

# A NOTE ON SELF-EXTREMAL SETS IN $L_p(\Omega)$ SPACES

VIET NGUYEN-KHAC AND KHIEM NGUYEN-VAN

*Received 24 November 2004 and in revised form 25 August 2005*

We give a necessary condition for a set in  $L_p(\Omega)$  spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) to be self-extremal that partially extends our previous results to the case of  $L_p$  spaces. Examples of self-extremal sets in  $L_p(\Omega)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) are also given.

In [4, 5], we introduced the notion of (self-) extremal sets of a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . For a nonempty bounded subset  $A$  of  $X$ , we denote by  $d(A)$  its diameter and by  $r(A)$  the relative Chebyshev radius of  $A$  with respect to the closed convex hull  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  of  $A$ , that is,  $r(A) := \inf_{y \in \overline{\text{co}}A} \sup_{x \in A} \|x - y\|$ . The self-Jung constant of  $X$  is defined by  $J_s(X) := \sup\{r(A) : A \subset X, \text{ with } d(A) = 1\}$ . If in this definition we replace  $r(A)$  by the relative Chebyshev radius  $r_X(A)$  of  $A$  with respect to the whole  $X$ , we get the Jung constant  $J(X)$  of  $X$ . Recall that a bounded subset  $A$  of  $X$  consisting of at least two points is said to be extremal (resp., self-extremal) if  $r_X(A) = J(X)d(A)$  (resp.,  $r(A) = J_s(X)d(A)$ ).

Throughout the note, unless otherwise mentioned, we will work with the following assumption:  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space such that  $L_p(\Omega)$  is infinite-dimensional. The Jung and self-Jung constants of  $L_p(\Omega)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) were determined in [1, 3, 6, 7]:

$$J(L_p(\Omega)) = J_s(L_p(\Omega)) = \max\{2^{1/p-1}, 2^{-1/p}\}. \quad (1)$$

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $A$  is self-extremal in  $L_p(\Omega)$ , then  $\kappa(A) = d(A)$ .*

Here  $\kappa(A) := \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : A \text{ can be covered by finitely many sets of diameter } \leq \varepsilon\}$ —the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness of  $A$  (for our convenience we use the notation  $\kappa(A)$  in this note).

Before proving our theorem, we need the following results which for convenience we reformulate in the form of Lemmas 2 and 3.

**LEMMA 2** (see [1], Theorem 1.1). *Let  $X$  be a reflexive strictly convex Banach space and  $A$  a finite subset of  $X$ . Then there exists a subset  $B \subset A$  such that*

- (i)  $r(B) \geq r(A)$ ;
- (ii)  $\|x - b\| = r(B)$  for every  $x \in B$ , where  $b$  is the relative Chebyshev center of  $B$ , that is,  $b \in \overline{\text{co}}B$  and  $\sup_{x \in B} \|x - b\| = r(B)$ .

LEMMA 3 (see [8], Theorem 15.1). *Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  vectors in  $L_p(\Omega)$ , and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  nonnegative numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . The following inequality holds:*

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \left\| x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n t_j x_j \right\|^\alpha \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n t_i t_j \|x_i - x_j\|^\alpha, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2, \\ p & \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since  $r(A)$  and  $d(A)$  remain the same with replacing  $A$  by  $\overline{\text{co}}A$ , we may assume that  $A$  is closed convex and  $r(A) = 1$ . For each integer  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in A} B\left(x, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap A = \emptyset, \quad (4)$$

where  $B(x, r)$  denotes the closed ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$  which is weakly compact since  $L_p(\Omega)$  is reflexive. Hence there exist  $x_{q_{n-1}+1}, x_{q_{n-1}+2}, \dots, x_{q_n}$  in  $A$  (with convention  $q_1 = 0$ ) such that

$$\bigcap_{i=q_{n-1}+1}^{q_n} B\left(x_i, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap A = \emptyset. \quad (5)$$

Set  $A_n := \{x_{q_{n-1}+1}, x_{q_{n-1}+2}, \dots, x_{q_n}\}$ . By Lemma 2, there exists a subset  $B_n = \{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, y_{s_n}\}$  of  $A_n$  satisfying properties (i)-(ii) of the lemma. Let us denote the relative Chebyshev center of  $B_n$  by  $b_n$ , and let  $r_n := r(B_n)$ . By what we said above, we have  $r_n > 1 - 1/n$  and  $\|y_i - b_n\| = r_n$  for every  $i \in I_n := \{s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 2, \dots, s_n\}$ . Since  $B_n$  is a finite set, there exist non-negative numbers  $t_{s_{n-1}+1}, t_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, t_{s_n}$  with  $\sum_{i \in I_n} t_i = 1$  such that  $b_n = \sum_{i \in I_n} t_i y_i$ . Applying Lemma 3, one gets

$$2r_n^\alpha = 2 \sum_{i \in I_n} t_i \left\| y_i - \sum_{j \in I_n} t_j y_j \right\|^\alpha \leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} t_i t_j \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha$  is as in (3).

Setting  $B_\infty := \{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, y_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^\infty$ , we claim that  $\kappa(B_\infty) = d(A)$ . Evidently  $\kappa(B_\infty) \leq d(A)$  by definition. If  $\kappa(A_\infty) < d(A)$ , so there exist  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, d(A))$  satisfying  $\kappa(B_\infty) \leq d(A) - \varepsilon_0$ , and subsets  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m$  of  $L_p(\Omega)$  with  $d(D_i) \leq d(A) - \varepsilon_0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

such that  $B_\infty \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i$ . Then one can find at least one set among  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m$ , say  $D_1$ , with the property that there are infinitely many  $n$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i \in I_n} t_i \geq \frac{1}{m}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$I_n := \{i \in I_n : y_i \in D_1\}. \quad (8)$$

From (1), it follows that  $(d(A))^\alpha = (1/J_s(L_p(\Omega)))^\alpha = 2$ . In view of (6), we have, for all  $n$  satisfying (7),

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot r_n^\alpha &\leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} t_i t_j \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha \\ &\leq (d(A) - \varepsilon_0)^\alpha \cdot \left( \sum_{i,j \in I_n} t_i t_j \right) + (d(A))^\alpha \cdot \left( 1 - \sum_{i,j \in I_n} t_i t_j \right) \\ &\leq 2 - \left[ (d(A))^\alpha - (d(A) - \varepsilon_0)^\alpha \right] \cdot \frac{1}{m^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, obviously  $1 - 1/n < r_n \leq 1$ , therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1$ . We get a contradiction with (9) since there are infinitely many  $n$  satisfying (7).

One concludes that  $\kappa(B_\infty) = d(A)$ , and hence  $\kappa(A) = d(A)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.  $\square$

Observe that no relatively compact set  $A$  in  $L_p(\Omega)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) is self-extremal by Theorem 1. Hence we obtain an immediate extension of Gulevich's result for  $L_p(\Omega)$  spaces.

**COROLLARY 4** (cf. [2]). *Suppose that  $1 < p < \infty$  and that  $A$  is a relatively compact set in  $L_p(\Omega)$  with  $d(A) > 0$ . Then  $r(A) < (1/\sqrt[p]{2})d(A)$ , where  $\alpha$  is as in (3).*

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for a set in  $L_p(\Omega)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) to be self-extremal.

**THEOREM 5.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, d(A))$ , every positive integer  $m$ , there exists an  $m$ -simplex  $\Delta(\varepsilon, m)$  with vertices in  $A$  such that each edge of  $\Delta(\varepsilon, m)$  has length not less than  $d(A) - \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* We will assume  $A$  is closed convex and  $r(A) = 1$ . From the proof of Theorem 1, we derived a sequence  $\{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, y_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^\infty$  in  $A$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $\{t_{s_{n-1}+1}, t_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, t_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^\infty$  (with convention  $s_1 = 0$ ) such that

$$2 \cdot r_n^\alpha \leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} t_i t_j \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha, \quad \sum_{i \in I_n} t_i = 1, \quad (10)$$

where  $r_n \in (1 - 1/n, 1]$ ,  $\alpha$  is as in (3), and  $I_n := \{s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 2, \dots, s_n\}$ .

We denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{nj} &:= \sum_{i \in I_n} t_i \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha, \\
 S_n &:= \left\{ j \in I_n : T_{nj} \geq 2 \cdot r_n^\alpha \cdot \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^\alpha}\right) \right\}, \\
 S_n(y_j) &:= \left\{ i \in I_n : \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha \geq 2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \right\}, \quad j \in S_n, \\
 \hat{S}_n(y_j) &:= \{y_i : i \in S_n(y_j)\}, \quad j \in S_n, \\
 \lambda_n &:= \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n} t_i = 1 - \sum_{i \in S_n} t_i.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

One can proceed furthermore as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2r_n^\alpha &\leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} t_i t_j \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha \\
 &= \sum_{j \in S_n} t_j \sum_{i \in I_n} t_i \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha + \sum_{j \in I_n \setminus S_n} t_j \sum_{i \in I_n} t_i \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha \\
 &\leq 2 \sum_{j \in S_n} t_j + 2r_n^\alpha \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^\alpha}\right) \sum_{j \in I_n \setminus S_n} t_j \\
 &= 2 - 2\lambda_n \left(1 - r_n^\alpha + r_n^\alpha \sqrt{1 - r_n^\alpha}\right) \\
 &\leq 2 - 2\lambda_n \sqrt{1 - r_n^\alpha}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Hence  $\lambda_n \leq \sqrt{1 - r_n^\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{i \in S_n} t_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \lambda_n) = 1$ . On the other hand,

$$2r_n^\alpha \leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} t_i t_j \|y_i - y_j\|^\alpha \leq 2 \left(1 - \left(\sum_{i \in I_n} t_i^2\right)\right) \leq 2(1 - t_i^2) \tag{13}$$

for every  $i \in I_n$ . Therefore  $t_i \leq \sqrt{1 - r_n^\alpha} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . One concludes that the cardinality  $|S_n|$  of  $S_n$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In a similar manner (cf. [5, the proof of Theorem 3.4]), for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, d(A))$  and a given positive integer  $m$ , we choose  $n$  sufficiently large satisfying

$$|S_n| > m, \quad \frac{2\alpha m}{\sqrt[4]{n}} < 1, \quad 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \geq (d(A) - \varepsilon)^\alpha \tag{14}$$

such that for every  $1 \leq k \leq m$  and every choice of  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in S_n$ , we have

$$\bigcap_{v=1}^k \hat{S}_n(y_{i_v}) \neq \emptyset. \tag{15}$$

With  $m$  and  $n$  as above and a fixed  $j \in S_n$ , setting  $z_1 := y_j$ , we take consecutively  $z_2 \in \hat{S}_n(z_1)$ ,  $z_3 \in \hat{S}_n(z_1) \cap \hat{S}_n(z_2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $z_{m+1} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^m \hat{S}_n(z_k)$ . One sees that

$$\|z_i - z_j\|^\alpha \geq 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \geq (d(A) - \varepsilon)^\alpha \quad (16)$$

for all  $i \neq j$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$ , with  $n$  sufficiently large. We obtain an  $m$ -simplex formed by  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m+1}$ , whose edges have length not less than  $d(A) - \varepsilon$ , as claimed.

The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 6.* (i) Since for  $L_p(\Omega)$  spaces  $J_s = J$ , the extremal sets in  $L_p(\Omega)$  are also self-extremal. Thus we obtain a similar result for extremal sets in  $L_p(\Omega)$  via Theorem 5 above.

(ii) In particular,  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu(A) := \text{card}(A)$ ,  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  leads to the  $\ell_p$  space case [5, Theorem 3.4].

*Example 7.* (i) Let  $p \geq 2$ , consider a sequence  $\{\Omega_n\}_{i=1}^\infty$  consisting of measurable subsets of  $\Omega$  such that

$$0 < \mu(\Omega_i) < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots; \quad \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j; \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \Omega_i = \Omega. \quad (17)$$

Let  $\chi_{\Omega_i}$  denote the characteristic function of  $\Omega_i$ , and set

$$A := \{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \quad f_i := \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}}{[\mu(\Omega_i)]^{1/p}}. \quad (18)$$

One can check easily that  $r(A) = 1$ ,  $d(A) = 2^{1/p}$ , hence  $A$  is a self-extremal set in  $L_p(\Omega)$ .

(ii) In the case  $1 < p < 2$ , we set  $B := \{r_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ , where  $\{r_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is the sequence of Rademacher functions in  $L_p[0, 1]$ . If  $r \in \text{co}\{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n\}$  and  $k \geq n+1$ , then it is easy to see that  $d(B) = 2^{1-1/p}$  and

$$\|r - r_k\|_p := \left( \int_0^1 |r - r_k|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \geq \left| \int_0^1 (r - r_k) r_k d\mu \right| = 1, \quad (19)$$

hence  $r(B) = 1$ . Thus  $B$  is a self-extremal set in  $L_p[0, 1]$  with  $1 < p < 2$ . This is in contrast to the  $\ell_p$  case [5], where we conjectured that there are no (self)-extremal sets in  $\ell_p$  spaces with  $1 < p < 2$ .

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referees for several improvements and suggestions.

## References

- [1] T. Domínguez Benavides, *Normal structure coefficients of  $L^p(\Omega)$* , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **117** (1991), no. 3-4, 299–303.
- [2] N. M. Gulevich, *The radius of a compact set in Hilbert space*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **167** (1988), Issled. Topol. 6, 157–158, 192 (Russian).
- [3] V. I. Ivanov and S. A. Pichugov, *Jung constants in  $\ell_p^n$ -spaces*, Mat. Zametki **48** (1990), no. 4, 37–47, 158 (Russian).

- [4] V. Nguyen-Khac and K. Nguyen-Van, *A characterization of extremal sets in Hilbert spaces*, to appear in FPM, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.MG/0203190>.
- [5] ———, *A geometric characterization of extremal sets in  $\ell_p$  spaces*, to appear in JMAA, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.MG/0207304>.
- [6] S. A. Pichugov, *The Jung constant of the space  $L_p$* , Mat. Zametki **43** (1988), no. 5, 604–614, 701 (Russian).
- [7] ———, *Jung's relative constant of the space  $L_p$* , Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **42** (1990), no. 1, 122–125 (Russian).
- [8] J. H. Wells and L. R. Williams, *Embeddings and Extensions in Analysis*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 84, Springer, New York, 1975.

Viet Nguyen-Khac: Institute of Mathematics, Vietnamese Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, 10307 Hanoi, Vietnam  
*E-mail address:* [nkviet@math.ac.vn](mailto:nkviet@math.ac.vn)

Khiem Nguyen-Van: Department of Mathematics & Informatics, Hanoi University of Education, Cau Giay District, Hanoi, Vietnam  
*E-mail address:* [nguyenvankhiem77@yahoo.com](mailto:nguyenvankhiem77@yahoo.com)

## Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

### Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Lean Yu**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [yulean@amss.ac.cn](mailto:yulean@amss.ac.cn)

**Shouyang Wang**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; [sywang@amss.ac.cn](mailto:sywang@amss.ac.cn)

**K. K. Lai**, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk)