

SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR NONCONVEX SPACES

F. JAFARI and V.M. SEHGAL

Department of Mathematics

University of Wyoming

Laramie, WY 82071-3036

(Received June 5, 1996 and in revised form November 1, 1996)

ABSTRACT. We give a theorem for nonconvex topological vector spaces which yields the classical fixed point theorems of Ky Fan, Kim, Kaczynski, Kelly and Namioka as immediate consequences, and prove a new fixed point theorem for set-valued maps on arbitrary topological vector spaces.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Fixed points, nonconvex topological vector spaces, multifunctions.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 47H10, 47H04, 46A16.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In 1935, Tychonoff proved the following celebrated result.

THEOREM 1.1. *If X is a nonempty convex and compact subset of a locally convex topological vector space \mathbb{E} , then any continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$ has a fixed point.*

Even though Theorem 1.1 has been the subject of extensive and sharp generalizations, the question of whether Theorem 1.1 is true in general topological vector spaces still remains open. Recently, the authors encountered papers that extend Theorem 1.1 to topological vector spaces \mathbb{E} having a separating dual \mathbb{E}^* . The purpose of this paper is to show that this assumption implies local convexity of X and consequently the results follow from known results.

Let \mathbb{F} be the scalar fields \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{E} be a topological vector space over \mathbb{F} with dual \mathbb{E}^* (possibly $\mathbb{E}^* = \{0\}$). Let τ and τ_w denote the original and weak topologies of \mathbb{E} . Note that τ_w is locally convex and if \mathbb{E}^* separates points of \mathbb{E} , then τ_w is Hausdorff. For a subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{E}$, (X, τ_w) (resp. (X, τ)) denotes X with the relative topology τ_w (resp. τ).

2. SOMETHING OLD, SOMETHING NEW.

In what follows X compact means that X is compact in the topology of \mathbb{E} .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let X be a nonempty compact subset of \mathbb{E} . If (X, τ_w) is Hausdorff, then $(X, \tau_w) = (X, \tau)$.*

PROOF. By definition, $\tau_w \subseteq \tau$. Conversely, let A be a τ -closed subset of X . Then A is τ -compact, and hence τ_w -compact. Since τ_w is Hausdorff, it follows that A is τ_w -closed. Thus $(X, \tau) \subseteq (X, \tau_w)$ and hence $(X, \tau) = (X, \tau_w)$. \square

Note that if \mathbb{E}^* separates the points of X , then (X, τ_w) is Hausdorff.

Proposition 2.1 provides simple proofs of the following results. Original proofs of these results make use of partitions of unity or other techniques.

COROLLARY 2.2 (Ky Fan [2]). *Let X be a nonempty compact and convex subset of \mathbb{E} . If \mathbb{E}^* separates the points of X , then every continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$ has a fixed point.*

PROOF. Since \mathbb{E}^* separates the points of X , (X, τ_w) is Hausdorff, hence by Proposition 2.1, $(X, \tau) = (X, \tau_w)$, and thus $f : (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (X, \tau_w)$ is continuous. Since X is τ_w -compact, by Theorem 1.1, f has a fixed point. \square

The following result is a generalization of Corollary 2.2.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let X be a nonempty compact and convex subset of \mathbb{E} and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be a continuous function. If \mathbb{E}^* separates the points of X , then either (a) f has a fixed point, or (b) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and a τ -continuous seminorm p on \mathbb{E} such that $0 < p(x_0 - f(x_0)) = \min\{p(x - f(x_0)) : x \in X\}$.*

PROOF. Since (X, τ_w) is Hausdorff, by Proposition 2.1 it follows that $(X, \tau) = (X, \tau_w)$. Since $\tau_w \subseteq \tau$, $f : (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (\mathbb{E}, \tau_w)$ is continuous. Since X is τ_w compact, it follows by Ky Fan [2] that either (a) f has a fixed point, or (b) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and a τ_w -continuous seminorm p on \mathbb{E} with $0 < p(x_0 - f(x_0)) = \min\{p(x - f(x_0)) : x \in X\}$. Note that a τ_w is continuous seminorm on \mathbb{E} is a τ -continuous seminorm on \mathbb{E} . \square

As an immediate consequence of the above corollary, we have

COROLLARY 2.4 (Kaczynski [4]). *Let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of \mathbb{E} and \mathbb{E}^* separate the points of X . If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is a continuous function such that for each $x \in X$, $f(x) \neq x$, there exist λ such that $|\lambda| < 1$ and*

$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)f(x) \in X, \quad (1)$$

then f has a fixed point.

PROOF. Assume that f has no fixed points. Then by Corollary 2.3, there exists $x_0 \in X$ and a τ -continuous seminorm p on \mathbb{E} satisfying $0 < p(x_0 - f(x_0)) = \min\{p(x - f(x_0)) : x \in X\}$. By assumption, there exists λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $u = \lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f(x_0) \in X$. This implies that

$$0 < p(x_0 - f(x_0)) \leq p(u - f(x_0)) = |\lambda|p(x_0 - f(x_0)) < p(x_0 - f(x_0)),$$

a contradiction. Hence f has a fixed point. \square

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{E}$. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is weakly continuous if for every $x^* \in \mathbb{E}^*$, $x^*(f) : (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is continuous.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is weakly continuous, then $f : (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (\mathbb{E}, \tau_w)$ is continuous.*

PROOF. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $N(0, \varepsilon)$ denote the open neighborhood of 0 of radius ε in \mathbb{F} . If V is a τ_w -basic neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{E} , then $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (x_i^*)^{-1}(N(0, \varepsilon_i))$ for some $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in \mathbb{E}^*$, and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n > 0$. Hence $f^{-1}(V) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(x_i^*)^{-1}(N(0, \varepsilon_i)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (x_i^*(f))^{-1}(N(0, \varepsilon_i)) \in \tau_w$. Thus $f : (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (\mathbb{E}, \tau_w)$ is continuous. \square

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ and $x \in X$. The *inward set* of X is defined to be

$$I_X(x) = \{z \in \mathbb{E} : z = x + \lambda(y - x) : y \in X, \lambda > 0\}$$

COROLLARY 2.7 (Kim [6]; also see Singh [7], Theorem 4.53, p. 206). *Let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of \mathbb{E} and \mathbb{E}^* separate the points of X . If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is weakly continuous such that for each $x \in X$ with $f(x) \neq x$, $f(x) \in \tau - \text{closure}(I_X(x))$, then f has a fixed point.*

PROOF. By Proposition 2.1, (X, τ_w) is a compact convex subset of the locally convex space (\mathbb{E}, τ_w) and by Proposition 2.6 $f : (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (\mathbb{E}, \tau_w)$ is continuous. Since the $\tau - \text{closure}(I_X(x)) \subseteq \tau_w - \text{closure}(I_X(x))$, it follows that for any $x \neq f(x)$, $f(x) \in \tau_w - \text{closure}(I_X(x))$. The result now follows from Halpren [3]. \square

COROLLARY 2.8 (Kelly-Namioka [5, p. 124]). *Let X be a compact convex subset of \mathbb{E} . If for each nonzero $x \in X - X$, there exists $x^* \in \mathbb{E}^*$ with $x^*(x) \neq 0$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping satisfying*

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i) \quad (2)$$

for each positive integer n , $x_i \in X$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $a_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, then f has a fixed point.

PROOF. Since \mathbb{E}^* separates the points of X , (X, τ_w) is Hausdorff, and hence $(X, \tau) = (X, \tau_w)$. Since (X, τ_w) is compact and $f : (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (X, \tau_w)$ is continuous the result follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

REMARK. Note that the condition (2) is redundant in the present proof.

The theorems of this section readily imply that the Hardy spaces H^p , $0 < p < 1$, have the fixed point property.

3. A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR MULTIFUNCTIONS.

Let $2^{\mathbb{E}}$ denote the family of nonempty subsets of \mathbb{E} and let $f : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{E}}$ be a multifunction. f is upper semicontinuous if for any closed set $F \subseteq \mathbb{E}$, $f^{-1}(F) = \{x \in X : f(x) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ is a closed subset of X ; f is closed (resp. compact) valued if $f(x)$ is closed (resp. compact) subset of \mathbb{E} for each $x \in X$. It is easy to show that if f is closed-valued and if a net $x_\alpha \in X$, $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$, and $y_\alpha \in f(x_\alpha)$ with $y_\alpha \rightarrow y_0$, then the upper semicontinuity of f implies $y_0 \in f(x_0)$. Furthermore, if $f : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{E}}$ is upper semicontinuous and compact-valued, then for any compact set K , the image $f(K) = \bigcup\{f(x) : x \in K\}$ is compact. For additional properties of multifunctions see Dugundji and Granas [1] or Smithson [8], for example. The following proposition, which clearly implies Theorem 11.4 of Dugundji and Granas (see [1], p. 97), is equivalent to their theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let \mathbb{E} be a topological vector space and let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of \mathbb{E} . If \mathbb{E}^* separates the points of X , and $f : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is a closed-valued, upper semicontinuous and convex-valued multifunction, then f has a fixed point.*

The following result is motivated by Corollary 2.8.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let \mathbb{E} be a topological vector space and let X be a closed subset of \mathbb{E} and $f : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{E}}$ be a closed-valued, upper semicontinuous, multifunction. If*

- (i) $S = \{x - y : y \in f(x), x \in X\}$ is convex,
- (ii) there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ with $x_{n+1} \in f(x_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (iii) $f(X)$ is compact,

then f has a fixed point.

REMARK. Condition (i) may be replaced by the somewhat more general hypothesis that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - x_{k+1} \in S$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where (x_k) are as in (ii).

PROOF. We first show that S is a closed subset of \mathbb{E} . Let u_α be a net in S with $u_\alpha \rightarrow u_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ in the τ -topology of \mathbb{E} . Then by definition of S , $u_\alpha = x_\alpha - y_\alpha$ where $y_\alpha \in f(x_\alpha)$ and $x_\alpha \in X$. Since $(y_\alpha) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is compact, it follows that (y_α) has a subnet $y_\beta \rightarrow y_0 \in E$. Hence $x_\beta = u_\beta + y_\beta \rightarrow u_0 + y_0$, by definition. However, $(x_\beta) \subseteq X$ and X is closed. Hence $u_0 + y_0 \in X$. Now if $x_0 = u_0 + y_0$, $x_0 \in X$, and since $x_\beta \rightarrow x_0$, $y_\beta \rightarrow y_0$ and $y_\beta \in f(x_\beta)$, it follows that $y_0 \in f(x_0)$. Consequently, $u_0 = x_0 - y_0 \in S$ and thus S is closed. By the definition of (x_n) in (ii), $x_n - x_{n+1} \in S$, and since S is convex, it follows that for any positive integer n ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - x_{k+1}) \in S;$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{n} (x_1 - x_{n+1}) \in S. \quad (3)$$

By (ii), $x_{n+1} \in f(X)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and hence $x_1 - x_{n+1} \in x_1 - f(X)$ which is a compact subset of \mathbb{E} . Consequently, for any neighborhood U of 0, $x_1 - f(X) \subseteq kU$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\frac{1}{n} (x_1 - f(X)) \subseteq U$ for all $n \geq k$. In particular, $\frac{1}{n} (x_1 - f(x_n)) \subseteq U$ for all $n \geq k$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since S is closed, by (3) we have 0 $\in S$. Consequently, there is some $x_0, y_0 \in f(x_0)$ with $x_0 - y_0 = 0$. This implies $x_0 = y_0 \in f(x_0)$. \square

Note that condition (ii) is satisfied if $f(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$; in particular, if $f(x) \subseteq X$. Further note that \mathbb{E}^* in Theorem 3.2 may be just $\{0\}$. That is to say, no assumption on \mathbb{E}^* separating points of \mathbb{E} is made here. The following corollary follows immediately.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let X be a closed convex subset of a topological vector space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be continuous. If $f(X)$ is compact and f satisfies (2) (see Corollary 2.8), then f has a fixed point.* \square

It is interesting to note that the conditions on convexity of S can be replaced by various other useful conditions on f and X . The remark and note following Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 provide some such conditions. The following proposition gives a condition on f which is equivalent to the convexity assumption on S .

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let X be a closed convex subset of the topological vector space \mathbb{E} , and $f : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{E}}$ be a closed-valued, upper semicontinuous, multifunction such that $f(X)$ is compact. Then $S = \{x - y : y \in f(x), x \in X\}$ is convex if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{2} f(x) + \frac{1}{2} f(y) \subseteq f\left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (4)$$

PROOF. Suppose S is convex. Then for $z_1, z_2 \in S$, $\frac{1}{2}z_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2 \in S$. In particular, if $z_i = x_i - y_i$, with $x_i \in X$, and $y_i \in f(x_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) - \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2) \in S$. That is, $\frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2) \in f\left(\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)\right)$. Now, since this holds for every choice of $y_i \in f(x_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, (4) readily follows. Conversely, suppose f satisfies (4), and let $z_1, z_2, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$ be chosen as above. Then since S is closed (by proof of Theorem 3.2), to show S is convex it is sufficient to show S is midpoint convex. Since $\frac{1}{2}z_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) - \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2)$, by (4), $\frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2) \in f\left(\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)\right)$, and thus $\frac{1}{2}z_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2 \in S$. Hence S is midpoint convex and thus convex. \square

Note that a multifunction is midpoint convex if $f(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y) \subseteq \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(y)$ and f is midpoint concave if it satisfies (4). We conclude with a simple example.

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let $X = [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, \infty[$ be a nondecreasing, continuous function such that $\frac{1}{2}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)) \leq \varphi(\frac{1}{2}(x + y))$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty[$. For example, let $\varphi(x) = \log(x + 1)$. Define $f(x) = [0, \varphi(x)]$ for all $x \in X$. Then $fX = [0, \varphi(1)]$ is compact, and clearly f is a closed-valued and upper semicontinuous multifunction. By the hypothesis on φ , for $x, y \in X$,

$$\frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(y) = [0, \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y))] \subseteq [0, \varphi(\frac{1}{2}(x + y))] = f(\frac{1}{2}(x + y)),$$

hence by Proposition 3.4, S is convex. Since $f(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in X$, by Theorem 3.2, f has a fixed point.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors wish to thank Professor S. P. Singh for providing them with a working draft of his notes on partitions of unity and for some references herein cited.

REFERENCES

- [1] DUGUNDJI, J. and GRANAS, A., *Fixed Point Theory*, Vo. 1, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1982.
- [2] FAN, K., Sur un théorème minimax, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **259** (1964), 3925-3928.
- [3] HALPREN, B.R., Fixed point theorems for set-valued maps in infinite dimensional spaces, *Math. Ann.* **189** (1970), 87-89.
- [4] KACZYNSKI, T., Quelques théorème de points fixes dans des espaces ayant suffisamment de fonctionnelles linéaires, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **296** (1983), 873-874.
- [5] KELLY, J. and NAMIOKA, I., *Linear Topological Spaces*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1963.
- [6] KIM, S.Y., *Ph.D. Thesis*, Seoul National University, Korea.
- [7] SINGH, S.P., Private communications (1995).
- [8] SMITHSON, R.E., Multifunctions, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* **3** (1972), 31-53.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk