see Theorem 1 below) that the series $\sum x(M)\bar{y}(M)$ converges absolutely for all $x, y \in A$. Also, if we assume that each minimal self-adjoint idempotent in A has norm one, then it is true that for each bounded linear function f on A ($f \in A^*$) there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(x) = \sum x(M)\bar{a}(M)$ for all $x \in A$.

In this note we show that these properties could be used to characterize commutative proper H^* -algebras of this kind. More specifically we show that each semi-single completely symmetric, Naimark [5], Banach algebra with the above properties is a proper H^* -algebra with respect to some Hilbertian norm which is equivalent to its original norm. Also, there is a characterization of *all* proper commutative H^* -algebras.

2. Characterizations. Let A be a complex commutative Banach algebra. We do not assume that A has an identity and so, because of this, we have to deal with regular maximal ideals. An ideal I in A is regular if the algebra A/I has an identity. If M is maximal regular ideal then it is closed and the algebra A/M is isomorphic to the complex field (Gelfand-Mazur theorem, complex case, Loomis [3, 22F]). It follows that there exists a continuous linear functional F_M , Loomis [3, 23B], such that $M = \{x \in A : F_M(x) = 0\}$, i.e., M is the kernel (null space) of F_M .

The Gelfand transform $x(\cdot)$ (we use the Naimark's notion, Naimark [5], here) of x is defined by setting $x(M) = F_M(x)$ (Loomis uses the notion $x^\wedge$ in Loomis [3, 23B]), where M is a regular maximal ideal in A .

The algebra A is said to be semi-simple if $\bigcap_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} M = (0)$ (as it is stated above, $\mathfrak{M}$ denotes the space of all maximal regular ideals as A). Equivalent condition: mapping $x \rightarrow x(\cdot)$ is one to one. The algebra A is said to be completely symmetric, Naimark [5],

if it has an involution $x \rightarrow x^*$ such that $x^*(M) = \bar{x}(M)$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}$.

More details of Gelfand theory could be found in Gelfand-Raikov-Silov [2], Loomis [3], Mackey [4], Naimark [5], Simmons [7], and others.

A proper H^* -algebra is a Banach algebra A with an involution $x \rightarrow x^*$ and a scalar product $(,)$ such that $(x, x) = \|x\|^2$ and $(xy, z) = (y, x^*z) = (x, zy^*)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Note that A is semi-simple. For simplicity, a nonzero self-adjoint idempotent will be called projection (e.g., Saworotnow [6]). A projection e is minimal if it is not a sum of two projections whose product is zero.

A completely symmetric commutative Banach algebra is a Banach algebra with involution $x \rightarrow x^*$ such that $x^*(M) = \bar{x}(M)$ for all $x \in A$ and $M \in \mathfrak{M}$, Naimark [5, Sec. 14].

THEOREM 1. *Each proper commutative H^* -algebra A is completely symmetric in the sense of Naimark [5]. Also, the series $\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} |x(M)|^2$ converges for each $x \in A$ and if we assume that each minimal projection in A has norm one, then each bounded linear functional f on A ($f \in A^*$) has the form $f(x) = \sum x(M) \bar{a}(M)$ ($x \in A$) for some $a \in A$.*

PROOF. First and second parts of the theorem follow from Loomis [3, 27G]. For each $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ there exists a minimal projection e_M such that $x(M) = (x, e_M) \|e_M\|^{-2}$, $x = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} x(M) \times e_M$ and $e_{M_1} e_{M_2} = 0$ if $M_1 \neq M_2$ (Loomis [3] uses notation “ e_α ” instead of “ e_M ”). Note that $\|e_M\| \geq 1$ for each $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ ($\|e_M\| = \|e_M^2\| \leq \|e_M\|^2$).

It follows that $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} |x(M)|^2 \|e_M\|^2 \geq \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} |x(M)|^2$. The last part follows from Loomis [3, 10G]: If we assume that each minimal projection has norm one, then $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} |x(M)|^2$ and $(x, a) = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} x(M) \bar{a}(M)$ for all $x, a \in A$ (and there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(x) = (x, a)$ for all $x \in A$). $\square$

Now we have a characterization of those commutative H^* -algebra in which each minimal projection has norm one.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a semi-simple commutative completely symmetric Banach algebra. Assume further that the series $\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} |x(M)|^2$ converges for each $x \in A$ and that for each bounded linear functional f on A there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(x) = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} x(M) \bar{a}(M)$ for all $x \in A$. Then there exists a Hilbertian norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ on A , equivalent to the original norm such that A is an H^* -algebra with respect to the scalar product $(,)$ associated with $\|\cdot\|_2$ and the original involution. Also, each minimal projection in A has norm 1.*

PROOF. For each $x, y \in A$, define $(x, y) = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} x(M) \bar{y}(M)$. This series converges absolutely for all $x, y \in A$, since

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |x(M_i) \bar{y}(M_i)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x(M_i)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k |y(M_i)|^2 \right) \quad (2.1)$$

for each finite subset $\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$. Hence, the inner product $(,)$ is defined everywhere on A . Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ be the corresponding norm, $\|x\|_2^2 = (x, x)$ for all $x \in A$. Let us show that A is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$.

First, note that the completion A' of A with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a proper H^* -algebra (since $\|x^*\|_2 = \|x\|_2$ for all $x \in A$). Hence, A' is semi-simple. (It is a consequence of

Loomis [3, 27A].) So we can apply [5, Sec. 12, Thm. 1]: there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|x\|_2 \leq C\|x\|$ for all $x \in A$.

Now, let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of numbers of A such that $\lim_{m,n} \|a_n - a_m\|_2 = 0$. Then there exists $\mathfrak{N} > 0$ such that $\|a_n\|_2 \leq \mathfrak{N}$ for each n . For each fixed $x \in A$ define

$$f(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (x, a_m). \quad (2.2)$$

From $|(x, a_m)| < \|x\|_2 \|a_m\|_2 \leq \mathfrak{N}C\|x\|$ we conclude that f is a bounded linear functional on A . Hence, there exists $a \in A$ so that $f(x) = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}} x(M) \bar{a}(M)$ for each $x \in A$. Let us show that $\|a - a_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, take n_0 so that $\|a_m - a_n\|_2 < \epsilon/2$ if $m, n > n_0$. Let $n > n_0$ and $x \in A$ be fixed. Then $\|a - a_n\|_2^2 = |(a - a_n, a - a_n)| \leq |(a - a_n, a - a_m)| + |(a - a_n, a_m - a_n)| \leq |f(a - a_n) - (a - a_n, a_m)| + \|a - a_n\|_2 \|a_m - a_n\|_2$.

Select $m > n_0$ so that

$$|f(a - a_n) - (a - a_n, a_m)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|a - a_n\|_2. \quad (2.3)$$

Thus

$$\|a - a_n\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|a - a_n\|_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|a - a_n\|_2 = \epsilon \|a - a_n\|_2, \quad (2.4)$$

and this implies that $\|a - a_n\|_2 < \epsilon$ for each $n > n_0$. So, A is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$.

It follows from [5, Sec. 12, Thm. 1] that the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ and the original norm $\|\cdot\|$ on A are equivalent.

It is also easy to see that A is an H^* -algebra with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ (and the original involution).

Let us show that every minimal projection in A has norm one. First note that the product of any two distinct minimal projections e_1 and e_2 is zero, $e_1 e_2 = 0$. It follows from the fact that $e = e_1 e_2$ is also a projection and that $e e_i = e_i$, $i = 1, 2$. This means that if $e \neq 0$, then both $e = e_1$ and $e = e_2$, which is impossible, since $e_1 \neq e_2$. Thus $e_{M_1} e_{M_2} = 0$ if $M_1 \neq M_2$ (as was remarked in a proof above). But this also means that every minimal projection e is of the form $e = e_{M'}$ for some $M' \in \mathfrak{M}$. It follows then that $e(M') = 1$ and $e(M) = 0$ if $M \neq M'$. Thus $\|e\|_2^2 = |e(M')|^2 = 1$. $\square$

For the general case we have Theorems 3 and 4 below, which constitute a characterization of any proper commutative H^* -algebra. The characterization is stated in terms of multiplicative functionals (it could also be done in terms of ideals) (needless to say, Theorems 1 and 2 could be restated in terms of multiplicative functionals also).

THEOREM 3. *For each proper commutative H^* -algebra A there exists a real valued function $k(q)$, defined on the set Q of all its continuous multiplicative linear functionals, with the following properties:*

- (i) $k(q) \geq 1$ for each $q \in Q$.
- (ii) The series $\sum_{q \in Q} |q(x)|^2 k(q)$ converges for each $x \in A$.
- (iii) For each $f \in A^*$ there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $f(x) = \sum_{q \in Q} q(x) \bar{q}(\alpha) k(q)$ for each $x \in A$ (A^* denotes the dual of A).

PROOF. It is easy consequence of Loomis [3, 27G] that for each nonzero member q of Q there exists a unique minimal projection e_q such that $q(x) = (x, e_q) \|e_q\|^{-2}$ and

$$x = \sum_{q \in Q} q(x) e_q \quad (2.5)$$

for each $x \in A$ (note that $\{e_q\}_{q \neq 0}$ is an orthogonal basis for A). We define the function $k(q)$ by setting $k(q) = \|e_q\|^2$ for each nonzero member q of Q and $k(0) = 1$. We leave it to the reader to verify that $k(q)$ has desired properties. $\square$

THEOREM 4. *Let A be a semi-simple commutative completely symmetric algebra and let Q be the set of all its continuous multiplicative linear functionals. Assume that there exists a real valued function $k(q)$ on Q with properties (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 3.*

Then A is an H^ -algebra with respect to some Hilbert space norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ equivalent to the original norm of A , and the original involution.*

PROOF. Define the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on A by setting

$$(x, y) = \sum_{q \in Q} q(x) q(y^*) k(q), \quad (2.6)$$

and take that corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ (with the property that $(x, x) = \|x\|_2^2$). Then we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Ambrose, *Structure theorems for a special class of Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1945), 364–386. MR 7,126c. Zbl 060.26906.
- [2] I. M. Gelfand, D. A. Raikov, and G. E. Silov, *Kommutativnye normirovannye koltsa. [Commutative normed rings]*, Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow, 1960 (Russian). MR 23#A1242. Zbl 134.32102.
- [3] L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Toronto, New York, London, 1953. MR 14,883c. Zbl 052.11701.
- [4] G. W. Mackey, *Commutative Banach algebras*, Notas Mat. No. **17** (1959), 210. MR 21#5909. Zbl 086.31203.
- [5] M. A. Naimark, *Normed rings*, P. Noordhoff N. V., Groningen, 1964, Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron. MR 34#4928. Zbl 137.31703.
- [6] P. P. Saworotnow, *On a generalization of the notion of H^* -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 49–55. MR 19,47a. Zbl 087.11402.
- [7] G. F. Simmons, *Introduction to topology and modern analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1963. MR 26#4145. Zbl 105.30603.

SAWOROTNOW: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA, WASHINGTON, DC 20064, USA

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk