

THE GERGONNE POINT GENERALIZED THROUGH CONVEX COORDINATES

J. N. BOYD and P. N. RAYCHOWDHURY

(Received 27 May 1997 and in revised form 20 October 1997)

ABSTRACT. The Gergonne point of a triangle is the point at which the three cevians to the points of tangency between the incircle and the sides of the triangle are concurrent. In this paper, we follow Konečný [7] in generalizing the idea of the Gergonne point and find the convex coordinates of the generalized Gergonne point. We relate these convex coordinates to the convex coordinates of several other special points of the triangle. We also give an example of relevant computations.

Keywords and phrases. Convex (barycentric) coordinates, cevian, Gergonne point, incircle, Ceva's theorem.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 51N20; Secondary 51N10.

1. Introduction. When cevians of particular significance in the general triangle (medians, angle bisectors, etc.) are concurrent, their common point is often called a special point of the triangle. Such points have always held interest for geometers. In the past, we have discovered the convex coordinates [7, 6] of several special points at which cevians from the three vertices are concurrent [3, 2]. We choose the terminology "convex coordinates" rather than the more widely used "barycentric" or "trilinear coordinates" because of their relevance to convex sets [9].

Now, let the circle $C(I)$ be the incircle of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ as shown below. The cevians from the vertices to the points of tangency on the opposite sides of the triangle are concurrent at the point G which is known as the Gergonne point of the triangle [5, 8].




FIGURE 1. Incircle $C(I)$ with Gergonne point G in $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$.

The convex coordinates of a point P in the plane of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ and relative to this triangle may be taken as weights which if placed at vertices V_1 , V_2 , V_3 , cause P to

become the balance point for the plane. The plane is taken to be horizontal and otherwise weightless. Also, we require that the sum of the weights have unit value. If P belongs to the closed triangular region, then all the three weights are nonnegative.

We denote the weight placed at vertex V_i by α_i . Then point P has convex coordinates $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ with respect to V_1, V_2, V_3 , in that order, and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1$. For example, the convex coordinates of the vertices V_1, V_2, V_3 , are $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$, respectively, and the convex coordinates of the centroid of $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ are $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$. All points in the exterior of $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ must have at least one negative coordinate.

Let us return to the Gergonne point G and consider $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ with its incircle $C(I)$ as redrawn in Figure 2. The point at which $C(I)$ touches the side opposite to V_i is denoted by A_i . The points of tangency divide the sides into segments of lengths x_1, x_2, x_3 as shown in the figure.




FIGURE 2. $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$, Incircle $C(I)$ and Gergonne point G .

It is not a difficult task to show that the convex coordinates of G have the values

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{x_2 x_3}{w}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{x_1 x_3}{w}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{x_1 x_2}{w}, \quad (1)$$

where $w = x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3$. [1]

The lengths of the sides and measures of the angles of $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ are more immediately accessible numbers than are x_1, x_2 , and x_3 . So, let ℓ_i denote the length of the side opposite to vertex V_i and θ_i denote the measure of the angle at V_i . Figure 3 depicts the triangle again and establishes the notation for the work to follow.




FIGURE 3. $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ with sides and angles labeled.

The lengths of the sides and the values x_1, x_2 , and x_3 are related by the equations

$$\ell_1 = x_2 + x_3, \quad \ell_2 = x_1 + x_3, \quad \ell_3 = x_1 + x_2. \quad (2)$$

Thus, $x_1 = (\ell_2 + \ell_3 - \ell_1)/2$, $x_2 = (\ell_1 + \ell_3 - \ell_2)/2$, and $x_3 = (\ell_1 + \ell_2 - \ell_3)/2$. Substitution of these results into the expressions (1) for the convex coordinates of the Gergonne point would not improve their already pleasing appearance.

We have found that convex coordinates provide a straightforward method for investigating special points of triangles. Thus, we read with interest a problem proposed by V. Konečný [7] which concerns a generalization of the Gergonne point. In our paper, we find the convex coordinates of Konečný's generalized Gergonne point and, in the process, provide an independent proof that the relevant cevians are concurrent.

2. A generalization of the Gergonne point. Let I be the incenter of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ and let $D(I)$ be a circle concentric with incircle $C(I)$ as shown in Figure 4. Suppose that lines are drawn through I perpendicular to the sides of the triangle. These lines intersect the sides of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ at A_1, A_2, A_3 , the points of tangency between the triangle and the incircle and they intersect circle $D(I)$ at points B_1, B_2 , and B_3 .




FIGURE 4. $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ and circle $D(I)$.

Konečný's problem is to show that the cevians $\overline{V_1B_1}, \overline{V_2B_2}, \overline{V_3B_3}$ are concurrent. The point H at which the cevians are concurrent is a generalized Gergonne point. We compute the convex coordinates for H and the computational path to our result makes it obvious that the cevians are concurrent.

We begin by noting that there exists $\triangle W_1W_2W_3$ for which $D(I)$ is the incircle and for which H is the Gergonne point. This triangle is similar to $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$; its sides are parallel to the corresponding sides of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$; and the two triangles are "concentric". If the radius of $C(I)$ is $r > 0$, then the radius of $D(I)$ is $r + t$, where $-r < t$. The corresponding sides of the two triangles are a perpendicular distance of $|t|$ units apart and the similarity ratio for lengths in the two triangles is (length in $\triangle W_1W_2W_3$): (length in $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$) = $(r + t) : r$. The geometry of the two triangles and their incircles is shown in Figure 5.

Points B_1, B_2, B_3 divide the sides of $\triangle W_1W_2W_3$ into segments of lengths y_1, y_2, y_3 just as A_1, A_2, A_3 divide the sides of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ into segments of lengths x_1, x_2, x_3 . An additional crucial observation is that W_i, V_i, I are collinear for $i = 1, 2, 3$ since rays $\overrightarrow{W_iI}$ and $\overrightarrow{V_iI}$ bisect the congruent vertex angles at W_i and V_i .




FIGURE 5. The geometry of $\Delta V_1V_2V_3$ and $W_1W_2W_3$

Let R_i be the point at which $\overline{V_iB_i}$ intersects the side of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ opposite to vertex V_i . We must compute the lengths of $\overline{V_1R_3}$, $\overline{V_2R_3}$, $\overline{V_2R_1}$, $\overline{V_3R_1}$, $\overline{V_3R_2}$, and $\overline{V_1R_2}$. These segments serve as lever arms when weights $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are placed at V_1, V_2, V_3 and the cevians $\overline{V_1R_1}, \overline{V_2R_2}, \overline{V_3R_3}$ are taken to define balance lines. We show the calculations for the length of $\overline{V_1R_3}$ in some detail and then simply state the other five lengths.

We extend $\overline{V_1V_3}$ to intersect $\overline{W_1W_2}$ at point P and we draw angle bisector $\overline{W_1I}$ through V_1 as shown in Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. The geometry for computing $V_1 R_3$.

Since triangles $W_1W_2W_3$ and $V_1V_2V_3$ are similar with similarity ratio $(r+t) : r$, it should be clear that

$$y_1 = \left(\frac{r+t}{r}\right)V_1A_3 = \left(\frac{r+t}{r}\right)x_1, \quad (3)$$

where the meaning of x_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ is given in Figure 2. The length of $\overline{PB_3}$ is given by

$$PB_3 = y_1 - t \left(\cot \frac{\theta_1}{2} - \cot \theta_1 \right), \quad (4)$$

and the length of $\overline{PV_1}$ by $PV_1 = t(\cot(\theta_1/2) - \cot \theta_1)$.

Before proceeding, let us simplify our notation by letting

$$m_1 = \left(\cot \frac{\theta_1}{2} - \cot \theta_1 \right). \quad (5)$$

Then $PB_3 = y_1 - tm_1$ and $PV_1 = tm_1$.

Triangles PV_3B_3 and $V_1V_3R_3$ are also similar. Therefore,

$$V_1R_3 = \frac{(x_1 + x_3)PB_3}{x_1 + x_3 + tm_1}, \quad (6)$$

where $x_1 + x_3 = V_1V_3 = \ell_2$.

Appropriate substitutions yield

$$V_1R_3 = \frac{(x_1 + x_3)[((r+t)/r)x_1 - tm_1]}{x_1 + x_3 + tm_1}, \quad (7)$$

so that all values except t depend only upon the geometry of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$.


Letting $m_i = \cot(\theta_i/2) - \cot \theta_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we give the results of the other computations for lever arms

$$\begin{aligned} V_2R_3 &= \frac{(x_2 + x_3)[((r+t)/r)x_2 - tm_2]}{x_2 + x_3 + tm_2}, \\ V_2R_1 &= \frac{(x_1 + x_2)[((r+t)/r)x_2 - tm_2]}{x_1 + x_2 + tm_2}, \\ V_3R_1 &= \frac{(x_1 + x_3)[((r+t)/r)x_3 - tm_3]}{x_1 + x_3 + tm_3}, \\ V_3R_2 &= \frac{(x_2 + x_3)[((r+t)/r)x_3 - tm_3]}{x_2 + x_3 + tm_3}, \\ V_1R_2 &= \frac{(x_1 + x_2)[((r+t)/r)x_1 - tm_1]}{x_1 + x_2 + tm_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

At first glance, these expressions seem quite complicated but an examination of the subscripts should reveal their symmetry. The appearance of such symmetry gives confidence in the computations thus far. However, for the next calculations, a few changes in form are helpful. We make the substitutions suggested by equations (1) and (9). The result, denoted by (9), follows from the triangles shown in Figure 7.

We have extended $\overline{V_3V_2}$ to B on $\overline{W_1W_2}$ and drawn $\overline{AV_2}$ parallel to $\overline{V_1V_3}$. Thus, $\triangle V_1V_2V_3 \sim \triangle ABV_2$. The length of $\overline{V_2A}$ is tm_1 and the length of $\overline{V_2B}$ is tm_2 . From the similar triangles, we have $t\ell_2m_2 = t\ell_1m_1$. Taking the smaller triangle at a different vertex of $\triangle V_1V_2V_3$ yields

$$t\ell_1m_1 = t\ell_2m_2 = t\ell_3m_3 = k. \quad (9)$$

FIGURE 7. Similar triangles $V_1V_2V_3$ and ABV_2 .

Equations (7) and (8) become

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_1R_3 &= \frac{\ell_2[\ell_1((r+t)/r)x_1 - k]}{\ell_1\ell_2 + k}, \\
 V_2R_3 &= \frac{\ell_1[\ell_2((r+t)/r)x_2 - k]}{\ell_1\ell_2 + k}, \\
 V_2R_1 &= \frac{\ell_3[\ell_2((r+t)/r)x_2 - k]}{\ell_2\ell_3 + k}, \\
 V_3R_1 &= \frac{\ell_2[\ell_3((r+t)/r)x_3 - k]}{\ell_2\ell_3 + k}, \\
 V_3R_2 &= \frac{\ell_1[\ell_3((r+t)/r)x_3 - k]}{\ell_1\ell_3 + k}, \\
 V_1R_2 &= \frac{\ell_3[\ell_1((r+t)/r)x_1 - k]}{\ell_1\ell_3 + k}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

It becomes clear at this stage that $\overline{V_1R_1}$, $\overline{V_2R_2}$, and $\overline{V_3R_3}$ must be concurrent. That conclusion follows from Ceva's theorem since

$$\frac{V_1R_3}{V_2R_3} \cdot \frac{V_2R_1}{V_3R_1} \cdot \frac{V_3R_2}{V_1R_2} = 1. \tag{11}$$

To find the convex coordinates of H , the generalized Gergonne point at which the cevians are concurrent, we place weights $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3$ at V_1, V_2, V_3 respectively and require that the cevians $\overline{V_3R_3}$ and $\overline{V_1R_1}$ define balance lines. This means that

$$(V_1R_3)\alpha'_1 = (V_2R_3)\alpha'_2 \tag{12}$$

and

$$(V_2R_1)\alpha'_2 = (V_3R_1)\alpha'_3. \tag{13}$$

The weights are denoted by α'_i since we will not normalize coordinates until we have convinced ourselves that we have a triple of weights with point H as balance point. Then we write $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i / (\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3)$.

A bit of algebra suggests that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha'_1 &= (V_2 R_3)(V_3 R_1) = \frac{\ell_1[\ell_2((r+t)/r)x_2 - k]}{\ell_1 \ell_2 + k} \cdot \frac{\ell_2[\ell_3((r+t)/r)x_3 - k]}{\ell_2 \ell_3 + k}, \\ \alpha'_2 &= (V_1 R_3)(V_3 R_1) = \frac{\ell_2[\ell_1((r+t)/r)x_1 - k]}{\ell_1 \ell_2 + k} \cdot \frac{\ell_3[\ell_1((r+t)/r)x_3 - k]}{\ell_2 \ell_3 + k}, \\ \alpha'_3 &= (V_2 R_1)(V_1 R_3) = \frac{\ell_3[\ell_2((r+t)/r)x_2 - k]}{\ell_2 \ell_3 + k} \cdot \frac{\ell_1[\ell_1((r+t)/r)x_1 - k]}{\ell_1 \ell_2 + k}.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

The reader may satisfy himself that $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3$ do indeed satisfy equations (12) and (13). The two cevians define balance lines and their point of intersection must be the balance point. The third cevian defines a balance line if and only if

$$(V_3 R_2) \alpha'_3 = (V_1 R_2) \alpha'_1. \quad (15)$$

Substitution of the values for $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3$ into equation (15) yields $(V_3 R_2)(V_2 R_1) \times (V_1 R_3) = (V_1 R_2)(V_2 R_3)(V_3 R_1)$ which holds true if and only if

$$\frac{V_1 R_3}{V_2 R_3} \cdot \frac{V_2 R_1}{V_3 R_1} \cdot \frac{V_3 R_2}{V_1 R_2} = 1. \quad (16)$$

This last equation is valid by Ceva's theorem. Thus, the convex coordinates of the generalized Gergonne point H are given by

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha'_1}{\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha'_2}{\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{\alpha'_3}{\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3}, \quad (17)$$

where the definitions of $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3$ are given by equation (14).

3. Three checks and an example

CHECK 1. if $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ is equilateral, then $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$ and $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell_3$ which implies that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1/3$ as desired since the symmetry of the triangle forces H to coincide with the centroid.

CHECK 2. If $t = 0$, H becomes the Gergonne point. Letting $t = 0$ means that $k = 0$. Then equations (7), (8), and (14) imply that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ have the values given by equations (1).

CHECK 3. If $t \rightarrow -r$. Then H approaches the incenter I of $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ and the convex coordinates $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ approach the convex coordinates of the incenter,

$$\left(\frac{\ell_1}{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3}, \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3}, \frac{\ell_3}{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3} \right) \cdot [4] \quad (18)$$

EXAMPLE. Let $\triangle V_1 V_2 V_3$ be the 3-4-5 right triangle shown in Figure 8. Observation and a bit of computation yield that $\ell_1 = 5, \ell_2 = 3, \ell_3 = 4, r = \text{radius of incircle } C(I) = 1, x_1 = 1, x_2 = 3, x_3 = 2, \theta_1 = 90^\circ, \cot \theta_1 = 0, \cot(\theta_1/2) = 1$.

Let the radius of $D(I)$ be 2, which implies that $t = 1$. Then $k = t \ell_1 m_1 = t \ell_1 (\cot(\theta_1/2) - \cot \theta_1) = 5$.




FIGURE 8. The 3-4-5 right triangle located in the Cartesian Plane.

From equations (14) and (15), we obtain the convex coordinates of point H to be $\alpha_1 = 143/228$, $\alpha_2 = 33/228$, $\alpha_3 = 52/228$. Then the Cartesian coordinates of H are

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= \left(0 \cdot \alpha_1 + 4 \cdot \alpha_2 + 0 \cdot \alpha_3, 0 \cdot \alpha_1 + 0 \cdot \alpha_2 + 3 \cdot \alpha_3 \right) \\ &= \left(4 \cdot \frac{33}{228}, 3 \cdot \frac{52}{228} \right) = \left(\frac{11}{9}, \frac{13}{19} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

These Cartesian coordinates can also be found by analytic geometry as a further check upon the accuracy of our computations.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. N. Boyd, *Convex coordinates of the Gergonne point*, New York State Mathematics Teachers' Journal **35** (1985), 95–98.
- [2] J. N. Boyd, J. S. Cooper, and P. N. Raychowdhury, *Euclidean geometry from classical mechanics*, Internat. J. Math. Ed. Sci. Tech. **20** (1989), 383–390.
- [3] J. N. Boyd and P. N. Raychowdhury, *Applications of extended convex coordinates*, Math. Gaz. **71** (1987), 209–214.
- [4] ———, *Random processes with convex coordinates on triangular graphs*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **20** (1997), no. 2, 385–392. MR 97k:60187. Zbl 884.60068.
- [5] H. S. M. Coxeter and S. L. Greitzer, *Geometry revisited*, vol. XIV, Random House: The L. W. Singer Company, New York, 1967. Zbl 166.16402.
- [6] M. Hausner, *The center of mass and affine geometry*, Amer. Math. Monthly **69** (1962), 724–737. Zbl 109.38903.
- [7] V. Konečny, *Problem department*, Math. Mag. **63** (1990), 130–131.
- [8] J. R. Smart, *Modern geometry*, Brook/Cole Publishing Co., Pacific Grove, California, 1994. Zbl 863.51001.
- [9] I. M. Yaglom and V. G. Boltyanskii, *Vypuklye figury. [Convex figures.]*, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn. Teor. Lit., Moscow, Leningrad, 1961 (Russian). MR 14,197d.

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk