

Research Article

Polynomial Rings over Pseudovaluation Rings

V. K. Bhat

Received 5 March 2007; Accepted 1 August 2007

Recommended by Howard E. Bell

Let R be a ring. Let σ be an automorphism of R . We define a σ -divided ring and prove the following. (1) Let R be a commutative pseudovaluation ring such that $x \notin P$ for any $P \in \text{Spec}(R[x, \sigma])$. Then $R[x, \sigma]$ is also a pseudovaluation ring. (2) Let R be a σ -divided ring such that $x \notin P$ for any $P \in \text{Spec}(R[x, \sigma])$. Then $R[x, \sigma]$ is also a σ -divided ring. Let now R be a commutative Noetherian Q -algebra (Q is the field of rational numbers). Let δ be a derivation of R . Then we prove the following. (1) Let R be a commutative pseudovaluation ring. Then $R[x, \delta]$ is also a pseudovaluation ring. (2) Let R be a divided ring. Then $R[x, \delta]$ is also a divided ring.

Copyright © 2007 V. K. Bhat. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

All rings are associative with identity 1. Now let R be a ring. $N(R)$ denotes the set of all nilpotent elements of R . $Z(R)$ denotes the centre of R . Q denotes the field of rational numbers unless otherwise stated. We recall that as in Hedstrom and Houston [1], an integral domain R with quotient field F , is called a pseudovaluation domain (PVD) if each prime ideal P of R is strongly prime ($ab \in P$, $a \in F$, $b \in F$ implies that either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$). In Badawi et al. [2], the study of pseudovaluation domains was generalized to arbitrary rings in the following way.

A prime ideal P of R is said to be strongly prime if aP and bR are comparable (under inclusion) for all $a, b \in R$. A commutative ring R is said to be a pseudovaluation ring (PVR) if each prime ideal P of R is strongly prime. We note that a commutative PVR is quasilocal by Badawi et al. [2, Lemma 1(b)].

An integral domain is a PVR if and only if it is a PVD by Anderson [3, Proposition 3.1], Anderson [4, Proposition 4.2], and Badawi [5, Proposition 3]. We recall that a prime ideal

P of R is said to be divided if it is comparable (under inclusion) to every ideal of R . A ring R is called a divided ring if every prime ideal of R is divided. We denote the set of prime ideals of R by $\text{Spec}(R)$ and the set of strongly prime ideals of R by $S \cdot \text{Spec}(R)$.

In Badawi [6], another generalization of PVDs is given in the following way:

For a ring R with total quotient ring Q such that $N(R)$ is a divided prime ideal of R , let $\phi : Q \rightarrow R_{N(R)}$ such that $\phi(a/b) = a/b$ for every $a \in R$ and every $b \in R \setminus Z(R)$. Then ϕ is a ring homomorphism from Q into $R_{N(R)}$, and ϕ restricted to R is also a ring homomorphism from R into $R_{N(R)}$ given by $\phi(r) = r/1$ for every $r \in R$. Denote $R_{N(R)}$ by T . A prime ideal P of $\phi(R)$ is called a T -strongly prime ideal if $xy \in P$, $x \in T$, $y \in T$ implies that either $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. $\phi(R)$ is said to be a T -pseudovaluation ring (T -PVR) if each prime ideal of $\phi(R)$ is T -strongly prime. A prime ideal S of R is called ϕ -strongly prime ideal if $\phi(S)$ is a T -strongly prime ideal of $\phi(R)$. If each prime ideal of R is ϕ -strongly prime, then R is called a ϕ -pseudovaluation ring (ϕ -PVR).

Also recall from Badawi [7], a ring R is called a ϕ -chained ring (ϕ -CR) if $N(R)$ is a divided prime ideal of R and for every $a \in T \setminus \phi(R)$, we have $a^{-1} \in \phi(R)$. In Badawi [8, Proposition 2.6], it is shown that if $N(R)$ is a divided prime ideal of R , and P is a regular ϕ -strongly prime ideal of R . Then the total quotient ring Q of R is ϕ -CR.

This article concerns the study of skew polynomial rings over PVDs. Let R be a ring and σ be an automorphism of R . We denote the skew polynomial ring $R[x, \sigma]$ by $S(R)$. If I is an ideal of R such that I is σ -stable; that is, $\sigma(I) = I$, then we denote $I[x, \sigma]$ by $S(I)$. We would like to mention that $R[x, \sigma]$ is the usual set of polynomials with coefficients in R , that is, $\{\sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R\}$ in which multiplication is subject to the relation $ax = x\sigma(a)$ for all $a \in R$.

Let R be a ring and σ be an automorphism of R . We denote the skew Laurent polynomial ring $R[x, x^{-1}, \sigma]$ by $L(R)$. We would also like to mention that $L(R) = \{\sum_{i=-m}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R\}$ in which multiplication is subject to the relation $ax = x\sigma(a)$ for all $a \in R$. If I is an ideal of R such that $\sigma(I) = I$, then we denote $I[x, x^{-1}, \sigma]$ by $L(I)$.

Let R be a ring and δ be a derivation of R . We denote the differential operator ring $R[x, \delta]$ by $D(R)$. If I is an ideal of R such that $\delta(I) \subseteq I$, then we denote $I[x, \delta]$ by $D(I)$. We would like to mention that $D(R)$ is the usual set of polynomials with coefficients in R , that is, $\{\sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R\}$ in which multiplication is subject to the relation $ax = xa + \delta(a)$ for all $a \in R$.

Ore-extensions including skew polynomial rings and differential operator rings have been of interest to many authors. See [9–12].

We define a σ -divided ring (σ is an automorphism of R) in the following way.

Let R be a ring. We say that a prime ideal P of R is σ -divided if it is comparable (under inclusion) to every σ -stable ideal I of R . A ring R is called a σ -divided ring if every prime ideal of R is σ -divided.

Let now R be a ring. Let σ be an automorphism of R . Then we prove the following.

- (1) Let R be a commutative pseudovaluation ring such that $x \notin P$ for any $P \in \text{Spec}(S(R))$. Then $R[x, \sigma]$ is also a pseudovaluation ring.
- (2) Let R be a σ -divided ring such that $x \notin P$ for any $P \in \text{Spec}(S(R))$. Then $R[x, \sigma]$ is also a σ -divided ring.

These results are proved in Theorems 2.6 and 2.8, respectively.

Let now R be a commutative Noetherian Q -algebra. Let δ be a derivation of R . Then we prove the following.

- (1) Let R be a commutative pseudovaluation ring. Then $R[x, \delta]$ is also a pseudovaluation ring.
- (2) Let R be a divided ring. Then $R[x, \delta]$ is also a divided ring.

These results are proved in Theorems 2.10 and 2.11, respectively.

2. Polynomial rings

We begin with the following known results.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let R be a ring. Let σ be an automorphism of R .*

- (1) *If P is a prime ideal of $S(R)$ such that $x \notin P$, then $P \cap R$ is a prime ideal of R and $\sigma(P \cap R) = P \cap R$.*
- (2) *If Q is a prime ideal of R such that $\sigma(Q) = Q$, then $S(Q)$ is a prime ideal of $S(R)$ and $S(Q) \cap R = Q$.*

Proof. The proof follows on the same lines as in McConnell and Robson [13, 14, Lemma 10.6.4]. □

LEMMA 2.2. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian Q -algebra. Let δ be a derivation of R . Then:*

- (1) *If P is a prime ideal of $D(R)$, then $P \cap R$ is a prime ideal of R and $\delta(P \cap R) \subseteq P \cap R$.*
- (2) *If U is a prime ideal of R such that $\delta(U) \subseteq U$, then $D(U)$ is a prime ideal of $D(R)$ and $D(U) \cap R = U$.*

Proof. See Goodearl and Warfield [15, Theorem 2.22]. □

LEMMA 2.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring. Let σ be an automorphism of R . If I is a prime ideal of R such that $\sigma(I) \subseteq I$, then $L(I)$ is an ideal of $L(R)$ and if J is an ideal of $L(R)$, then $J \cap R$ is an ideal of R and $\sigma(J \cap R) \subseteq J \cap R$.*

Proof. See Goodearl and Warfield [15, Example 2ZA]. □

Let R be a ring. Let α be an automorphism of R and ρ be an α -derivation of R , that is, $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\alpha(b) + a\rho(b)$, for $a, b \in R$. Then Ore-extension $R[x, \alpha, \rho]$ is the usual set of polynomials with coefficients in R , that is, $\{\sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R\}$ in which multiplication is subject to the relation $ax = x\alpha(a) + \rho(a)$ for all $a \in R$.

THEOREM 2.4 (Hilbert Basis theorem). *Let R be a right/left Noetherian ring. Let α and ρ be as above. Then the ore-extension $O(R) = R[x, \alpha, \rho]$ is right/left Noetherian. Also $R[x, x^{-1}, \alpha]$ is right/left Noetherian.*

Proof. See Goodearl and Warfield [15, Theorems 1.12 and 1.17]. □

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let R be a ring. Let σ be an automorphism of R and δ be a σ -derivation of R . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *For any strongly prime ideal P of R with $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ and $\sigma(P) = P$, $O(P) = P[x, \sigma, \delta]$ is a strongly prime ideal of $O(R)$.*
- (2) *For any strongly prime ideal U of $O(R)$, $U \cap R$ is a strongly prime ideal of R .*

Proof. (1) Let P be a strongly prime ideal of R . Now let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i \in O(R)$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m x^j b_j \in O(R)$ be such that $f(x)g(x) \in O(P)$. Suppose $f(x) \notin O(P)$. We will show that $g(x) \in O(P)$. We use induction on n and m . For $n = m = 1$, the verification is easy. We check for $n = 2$ and $m = 1$. Let $f(x) = x^2 a + xb + c$ and $g(x) = xu + v$. Now $f(x)g(x) \in O(P)$ with $f(x) \notin O(P)$. The possibilities are $a \notin P$ or $b \notin P$ or $c \notin P$ or any two out of these three do not belong to P or all of them do not belong to P . We verify case by case.

Let $a \notin P$. Since $x^3 \sigma(a)u + x^2(\delta(a)u + \sigma(b)u + av) + x(\delta(b)u + \sigma(c)u + bv) + \delta(c)u + cv \in O(P)$, we have $\sigma(a)u \in P$, and so $u \in P$. Now $\delta(a)u + \sigma(b)u + av \in P$ implies $av \in P$, and so $v \in P$. Therefore, $g(x) \in O(P)$.

Let $b \notin P$. Now $\sigma(a)u \in P$. Suppose $u \notin P$, then $\sigma(a) \in P$ and therefore $a, \delta(a) \in P$. Now $\delta(a)u + \sigma(b)u + av \in P$ implies that $\sigma(b)u \in P$ which in turn implies that $b \in P$, which is not the case. Therefore, we have $u \in P$. Now $\delta(b)u + \sigma(c)u + bv \in P$ implies that $bv \in P$ and therefore $v \in P$. Thus, we have $g(x) \in O(P)$.

Let $c \notin P$. Now $\sigma(a)u \in P$. Suppose $u \notin P$, then as above $a, \delta(a) \in P$. Now $\delta(a)u + \sigma(b)u + av \in P$ implies that $\sigma(b)u \in P$. Now $u \notin P$ implies that $\sigma(b) \in P$; that is, $b, \delta(b) \in P$. Also $\delta(b)u + \sigma(c)u + bv \in P$ implies $\sigma(c)u \in P$ and therefore $\sigma(c) \in P$ which is not the case. Thus, we have $u \in P$. Now $\delta(c)u + cv \in P$ implies $cv \in P$, and so $v \in P$. Therefore, $g(x) \in O(P)$.

Now suppose that the result is true for $k, n = k > 2$ and $m = 1$. We will prove for $n = k + 1$. Let $f(x) = x^{k+1}a_{k+1} + x^k a_k + \cdots + xa_1 + a_0$, and $g(x) = xb_1 + b_0$ be such that $f(x)g(x) \in O(P)$, but $f(x) \notin O(P)$. We will show that $g(x) \in O(P)$. If $a_{k+1} \notin P$, then equating coefficients of x^{k+2} , we get $\sigma(a_{k+1})b_1 \in P$, which implies that $b_1 \in P$. Now equating coefficients of x^{k+1} , we get $\sigma(a_k)b_1 + a_{k+1}b_0 \in P$, which implies that $a_{k+1}b_0 \in P$, and therefore $b_0 \in P$. Hence $g(x) \in O(P)$.

If $a_j \notin P, 0 \leq j \leq k$, then using induction hypothesis, we get that $g(x) \in O(P)$. Therefore, the statement is true for all n . Now using the same process, it can be easily seen that the statement is true for all m also. We leave the details to the reader.

(2) Let U be a strongly prime ideal of $O(R)$. Suppose $a, b \in R$ are such that $ab \in (U \cap R)$ with $a \notin (U \cap R)$. This means that $a \notin U$ as $a \in R$. Thus we have $ab \in (U \cap R) \subseteq U$, with $a \notin U$. Therefore, we have $b \in U$, and thus $b \in (U \cap R)$. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *Let R be a commutative PVR such that $x \notin P$ for any $P \in \text{Spec}(S(R))$. Then $S(R)$ is also a PVR.*

Proof. Let $J \in \text{Spec}(S(R))$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $J \cap R \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\sigma(J \cap R) = J \cap R$. Now R is a commutative PVR, therefore $J \cap R \in S \cdot \text{Spec}(R)$. Now Proposition 2.5 implies that $S(J \cap R) \in S \cdot \text{Spec}(D(R))$. Now it is easy to see that $S(J \cap R) = J$. Therefore, $J \in S \cdot \text{Spec}(D(R))$. Hence, $S(R)$ is a PVR. \square

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring which is also a PVR and $\sigma(P) = P$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then $L(R)$ is also a PVR.*

Proof. Use Proposition 2.5 and Goodearl and Warfield [15, Example 2ZA]. \square

THEOREM 2.8. *Let R be a σ -divided Noetherian ring such that $x \notin P$ for any $P \in \text{Spec}(S(R))$. Then $S(R)$ is also σ -divided Noetherian.*

Proof. We note that σ can be extended to an automorphism of $S(R)$ such that $\sigma(x) = x$. Also $S(R)$ is Noetherian by Theorem 2.4. Let $J \in \text{Spec}(S(R))$ and $0 \neq K$ be a proper ideal of $S(R)$ such that $\sigma(K) = K$. Now by McConnell and Robson [13, 14, Lemma 10.6.4], $J \cap R \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\sigma(J \cap R) = (J \cap R)$. Also by McConnell and Robson [13, 14, Lemma 10.6.3], $K \cap R$ is an ideal of R and $\sigma(K \cap R) = (K \cap R)$. Now R is σ -divided, therefore $J \cap R$ and $K \cap R$ are comparable under inclusion. Say $(J \cap R) \subseteq (K \cap R)$. Therefore, $S(J \cap R) \subseteq S(K \cap R)$. Thus $J \subseteq K$. Hence, $S(R)$ is σ -divided Noetherian. \square

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let R be a divided Noetherian ring and $\sigma(P) = P$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then $L(R)$ is also divided.*

Proof. Use Goodearl and Warfield [15, Example 2ZA]. \square

THEOREM 2.10. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian Q -algebra which is also a PVR. Then $D(R)$ is also a PVR.*

Proof. Let $J \in \text{Spec}(D(R))$. Then by Lemma 2.2, $J \cap R \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\delta(J \cap R) \subseteq J \cap R$. Now R is a PVR, therefore $J \cap R \in S \cdot \text{Spec}(R)$. Now Proposition 2.5 implies that $D(J \cap R) \in S \cdot \text{Spec}(D(R))$; but $D(J \cap R) = J$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, $J \in S \cdot \text{Spec}(D(R))$. Hence $D(R)$ is a PVR. \square

THEOREM 2.11. *Let R be a divided commutative Noetherian Q -algebra. Then $D(R)$ is also divided Noetherian.*

Proof. $D(R)$ is Noetherian by Theorem 2.4. Let $J \in \text{Spec}(D(R))$ and $0 \neq K$ be a proper ideal of $D(R)$. Now by Goodearl and Warfield [15, Theorem 2.22], $J \cap R \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\delta(J \cap R) \subseteq (J \cap R)$. Also $K \cap R$ is an ideal of R and $\delta(K \cap R) \subseteq (K \cap R)$ by Goodearl and Warfield [15, Lemma 2.18]. Now R is divided, therefore $J \cap R$ and $K \cap R$ are comparable under inclusion. Say $(J \cap R) \subseteq (K \cap R)$. Therefore, $D(J \cap R) \subseteq D(K \cap R)$. Thus, $J \subseteq K$. Hence, $D(R)$ is divided Noetherian. \square

Question 1. Let R be a commutative PVR. Let σ be an automorphism of R and δ be a σ -derivation of R . Is $O(R) = R[x, \sigma, \delta]$ a PVR (even if R is Noetherian)?

References

- [1] J. R. Hedstrom and E. G. Houston, "Pseudo-valuation domains," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 137–147, 1978.
- [2] A. Badawi, D. F. Anderson, and D. E. Dobbs, "Pseudo-valuation rings," in *Commutative Ring Theory (Fès, 1995)*, vol. 185 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pp. 57–67, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- [3] D. F. Anderson, "Comparability of ideals and valuation overrings," *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 451–463, 1979.
- [4] D. F. Anderson, "When the dual of an ideal is a ring," *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 325–332, 1983.
- [5] A. Badawi, "On domains which have prime ideals that are linearly ordered," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 4365–4373, 1995.
- [6] A. Badawi, "On ϕ -pseudo-valuation rings," in *Advances in Commutative Ring Theory (Fez, 1997)*, vol. 205 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pp. 101–110, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1999.

- [7] A. Badawi, "On ϕ -chained rings and ϕ -pseudo-valuation rings," *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 725–736, 2001.
- [8] A. Badawi, "On the complete integral closure of rings that admit a ϕ -strongly prime ideal," in *Commutative Ring Theory and Applications (Fez, 2001)*, vol. 231 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pp. 15–22, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- [9] S. Annin, "Associated primes over skew polynomial rings," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2511–2528, 2002.
- [10] W. D. Blair and L. W. Small, "Embedding differential and skew polynomial rings into Artinian rings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 109, no. 4, pp. 881–886, 1990.
- [11] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim, and T. K. Kwak, "Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings," *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, vol. 151, no. 3, pp. 215–226, 2000.
- [12] T. K. Kwak, "Prime radicals of skew polynomial rings," *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 219–227, 2003.
- [13] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK, 1987.
- [14] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, vol. 30 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, revised edition, 2001.
- [15] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr., *An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, vol. 16 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1989.

V. K. Bhat: School of Applied Physics and Mathematics, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University,
P/o Kakryal, Katra 182301, India
Email address: vijay.bhat@smvdu.ac.in

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk