

EFFECTIVENESS OF SIMILAR SETS OF POLYNOMIALS OF TWO COMPLEX VARIABLES IN POLYCYLINDERS AND IN FABER REGIONS

K.A.M. SAYYED

Department of Mathematics
University of Assiut
Assiut 71516, EGYPT

and

M.S. METWALLY

Department of Mathematics
University of Assiut
Assiut 71516, EGYPT

(Received November 16, 1995 and in revised form April 21, 1997)

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we investigate the effectiveness of similar sets of polynomials of two complex variables in polycylinder and in Faber regions of the four dimensional space E^4

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Simple sets, similar sets, Faber polynomials, Faber regions, polycylinders.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 32A15

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ be a basic set of polynomials of two complex variables, where

$$p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} p_{m,n,i,j} z_1^i z_2^j. \quad (1.1)$$

The index of the last monomials in a given polynomial is called the degree of the polynomial and a set $\{p_j(z_1, z_2)\}$ of polynomials in which j equals the degree of the polynomials $p_j(z_1, z_2)$ is called a simple set. If the set of polynomials consists of a base, then every monomial $z_1^m z_2^n$, $m, n \geq 0$ admits a unique finite representation of the form

$$z_1^m z_2^n = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} \bar{p}_{m,n,i,j} p_{ij}(z_1, z_2). \quad (1.2)$$

Suppose that the function $f(z_1, z_2)$ is regular in a neighborhood of the origin $(0, 0)$, then it can be represented by the set of polynomials in some polycylinder $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$ as follows $(\Gamma_{r_1, r_2}; r_k > 0, k = 1, 2)$ is the open connected set defined as follows $\Gamma_{r_1, r_2} = \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_k| < r_k\}$ its closure is denoted by $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z_1^m z_2^n = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{m,n,j} p_j(z_1, z_2) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod f(0, 0) p_j(z_1, z_2) \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\prod f(0, 0) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \bar{p}_{m,n,j}.$$

The basic set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ of polynomials is said to represent the function $f(z_1, z_2)$ in the polycylinder $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$, where the function is regular, if the last series in (1.3) converges uniformly to $f(z_1, z_2)$ in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$. If the basic set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ represents in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$ every function which is regular there then the set is said to be effective in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$.

The Cannon sum $\omega_{m,n}(r_1, r_2)$ and the Cannon function $\lambda(r_1, r_2)$ of the set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ for the polycylinder $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$ are defined as follows (c.f. [3], pp 52, 55)

$$\omega_{m,n}(r_1, r_2) = r_1^m r_2^n \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} |\bar{p}_{m,n,i,j}| M(p_{i,j}; r_1, r_2) \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) = \limsup_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \{\omega_{m,n}(r_1, r_2)\}^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$M(p_{i,j}; r_1, r_2) = \max_{\Gamma_{r_1, r_2}} |p_{i,j}(z_1, z_2)|. \quad (1.6)$$

The product set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ of the two set $\{p_{m,n}^{(i)}(z_1, z_2)\}$, $i = 1, 2$ where $p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{m,n} p_{m,n,j,k} z_1^j z_2^k$ and $p_{m,n}^{(i)}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{m,n} p_{m,n,j,k}^{(i)} z_1^j z_2^k$ if $p_{m,n,j,k} = \sum_{s,t} p_{m,n,s,t}^{(1)} p_{s,t,j,k}^{(2)}$ and is written as follows $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\} = \{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{p_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\}$.

To define the Faber regions in the four dimensional space E^4 , let $C^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2$ be Faber curves in the z_k -planes and suppose that the corresponding Faber transformation is

$$z_k = \phi_k(t_k) \equiv t_k + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{(k)} t_k^{-n}, \quad (1.7)$$

where the functions $\phi_k(t_k)$ are regular and one-to-one (c.f. Newens [4]) for $|t_k| > T_k$. Therefore, each of the curve $C^{(k)}$ is the map in the z_k -plane of the circle $|t_k| = \gamma_k$ by the above transformation, where $\gamma_k > T_k$. For $r > T_k$, the map of the circle $|t_k| = r$ is the curve $C^{(k)}$ so that $C^{(k)}$ is really $C\gamma_k^{(k)}$. Adopting the notation of Breadze [2] we denote by B_{r_1, r_2} ; $r_k > T_k$, the product set

$$B_{r_1, r_2} = D(C_1^{(1)}) \times D(C_2^{(2)}) \quad (1.8)$$

and the closure of B_{r_1, r_2} is denoted by \bar{B}_{r_1, r_2} . (Adopting the familiar notation, if C is a closed curve we denote by $D(C)$ the interior of C , the closure of $D(C)$ is denoted by $\bar{D}(C)$.) The sets B_{r_1, r_2} and \bar{B}_{r_1, r_2} are called the Faber regions in the space E^4 of the two complex variables z_1 and z_2 .

Assuming that $\{f_n^{(k)}(z_k)\}$ is the ϕ_r -base, let $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ be a simple set of polynomials which admits the representation

$$f_m^{(1)}(z_1) f_n^{(2)}(z_2) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} \bar{p}_{m,n,i,j} p_{i,j}(z_1, z_2); (m, n \geq 0). \quad (1.9)$$

Then the Cannon sum $\Omega_{m,n}(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ and the Cannon function $\Omega(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ of the set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ for the region \bar{B}_{ρ_1, ρ_2} is

$$\Omega_{m,n}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1^m \rho_2^n \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} |\bar{p}_{m,n,i,j}| M(p_{i,j}; \rho_1, \rho_2) \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\Omega(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \limsup_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \{\Omega_{m,n}(\rho_1, \rho_2)\}^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$M(p_{i,j}; \rho_1, \rho_2) = \max_{\bar{B}_{\rho_1, \rho_2}} |p_{i,j}(z_1, z_2)|.$$

Effectiveness of simple sets in Faber regions is justified by the following theorem due to Adepoju [1]
Theorem 4.3.3.

THEOREM 1.2. The necessary and sufficient condition for the set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\}$ to be effective in the Faber region \bar{B}_{ρ_1, ρ_2} is that

$$\Omega(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1 \rho_2. \quad (1.12)$$

We now state the definition and properties of associated sets for the Faber region \bar{B}_{r_1, r_2} ; $r_k > 0$. Actually, if the simple set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\}$ is given by

$$p_{m,n}(z_1, z_x) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} q_{m,n,i,j} f_i^{(1)}(z_1) f_j^{(2)}(z_2); (m, n \geq 0), \quad (1.13)$$

then the required associated set is the simple set $\{q_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\}$ constructed as follows

$$q_{m,n}(z_1, z_x) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} q_{m,n,i,j} z_1^i z_2^j. \quad (1.14)$$

It is seen, from (1.13) and (1.14), that

$$\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\} = \{q_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\} \{f_m^{(1)}(z_1) f_n^{(2)}(z_2)\}, \quad (1.15)$$

and if the set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\}$ admits the representation (1.9), then

$$z_1^m z_2^n = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} \bar{p}_{m,n,i,j} q_{ij}(z_1, z_x); (m, n \geq 0). \quad (1.16)$$

Finally, if $\Omega(r_1, r_2)$ is the Cannon function of the set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\}$ for the Faber region \bar{B}_{r_1, r_2} , as given by (1.10) and (1.11), and if $\lambda(r_1, r_2)$ is the Cannon function of the associated set $\{q_{m,n}(z_1, z_x)\}$ for the polycylinder $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$, derived from (1.16) as in (1.4) and (1.6), then according to [1], that

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) = \Omega(r_1, r_2). \quad (1.17)$$

2. EFFECTIVENESS IN POLYCYLINDERS OF SIMILAR SETS OF POLYNOMIALS OF TWO COMPLEX VARIABLES IN SIMPLE SETS

Let $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(r_1, r_2)\}$ be two basic sets of polynomials of two complex variables and suppose that the set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is the set similar to the set $\{p_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ with respect to the set $\{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$, then according to [6]

$$\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\} = \{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{p_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{h,k} \sum_{s,t} p_{m,n,i,j}^{(1)} p_{i,j,h,k}^{(2)} \bar{p}_{h,k,s,t}^{(1)} z_1^s z_2^t.$$

Also, we shall consider the normalizing function of the polynomials $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$, and $\{\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ for the polycylinder, in the form

$$\mu^{(k)}(r_1, r_2) = \limsup_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \{r_1^m r_2^n M(p_{m,n}^{(k)}; r_1, r_2)\}^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\bar{\mu}^{(k)}(r_1, r_2) = \limsup_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \{r_1^m r_2^n M(\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(k)}; r_1, r_2)\}^{\frac{1}{m+n}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Write $\{\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$, $\{\tilde{p}_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ and $\{\hat{p}_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ for the inverse, transposed and transposed inverse of the sets $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$.

Our first result is the following:

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ be the similar sets of polynomials as given in (2.1), then, in view of the notations of (2.2) and (2.3) we have the following inequality

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) \leq \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{\phi_1\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}\right)} \mu^{(1)}\left\{\frac{r_1}{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)} \phi_1\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}\right), \frac{r_2}{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)} \phi_1\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}\right)\right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\phi_1(r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{\phi(r_1, r_2)} \bar{\mu}^{(2)}\{r_1 \phi(r_1, r_2), r_2 \phi(r_1, r_2)\}$$

and

$$\phi(r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{\mu^{(2)}(r_1, r_2)} \lambda^{(1)}\left\{\frac{\mu^{(2)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\mu^{(2)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}\right\}.$$

PROOF. If the right hand side of (2.4) is infinite there is nothing to prove. If it is finite, let $\rho_1, \rho'_1, \rho_2, \rho'_2$ be positive numbers, chosen such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{r_1}{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)} \phi_1\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}\right) &< \rho_1 < \rho'_1 \\ \frac{r_2}{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)} \phi_1\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}\right) &< \rho_2 < \rho'_2 \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

If $\gamma = \frac{\mu^{(2)}\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}\right)}{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}$, then choose the positive numbers R_1, R'_1, R_2, R'_2 such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r_2 \gamma} \lambda^{(1)}(r_1 \gamma, r_2 \gamma) &< R_1 < R'_1 \\ \frac{1}{r_1 \gamma} \lambda^{(1)}(r_1 \gamma, r_2 \gamma) &< R_2 < R'_2 \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Take the numbers $\tau_1, \tau'_1, \tau_2, \tau'_2$ satisfying the inequalities

$$\left. \begin{aligned} r_1 \gamma < \tau_1 < \tau'_1 \\ r_2 \gamma < \tau_2 < \tau'_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.7)$$

and the numbers $\eta_1 < \eta'_1$ and $\eta_2 < \eta'_2$ such that

$$\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2) < \delta, \quad \delta < (r_2 \eta_1, r_1 \eta_2). \quad (2.8)$$

Then from (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) we have the following

$$\mu^{(2)}(\eta_1, \eta_2) < \tau, \quad \tau < (\tau_1 \eta'_2, \tau_2 \eta'_1), \quad (2.9)$$

and from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) yields

$$\lambda^{(1)}(\tau_1, \tau_2) < R'_1 \tau'_2, \quad \lambda^{(1)}(\tau_1, \tau_2) < R'_2 \tau'_1, \quad (2.10)$$

and from (2.5) and (2.6) we get

$$\bar{\mu}^{(2)}(R_1, R_2) < \rho, \quad \rho < (\rho'_1 R'_2, \rho'_2 R'_1). \quad (2.11)$$

Then from (2.3), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.11) we get

$$M(\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(1)}; r_1, r_2) < K \frac{\delta^{m+n}}{r_1^n r_2^m}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$M(p_{m,n}^{(2)}; \eta_1, \eta_2) < K \frac{\tau^{m+n}}{\eta_1^n \eta_2^m}, \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$M(\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(2)}; R_1, R_2) < K \frac{\rho^{m+n}}{R_1^n R_2^m}. \quad (2.14)$$

Using (2.13) and Cauchy's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\tilde{p}_{h,k}^{(2)}; \frac{1}{\tau_1}, \frac{1}{\tau_2}\right) &\leq \sum_{m,n} \left| p_{m,n,h,k}^{(2)} \right| \left(\frac{1}{\tau_1} \right)^m \left(\frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^n \\ &\leq \sum_{m,n} \frac{M(p_{m,n}^{(2)}; \eta_1, \eta_2)}{\eta_1^k \eta_2^k} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_1} \right)^m \left(\frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^n \\ &< \frac{K}{\eta_1^k \eta_2^k} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\tau_1^{m+n}}{\eta_1^n \eta_2^m \tau_1^m \tau_2^n} < \frac{K}{\eta_1^k \eta_2^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Also from (2.12) and Cauchy's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\hat{p}_{h,k}^{(2)}; \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_2}\right) &\leq \sum_{m,n} \left| \bar{p}_{m,n,h,k}^{(2)} \right| \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \right)^m \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} \right)^n \\ &\leq \sum_{m,n} \frac{M(\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(2)}; R_1, R_2)}{R_1^h R_2^k} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \right)^m \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} \right)^n \\ &< \frac{K}{R_1^h R_2^k} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\rho_1^{m+n}}{R_1^n R_2^m} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \right)^m \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} \right)^n < K \frac{1}{R_1^h R_2^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.8), (2.15) and Cauchy's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(p_{m,n}; r_1, r_2) &\leq \sum_{i,j,h,k,s,t} \left| p_{m,n,i,j}^{(1)} \right| \left| p_{i,j,h,k}^{(2)} \right| \left| \bar{p}_{h,k,s,t}^{(t)} \right| r_1^s r_2^t \\ &\leq M(p_{m,n}^{(1)}; \tau_1, \tau_2) \sum_{i,j,h,k} M\left(\tilde{p}_{h,k}^{(2)}; \frac{1}{\tau_1}, \frac{1}{\tau_2}\right) M(\bar{p}_{h,k}^{(1)}; r_1, r_2) \\ &< K M(p_{m,n}^{(1)}; \tau_1, \tau_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Inserting (2.10), (2.16) and (2.17) in the Cannon sum $\omega_{m,n}(r_1, r_2)$ of the similar set, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{m,n}(r_1, r_2) &= r_1^n r_2^m \sum_{i,j,h,k,s,t} \left| p_{m,n,i,j}^{(1)} \right| \left| \bar{p}_{i,j,h,k}^{(2)} \right| \left| \bar{p}_{h,k,s,t}^{(1)} \right| M(p_{s,t}; r_1, r_2) \\ &\leq r_1^n r_2^m M(p_{m,n}^{(1)}; \rho_1, \rho_2) \sum_{h,k} M\left(\hat{p}_{h,k}^{(2)}; \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_2}\right) \frac{\lambda_{h,k}^{(1)}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\tau_1^k \tau_2^h} \\ &< K r_1^n r_2^m M(p_{m,n}^{(1)}; \rho_1, \rho_2) = K \left(\frac{r_1}{\rho_1} \right)^n \left(\frac{r_2}{\rho_2} \right)^m \rho_1^n \rho_2^m M(p_{m,n}^{(1)}; \rho_1, \rho_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

If $\frac{r_1}{\rho_1} \leq \frac{r_2}{\rho_2}$ we have

$$\omega_{m,n}(r_1, r_2) < K \left(\frac{r_2}{\rho_2} \right)^{m+n} \rho_1^n \rho_2^m M(p_{m,n}^{(1)}; \rho_1, \rho_2). \quad (2.19)$$

Taking the limit of its $(m+n)$ -th root letting $m+n \rightarrow \infty$ then (2.19) yields

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) \leq \frac{r_2}{\rho_2} \mu^{(1)}(\rho_1, \rho_2). \quad (2.20)$$

Finally since ρ_1 and ρ_2 can be chosen arbitrarily near to

$$\frac{r_1}{\mu^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)} \phi_1 \left(\frac{\mu^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\mu^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{r_2}{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)} \phi_1 \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}, \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} \right)$$

respectively, the required inequality (2.4) of the theorem can be deduced from (2.20). The same result can be arrived at when $\frac{r_2}{\rho_2} \leq \frac{r_1}{\rho_1}$ and the theorem is therefore established.

The following corollaries are a direct consequence of the above theorem

COROLLARY 2.1. If the set $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ are simple and if the inner set $\{p_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is absolute monic (the leading coefficient in the simple set is equal to one) and effective in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$, then, for the similar set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$, we have

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) \leq \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} \mu^{(1)} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{\gamma_2}, \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{\gamma_1} \right\} \quad (2.21)$$

where $\gamma_1 = \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_2}$ and $\gamma_2 = \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1}$

PROOF. Since $\{p_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is a simple monic set of polynomials which is effective in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$ then

$$\mu^{(2)}(r_1, r_2) = r_1 r_2. \quad (2.22)$$

Hence, from the inequality (2.4) it follows that

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) \leq \frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}(r_1, r_2)}{\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} \mu^{(1)} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{\gamma_2}, \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{\gamma_1} \right\}. \quad (2.23)$$

COROLLARY 2.2. If the set $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ are simple monic sets and the two sets effective in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$, then the Cannon function of the similar set satisfies the following equality

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) = \lambda^{(1)}(r_1, r_2). \quad (2.24)$$

PROOF. Since $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ are simple monic sets of polynomials and effective in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$, then

$$\mu^{(1)}(r_1, r_2) = r_1, r_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^{(2)}(r_1, r_2) = r_1, r_2. \quad (2.25)$$

Inserting these equations in (2.23) we obtain

$$\lambda(r_1, r_2) \leq \lambda^{(1)}(r_1, r_2). \quad (2.26)$$

On the other hand, from (2.1) we infer that

$$\{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\} = \{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\} \{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\} \quad (2.27)$$

and since $\{\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is simple monic and effective in $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1, r_2}$ we can apply (2.26) to obtain

$$\lambda^{(1)}(r_1, r_2) \leq \lambda(r_1, r_2). \quad (2.28)$$

Then required equation (2.24) follows from (2.26) and (2.28) and the Corollary is proved.

3. EFFECTIVENESS OF THE SIMILAR SET OF POLYNOMIALS OF TWO COMPLEX VARIABLES IN FABER REGIONS IN SIMPLE SETS

We propose, in the present article, to investigate the extension, to two variable case, of the result of Sayyed and Metwally [5] concerning the similar set of simple sets of polynomials of a single variable, being effective in a Faber region. The first result obtained in this connection is formulated in Theorem 3.1 below, and it gives an exact value of the Cannon function of the similar set.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ be two simple monic sets of polynomials of two complex variables which are effective in the Faber region $\bar{B}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$. Then the Cannon function of the similar set $\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ given by

$$\{p_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\} = \{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{p_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}, \quad (3.1)$$

for $\bar{B}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$ is

$$\Omega(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is the Cannon function of the set $\{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ for the polycylinder $\bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$

PROOF. Suppose that $\{q_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ and $\{q_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ are the associated sets of the respective sets $p_{m,n}\{z_1, z_2\}$ and $\{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$. Then from (1.14) and (3.1), we deduce that

$$\{q_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\} = \{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{p_{m,n}^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\} \{\bar{q}_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since the simple set $\{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is absolutely monic and effective in $\bar{B}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$, then the inverse set $\{\bar{p}_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is also absolutely monic and effective in $\bar{B}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$. Then the associated set $\{\bar{q}_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is simple absolutely monic and effective in $\bar{B}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$. Therefore, we can apply the relation (2.24) of Corollary 2.2, to the similar set (3.3), to obtain

$$\lambda(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\lambda(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is the Cannon function of the set $\{q_{m,n}(z_1, z_2)\}$ for the polycylinder $\bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$. The required relation (3.2) can therefore be derived from (3.4) through the relation (1.17).

Now, in the space E^4 we write

$$\alpha_k = \sup\{|\phi_k(t_k)| : |t_k| = \xi_k\}. \quad (3.5)$$

With this notation, the required estimate is formulated in the following theorem

THEOREM 3.2. If $\{p_{m,n}^{(k)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ are effective in the Faber region \bar{B}_{ξ_1, ξ_2} , then

$$\Omega(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq \max\{\alpha_1 \gamma_2, \alpha_2 \gamma_1\}. \quad (3.6)$$

PROOF. From the definition (3.5) of α_k we may infer that

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \subset \bar{B}_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \subset \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\xi = \max\{|\xi| : |\phi(\xi)| = \gamma\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi > \gamma \quad \text{c.f. Newens [4, p. 188, 1.1.14-26]}$$

Since the set $\{p_{m,n}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)\}$ is effective in \bar{B}_{ξ_1, ξ_2} , then an appeal to the relation (3.7) leads to the conclusion that the same set is effective in $\bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$, for the class of functions regular in $\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$. According to Theorem 1.8.4 in [1] we can deduce that

$$\lambda^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq \max(\alpha_1 \gamma_2, \alpha_2 \gamma_1), \quad (3.8)$$

and the required inequality (3.6) follows from the equation (3.2)

REFERENCES

- [1] ADEPOJU, J.A., Basic Sets of Goncarov Polynomials and Faber Regions, Ph.D. Thesis (Lagos, Nigeria) (1979).
- [2] BREADZE, A.I., The Representation of Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables in Faber Regions, *Sakharthvels SSR Mecniertalhe Akademas Moambe*, **53** (1969), 533-536.
- [3] MURSI, M. and MAKAR, BUSHRA H., Basic Sets of Polynomials of Several Complex Variables I, *Proceedings of the Second Arab Science Congress* (Cairo) (19957), 51-60.
- [4] NEWNS, W.F., On the Representations of Analytic Functions by Infinite Series, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, Ser. A, **245** (1953), 424-486.
- [5] SAYYED, K.A.M. and METWALLY, M.S., (p,q)-order and (p,q)-type of Basic Sets of Polynomials of Two Complex Variables, *Complex Variables*, **26** (1994), 63-68.
- [6] SAYYED, K.A.M. and METWALLY, M.S., Effectiveness of Similar and Inverse Similar Sets of Polynomials in Faber Regions, *Sohag Pure and Appl. Sci. Bull.*, Fac. Sci., Egypt, **9** (1993), 37-49.

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Manuscript Due | December 1, 2008 |
| First Round of Reviews | March 1, 2009 |
| Publication Date | June 1, 2009 |

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk