

*Research Article*

## Integral Transforms of Fourier Cosine and Sine Generalized Convolution Type

Nguyen Xuan Thao, Vu Kim Tuan, and Nguyen Thanh Hong

Received 5 April 2007; Accepted 27 August 2007

Recommended by Piotr Mikusinski

Integral transforms of the form  $f(x) \leftrightarrow g(x) = (1 - d^2/dx^2)\{\int_0^\infty k_1(y)[f(|x+y-1|) + f(|x-y+1|) - f(x+y+1) - f(|x-y-1|)]dy + \int_0^\infty k_2(y)[f(x+y) + f(|x-y|)]dy\}$  from  $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  to  $L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , ( $1 \leq p \leq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ) are studied. Watson's and Plancherel's theorems are obtained.

Copyright © 2007 Nguyen Xuan Thao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $F_c$  be the Fourier cosine transform [1]

$$(F_c f)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \cos xy f(y) dy, \quad (1.1)$$

and let  $F_s$  be the Fourier sine transform [1]

$$(F_s f)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \sin xy f(y) dy. \quad (1.2)$$

In 1941, Churchill introduced the convolution of two functions  $f$  and  $g$  for the Fourier cosine transform

$$(f *_{F_c} g)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(y)[g(x+y) + g(|x-y|)]dy, \quad x > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

and proved the following factorization equality [2]:

$$F_c(f *_{F_c} g)(y) = (F_c f)(y)(F_c g)(y). \quad (1.4)$$

Using the factorization property (1.4), one can easily solve the integral equation with the Toeplitz-plus-Hankel kernel

$$f(x) + \int_0^\infty [k_1(x+y) + k_2(|x-y|)]f(y)dy = g(x) \quad (1.5)$$

in case the Toeplitz kernel  $k_2(x)$  and the Hankel kernel  $k_1(x)$  are the same [3, 4]. The general case is still open.

The convolution of two functions  $f$  and  $g$  with the weight function  $\gamma(y) = \sin y$  for the Fourier sine transform was introduced by Kakichev in [5]

$$\begin{aligned} (f \underset{F_s}{*} g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(u) [\text{sign}(x+u-1)g(|x+u-1|) + \text{sign}(x-u+1)g(|x-u+1|) \\ - g(x+u+1) - \text{sign}(x-u-1)g(|x-u-1|)] du, \quad x > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where the following factorization property has been established:

$$F_s(f \underset{F_s}{*} g)(y) = \sin y (F_s f)(y) (F_s g)(y). \quad (1.7)$$

Further properties of this convolution have been studied in [6].

Churchill was also the first author who introduced the generalized convolution for two different integral transforms. Namely, in 1941, he defined the generalized convolution of two functions  $f$  and  $g$  for the Fourier sine and cosine transforms

$$(f \underset{1}{*} g)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(u) [g(|x-u|) - g(x+u)] du, \quad x > 0, \quad (1.8)$$

and proved the following factorization identity [7]:

$$F_s(f \underset{1}{*} g)(y) = (F_s f)(y) \cdot (F_c g)(y). \quad (1.9)$$

It is easy to see that the integral equation with the Toeplitz-plus-Hankel kernel (1.5) can be written in the form

$$f(x) + \sqrt{2\pi} (f \underset{F_c}{*} h_1)(x) + \sqrt{2\pi} (f \underset{1}{*} h_2)(x) = g(x), \quad (1.10)$$

where  $h_1 = (1/2)(k_1 + k_2)$  and  $h_2 = (1/2)(k_2 - k_1)$ . So studying generalized convolutions may shed light on how to solve the integral equation with the Toeplitz-plus-Hankel kernel (1.5) in closed form.

In 1998, Kakichev and Thao proposed a constructive method for defining a generalized convolution for three arbitrary integral transforms (see [8]). For example, for the Fourier cosine and Fourier sine transforms, the following convolution has been introduced in [9]:

$$(f \underset{2}{*} g)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(u) [\text{sign}(u-x)g(|u-x|) + g(u+x)] du, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.11)$$

For this convolution, the following factorization equality holds [9]:

$$F_c\left(f \underset{2}{*} g\right)(y) = (F_s f)(y)(F_s g)(y). \quad (1.12)$$

Another generalized convolution with a weight function  $\gamma(y) = \sin y$  for the Fourier cosine and sine transforms has been studied in [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \left(f \underset{2}{*} g\right)(x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(u) [g(|x+u-1|) + g(|x-u+1|) \\ &\quad - g(x+u+1) - g(|x-u-1|)] du, \quad x > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

It satisfies the factorization property [10]

$$F_c\left(f \underset{2}{*} g\right)(y) = \sin y (F_s f)(y)(F_c g)(y). \quad (1.14)$$

In any convolution of two functions  $f$  and  $g$ , if we fix one function, say  $g$ , as the kernel, and allow the other function  $f$  vary in a certain function space, we will get an integral transform  $f \mapsto f * g$ . The most famous integral transforms constructed by that way are the Watson transforms that are related to the Mellin convolution and the Mellin transform [11]

$$f(x) \longmapsto g(x) = \int_0^\infty k(xy) f(y) dy. \quad (1.15)$$

Recently, a class of integral transforms that is related to the generalized convolution (1.11) has been introduced and investigated in [12]. In this paper, we will consider a class of integral transform which has a connection with the generalized convolution (1.13), namely, the transforms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \longmapsto g(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_1(y) [f(|x+y-1|) + f(|x-y+1|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(x+y+1) - f(|x-y-1|)] dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty k_2(y) [f(x+y) + f(|x-y|)] dy \right\}, \quad x > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

We show that under certain conditions on the kernels  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , transform (1.16) admits an inverse of similar form. We find conditions on the kernels  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  when transform (1.16) defines a bounded operator from  $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  to  $L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ). Moreover, Watson- and Plancherel-type theorems for transforms (1.16) in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  are also obtained.

## 2. A Watson-type theorem

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $f, g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then for any  $x > 0$ , the following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f(u) [g(|x+u-1|) + g(|x-u+1|) - g(x+u+1) - g(|x-u-1|)] du \\ = 2\sqrt{2\pi} F_c(\sin y (F_s f)(y)(F_c g)(y))(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_1$  be the odd extension of  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $g_1$  the even extension of  $g$  from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then let  $Ff_1$  is an odd function while  $Fg_1$  is an even function, where  $F$  is the Fourier integral transform

$$(Ff)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixy} f(y) dy. \quad (2.2)$$

On  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we have  $Ff_1 = -iF_s f$  and  $Fg_1 = F_c g$ .

The Parseval identity for the Fourier integral transform yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty f(u) [g(|x+u-1|) + g(|x-u+1|) - g(x+u+1) - g(|x-u-1|)] du \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(u) g_1(x-u+1) du - \int_0^\infty f(u) g(x+u+1) du \\ & \quad - \int_0^\infty f(u) g(x-u-1) du + \int_0^\infty f(u) g_1(x+u-1) du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_1(u) g_1(x-u+1) du - \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_1(u) g_1(x-u-1) du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty (Ff_1)(u) (Fg_1)(u) e^{i(x+1)u} du - \int_{-\infty}^\infty (Ff_1)(u) (Fg_1)(u) e^{i(x-1)u} du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty (Ff_1)(y) (Fg_1)(y) (\cos(x+1)y + i \sin(x+1)y) dy \\ & \quad - \int_{-\infty}^\infty (Ff_1)(y) (Fg_1)(y) (\cos(x-1)y + i \sin(x-1)y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, note that  $(Ff_1)(y)(Fg_1)(y) \cos(x+1)y$ ,  $(Ff_1)(y)(Fg_1)(y) \cos(x-1)y$  are odd functions in  $y$ . Hence, their integrals over  $\mathbb{R}$  vanish, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty f(u) [g(|x+u-1|) + g(|x-u+1|) - g(x+u+1) - g(|x-u-1|)] du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty (Ff_1)(y) (Fg_1)(y) i \sin(x+1)y dy - \int_{-\infty}^\infty (Ff_1)(y) (Fg_1)(y) i \sin(x-1)y dy \\ &= 2i \int_{-\infty}^\infty (Ff_1)(y) (Fg_1)(y) \sin y \cos(xy) dy \\ &= 2\sqrt{2\pi} F_c(\sin y (F_s f)(y) (F_c g)(y))(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

This completes the proof. We assumed that all the integrals over  $\mathbb{R}$  are interpreted as Cauchy principal value integrals, if necessary.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $k_1, k_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then*

$$|2 \sin y (F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)}, \quad (2.5)$$

*is a necessary and sufficient condition to ensure that the integral transform  $f \mapsto g$*

$$g(x) := \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_1(y) [f(|x+y-1|) + f(|x-y+1|) - f(x+y+1) - f(|x-y-1|)] dy + \int_0^\infty k_2(y) [f(x+y) + f(|x-y|)] dy \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

*is unitary on  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and the inverse transformation has the form*

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_1(y) [g(|x+y-1|) + g(|x-y+1|) - g(x+y+1) - g(|x-y-1|)] dy + \int_0^\infty k_2(y) [g(x+y) + g(|x-y|)] dy \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof*

*Necessity.* Suppose that  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  satisfy condition (2.5). It is well known that  $(1+y^2)h(y) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ , if and only if  $(Fh)(x)$ ,  $(d/dx)(Fh)(x)$  and  $(d^2/dx^2)(Fh)(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$  ([11, Theorem 68, page 92]). Moreover,

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(Fh)(x) = -F(y^2h(y))(x). \quad (2.8)$$

In particular, if  $h$  is an even or odd function such that  $(1+y^2)h(y) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)(F_c h)(x) &= F_c((1+y^2)h(y))(x), \\ \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)(F_s h)(x) &= F_s((1+y^2)h(y))(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Using the factorization equalities for convolutions (1.3), (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) F_c (2\sqrt{2\pi} \sin y (F_s k_1)(y) (F_c f)(y) + \sqrt{2\pi} (F_c k_2)(y) (F_c f)(y))(x) \\ &= F_c(\sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2 \sin y (F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y)) (F_c f)(y))(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

By virtue of the Parseval equalities for the Fourier cosine and sine transforms  $\|f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|F_c f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|F_s f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$  and noting that  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  satisfy condition (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} &= \left\| \sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c f)(y) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &= \|F_c f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

It follows that the transformation (2.6) is unitary.

On the other hand, in view of condition (2.5),  $\sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c g)(y)$  is bounded, hence  $\sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c g)(y) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}g(x) &= F_c(\sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c f)(y))(x) \\ &\iff (F_c g)(y) = \sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c f)(y) \\ &\iff (F_c f)(y) = \sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c g)(y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Using formula (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= F_c(\sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c g)(y))(x) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) F_c(2\sqrt{2\pi} \sin y F_s k_1(y)(F_c g)(y) + \sqrt{2\pi} (F_c k_2)(y)(F_c g)(y)) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_1(y) [g(|x+y-1|) + g(|x-y+1|) - g(x+y+1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(|x-y-1|)] dy + \int_0^\infty k_2(y) [g(x+y) + g(|x-y|)] dy \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, the transformation (2.6) is unitary on  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and the inverse transformation has the form (2.7).

*Sufficiency.* If transform (2.6) is unitary, then the Parseval identities for the Fourier cosine and sine transforms yield

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} &= \left\| \sqrt{2\pi}(1+y^2)(2\sin y(F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y))(F_c f)(y) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &= \|F_c f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The middle equality is possible if and only if  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  satisfy condition (2.5). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Let  $h_1, h_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfy

$$|(F_s h_1)(y)(F_s h_2)(y)| = \frac{1}{(1+y^2)(1+\sin^2 y)}, \quad (2.15)$$

and let  $k_1, k_2$  be defined by

$$k_1(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} (h_1 \underset{F_s}{*} h_2)(x), \quad k_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (h_1 \underset{2}{*} h_2)(x). \quad (2.16)$$

Then  $k_1, k_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and from (1.7) and (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |2 \sin y (F_s k_1)(y) + (F_c k_2)(y)| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sin^2 y (F_s h_1)(y) (F_s h_2)(y) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (F_s h_1)(y) (F_s h_2)(y) \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (1 + \sin^2 y) (F_s h_1)(y) (F_s h_2)(y) \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1+y^2)}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Thus  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  satisfy condition (2.5).

### 3. A Plancherel-type theorem

In order to examine the Plancherel-type theorem, we will need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  functions, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\infty f(y) [g(|x+y-1|) + g(|x-y+1|) - g(x+y+1) - g(|x-y-1|)] dy \\
 &= \int_0^\infty g(y) [f(x+y+1) + \text{sign}(x-y+1)f(|x-y+1|) \\
 &\quad - \text{sign}(x-y-1)f(|x-y-1|) - \text{sign}(x+y-1)f(|x+y-1|)] dy,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\int_0^\infty f(y) [g(x+y) + g(|x-y|)] dy = \int_0^\infty g(y) [f(x+y) + f(|x-y|)] dy. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* Again, let  $f_1$  be the odd extension of  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $g_1(x) = g(|x|)$  the even extension of  $g$  from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . By the Parseval equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\infty f(y) [g(|x+y-1|) + g(|x-y+1|) - g(x+y+1) - g(|x-y-1|)] dy \\
 &= \int_0^\infty f(y) g(|x+y-1|) dy + \int_0^\infty f(y) g(|x-y+1|) dy \\
 &\quad - \int_0^\infty f(y) g(x+y+1) dy - \int_0^\infty f(y) g(|x-y-1|) dy \\
 &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 f_1(y) g_1(x-y-1) dy + \int_0^\infty f_1(y) g_1(x-y+1) dy \\
 &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 f_1(y) g_1(x-y+1) dy - \int_0^\infty f_1(y) g_1(x-y-1) dy \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty (F f_1)(u) (F g_1)(u) e^{i(x+1)u} du - \int_{-\infty}^\infty (F f_1)(u) (F g_1)(u) e^{i(x-1)u} du
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(y) f_1(x - y + 1) dy - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(y) f_1(x - y - 1) dy \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} g_1(y) f_1(x - y + 1) dy + \int_0^{\infty} g_1(y) f_1(x + y + 1) dy \\
&\quad - \int_0^{\infty} g_1(y) f_1(x - y - 1) dy - \int_0^{\infty} g_1(y) f_1(x + y - 1) dy \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} g(y) [f(x + y + 1) + \text{sign}(x - y + 1) f(|x - y + 1|) \\
&\quad - \text{sign}(x - y - 1) f(|x - y - 1|) - \text{sign}(x + y - 1) f(|x + y - 1|)] dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then formula (3.1) holds. Formula (3.2) follows easily from formula (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} f(y) [g(x + y) + g(|x - y|)] dy &= \sqrt{2\pi} F_c [(F_c f)(y) (F_c g)(y)](x) \\
&= \sqrt{2\pi} F_c [(F_c g)(y) (F_c f)(y)](x) \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} g(y) [f(x + y) + f(|x - y|)] dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The lemma has been proved.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $k_1, k_2$  be functions satisfying condition (2.5) and suppose that  $K_1(x) = (1 - d^2/dx^2)k_1(x)$  and  $K_2(x) = (1 - d^2/dx^2)k_2(x)$  are locally bounded. Let  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and for each positive integer  $N$ , put*

$$\begin{aligned}
g_N(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} K_1(y) [f^N(|x + y - 1|) + f^N(|x - y + 1|) - f^N(x + y + 1) \\
&\quad - f^N(|x - y - 1|)] dy + \int_0^{\infty} K_2(y) [f^N(x + y) + f^N(|x - y|)] dy,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $f^N = f \cdot \chi_{(0,N)}$ , the restriction of  $f$  over  $(0, N)$ . Then

- (1)  $g_N \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g_N$  converges in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  norm to a function  $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  with  $\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ ;
- (2) put  $g^N = g \cdot \chi_{(0,N)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_N(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} K_1(y) [g^N(|x + y - 1|) + g^N(|x - y + 1|) - g^N(x + y + 1) \\
&\quad - g^N(|x - y - 1|)] dy + \int_0^{\infty} K_2(y) [g^N(x + y) + g^N(|x - y|)] dy
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

belongs to  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and converges in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  norm to  $f$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Remark 3.3.* Because of the definitions of  $f^N$  and  $g^N$ , these integrals are over finite intervals and therefore converge.

*Proof.* Applying the identities (3.1) and (3.2) in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n(x) &= \int_0^\infty K_1(y)[f^N(|x+y-1|) + f^N(|x-y+1|) - f^N(x+y+1) - f^N(|x-y-1|)]dy \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty K_2(y)[f^N(x+y) + f^N(|x-y|)]dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty f^N(y)[K_1(x+y+1) + \text{sign}(x-y+1)K_1(|x-y+1|) \\
&\quad - \text{sign}(x-y-1)K_1(|x-y-1|) - \text{sign}(x+y-1)K_1(|x+y-1|)]dy \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty f^N(y)[k_1(x+y) + K_1(|x-y|)]dy \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^N(u)[k_1(x+u+1) + \text{sign}(x-u+1)k_1(|x-u+1|) \right. \\
&\quad - \text{sign}(x-u-1)k_1(|x-u-1|) \\
&\quad \left. - \text{sign}(x+u-1)k_1(|x+u-1|)]du \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty f^N(y)[k_1(x+y) + k_1(|x-y|)]dy \right\}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

It is legitimate to interchange the order of integration and differentiation since the integrals are actually over finite intervals. By applying Lemma 3.1 one more time, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_N(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_1(y)[f^N(|x+y-1|) + f^N(|x-y+1|) \right. \\
&\quad - f^N(x+y+1) - f^N(|x-y-1|)]dy \tag{3.8} \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty k_2(y)[f^N(x+y) + f^N(|x-y|)]dy \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this and in view of Theorem 2.2, we conclude that  $g_N \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $g$  be the transform of  $f$  under the transformation (2.6). Then Theorem 2.2 guarantees that  $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ , and the reciprocal formula (2.7) holds. For  $g - g_N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(g - g_N)(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_1(y)[(f - f^N)(|x+y-1|) + (f - f^N)(|x-y+1|) \right. \\
&\quad - (f - f^N)(x+y+1) - (f - f^N)(|x-y-1|)]dy \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty k_2(y)[(f - f^N)(x+y) + (f - f^N)(|x-y|)]dy \right\}. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Again by Theorem 2.2,  $(g - g_N)(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and

$$\|g - g_N\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|f - f^N\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \quad (3.10)$$

And since  $\|f - f^N\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  then  $g_N$  converges in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  norm to  $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Similarly, one can obtain the second part of the theorem.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be functions satisfying condition (2.5) and suppose that  $K_1(x)$  and  $K_2(x)$  defined as in the previous theorem are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $q$  be its conjugate exponent  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then the transformation  $f \mapsto g$ , where  $g$  is defined by*

$$g(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_0^\infty K_1(y) [f^N(|x+y-1|) + f^N(|x-y+1|) - f^N(x+y+1) - f^N(|x-y-1|)] dy + \int_0^\infty K_2(y) [f^N(x+y) + f^N(|x-y|)] dy \right\}, \quad (3.11)$$

*is a bounded operator from  $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  into  $L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Here the limit is understood in  $L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)$  norm.*

*Proof.* From the boundedness of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , it is clear that transformation (3.11) is a bounded operator from  $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  into  $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

On the other hand, Theorem 3.2 shows that transformation (3.11) defines a bounded operator from  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  into  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Hence, Riesz's interpolation theorem implies that (3.11) is a bounded operator from  $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , into  $L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , where  $q$  is the conjugate exponent of  $p$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Bochner and K. Chandrasekharan, *Fourier Transforms*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 19, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1949.
- [2] I. N. Sneddon, *The Use of Integral Transforms*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- [3] H. H. Kagiwada and R. Kalaba, *Integral Equations via Imbedding Methods*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, no. 6, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, USA, 1974.
- [4] M. G. Krein, "On a new method of solution of linear integral equations of first and second kinds," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, vol. 100, pp. 413–416, 1955 (Russian).
- [5] V. A. Kakichev, "On the convolution for integral transforms," *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika*, no. 2, pp. 53–62, 1967 (Russian).
- [6] N. X. Thao and N. T. Hai, "Convolutions for integral transforms and their application," Computer Centre of the Russian Academy, Moscow, 44 pages, 1997.
- [7] F. Al-Musallam and V. K. Tuan, "A class of convolution transformations," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 303–314, 2000.
- [8] V. A. Kakichev and N. X. Thao, "On the design method for the generalized integral convolutions," *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika*, no. 1, pp. 31–40, 1998 (Russian).
- [9] V. A. Kakichev, N. X. Thao, and V. K. Tuan, "On the generalized convolutions for Fourier cosine and sine transforms," *East-West Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 85–90, 1998.
- [10] N. X. Thao, V. K. Tuan, and N. M. Khoa, "A generalized convolution with a weight function for the Fourier cosine and sine transforms," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 323–337, 2004.

- [11] H. M. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 2nd edition, 1967.
- [12] F. Al-Musallam and V. K. Tuan, “Integral transforms related to a generalized convolution,” *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 38, no. 3-4, pp. 197–208, 2000.

Nguyen Xuan Thao: Hanoi Water Resources University, 175 Tay Son, Dong Da, Hanoi, Vietnam  
*Email address:* thaonxbmai@yahoo.com

Vu Kim Tuan: Department of Mathematics, University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA30118, USA  
*Email address:* vu@westga.edu

Nguyen Thanh Hong: Haiphong University, 171 Phan Dang Luu, Kien An, Haiphong, Vietnam  
*Email address:* hongdhsp1@yahoo.com

## Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

### Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Lean Yu**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [yulean@amss.ac.cn](mailto:yulean@amss.ac.cn)

**Shouyang Wang**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; [sywang@amss.ac.cn](mailto:sywang@amss.ac.cn)

**K. K. Lai**, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [mskklai@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:mskklai@cityu.edu.hk)