

Research Article

A Note on \oplus -Cofinitely Supplemented Modules

Yongduo Wang and Qing Sun

Received 9 January 2007; Accepted 2 May 2007

Recommended by Francois Goichot

Let R be a ring and M a right R -module. In this note, we show that a quotient of an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module is not in general \oplus -cofinitely supplemented and prove that if a module M is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented multiplication module with $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$, then M can be written as an irredundant sum of local direct summand of M . An extension of the result of Calisici and Pancar [1], here it is shown that an arbitrary module is cofinitely semiperfect if and only if it is an (amply) cofinitely supplemented by supplements which have projective covers.

Copyright © 2007 Y. Wang and Q. Sun. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unital right R -modules. We use $N \leq M$ to indicate that N is a submodule of M .

Let M be a module and $S \leq M$. S is called *small* in M (notation $S \ll M$) if $M \neq S + T$ for any proper submodule T of M . Let N and L be submodules of M , N is called a *supplement* of L in M if $N + L = M$ and N is minimal with respect to this property. Equivalently, $M = N + L$ and $N \cap L \ll N$. A submodule N of a module M is said to be *cofinite* in M if the factor module M/N is finitely generated. A module M is called *cofinitely supplemented* if every cofinite submodule of M has a supplement in M , and M is called *amply cofinitely supplemented* if for every cofinite submodule N of M such that $M = N + K$, there is a supplement L of N such that $L \leq K$. A module is called an \oplus -*supplemented module* if every submodule of M has a supplement that is a direct summand of M . As a proper generalization of \oplus -supplemented modules, the notion of \oplus -cofinitely supplemented modules was introduced by Calisici and Pancar [2]. A module M is called an \oplus -*cofinitely supplemented module* if every cofinite submodule of M has a supplement that is a direct summand of

M . In Section 2, we show that a quotient of an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module is not in general \oplus -cofinitely supplemented. It is proven that if a module M is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented multiplication module with $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$, then M can be written as an irredundant sum of local direct summand of M . Calisici and Pancar [1] defined the concept of cofinitely semiperfect modules and proved that for a projective module M , M is cofinitely semiperfect if and only if M is amply cofinitely supplemented. In Section 3, we will show that an arbitrary module is cofinitely semiperfect if and only if it is (amply) cofinitely supplemented by supplements which have projective covers. This extends [1, Theorem 2.3].

2. \oplus -cofinitely supplemented modules

Let M be a module. M is called an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module if every cofinite submodule of M has a supplement that is a direct summand of M .

We start with the following.

Example 2.1. Let R be a commutative local ring which is not a valuation ring and let $n \geq 2$. By [3, Theorem 2], there exists a finitely presented indecomposable module $M = R^{(n)}/K$ which cannot be generated by fewer than n elements. By [4, Corollary 1], $R^{(n)}$ is \oplus -cofinitely supplemented. However, M is not \oplus -cofinitely supplemented by [4, Proposition 2].

Example 2.2. Let R be a commutative local ring which is not a valuation ring. Let a and b be elements of R , neither of them divides the other. By taking a suitable quotient ring, we may assume that $(a) \cap (b) = 0$ and $am = bm = 0$, where m is the maximal ideal of R . Let F be a free module with generators x_1, x_2 , and x_3 . Let K be the submodule generated by $ax_1 - bx_2$ and $M = F/K$. Thus, $M = (Rx_1 \oplus Rx_2 \oplus Rx_3)/R(ax_1 - bx_2) = (R\bar{x}_1 + R\bar{x}_2) \oplus R\bar{x}_3$. Following by [5, Example 2.3], $Rx_1 \oplus Rx_2 \oplus Rx_3$ is \oplus -cofinitely supplemented, but M is not \oplus -cofinitely supplemented.

The above two examples show that a factor module of an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module is not in general \oplus -cofinitely supplemented.

To deal with a special case of factor modules of \oplus -cofinitely supplemented modules, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3 [5, Lemma 2.4]. *Let M be a module and U a fully invariant submodule of M . If $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, then $U = (U \cap M_1) \oplus (U \cap M_2)$.*

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let M be a module and U a fully invariant submodule of M . If M is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module, then M/U is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module. If, moreover, U is a cofinite direct summand of M , then U is also an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module.*

Proof. Suppose that M is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module and L/U is a cofinite submodule of M/U . Thus $M/L \cong (M/U)/(L/U)$ is finitely generated, and hence L is a cofinite submodule of M . Since M is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module, there exist submodules N and N' of M such that $M = N \oplus N'$, $M = N + L$, and $N \cap L \ll N$. It is easy to see that $(N + U)/U$ is a supplement of L/U . Now apply Lemma 2.3 to get that

$U = (U \cap N) \oplus (U \cap N')$. Thus we have $(N + U) \cap (N' + U) = U$ and $((N + U)/U) \oplus ((N' + U)/U) = M/U$, and hence $(N + U)/U$ is a direct summand of M/U . So M/U is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module.

Now suppose that U is a cofinite direct summand of M . Then there is a submodule U' such that $M = U \oplus U'$ and U' is finitely generated. Let V a cofinite submodule of U . Note that $M/V = (U \oplus U')/V \cong U/V \oplus U'$ is finitely generated so that V is a cofinite submodule of M . Since M is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module, there are submodules K and K' of M such that $M = K \oplus K'$, $M = V + K$, and $V \cap K \ll K$. Thus $U = V + (U \cap K)$. But $U = (U \cap K) \oplus (U \cap K')$, and hence $U \cap K$ is a direct summand of U . Moreover, $V \cap (U \cap K) = V \cap K \ll K$. Then $V \cap (U \cap K) \ll (U \cap K)$. Therefore, $U \cap K$ is a supplement of V in U and it is a direct summand of U . Thus, U is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module. \square

Remark 2.5. Let M be an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module. It is clear that $M/\text{Rad}(M)$ and $M/\text{Soc}(M)$ are also \oplus -cofinitely supplemented modules.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let M be an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module. If M contains a maximal submodule, then M contains a local direct summand.*

Proof. Let L be a maximal submodule of M . Since M is an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module, there exists a direct summand K of M such that K is a supplement of L in M . Then for any proper submodule X of K , X is contained in L since L is a maximal submodule and $L + X$ is a proper submodule of M by minimality of K . Hence $X \leq L \cap K$ and $X \ll K$. Thus K is a hollow module, and the lemma is proven. \square

THEOREM 2.7. *Let M be an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented multiplication module with $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$, then M can be written as an irredundant sum of local direct summands of M .*

Proof. Since $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$, M contains a maximal submodule, and hence M contains a local direct summand. Let N be the sum of all local direct summands of M . If N is a proper submodule of M , then there is a maximal submodule L of M such that $N \leq L$ by [6, Theorem 2.5]. Let P be a direct summand of M such that P is a supplement of L in M . Note that P is a local module, and hence it is contained in N , so $M = L + P \leq L + N = L$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have $N = M$. Now let $M = \sum_{i \in I} L_i$, where each L_i is a local direct summand of M . Then

$$\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)} = \sum_{i \in I} \left[\frac{L_i + \text{Rad}(M)}{\text{Rad}(M)} \right] \quad (2.1)$$

and each

$$\frac{L_i + \text{Rad}(M)}{\text{Rad}(M)} \cong \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap \text{Rad}(M)} \quad (2.2)$$

is simple. Hence

$$\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)} = \bigoplus_{k \in K} \left[\frac{L_k + \text{Rad}(M)}{\text{Rad}(M)} \right] \quad (2.3)$$

for some subset $K \subseteq I$. Thus $M = \sum_{k \in K} L_k$ since $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$. It is clear that the sum $\sum_{k \in K} L_k$ is irredundant. \square

Remark 2.8. The module $M = (R\bar{x}_1 + R\bar{x}_2) \oplus R\bar{x}_3$ in Example 2.2 is not an \oplus -cofinitely supplemented module. On the other hand, M can be written as follows: $M = (R\bar{x}_1 + R\bar{x}_2) \oplus R(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_3)$; $M = (R\bar{x}_1 + R\bar{x}_2) \oplus R(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_3)$; and $M = R(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_3) + R(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_3) + R\bar{x}_3$. Therefore, M is an irredundant sum of local direct summands of M .

3. Cofinitely semiperfect modules

Let M be a module. M is called semiperfect if every factor module of M has a projective cover. Calisici and Pancar [1] introduced the notion of cofinitely semiperfect modules as a proper generalization of semiperfect modules. M is called a cofinitely semiperfect module if every finitely generated factor module of M has a projective cover. The following result generalizes [1, Theorem 2.3].

THEOREM 3.1. *The following statements are equivalent for a module M :*

- (1) M is a cofinitely semiperfect module;
- (2) M is amply cofinitely supplemented by supplements which have projective covers;
- (3) M is cofinitely supplemented by supplements which have projective covers.

Proof. “(1) \Rightarrow (2)” Let $M = N + L$ with N cofinite. Let P be a projective cover of M/N with epimorphism f . Since P is projective and M/N is isomorphic to L/D , where D is the intersection of N and L , the epimorphism f lifts to a homomorphism g from P to L . Next, we show that Img is a supplement of N in M and P is a projective cover of Img . Since $\text{Ker } f \ll P$ and $g(\text{Ker } f) = \text{Img} \cap D$, $\text{Img} \cap D \ll \text{Img}$. Since f is an epimorphism, $\text{Img} + D = L$. Thus, Img is a supplement of D in L . It is easy to verify that Img is a supplement of N in M . Since $\text{Kerg} \leq \text{Ker } f$, $\text{Kerg} \ll P$ and hence P is a projective cover of Img which is clearly contained in L .

“(2) \Rightarrow (3)” is clear.

“(3) \Rightarrow (1)” Let N be a cofinite submodule of M and L is a supplement of N in M . Since if D denotes the intersection of N and L , L is a cover of L/D , then any projective cover of L is a projective cover of L/D , which is isomorphic to M/N . This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by a Doctoral Initial Fund of Lanzhou University of Technology.

References

- [1] H. Calisici and A. Pancar, “Cofinitely semiperfect modules,” *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 359–363, 2005.
- [2] H. Calisici and A. Pancar, “ \oplus -cofinitely supplemented modules,” *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 54(129), no. 4, pp. 1083–1088, 2004.
- [3] R. B. Warfield Jr., “Decomposability of finitely presented modules,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 167–172, 1970.

- [4] A. Idelhadj and R. Tribak, “A dual notion of CS-modules generalization,” in *Algebra and Number Theory*, vol. 208 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, pp. 149–155, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- [5] A. Idelhadj and R. Tribak, “On some properties of \oplus -supplemented modules,” *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2003, no. 69, pp. 4373–4387, 2003.
- [6] Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith, “Multiplication modules,” *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 755–779, 1988.

Yongduo Wang: Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730050, China

Email address: ydwang333@sohu.com

Qing Sun: Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730050, China

Email address: sunqing10200891@163.com

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk