

*Research Article*

## **$\lambda$ -Rearrangements Characterization of Pringsheim Limit Points**

Richard F. Patterson

Received 28 December 2006; Accepted 19 March 2007

Recommended by Linda R. Sons

Sufficient conditions are given to assure that a four-dimensional matrix  $A$  will have the property that any double sequence  $x$  with finite P-limit point has- a  $\lambda$ -rearrangement  $z$  such that each finite P-limit point of  $x$  is a P-limit point of  $Az$ .

Copyright © 2007 Richard F. Patterson. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **1. Introduction**

In [1] Agnew presented the following theorem: if  $x$  is a bounded sequence and  $A$  is a regular summability matrix, then there exists a subsequence  $y$  of  $x$  such that each limit point of  $x$  is a limit point of  $Ay$ . Fridy [2] extended this result by replacing subsequence with rearrangement. Keagy [3] presented two theorems that strengthened the results of both Agnew and Fridy. This was accomplished by weakening the regularity conditions and replacing finite limit point for bounded sequence. The goal of the paper is to present two multidimensional theorems analogou to Keagy's theorems with  $\lambda$ -rearrangement replacing rearrangement, RH-regularity replacing regularity, and convergent in the Pringsheim sense replacing convergent. Other implications will also be presented.

### **2. Definitions, notations, and preliminary results**

*Definition 2.1.* Let  $A$  denote a four-dimensional summability method that maps the complex double sequences  $x$  into the double sequence  $Ax$ , where the  $m$ nth term to  $Ax$  is as follows:

$$(Ax)_{m,n} = \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n,k,l} x_{k,l}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Definition 2.2* (see Pringsheim [4]). A double sequence  $x = [x_{k,l}]$  has a *Pringsheim limit*  $L$  (denoted by  $P\text{-}\lim x = L$ ) provided that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{k,l} - L| < \epsilon$  whenever  $k, l > N$ . Such an  $x$  will be more briefly described as “P-convergent.”

In addition to P-convergent, Pringsheim also presented the following notion of divergent.

*Definition 2.3.* A double sequence  $x$  is called *definite divergent* if for every (arbitrarily large)  $G > 0$  there exist two natural numbers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  such that  $|x_{n,k}| > G$  for  $n \geq n_1, k \geq n_2$ .

In [5], Robison presented a four-dimensional notion of regularity for double sequences with an additional assumption of boundedness. This assumption was made because a double sequence which is P-convergent is not necessarily bounded. In addition to this notion, Robison and Hamilton both presented a Silverman-Toeplitz-type multidimensional characterization of regularity in [5, 6]. The definition of the regularity for four-dimensional matrices will be stated next, followed by the Robison-Hamilton characterization of the regularity of four-dimensional matrices.

*Definition 2.4.* The four-dimensional matrix  $A$  is said to be *RH-regular* if it maps every bounded P-convergent sequence into a P-convergent sequence with the same P-limit.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *The four-dimensional matrix  $A$  is RH-regular if and only if*

RH<sub>1</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} a_{m,n,k,l} = 0$  for each  $k$  and  $l$ ;

RH<sub>2</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n,k,l} = 1$ ;

RH<sub>2</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,l}| = 0$  for each  $l$ ;

RH<sub>4</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,l}| = 0$  for each  $k$ ;

RH<sub>5</sub>:  $\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{m,n,k,l}|$  is P-convergent; and

RH<sub>6</sub>: there exist positive numbers  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\sum_{k,l>B} |a_{m,n,k,l}| < A$ .

The following definition of the subsequence of a double sequence was presented in [7].

*Definition 2.6.* The double sequence  $[y]$  is a double *subsequence* of the sequence  $[x]$  provided that there exist two increasing double-index sequences  $\{n_j\}$  and  $\{k_j\}$  such that if  $z_j = x_{n_j, k_j}$ , then  $y$  is formed by

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 z_1 & z_2 & z_5 & z_{10} \\
 z_4 & z_3 & z_6 & \text{---} \\
 z_9 & z_8 & z_7 & \text{---} \\
 \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---}
 \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

Using this concept of subsequence, the following definitions for Pringsheim limit points and divergence of double sequences were presented in [7].

*Definition 2.7.* A number  $\beta$  is called a *Pringsheim limit point* of the double sequence  $x = [x_{n,k}]$  provided that there exists a subsequence  $y = [y_{n,k}]$  of  $[x_{n,k}]$  that has Pringsheim limit  $\beta$ :  $P\text{-}\lim y_{n,k} = \beta$ .

*Definition 2.8.* A double sequence  $x$  is *divergent in the Pringsheim sense* (P-divergent) provided that  $x$  does not converge in the Pringsheim sense (P-convergent).

In addition to these definitions, the author also presented the following theorem in [8].

**THEOREM 2.9.** *If each of  $T$  and  $A$  is an RH-regular matrix,  $x$  is any bounded double-complex sequence, and  $\epsilon$  is any bounded positive term double sequence with  $P\text{-}\lim_{k,l} \epsilon_{k,l} = 0$ , then there exists a subsequence  $y$  of  $x$  such that  $T(Ay)$  exists and each P-limit of  $x$  is a P-limit of  $T(Ay)$ .*

In [9], Patterson and Rhoades presented the following definition for rearrangement of double sequences.

*Definition 2.10.* Fix  $\lambda > 1$ . The double sequence  $y^{(\pi, \lambda)}$  is called a “ $\lambda$ -rearrangement” of the double sequence  $x$  provided that there is a one-to-one function  $\pi$  from the positive integers into themselves such that

(1)  $\{z_{(m,n)}^i\}$  is a one-dimensional sequence constructed from the double sequence  $\{x_{m,n}\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{(1,1)}^1 &= x_{1,1}, & z_{(1,2)}^2 &= x_{1,2}, & z_{(2,2)}^3 &= x_{2,2}, & z_{(2,1)}^4 &= x_{2,1}, & z_{(1,3)}^5 &= x_{1,3}, \\ z_{(2,3)}^6 &= x_{2,3}, & z_{(3,3)}^7 &= x_{3,3}, & z_{(3,2)}^8 &= x_{3,2}, & z_{(3,1)}^9 &= x_{3,1}, & z_{(1,4)}^{10} &= x_{1,4} \cdots; \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

(2) let  $z_{(m,n)}^{i_j}$  be a subsequence of  $z_{(m,n)}^i$  consisting of all elements  $z_{(m,n)}^i$  such that  $1/\lambda \leq m/n \leq \lambda$ ;  
 (3) let  $z_{(m',n')}^{i_{\pi_j}}$  be a rearrangement of  $z_{(m,n)}^{i_j}$ ;  
 (4)

$$y^{(\pi, \lambda)} = y_{p,q}^{(\pi, \lambda)} = \begin{cases} z_{(m',n')}^{i_{\pi_j}}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq \frac{m'}{n'} \leq \lambda, m' = p, n' = q, \\ z_{(m,n)}^i, & \text{if } \frac{1}{\lambda} > \frac{m}{n}, \frac{m}{n} > \lambda, m = p, n = q. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The word  $\lambda$ -permutation will be reserved to indicate the reordering of a finite double sequence. In addition, we will say that the ordered pair  $(k, l)$  is in the  $\lambda$ -wedge [10] of  $x$  if  $1/\lambda \leq k/l \leq \lambda$ .

### 3. Main results

The theorems presented in this section are multidimensional analogs of Keagy theorems in [3]. Throughout the proofs of the main results, we will use the ordering presented in Definition 2.6.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a four-dimensional matrix transformation with P-null pairwise rows and pairwise columns. Also let  $x$  be a bounded double-complex sequence. If  $y$  is a double Pringsheim subsequence of  $x$  such that  $Ay$  exists and has a finite P-limit point, then there exists a  $\lambda$ -rearrangement  $z$  of  $x$  such that  $Az$  exists and each P-limit point of  $Ay$  is a P-limit point of  $Az$ .*

*Proof.* In [8], Theorem 2.9 grants us a double sequence  $v$  constructed from  $u$  such that each term of  $u$  is a P-limit point of  $T(Ay)$  and each P-limit point is a term of  $v$ . In addition,  $v$  has the property that each term of  $u$  is not only a P-limit point and/or a term of  $T(Ay)$  but also a term in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $v$ . Let us choose the order pairs  $(m_1, n_1)$  and  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ . Also let us denote the  $\lambda$ -permutation of  $\{x_{k,l}\}$ 's with  $1 \leq k \leq \alpha_1$  and  $1 \leq l \leq \beta_1$  as  $B_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}$  (i.e.,  $\alpha_1 \beta_1$ -block). In addition, let  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  be the first  $(\beta_2 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \alpha_1 - 1)$ -terms of  $\{x_{i,j}\}$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  is in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $\{x_{i,j}\}$ ,
- (2)  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  is not in the  $\alpha_1 \beta_1$ -block of  $\{x_{i,j}\}$ ,
- (3)  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  is not in  $\{y_{i,j} : \alpha_1 < i < \infty \cup \beta_1 < j < \infty\}$ ,

where the first  $(\beta_2 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)$ -terms has an ordering as in Definition 2.6. Also let us choose  $(m_2, n_2)$  and  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$  such that  $m_2 > m_1$ ,  $n_2 > n_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ , and  $\beta_2 > \beta_1$ , where  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$  are in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $v$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} z_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \quad \sum_{k>\alpha_1 \& l \leq l < \beta_1} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} x_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \\
 & \sum_{1 < k < \alpha_1 \& l > \beta_1} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} x_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \quad \sum_{k > \alpha_1 \& l > \beta_1} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} y_{k, l} - v_{1,1}| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \\
 & \left| \sum_{k,l=\alpha_1+1, \beta_1+1}^{\alpha_2-1, \beta_2-1} a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} y_{k, l} - v_{2,2} \right| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \quad \left| \sum_{k,l \geq \alpha_2, \beta_2} a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} y_{k, l} \right| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \\
 & \sum_{k \geq \alpha_2 \& \beta_1 \leq l \leq \beta_2} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} x_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \quad \sum_{l \geq \beta_2 \& \alpha_1 \leq l \leq \alpha_2} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} x_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \\
 & \sup_{m,n} \sum_{\beta_1 \leq j < \beta_2} |a_{m,n, \alpha_1, j}| |z_{\alpha_1, j} - y_{\alpha_1, j}| < \frac{1}{2^4}, \quad \sup_{m,n} \sum_{\alpha_1 < i < \alpha_2} |a_{m,n, i, \beta_1}| |z_{i, \beta_1} - y_{i, \beta_1}| < \frac{1}{2^4}. \tag{3.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us define  $z$  as follows:  $z_{k,l} = y_{k,l}$  if  $\alpha_1 < k < \alpha_2$  and  $\beta_1 < l < \beta_2$ ,  $z_{\alpha_1, j} = x_{\bar{k}, \bar{l}}$  if  $\beta_1 \leq j \leq \beta_2$ ,  $z_{i, \beta_1} = x_{\bar{k}, \bar{l}}$  if  $\alpha_1 \leq j < \alpha_2$ , and  $z_{k,l} = x_{k,l}$  otherwise. Suppose in general that the double-index sequences  $(\alpha_{s-1}, \beta_{t-1})$  and  $(m_{s-1}, n_{t-1})$  have been chosen with  $\alpha_{s-1} > \alpha_{s-2}$ ,  $\beta_{t-1} > \beta_{t-2}$ ,  $m_{s-1} > m_{s-2}$ , and  $n_{t-1} > n_{t-2}$ . Also let us denote the  $\lambda$ -permutation of  $B_{\alpha_{s-1} \beta_{t-1}}$  of  $x$  by  $\{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_{s-1}, \beta_{t-1}}$ . In addition, let  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  be the first  $(\beta_t + \alpha_s - \beta_{t-1} - \alpha_{s-1} - 1)$ -terms of  $\{x_{i,j}\}$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  is in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $\{x_{i,j}\}$ ,
- (2)  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  is not in the  $\alpha_s \beta_t$ -block of  $\{x_{i,j}\}$ ,
- (3)  $(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$  is not in  $\{y_{i,j} : \alpha_s < i < \infty \cup \beta_t < j < \infty\}$ ,

where the first  $(\beta_t + \alpha_s - \beta_{t-1} - \alpha_{s-1} - 1)$ -terms have an ordering as in Definition 2.6.

Now let us choose  $(m_s, n_t)$  and  $(\alpha_s, \beta_t)$  such that  $m_s > m_{s-1}$ ,  $n_t > n_{t-1}$ ,  $\alpha_s > \alpha_{s-1}$ , and  $\beta_t > \beta_{t-1}$ , where  $(\alpha_{s-1}, \beta_{t-1})$  and  $(\alpha_s, \beta_t)$  are in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $v$  with the following properties:

$$\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{\alpha_{s-1}, \beta_{t-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} z_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^{s+t}}, \quad \sum_{k > \alpha_{s-1} \& l \leq l < \beta_{t-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^{s+t}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{s-1} \& l > \beta_{t-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^{s+t}}, \quad \sum_{k > \alpha_{s-1} \& l > \beta_{t-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} y_{k, l} - v_{s, t}| < \frac{1}{2^{s+t}}, \\
\left| \sum_{k, l = \alpha_{s-1} + 1, \beta_{t-1} + 1}^{\alpha_s - 1, \beta_t - 1} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} y_{k, l} - v_{s, t} \right| &< \frac{1}{2^{s+t}}, \quad \left| \sum_{k, l \geq \alpha_s, \beta_t} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} y_{k, l} \right| < \frac{1}{2^{s+t}}, \\
\sum_{k \geq \alpha_s \& \beta_{t-1} < l \leq \beta_t} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^{s+t}}, \quad \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k \leq \alpha_s \& l \geq \beta_s} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^{s+t}}, \\
\sup_{m, n} \sum_{\beta_{t-1} \leq j < \beta_t} |a_{m, n, \alpha_{s-1}, j}| |z_{\alpha_{s-1}, j} - y_{\alpha_{s-1}, j}| &< \frac{1}{2^{s+1}}, \\
\sup_{m, n} \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < i < \alpha_s} |a_{m, n, i, \beta_{t-1}}| |z_{i, \beta_{t-1}} - y_{i, \beta_{t-1}}| &< \frac{1}{2^{t+1}}. \\
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Let us define  $z$  as follows:  $z_{k, l} = y_{k, l}$ , if  $\alpha_{s-1} < k < \alpha_s$  and  $\beta_{t-1} < l < \beta_t$ ,  $z_{\alpha_s, i} = x_{\bar{k}, \bar{l}}$  if  $\beta_{t-1} \leq i \leq \beta_t$ ,  $z_{j, \beta_t} = x_{\bar{k}, \bar{l}}$  if  $\alpha_{s-1} \leq j < \alpha_s$ , and  $z_{k, l} = x_{k, l}$  otherwise. Let us consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Az)_{m_s, n_t} - v_{s, t}| &= \left| \sum_{k, l=1, 1}^{\alpha_{s-1}, \beta_{t-1}} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} z_{k, l} + \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k < \alpha_s \& \beta_{t-1} < l < \beta_t} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} y_{k, l} - v_{s, t} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k < \infty \& 1 \leq l \leq \beta_{t-1}} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{s-1} \& \beta_{t-1} < l < \infty} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha_s \leq k < \infty \& \beta_{t-1} < l \leq \beta_t} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l} + \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k \leq \alpha_s \& \beta_t \leq l < \infty} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l} \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k > \alpha_s \& l > \beta_t} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} z_{k, l} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k, l=1, 1}^{\alpha_{t-1}, \beta_{s-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} z_{k, l}| + \left| \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k < \alpha_s \& \beta_{t-1} < l < \beta_t} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} y_{k, l} - v_{s, t} \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k < \infty \& 1 \leq l \leq \beta_{t-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{s-1} \& \beta_{t-1} < l < \infty} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha_s < k < \infty \& \beta_{t-1} < l \leq \beta_t} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| + \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k \leq \alpha_s \& \beta_t \leq l < \infty} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > \alpha_s \& l > \beta_t} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} z_{k, l}|. \\
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Az)_{m_s, n_t} - v_{s, t}| &\leq \sum_{k, l=1, 1}^{\alpha_{t-1}, \beta_{s-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} z_{k, l}| + \left| \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k < \alpha_s \& \beta_{t-1} < l < \beta_t} a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} y_{k, l} - v_{s, t} \right| \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k < \infty \& 1 \leq l \leq \beta_{t-1}} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{s-1} \& \beta_{t-1} < l < \infty} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha_s < k < \infty \& \beta_{t-1} < l \leq \beta_t} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| + \sum_{\alpha_{s-1} < k \leq \alpha_s \& \beta_t \leq l < \infty} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l} x_{k, l}| \\
 &+ \sum_{k, l=\alpha_s, \beta_t}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{m_s, n_t, k, l}| |y_{k, l}| + \sum_{p=s}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_p} |a_{m_s, n_t, \alpha_p, j}| |z_{\alpha_p, j} - y_{\alpha_p, j}| \\
 &+ \sum_{q=t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_q-1} |a_{m_s, n_t, i, \beta_q}| |z_{i, \beta_q} - y_{i, \beta_q}| < \frac{7}{2^{s+t}}. \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, each P-limit point of  $Ay$  is a P-limit of  $Az$ . This completes the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $x$  is a double-complex sequence and  $A$  is a row pairwise-finite four-dimensional matrix satisfying conditions  $RH_1$  through  $RH_5$  of RH-regularity, then there exists a  $\lambda$ -rearrangement  $y$  of  $x$  such that every limit point of  $x$  (finite or infinite) is a limit point of  $(Ay)$ .*

*Proof.* We will assume without loss of generality that  $x$  has a definite divergent subsequence and at least one finite P-limit point. Let us consider the double sequence  $v$  defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ ,  $(m_1, n_1)$ , and  $(\bar{m}_1, \bar{n}_1)$  be selected index pairs and let  $\{z_{i, j}\}_{i, j=1, 1}^{\alpha_1, \beta_1}$  be the  $\lambda$ -permutation of the terms in the  $\alpha_1 \beta_1$ -block of  $x$ . Let us select  $(m_2, n_2)$  such that  $m_2 > \bar{m}_1$  and  $n_2 > \bar{n}_1$  such that

$$\sum_{k, l=1, 1}^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} z_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^4}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $A$  is pairwise finite and we have  $RH_3$  and  $RH_4$ , we are granted the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{(k > \alpha_1 \cup 1 \leq l \leq \beta_1) \cap (1/\lambda < k/l < \lambda)} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} z_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^4}, \\
 \sum_{(k > \alpha_1 \cup 1 \leq l \leq \beta_1) \cap (k/l \leq 1/\lambda \cup k/l \geq \lambda)} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} x_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^4}, \\
 \sum_{(1 \leq k \leq \alpha_1 \cap l > \beta_1) \cap (1/\lambda < k/l < \lambda)} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} z_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^4}, \\
 \sum_{(1 \leq k \leq \alpha_1 \cap l > \beta_1) \cap (k/l \leq 1/\lambda \cup k/l \geq \lambda)} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} x_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^4}. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

The RH-regularity conditions RH<sub>1</sub> through RH<sub>5</sub> imply that

$$\left| \sum_{k>\alpha_1, l>\beta_1} a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{2^4(|\nu_{2,2}| + 1)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let  $k_2 = \sup\{k : |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l}| > 0\}$  and  $l_2 = \sup\{l : |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l}| > 0\}$  and choose  $\{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{k_2, l_2}$  in a Pringsheim subsequence sense from  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_1, \beta_1}$  with elements in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $x$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k, l=(\alpha_1+1, \beta_1+1) \cap (1/\lambda < k/l < \lambda)}^{k_2, l_2} a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} z_{k, l} - \nu_{2,2} \right| &< \frac{1}{2^4}, \\ \sum_{k, l=(\alpha_1+1, \beta_1+1) \cap (k/l \leq 1/\lambda \cup k/l \geq \lambda)}^{k_2, l_2} |a_{m_2, n_2, k, l} x_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Also let us select the following  $z$ 's:  $(\{z_{i, k_2+1} : 1 \leq i \leq l_2 + 1\} \cap \{1/\lambda < i/(k_2 + 1) < \lambda\}) \cup (\{z_{l_2+1, i} : 1 \leq i < k_2\} \cap \{1/\lambda < (l_2 + 1)/i < \lambda\})$  and denote these  $z$ 's by  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$ . In addition,  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$  are selected such that  $\{\zeta, \eta\}$  corresponds to the first index of  $x$  in  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_2-1, \beta_2-1}$ . By the RH-regularity conditions, there exist  $\bar{m}_2 > m_2$ ,  $\bar{n}_2 > n_2$ ,  $\alpha_2 > k_2 + 1$ , and  $\beta_2 > l_2 + 1$  such that  $|a_{\bar{m}_2, \bar{n}_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2}| > 0$  and  $|a_{\bar{m}_2, \bar{n}_2, k, l}| = 0$ , where  $k > \alpha_2$  or  $l > \beta_2$ . Choose  $\{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=k_2+2, l_2+2}^{\alpha_2-1, \beta_2-1}$  in a Pringsheim subsequence sense from  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{k_2+1, l_2+1}$  in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $x$ . Let us denote  $(\{z_{i, \beta_2} : 1 \leq i \leq \alpha_2\} \cap \{1/\lambda < i/\beta_2 < \lambda\}) \cup (\{z_{\alpha_2, i} : 1 \leq i < \beta_2\} \cap \{1/\lambda < \alpha_2/i < \lambda\})$  by  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$ , where  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$  are selected such that  $\{\zeta, \eta\}$  corresponds to the first index of  $x$  in  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_2-1, \beta_2-1}$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{k, l=1,1}^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} a_{\bar{m}_2, \bar{n}_2, k, l} z_{k, l} \right| > 2^4. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, in general we select two double sequences  $(m_r, n_s)$  and  $(\bar{m}_r, \bar{n}_s)$  as follows: let  $(\alpha_{r-1}, \beta_{s-1})$ ,  $(m_{r-1}, n_{s-1})$ , and  $(\bar{m}_{r-1}, \bar{n}_{s-1})$  be selected index pairs and let  $\{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_{r-1}, \beta_{s-1}}$  be the  $\lambda$ -permutation of the terms in the  $\alpha_{r-1}\beta_{s-1}$ -block of  $x$ . Let us select  $(m_r, n_s)$  such that  $m_r > \bar{m}_{r-1}$  and  $n_s > \bar{n}_{s-1}$  such that

$$\sum_{k, l=1,1}^{\alpha_{r-1}, \beta_{s-1}} |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} z_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^{r+s}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Since  $A$  is pairwise finite and we have RH<sub>3</sub> and RH<sub>4</sub>, we are granted the following:

$$\sum_{(k>\alpha_{r-1} \cup 1 \leq l \leq \beta_{s-1}) \cap (1/\lambda < k/l < \lambda)} |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} z_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^{r+s}},$$

$$\sum_{(k>\alpha_{r-1} \cup 1 \leq l \leq \beta_{s-1}) \cap (k/l \leq 1/\lambda \cup k/l \geq \lambda)} |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} x_{k, l}| < \frac{1}{2^{r+s}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{r-1} \cap l > \beta_{s-1}) \cap (1/\lambda < k/l < \lambda)} |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} z_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^{r+s}}, \\
\sum_{(1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{r-1} \cap l > \beta_{s-1}) \cap (k/l \leq 1/\lambda \cup k/l \geq \lambda)} |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} x_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^{r+s}}. \\
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The RH-regularity conditions RH<sub>1</sub> through RH<sub>5</sub> imply that

$$\left| \sum_{k > \alpha_{r-1}, l > \beta_{s-1}} a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{2^{r+s} (|v_{r,s}| + 1)}. \tag{3.12}$$

Let  $k_r = \sup \{k : |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l}| > 0\}$  and  $l_s = \sup \{l : |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l}| > 0\}$  and choose  $\{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=\alpha_{r-1}+1, \beta_{s-1}+1}^{k_r, l_s}$  in a Pringsheim subsequence sense from  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_{r-1}, \beta_{s-1}}$  with elements in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $x$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{k, l = (\alpha_{r-1}+1, \beta_{s-1}+1) \cap (1/\lambda < k/l < \lambda)}^{k_r, l_s} a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} z_{k, l} - v_{r,s} \right| &< \frac{1}{2^{r+s}}, \\
\sum_{k, l = (\alpha_{r-1}+1, \beta_{s-1}+1) \cap (k/l \leq 1/\lambda \cup k/l \geq \lambda)}^{k_r, l_s} |a_{m_r, n_s, k, l} x_{k, l}| &< \frac{1}{2^{r+s}}. \\
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Also let us select the following  $z$ 's :  $(\{z_{i, k_r+1} : 1 \leq i \leq l_s + 1\} \cap \{1/\lambda < i/(k_r + 1) < \lambda\}) \cup (\{z_{l_s+1, i} : 1 \leq i < k_r + 1\} \cap \{1/\lambda < (l_s + 1)/i < \lambda\})$  and denote these  $z$ 's by  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$ . In addition,  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$  are selected such that  $\{\zeta, \eta\}$  corresponds to the first index of  $x$  in  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_{r-1}, \beta_{s-1}}$ . By the RH-regularity conditions, there exist  $\bar{m}_r > m_r$ ,  $\bar{n}_s > n_s$ ,  $\alpha_r > k_r + 1$ , and  $\beta_s > l_s + 1$  such that  $|a_{\bar{m}_r, \bar{n}_s, \alpha_r, \beta_s}| > 0$  and  $|a_{\bar{m}_r, \bar{n}_s, k, l}| = 0$ , where  $k > \alpha_r$  or  $l > \beta_s$ . Choose  $\{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=k_r+2, l_s+2}^{\alpha_r-1, \beta_s-1}$  in a Pringsheim subsequence sense from  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{k_r+1, l_s+1}$  in the  $\lambda$ -wedge of  $x$ . Let us denote  $(\{z_{i, \beta_s} : 1 \leq i \leq \alpha_r\} \cap \{1/\lambda < i/\beta_s < \lambda\}) \cup (\{z_{\alpha_r, i} : 1 \leq i < \beta_s\} \cap \{1/\lambda < \alpha_r/i < \lambda\})$  by  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$ , where  $\{z_{\zeta, \eta}\}$  are selected such that  $\{\zeta, \eta\}$  corresponds to the first index of  $x$  in  $x \setminus \{z_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,1}^{\alpha_r-1, \beta_s-1}$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{k, l = 1, 1}^{\alpha_r, \beta_s} a_{\bar{m}_r, \bar{n}_s, k, l} z_{k, l} \right| > 2^{r+s}. \tag{3.14}$$

This process grants us two positive integer double sequences and a  $\lambda$ -rearrangement  $z$  of  $x$  having the following properties:  $|(Az)_{m_r, n_s} - v_{r,s}| = o(1)$  and  $\{|(Az)_{\bar{m}_r, \bar{n}_s}|\}$  which is definite divergent. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *If there exists a four-dimensional matrix  $A$  satisfying RH<sub>1</sub> through RH<sub>5</sub> such that  $Az$  is definite divergent for every  $\lambda$ -rearrangement  $z$  of  $x$ , then  $x$  is definite divergent.*

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *If there exists a four-dimensional matrix  $A$  satisfying RH<sub>1</sub> through RH<sub>5</sub> such that  $Az$  is bounded for every  $\lambda$ -rearrangement  $z$  of  $x$ , then  $x$  has only bounded subsequence.*

## References

- [1] R. P. Agnew, “Summability of subsequences,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 50, pp. 596–598, 1944.
- [2] J. A. Fridy, “Summability of rearrangements of sequences,” *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 187–192, 1975.
- [3] T. A. Keagy, “Summability of subsequences and rearrangements of sequences,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 492–496, 1978.
- [4] A. Pringsheim, “Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 289–321, 1900.
- [5] G. M. Robison, “Divergent double sequences and series,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 50–73, 1926.
- [6] H. J. Hamilton, “Transformations of multiple sequences,” *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 29–60, 1936.
- [7] R. F. Patterson, “Analogues of some fundamental theorems of summability theory,” *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2000.
- [8] R. F. Patterson, “Characterization for the limit points of stretched double sequences,” *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 103–109, 2002.
- [9] R. F. Patterson and B. E. Rhoades, “Summability of  $\lambda$ -rearrangements for double sequences,” *Analysis*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 213–225, 2004.
- [10] C. N. Moore, *Summable Series and Convergence Factors*, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1966.

Richard F. Patterson: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Florida, Building 14, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA

*Email address:* rpatters@unf.edu

## Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

### Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Lean Yu**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [yulean@amss.ac.cn](mailto:yulean@amss.ac.cn)

**Shouyang Wang**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; [sywang@amss.ac.cn](mailto:sywang@amss.ac.cn)

**K. K. Lai**, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [mskklai@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:mskklai@cityu.edu.hk)