Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
Vol. 22, No. 3 (1999) 445–458
S 0161-1712(99)22445-3

ON THE RITT ORDER AND TYPE OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY *BE*-DIRICHLETIAN ELEMENTS

MARCEL BERLAND

(Received 2 September 1997)

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notions of Ritt order and type to functions defined by the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)\exp(-s\lambda_n), \quad s=\sigma+i\tau, (\sigma,\tau)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R} \tag{$*$}$$

indexed by τ_0 on $\mathbf{R}$, where $(\lambda_n)_1^\infty$ is a D-sequence and $(f_n)_1^\infty$ is a sequence of entire functions of bounded index with at most a finite number of zeros. By definition, the series are *BE*-Dirichletian elements. The notions of order and type of functions, defined by *B*-Dirichletian elements, are considered in [3, 4]. In this paper, using a technique similar to that used by M. Blambert and M. Berland [6], we prove the same properties of Ritt order and type for these functions.

Keywords and phrases. Ritt order and type, entire functions of bounded index, *BE*-Dirichletian elements, Dirichletian elements.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30A16, 30A64.

1. Preliminary lemmas

DEFINITION 1.1 (B. Lepson [10])**.** An entire function f is said to be of bounded index if there exists a nonnegative integer ν such that

$$\max\left\{\frac{|f^{(k)}(s)|}{k!}\;\middle|\;k\in\{0,1,\dots,\nu\}\right\}\geq\frac{|f^{(j)}(s)|}{j!},\quad (f^{(0)}(s)=f(s)) \tag{1.1}$$

for all j and for all s. The least such integer ν is called the index of f.

THEOREM A (F. Gross [8])**.** *An entire function with at most a finite number of zeros is of bounded index if and only if it is of the form $P(s)\exp(\alpha s)$, where $P(s)$ is polynomial and α is a complex constant.*

THEOREM B (S. M. Shah [16])**.** *Let $f(s)=P(s)\exp(\alpha s)$, where α is any complex number and $P(s)$ is a polynomial of degree less than n. Then f is of bounded index and the index $\nu\leq p$, where p is any integer such that $p\geq n-1$ and*

$$\frac{n|\alpha|}{p+1}+\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2!}|\alpha|^2\right)\frac{1}{p(p+1)}+\cdots+\frac{|\alpha|^n}{(p-n+2)\cdots(p+1)}\leq 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $(\lambda_n)_1^\infty$ be a D-sequence (that is a positive strictly increasing unbounded sequence) and $(f_n)_1^\infty$ be a sequence of entire functions f_n of bounded index ν_n with

at most a finite number of zeros from Theorem A. As a result of the two theorems, we have $\forall s \in \mathbf{C}$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$

$$f_n(s) = P_n(s)\exp(\alpha_n s), \tag{1.3}$$

where $P_n(s)$ is a polynomial of degree m_n and α_n is a complex constant, that is,

$$s \longmapsto P_n(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} a_{n,j}\, s^j \quad \text{with } a_{n,m_n} \neq 0 \text{ and } s \in \mathbf{C}. \tag{1.4}$$

Let us suppose that $\exists k \in]0,\lambda_1[$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$

$$\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\overline{d_{(0,k)}}$ is the closed disc centered at 0 and of radius k.

Consider the space of elements

$$\{f_{\tau_0}\} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\sigma + i\tau_0)\exp(-s\lambda_n), \quad s = \sigma + i\tau,\ (\sigma,\tau) \in \mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R} \tag{1.6}$$

indexed by τ_0 on $\mathbf{R}$. By definition, $\{f_{\tau_0}\}$ is the *BE*-Dirichletian element. Let

$$\beta = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{m_n}{\lambda_n}\right\}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$A_n = \max\left\{\,|a_{nj}| \mid j \in \{0,1,\ldots,m_n\}\right\} \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}. \tag{1.8}$$

Consider the associated Dirichletian element

$$\{f_A\} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \exp(-s\lambda_n), \tag{1.9}$$

whose coefficients are strictly positive and denote, by $\sigma_c^{f_A}$, the abscissa of convergence of $\{f_A\}$.

Let us state three lemmas due to M. Blambert and M. Berland [6] which we use later. These demonstrations are obvious because this sequence $(\alpha_n)_1^{\infty}$ is bounded.

LEMMA 1.1. *If $\sigma_c^{f_A} = -\infty$, $\beta < \infty$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, then $\{f_{\tau_0}\}$ converges absolutely on* $\mathbf{C}$ *for any arbitrary τ_0 in* $\mathbf{R}$.

LEMMA 1.2. *If $\sigma_c^{f_A} = -\infty, \beta < \infty$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, we have $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{R}$*

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} f_{\tau_0}(\sigma + i\tau) = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

LEMMA 1.3. *If $\sigma_c^{f_A} = -\infty$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, we have $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, $\forall \sigma \in \mathbf{R}$*

$$\begin{aligned} &P_n(\sigma + i\tau_0)\exp[\alpha_n(\sigma + i\tau_0) - \sigma\lambda_n] \\ &\qquad = \lim_{\tau_2\to\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{\tau_2}\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} f_{\tau_0}(\sigma + i\tau)\exp(i\tau\lambda_n)\,d\tau\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

where τ_1 is any arbitrary real number.

2. Main theorems. Let us define the following quantities. For each σ on $\mathbf{C}$,

$$M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0}) = \sup\{\,|f_{\tau_0}(\sigma'+i\tau')|\mid \sigma'\geq\sigma,\tau'\in\mathbf{R}\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$M_{n'}(\sigma;f_{\tau_0}) = \sup\{\,|f_{\tau_0,n'}(\sigma'+i\tau')|\mid \sigma'\geq\sigma,\tau'\in\mathbf{R}\}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mu(\sigma;f_{\tau_0}) = \sup\{\,|f_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)|\exp(-\sigma\lambda_n)\mid n\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}\}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mu_{n'}(\sigma;f_{\tau_0}) = \sup\{\,|f_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)|\exp(-\sigma\lambda_n)\mid n\geq n'\}; \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$f_{\tau_0,n'}(s) = \sum_{n=n'}^{\infty} f_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)\exp(-s\lambda_n). \tag{2.5}$$

The quantities defined above are finite.

REMARK. The function $\sigma\mapsto M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})$ is decreasing onto $\mathbf{R}$.

THEOREM 2.1. *If* $\sigma_c^{f_A}=-\infty$, $\beta<\infty$, $\forall n\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n\in\overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, *we have*

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\{M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\}=0 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \lim_{\sigma\to-\infty}\{M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\}=\infty. \tag{2.6}$$

PROOF. We have $\forall\varepsilon\in]0,1[$, $\exists n'(=n'_\varepsilon)\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\forall n\geq n'$, $m_n/\lambda_n<\beta+\varepsilon$ and $\exists n''(=n''_\varepsilon)\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\forall n\geq n''$, $k/\lambda_n<\varepsilon$, $\forall\sigma>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=n_1(=\max\{n',n''\})}^{\infty}|f_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)|\exp(-\sigma\lambda_n)\\ &\quad\leq\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty}A_n\exp\left\{-\sigma\lambda_n\left[1-\left(\frac{(\beta+\varepsilon)\log(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)}{\sigma}+\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{|\tau_0|}{\sigma}\right)\right)\right]\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$\forall\varepsilon'\in]0,1-\varepsilon[$, $\exists\sigma'(=\sigma_{\varepsilon'})>0$, $\forall\sigma>\sigma'$,

$$\frac{(\beta+\varepsilon)\log(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)+\varepsilon|\tau_0|}{\sigma}<\varepsilon' \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma\left[1-\left(\frac{(\beta+\varepsilon)\log(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)+\varepsilon|\tau_0|}{\sigma}+\varepsilon\right)\right]\\ &\qquad>\sigma[(1-\varepsilon)-\varepsilon']>\sigma'[(1-\varepsilon)-\varepsilon'](>0).\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, $\exists n_1\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$M_{n_1}(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})<f_{A,n_1}(\sigma'[(1-\varepsilon)-\varepsilon'])=\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty}A_n\exp[-\sigma'((1-\varepsilon)-\varepsilon')\lambda_n], \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\{M_{n_1}(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\}=0. \tag{2.11}$$

On the other hand, we have, $\forall n \in \{1,2,\ldots,n_1-1\}$

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\{P_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)\exp[\alpha_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)-\sigma\lambda_n]\}=0 \quad (\text{with } \lambda_1>k \text{ and } \alpha_n\in\overline{d_{(0,k)}}). \tag{2.12}$$

We have

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\{M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\}=0. \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, $\forall\sigma<0$, if $M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})$ is bounded onto $\mathbf{R}$ implies that (from Lemma 1.3)

$$\forall n\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}:\{j\in\{0,1,\ldots,m_n\}\Longrightarrow a_{n,j}=0\}. \tag{2.14}$$

Or, thus, we get the contradiction that

$$a_{n,m_n}\neq 0 \quad \forall n\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma\to-\infty}\{M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\}=\infty. \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, (2.13) and (2.16) prove the theorem. □

Furthermore, let

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}=\limsup_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log^+\left(\log^+\left(M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\right)\right)}{-\sigma}\right\}, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}}=\liminf_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log^+\left(\log^+\left(M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\right)\right)}{-\sigma}\right\}. \tag{2.18}$$

By definition, $\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}$ and $\lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}}$ are the Ritt-order and the lower Ritt-order of function f_{τ_0} defined by *BE*-Dirichletian element $\{f_{\tau_0}\}$. Also, $M(\sigma;f_A)$ is defined in a similar manner with f_A in the place of f_{τ_0}. It is trivial that

$$\rho_R^{f_A}=\limsup_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log^+\left(\log^+\left(f_A(\sigma)\right)\right)}{-\sigma}\right\}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\lambda_R^{f_A}=\liminf_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log^+\left(\log^+\left(f_A(\sigma)\right)\right)}{-\sigma}\right\}. \tag{2.20}$$

THEOREM 2.2. *If* $\sigma_c^{f_A}=-\infty$, $\beta<\infty$, $\forall n\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n\in\overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, *and* $L(=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\{\log n/\lambda_n\})<\infty$, *we have* $\forall\tau_0\in\mathbf{R}$,

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}=\rho_R^{f_A} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}}=\lambda_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.21}$$

PROOF. (1) We get the inequalities, $\forall\tau_0\in\mathbf{R}$,

$$\rho_R^{f_A}\leq\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_R^{f_A}\leq\lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}}. \tag{2.22}$$

τ_0 is any arbitrary real number. Consider the closed interval $I(s,\lambda)=\{s'\in\mathbf{C}\mid|\sigma'-\sigma|\leq\lambda>0,\tau'=\tau_0\}$, where $\sigma'=\mathrm{Re}(s'),\tau'=\mathrm{Im}(s')$, and $s=\sigma+i\tau_0$. Let $\forall n\in\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$,

$$p_n(s,\lambda) = \sup\left\{ |P_n(s')| \mid s' \in \overline{d_{(s,\lambda)}} \right\}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$p_n^*(s,\lambda) = \sup\left\{ |P_n(s')| \mid s' \in I(s,\lambda) \right\}. \tag{2.24}$$

Using Lemma 1.3, we have $\forall \sigma' \in [\sigma-\lambda, \sigma+\lambda], \forall n \in \mathbf{N}\setminus\{0\}$,

$$|P_n(\sigma' + i\tau_0)| \exp[(\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_n) - \lambda_n)\sigma' - \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_n)\tau_0] \le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}), \tag{2.25}$$

and then (M. Blambert and M. Berland [6])

$$p_n^*(s,\lambda) \exp[-(\sigma+\lambda)(\lambda_n - \mathrm{Re}(\alpha_n)) - \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_n)\tau_0] \le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}), \tag{2.26}$$

$$6^{-m_n} p_n(s,\lambda) \le p_n^*(s,\lambda), \tag{2.27}$$

$$A_n(1+|s|)^{-m_n} \le p_n(s,\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \ge 1, \quad \text{(M. Berland [1])}. \tag{2.28}$$

Therefore, we have $\forall \lambda \ge 1$, $\forall \sigma \in \mathbf{R}$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\setminus\{0\}$

$$A_n \le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}) \exp\left\{ \left[\sigma + \lambda + \frac{m_n}{\lambda_n'} \log(6(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)) + \frac{\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_n)}{\lambda_n'}\tau_0 \right] \lambda_n' \right\}, \tag{2.29}$$

where $\lambda_n' = \lambda_n - \mathrm{Re}(\alpha_n)$.

We have $\forall \varepsilon \in]0,1[$, $\exists n_1 \in \mathbf{N}\setminus\{0\}$, $\forall n \ge n_1$

$$A_n \le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}) \exp\left\{ [\sigma + \lambda + (\beta' + \varepsilon) \log(6(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)) + \varepsilon] \lambda_n' \right\}, \tag{2.30}$$

and $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in]0,1[$, $\exists \sigma_1 (= \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}) > 0$, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma_1$

$$\frac{\lambda + (\beta' + \varepsilon)\log(6(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)) + \varepsilon}{-\sigma} < \varepsilon_1, \tag{2.31}$$

where $\beta' = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \{m_n/\lambda_n'\} (< \infty)$ which implies that, $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in]0,1[$, $\forall n \ge n_1$, $\forall \sigma < \sigma_1$

$$A_n \le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}) \exp[\sigma(1-\varepsilon_1)\lambda_n']. \tag{2.32}$$

Now, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\setminus\{0\}, \mathrm{Re}(\alpha_n) \le k$ (because $\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}$)

$$\lambda_n' = \lambda_n \left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_n)}{\lambda_n}\right) \ge \lambda_n \left(1 - \frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right). \tag{2.33}$$

We have, $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in]0,1[$, $\exists n' \in \mathbf{N}\setminus\{0\}$, $\forall n \ge n'$,

$$\frac{k}{\lambda_n} < \varepsilon_2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_n' \ge \lambda_n(1-\varepsilon_2) \quad (\Longrightarrow \beta' = \beta) \tag{2.34}$$

which implies that, $\forall \lambda \ge 1$, $\forall n \ge \max\{n_1, n'\} (= n_2)$, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma_1$

$$A_n \exp[-\sigma(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_n] \le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}). \tag{2.35}$$

Put, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\setminus\{0\}$

$$\mu_{n_{(1,2)}} = (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_n \quad (>0), \tag{2.36}$$

$(\mu_{n_{(1,2)}})$ is a D-sequence. Consider the Dirichletian element

$$\left\{f_{A_{(1,2)}}\right\} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \exp\left(-s\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right) \tag{2.37}$$

indexed by the couple $(1,2)$ and denote, by $\sigma_c^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}}$, the abscissa of convergence of $\{f_{A_{(1,2)}}\}$. We have, $\sigma_c^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = -\infty$ (since $\sigma_c^{f_A} = -\infty$), $f_{A_{(1,2)}}$ is an entire function and, its Ritt-order is

$$\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = \limsup_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log^+\left(\log^+\left(f_{A_{(1,2)}}(\sigma)\right)\right)}{-\sigma}\right\}, \tag{2.38}$$

and its lower Ritt-order is

$$\lambda_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = \liminf_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log^+\left(\log^+\left(f_{A_{(1,2)}}(\sigma)\right)\right)}{-\sigma}\right\}. \tag{2.39}$$

Now, $\forall\sigma\in\mathbf{R}$,

$$f_{A_{(1,2)}}(\sigma) = f_A\Big(\sigma(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\Big) \tag{2.40}$$

and

$$\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\rho_R^{f_A}, \tag{2.41}$$

$$\lambda_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.42}$$

Put (Q. S. Liu [11]) $\forall\sigma\in\mathbf{R}$,

$$\mu_{n_2}\left(\sigma; f_{A_{(1,2)}}\right) = \sup\left\{A_n\exp\left(-\sigma\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right) \mid n\geq n_2\right\}. \tag{2.43}$$

We have $\forall\varepsilon>0, \forall\sigma\in\mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{A_{(1,2),n_2}}(\sigma) &\leq \sum_{n=n_2}^{\infty}\left(A_n\exp\left[-(\sigma-L-\varepsilon)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right]\right)\exp\left[-(L+\varepsilon)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right] \\ &\leq \mu_{n_2}\left(\sigma-L-\varepsilon; f_{A_{(1,2)}}\right)K_{n_2}(\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

with $K_{n_2}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=n_2}^{\infty}\exp[-(L+\varepsilon)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}]$.

Hence, $\forall\varepsilon>0$, $\forall\sigma\in\mathbf{R}$

$$f_{A_{(1,2),n_2}}(\sigma) \leq \mu_{n_2}\left(\sigma-L-\varepsilon; f_{A_{(1,2)}}\right)K_{n_2}(\varepsilon). \tag{2.45}$$

Then we have, $\forall\lambda\geq 1$, $\exists n_2 = \max\{n_1, n'\}$, $\forall n\geq n_2$, $\forall\sigma<-\sigma_1$

$$A_n\exp\left(-\sigma\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right) \leq M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}). \tag{2.46}$$

This implies that

$$\mu_{n_2}\left(\sigma; f_{A_{(1,2)}}\right) \leq M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}). \tag{2.47}$$

From (2.45) and (2.47), we have

$$f_{A_{(1,2),n_2}}(\sigma+L+\varepsilon) \leq M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0})K_{n_2}(\varepsilon), \tag{2.48}$$

$$\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2),n_2}}} \leq \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\left(\lim_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left(\frac{\sigma-\lambda}{\sigma+L+\varepsilon}\right)\right) = \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}. \tag{2.49}$$

Or

$$\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2),n_2}}} = \rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} \quad \text{(M. Blambert [5])}. \tag{2.50}$$

We have, $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in]0,1[$, $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in]0,1[$

$$\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\rho_R^{f_A} \le \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}, \tag{2.51}$$

$$\lambda_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_R^{f_A} \le \lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}}. \tag{2.52}$$

As ε_1 and ε_2 are arbitrary, we have

$$\rho_R^{f_A} \le \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}, \quad \text{and then} \quad \lambda_R^{f_A} \le \lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}}. \tag{2.53}$$

(2) We get the inequalities, $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \le \rho_R^{f_A} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \le \lambda_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.54}$$

From Theorem 2.1, we have $\forall \varepsilon \in]0,1[$, $\forall \varepsilon' \in]0,1-\varepsilon[$, $\exists \sigma' > 0$, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma'$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma\left[1-\left((\beta+\varepsilon)\frac{\log(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)}{|\sigma|}+\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{|\tau_0|}{|\sigma|}\right)\right)\theta_\sigma\right] \\ &\qquad = \sigma\left[1+(\beta+\varepsilon)\frac{\log(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)}{|\sigma|}+\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{|\tau_0|}{|\sigma|}\right)\right] \\ &\qquad > \sigma\left[1+\left((\beta+2\varepsilon)\varepsilon'+\varepsilon\right)\right] = \sigma(1+\varepsilon_1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = (\beta+2\varepsilon)\varepsilon' + \varepsilon$, $\theta_\sigma = 1$ if $\sigma > 0$ and $\theta_\sigma = -1$ if $\sigma < 0$.

Hence, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma'$, $\exists n_1 \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$,

$$M_{n_1}(\sigma; f_{\tau_0}) \le f_{A,n_1}(\sigma(1+\varepsilon_1)), \tag{2.56}$$

which implies that

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0,n_1}} \le \rho_R^{f_{A,n_1}}(1+\varepsilon_1) = (1+\varepsilon_1)\rho_R^{f_A}, \tag{2.57}$$

and where

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0,n_1}} \le \rho_R^{f_A} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0,n_1}} \le \lambda_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.58}$$

Now, $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$M(\sigma; f_{\tau_0}) \le M^0_{n_1}(\sigma; f_{\tau_0}) + M_{n_1}(\sigma; f_{\tau_0}), \tag{2.59}$$

where

$$M^0_{n_1}(\sigma; f_{\tau_0}) = \sup\left\{ |\, f^0_{\tau_0,n_1}(\sigma'+i\tau') \,|\ |\ \sigma' \ge \sigma, \tau' \in \mathbf{R} \right\} \tag{2.60}$$

and

$$\left\{ f^0_{\tau_0,n_1} \right\} : \sum_{n=1}^{n_1-1} f_n(\sigma+i\tau_0)\exp(-s\lambda_n). \tag{2.61}$$

Then $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \le \max\left\{ \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0,n_1}}, \rho_R^{f^0_{\tau_0,n_1}} \right\} = \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0,n_1}} \tag{2.62}$$

since $\rho_R^{f^0_{\tau_0,n_1}} = 0$.

Finally, we have

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \leq \rho_R^{f_A}, \tag{2.63}$$

and, similarly, we can show that

$$\lambda_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \leq \lambda_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.64}$$

Hence, (1) and (2) implies (2.21) which proves this theorem. □

If $\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} > 0$, we put

$$\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \limsup_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log\left(M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\right)}{\exp\left(-\sigma\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\right)}\right\}, \tag{2.65}$$

$$\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \liminf_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log\left(M(\sigma;f_{\tau_0})\right)}{\exp\left(-\sigma\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\right)}\right\}. \tag{2.66}$$

By definition, $\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}}$ and $\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}}$ are the Ritt-type and the lower Ritt-type of order of f_{τ_0}.

It is trivial that if $\rho_R^{f_A} > 0$,

$$\tau_R^{f_A} = \limsup_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log\left(f_A(\sigma)\right)}{\exp\left(-\sigma\rho_R^{f_A}\right)}\right\}, \tag{2.67}$$

$$\nu_R^{f_A} = \liminf_{\sigma\to-\infty}\left\{\frac{\log\left(f_A(\sigma)\right)}{\exp\left(-\sigma\rho_R^{f_A}\right)}\right\}. \tag{2.68}$$

THEOREM 2.3. *If* $\sigma_c^{f_A} = -\infty$, $\beta < \infty$, $L(=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\log n/\lambda_n)) = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, $\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} > 0$, *we have* $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \tau_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.69}$$

PROOF. (1) We have the inequality, $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\tau_R^{f_A} \leq \tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}}, \tag{2.70}$$

τ_0 is any arbitrary real number. From Theorem 2.2, we have, $\forall \varepsilon \in]0,1[$, $\exists n_1 \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\forall n \geq n_1$, $\forall \sigma \in \mathbf{R}$; $\forall \lambda \geq 1$,

$$A_n \leq M(\sigma-\lambda;f_{\tau_0})\exp\left\{[\sigma+\lambda+(\beta'+\varepsilon)\log\left(6(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)\right)+\varepsilon]\lambda_n'\right\}, \tag{2.71}$$

where

$$\lambda_n' = \lambda_n - \mathrm{Re}\,(\alpha_n) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta' = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{m_n}{\lambda_n'}\right\} \quad (\beta' = \beta) < \infty. \tag{2.72}$$

Now, $\forall \sigma < 0$,

$$A_n \leq M(\sigma-\lambda;f_{\tau_0})\exp\left\{\left[\sigma-\lambda-\frac{\sigma(2\lambda+(\beta+\varepsilon)\log\left(6(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|)\right)+\varepsilon)}{-\sigma}\right]\lambda_n'\right\}. \tag{2.73}$$

Also, we have $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in]0,1[$, $\exists \sigma_1 (= \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}) > 0$, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma_1$,

$$\frac{2\lambda(\beta+\varepsilon)\log(6(1+|\sigma|+|\tau_0|))+\varepsilon}{-\sigma} < \varepsilon_1, \tag{2.74}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &\le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}) \exp\left\{[\sigma(1-\varepsilon_1)-\lambda]\lambda'_n\right\} \\ &\le M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}) \exp\left[\left(\sigma - \frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.75}$$

where $\forall n \ge n_2 = \max\{n_1, n\}$,

$$\mu_{n_{(1,2)}} = (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_n, \qquad \lambda'_n \ge \lambda_n(1-\varepsilon_2) \quad (\varepsilon_2 \in]0,1[) \tag{2.76}$$

which implies that, $\forall \lambda \ge 1$, $\forall n \ge n_2$, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma_1$

$$A_n \le M\left(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0}\right) \exp\left[\sigma\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right]. \tag{2.77}$$

$\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}}$ is the Ritt-type of order of f_{τ_0}, we have, $\forall \varepsilon' > 0$, $\exists \sigma' (= \sigma_{\varepsilon'}) > 0$, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma'$

$$\log\left(M(\sigma-\lambda; f_{\tau_0})\right) \le \left(\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} + \varepsilon'\right) \exp\left[-(\sigma-\lambda)\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\right]. \tag{2.78}$$

Hence, $\forall n \ge n_2$, $\forall \varepsilon' > 0$,

$$\log A_n \le \left(\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} + \varepsilon'\right) \exp\left[-(\sigma-\lambda)\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\right] + \left(\sigma - \frac{\lambda}{(1-\varepsilon_1)}\right)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}. \tag{2.79}$$

Let us consider f_n, the function defined by

$$f_n(\sigma) = a \exp\left[-(\sigma-\lambda)b\right] + \mu_{n_{(1,2)}}(\sigma + c), \tag{2.80}$$

and indexed by $n > n_2$. Choosing

$$a = \tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} + \varepsilon' > 0, \qquad b = \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} > 0, \qquad c = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon_1 - 1}, \tag{2.81}$$

we get $\forall n \ge n_2$, $\forall \sigma \in \mathbf{R}\backslash\{\sigma_n\}$,

$$f_n(\sigma) > f_n(\sigma_n) \tag{2.82}$$

with

$$\sigma_n - \lambda = \frac{1}{b}\log\left(\frac{ab}{\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}}\right) \tag{2.83}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n = -\infty \Longrightarrow \exists n_3 \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}, \quad \forall n \ge \max\{n_2, n_3\}, \tag{2.84}$$

$$\sigma_n < -\max\{\sigma_1, \sigma'\}, \tag{2.85}$$

where

$$\log A_n \le f_n(\sigma_n) = \frac{\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}}{b} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{b}\log\frac{ab}{\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}}\right)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}$$
$$\Updownarrow \tag{2.86}$$
$$\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\left(A_n^{b/\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}}\right) \le eab\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda b}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right).$$

Or, if $L(=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\log n/\lambda_n)) = 0$, we have

$$\tau_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} e\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\left(A_n^{\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}}/\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.87}$$

(M. Berland [3], following the theorem of Lindelöf-Blambert-Yu) and

$$\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \rho_R^{f_A} = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)}\rho_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}}, \quad \text{(Theorem 2.2)}, \tag{2.88}$$

$$\tau_R^{f_A} = \tau_R^{f_{A_{(1,2)}}}, \tag{2.89}$$

from which

$$(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_n\left(A_n^{\rho_R^{f_A}/[(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_n]}\right) \le e\left(\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} + \varepsilon'\right)\rho_R^{f_A}\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right) \tag{2.90}$$

and

$$A_n^{\rho_R^{f_A}/\lambda_n} \le A_n^{\rho_R^{f_A}/[(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_n]}. \tag{2.91}$$

Then, $\forall\varepsilon_1 \in]0,1[$, $\forall\varepsilon_2 \in]0,1[$, $\forall\varepsilon' > 0$, $\rho_R^{f_A} > 0$,

$$\tau_R^{f_A} \le \frac{\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} + \varepsilon'}{(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)}\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right), \tag{2.92}$$

as ε_1, ε_2, and $\varepsilon'$are arbitrary, we deduce immediately that

$$\forall\tau_0 \in \mathbf{R} : \tau_R^{f_A} \le \tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}}. \tag{2.93}$$

(2) We get, when τ_0 is a fixed real number, $\forall\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists\sigma_\varepsilon > 0$, $\forall\sigma < -\sigma_\varepsilon$,

$$|f_{\tau_0}(\sigma + i\tau)| \le |f_A(\sigma(1+\varepsilon))|. \tag{2.94}$$

In particular, $\forall\varepsilon' > 0$, $\exists\sigma_{\varepsilon'} > 0$, $\forall\varepsilon \in]0,\varepsilon'/\sigma_{\varepsilon'}[$, $\exists\sigma_\varepsilon > 0$, $\forall\sigma < -\max\{\sigma_\varepsilon,\sigma_{\varepsilon'}\}$

$$f_A(\sigma(1+\varepsilon)) \le f_A(\sigma - \varepsilon'), \quad \text{(M. Berland [3])} \tag{2.95}$$

and, hence, $\forall\varepsilon' > 0$,

$$\forall\sigma < -\max\{\sigma_\varepsilon,\sigma_{\varepsilon'}\} : M\left(\sigma; f_{\tau_0}\right) \le f_A(\sigma - \varepsilon'). \tag{2.96}$$

From $\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \rho_R^{f_A} > 0$, we get the inequality, $\forall \varepsilon' > 0$,

$$\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \leq \tau_R^{f_A} \exp\left(\varepsilon' \rho_R^{f_A}\right). \tag{2.97}$$

As ε' is arbitrary, we have

$$\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R} : \tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \leq \tau_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.98}$$

As a result of this theorem, we have an expression for $\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}}$ in terms of λ_n and A_n.

If $\sigma_c^{f_A} = -\infty$, $\beta < \infty$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$L\left(= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{\log n}{\lambda_n}\right)\right) = 0, \qquad \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} > 0, \tag{2.99}$$

we have

$$\tau_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\, e\, \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\lambda_n\left(A_n^{\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}/\lambda_n}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.100}$$

□

Remark. The notions of Ritt-type of order of functions, defined by $B-$Dirichletian elements, are considered in [3] with the same result of this theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *If $\sigma_c^{f_A} = -\infty$, $\beta < \infty$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha_n \in \overline{d_{(0,k)}}$, $L = 0$, $\lambda_n \sim \lambda_{n+1}$, φ defined by*

$$\varphi(n) = \frac{\log\left(A_n/A_{n+1}\right)}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}, \tag{2.101}$$

is a nondecreasing function of $n \geq n_1$, and $\rho_R^{f_A} > 0$, we have, $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \nu_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.102}$$

Proof. (1) We have the inequality, $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\nu_R^{f_A} \leq \nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}}. \tag{2.103}$$

Suppose that the inequality is false. Then

$$\exists \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R} : \nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} < \nu_R^{f_A}. \tag{2.104}$$

Let $\varepsilon \in]0, \nu_R^{f_A} - \nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}}[$, $\varepsilon' \in]0, \varepsilon/\nu_B^{f_A}[$ and $\nu = \nu_R^{f_{A-\varepsilon}}/(1-\varepsilon')$; then $\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} < \nu < \nu_R^{f_A}$. Under the conditions stated in Theorem 2.4, R. K. Srivastava [17] proved that

$$\nu_R^{f_A}\, e\, \rho_R^{f_A} = \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left\{\lambda_n\left(A_n^{\rho_R^{f_A}/\lambda_n}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.105}$$

which implies that $\exists n' \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $\forall n \geq n'$,

$$\nu e \rho_R^{f_A} < \lambda_n\left(A_n^{\rho_R^{f_A}/\lambda_n}\right). \tag{2.106}$$

Now, $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in]0,1[$, $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in]0,1[$, $\exists \sigma_1 (= \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}) > 0$, $\forall \sigma < -\sigma_1$, $\forall n \geq n_2 (= \max\{n_1, n'\})$,

$$A_n \leq M\left(\sigma - \lambda; f_{\tau_0}\right) \exp\left[\left(\sigma - \frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}\right], \tag{2.107}$$

where λ is a constant lying in $[1, \infty[$ and

$$\mu_{n_{(1,2)}} = (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\lambda_n \quad \text{(see Theorem 2.3)}, \tag{2.108}$$

which gives

$$\log A_n - \left(\sigma - \frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right)\mu_{n_{(1,2)}} \leq \log\left(M\left(\sigma - \lambda; f_{\tau_0}\right)\right), \tag{2.109}$$

$$\log A_n + \frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\mu_{n_{(1,2)}} - \sigma\mu_{n_{(1,2)}} \leq \log\left(M\left(\sigma - \lambda; f_{\tau_0}\right)\right). \tag{2.110}$$

Let us consider φ_n, the function defined by

$$\varphi_n(\sigma) = \frac{\alpha_n - \beta_n \sigma}{\exp\left[-(\sigma - \lambda)\rho_R^{f_A}\right]}, \tag{2.111}$$

and indexed by $n \geq n_2$. Choose

$$\alpha_n = \log A_n + \frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}, \qquad \beta_n = \mu_{n_{(1,2)}} \quad (>0). \tag{2.112}$$

This takes the maximum value at

$$\sigma_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} - \frac{1}{\rho_R^{f_A}} \quad \left(= \frac{\log A_n}{\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_R^{f_A}}\right), \tag{2.113}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\varphi_n(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \mathbf{R}\} &= \frac{\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}}{\rho_R^{f_A} e}\left(A^{\rho_R^{f_A}/\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}}\right)\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\rho_R^{f_A}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\log\left(M(\sigma_n - \lambda; f_{\tau_0})\right)}{\exp\left[-(\sigma_n - \lambda)\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\right]} \quad (\text{for } \rho_R^{f_A} = \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.114}$$

As $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$,

$$\mu_{n_{(1,2)}} < \lambda_n \Longleftrightarrow A^{\rho_R^{f_A}/\mu_{n_{(1,2)}}} > A_n^{\rho_R^{f_A}/\lambda_n} \tag{2.115}$$

which gives

$$\frac{(1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)}{\rho_R^{f_A} e}\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\rho_R^{f_A}\right)\lambda_n\left(A_n^{\rho_R^{f_A}/\lambda_n}\right) \leq \frac{\log M(\sigma_n - \lambda; f_{\tau_0})}{\exp\left[(-\sigma_n - \lambda)\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\right]}. \tag{2.116}$$

Finally, we have, $\forall \varepsilon_3 > 0$, $\exists (\sigma_n)_1^{\infty}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n = -\infty$,

$$\frac{\log M(\sigma_n - \lambda; f_{\tau_0})}{\exp\left[(-\sigma_n - \lambda)\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\right]} \leq \nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} + \varepsilon_3. \tag{2.117}$$

Hence, we get, $\forall \varepsilon_3 > 0$, $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in]0,1[$, $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in]0,1[$,

$$\left((1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_1}\rho_R^{f_A}\right)\right)\nu \le \nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} + \varepsilon_3. \tag{2.118}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ of $]0,1[$, we get

$$\nu \le \nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}}. \tag{2.119}$$

Thus, we get the contradiction that

$$\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} < (\nu \le) \nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \tag{2.120}$$

which proves, under the stated conditions, that it is impossible to find a τ_0 of $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} < \nu_R^{f_A}$.

(2) We have the inequality, $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}} \le \nu_R^{f_A} \quad \text{(see Theorem 2.3, 2)}. \tag{2.121}$$

As a result of this theorem, we have an expression for $\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}}$ in terms of λ_n and A_n, $\forall \tau_0 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\nu_R^{f_{\tau_0}}\, e\, \rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}} = \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left\{\lambda_n\left(A_n^{\rho_R^{f_{\tau_0}}/\lambda_n}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.122}$$

□

References

[1] M. Berland, *Capacité logarithmique et propriétés de minoration des polynômes*, 94e Congrès National des Sociétés Savantes, vol. 2, Bibliothèque Nationale, 1969, pp. 21–40.

[2] ———, *Sur la convergence de certaines séries d'exponentielles dont les coefficients sont des polynômes tayloriens*, Thèse, Grenoble, 1983, 1–100.

[3] ———, *Sur la notion de type de l'ordre à la Ritt de fonctions entières définies par des éléments L-Dirichlétiens*, J. Analysis **3** (1995), 17–41. MR 96e:30064. Zbl 868.30004.

[4] ———, *On the lower type of order of functions defined by B- and L-Dirichletian elements*, J. Analysis **4** (1996), 125–142. MR 97k:30003. Zbl 869.30003.

[5] M. Blambert, *Sur la comparaison des ordres borélien et (R) des fonctions entières d'une certaine classe*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **89** (1965), 103–125. MR 33#4274. Zbl 137.27001.

[6] M. Blambert and M. Berland, *Sur l'ordre (R) de certaines classes d'applications*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **98** (1974), no. 1, 63–80. MR 55 8328. Zbl 299.30008.

[7] M. Blambert and R. Parvatham, *On the lower order of entire functions defined by L-Dirichletian elements*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **3** (1979), no. 2, 199–213 (French). MR 80j:30007. Zbl 425.30021.

[8] F. Gross, *Entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 974–980. MR 36#1649. Zbl 185.14101.

[9] W. K. Hayman, *Differential inequalities and local valency*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 117–137. MR 47 5240. Zbl 248.30026.

[10] B. Lepson, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, Entire Functions and Related Parts of Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., LaJolla, Calif.) (Providence, RI), Amer. Math. Soc., 1968, pp. 298–307. MR 38#6069. Zbl 199.12902.

[11] Q. S. Liu, *On the growth of entire functions defined by B-Dirichletian elements*, J. Wuhan Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed. **4** (1989), 1–6 (Chinese).

[12] J. J. MacDonnell, *Some convergence theorems for Dirichlet-type series whose coefficients are entire functions of bounded index*, D. C. (1957), 1–41.

[13] S. Mandelbrojt, *Séries de Dirichlet. Principes et méthodes*, Monographies Internationales de Mathematiques Modernes, vol. 11, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969. MR 41#3721. Zbl 207.07201.

[14] R. Parvatham and J. Thangamani, *On the Ritt order of a certain class of functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **6** (1983), no. 1, 181–187. MR 84c:30047. Zbl 506.30017.

[15] Q. I. Rahman, *On the lower order of entire functions defined by Dirichlet series*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **7** (1956), 96–99. MR 20#5282. Zbl 074.29901.

[16] S. M. Shah, *Entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1017–1022. MR 38#6070. Zbl 164.08601.

[17] R. K. Srivastava, *On the coefficients of an integral function represented by Dirichlet series of finite order*, Gaṇita **13** (1962), 25–35. MR 29#2372. Zbl 122.31701.

BERLAND: 4, RUE DUPIN, 75006 PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: berland@email.enst.fr

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk