

ON QUASI-IDEALS AND BI-IDEALS IN TERNARY SEMIRINGS

S. KAR

Received 31 May 2005

We introduce the notions of quasi-ideal and bi-ideal in ternary semirings and study some properties of these two ideals. We also characterize regular ternary semiring in terms of these two subsystems of ternary semirings.

1. Introduction

Good and Hughes [9] introduced the notion of bi-ideal and Steinfeld [11, 12] introduced the notion of quasi-ideal. Sioson [10] studied some properties of quasi-ideals of ternary semigroups. In [1], Dixit and Dewan studied about the quasi-ideals and bi-ideals of ternary semigroups. Quasi-ideals are generalization of right ideals, lateral ideals, and left ideals whereas bi-ideals are generalization of quasi-ideals.

In [2], we introduced the notion of ternary semiring. Some work on ternary semiring may be found in [3, 4, 8, 6, 7, 5].

Our main purpose of this note is to introduce the notions of quasi-ideal and bi-ideal in ternary semirings and study regular ternary semiring in terms of these two subsystems of ternary semirings.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A nonempty set S together with a binary operation, called addition and a ternary multiplication, denoted by juxtaposition, is said to be a ternary semiring if S is an additive commutative semigroup satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $(abc)de = a(bcd)e = ab(cde)$,
- (ii) $(a + b)cd = acd + bcd$,
- (iii) $a(b + c)d = abd + acd$,
- (iv) $ab(c + d) = abc + abd$, for all $a, b, c, d, e \in S$.

Definition 2.2. Let S be a ternary semiring. If there exists an element $0 \in S$ such that $0 + x = x$ and $0xy = x0y = xy0 = 0$ for all $x, y \in S$, then “0” is called the zero element or simply the zero of the ternary semiring S . In this case we say that S is a ternary semiring with zero.

Throughout this note, S will always denote a ternary semiring with zero and unless otherwise stated a ternary semiring means a ternary semiring with zero.

Definition 2.3. An additive subsemigroup T of S is called a ternary subsemiring of S if $t_1 t_2 t_3 \in T$, for all $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in T$.

Definition 2.4. An additive subsemigroup I of S is called a left (resp., right, lateral) ideal of S if $s_1 s_2 i$ (resp., $i s_1 s_2$, $s_1 i s_2$) $\in I$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $i \in I$. If I is both left and right ideal of S , then I is called a two-sided ideal of S . If I is a left, a right, a lateral ideal of S , then I is called an ideal of S .

An ideal I of S is called a proper ideal if $I \neq S$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let S be a ternary semiring and $a \in S$. Then the principal

- (i) left ideal generated by a is given by $\langle a \rangle_l = \{ \sum r_i s_i a + na/r_i, s_i \in S; n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \}$,
- (ii) right ideal generated by a is given by $\langle a \rangle_r = \{ \sum a r_i s_i + na/r_i, s_i \in S; n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \}$,
- (iii) lateral ideal generated by a is given by $\langle a \rangle_m = \{ \sum r_i a s_i + \sum p_j q_j a r_j s_j + na/p_j, q_j, r_i, s_i \in S; n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \}$, where \sum denotes the finite sum and \mathbb{Z}_0^+ is the set of all nonnegative integers.

Definition 2.6. A ternary semiring (ring) S is said to be zero divisor free (ZDF) if for $a, b, c \in S$, $abc = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ or $c = 0$.

Definition 2.7. A ternary semiring S is called

- (i) multiplicatively left cancellative (MLC) if $abx = aby$ implies that $x = y$,
- (ii) multiplicatively right cancellative (MRC) if $xab = yab$ implies that $x = y$,
- (iii) multiplicatively laterally cancellative (MLLC) if $axb = ayb$ implies that $x = y$.

A ternary semiring S is called multiplicatively cancellative (MC) if it is MLC, MRC, and MLLC.

Note 2.8. A multiplicatively cancellative (MC) ternary semiring S is zero divisor free (ZDF).

Definition 2.9 [3]. A ternary semiring S with $|S| \geq 2$ is called a ternary division semiring if for any nonzero element a of S , there exists a nonzero element b in S such that $abx = bax = xab = xba = x$ for all $x \in S$.

Definition 2.10 [2]. An element a in a ternary semiring S is called regular if there exists an element x in S such that $axa = a$. A ternary semiring is called regular if all of its elements are regular.

3. Quasi-ideal and bi-ideal in ternary semirings

Definition 3.1. An additive subsemigroup Q of a ternary semiring S is called a quasi-ideal of S if $QSS \cap (SQS + SSQS) \cap SSQ \subseteq Q$.

Note 3.2. Every quasi-ideal of a ternary semiring S is a ternary subsemiring of S .

LEMMA 3.3. Every left, right, and lateral ideal of a ternary semiring S is a quasi-ideal of S .

Remark 3.4. The converse of Lemma 3.3 is not true, in general, that is, a quasi-ideal may not be a left, a right, or a lateral ideal of S . This follows from the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $S = M_2(Z_0^-)$ be the ternary semiring of the set of all 2×2 square matrices over Z_0^- , the set of all nonpositive integers. Let $Q = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in Z_0^- \}$. Then we can easily verify that Q is a quasi-ideal of S , but Q is not a right ideal, a lateral ideal, or a left ideal of S .

PROPOSITION 3.6. *If Q is a quasi-ideal of a ternary semiring S and T is a ternary subsemiring of S , then $Q \cap T$ is a quasi-ideal of T .*

LEMMA 3.7. *The intersection of arbitrary collection of quasi-ideals of a ternary semiring S is a quasi-ideal of S .*

THEOREM 3.8. *An additive subsemigroup Q of a ternary semiring S is a quasi-ideal of S if Q is the intersection of a right ideal, a lateral ideal, and a left ideal of S .*

Proof. Let R be a right ideal, M be a lateral ideal, and L be a left ideal of S such that $Q = R \cap M \cap L$. Then, by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.7, we find that Q is a quasi-ideal of S . \square

The converse of Theorem 3.8 does not hold, in general. But, in particular, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.9. *An additive subsemigroup Q of a ternary semiring S is a minimal quasi-ideal of S if and only if Q is the intersection of a minimal right ideal, a minimal lateral ideal, and a minimal left ideal of S .*

Proof. Let R be a minimal right ideal, M a minimal lateral ideal, and L a minimal left ideal of S such that $Q = R \cap M \cap L$. Then, by Theorem 3.8, it follows that Q is a quasi-ideal of S . Now it remains to show that Q is minimal. If possible, let $Q' \subseteq Q$ be any other quasi-ideal of S . Then, $Q'SS$ is a right ideal of S and $Q'SS \subseteq QSS \subseteq RSS \subseteq R$. Since R is a minimal right ideal of S , we have $Q'SS = R$. Similarly, we can prove that $SQ'S + SSQ'SS = M$ and $SSQ' = L$. Therefore, $Q = R \cap M \cap L = Q'SS \cap (SQ'S + SSQ'SS) \cap SSQ' \subseteq Q'$. Consequently, $Q = Q'$ and hence Q is a minimal quasi-ideal of S .

Conversely, let Q be a minimal quasi-ideal of S . Then, $QSS \cap (SQS + SSQSS) \cap SSQ \subseteq Q$. Let $q \in Q$. Then, qSS is a right ideal, $(SqS + SSqSS)$ is a lateral ideal, and SSq is a left ideal of S . Therefore, by Theorem 3.8, $qSS \cap (SqS + SSqSS) \cap SSq$ is a quasi-ideal of S , and $qSS \cap (SqS + SSqSS) \cap SSq \subseteq QSS \cap (SQS + SSQSS) \cap SSQ \subseteq Q$. Since Q is a minimal quasi-ideal of S , we have $qSS \cap (SqS + SSqSS) \cap SSq = Q$. Now it remains to show that qSS , $(SqS + SSqSS)$, and SSq are, respectively, a minimal right, a minimal lateral, and a minimal left ideal of S . If possible, let R be any right ideal of S such that $R \subseteq qSS$. Then $RSS \subseteq R \subseteq qSS$. Now, $RSS \cap (SqS + SSqSS) \cap SSq \subseteq qSS \cap (SqS + SSqSS) \cap SSq = Q$. Thus, by minimality of Q , we find that $Q = RSS \cap (SqS + SSqSS) \cap SSq$. This implies that $Q \subseteq RSS$. Again, $qSS \subseteq QSS \subseteq (RSS)SS \subseteq RSS$. Thus, $qSS = RSS \subseteq R$ and hence $R = qSS$. Consequently, qSS is a minimal right ideal of S . Similarly, we can prove that $(SqS + SSqSS)$ is a minimal lateral ideal and SSq is a minimal left ideal of S . \square

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Any minimal lateral ideal of a ternary semiring S is a minimal ideal of S .*

Proof. Let M be a minimal lateral ideal of S . We will show that M is a minimal ideal of S . Let $m \in M$. Then, $SmS + SSmSS$ is a lateral ideal of S and $SmS + SSmSS \subseteq SMS + SSMSS \subseteq M$. Since M is minimal, we have $M = SmS + SSmSS$. Now, $MSS = (SmS + SSmSS)SS = (SmS)SS + (SSmSS)SS \subseteq SmS + SSmSS \subseteq M$ and $SSM = SS(SmS + SSmSS) = SS(SmS) + SS(SSmSS) \subseteq SmS + SSmSS \subseteq M$. This implies that M is both right ideal and left ideal of S . Consequently, M is an ideal of S . Now it remains to show that M is a minimal ideal of S . If possible, let M' be an ideal of S such that $M' \subseteq M$. Since M' is an ideal of S , it is a lateral ideal of S . By hypothesis, we have $M' = M$. Consequently, M is a minimal ideal of S . \square

COROLLARY 3.11. *Any minimal quasi-ideal of a ternary semiring S is contained in a minimal ideal of S .*

Proof. Let Q be a minimal quasi-ideal of S . Then, by Theorem 3.9, $Q = R \cap M \cap L$, where R is a minimal right ideal, M a minimal lateral ideal, and L a minimal left ideal of S . Clearly, $Q \subseteq M$. From Proposition 3.10, it follows that M is a minimal ideal of S . \square

PROPOSITION 3.12. *Let x be an idempotent element of a ternary semiring S , that is, $x^3 (= xxx) = x$. If R is a right ideal, M a lateral ideal, and L a left ideal of S , then Rxx , $xxMxx$, and xxL are quasi-ideals of S .*

Proof. To show Rxx , $xxMxx$, and xxL are quasi-ideals of S , it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} Rxx &= R \cap (SxS + SSxSS) \cap SSx, \\ xxMxx &= xSS \cap M \cap SSx, \\ xxL &= xSS \cap (SxS + SSxSS) \cap L. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

For the first case, clearly we see that $Rxx \subseteq R \cap SSx$. Let $a \in R \cap SSx$. Then, $a \in R$ and $a \in SSx$. Now, $a \in SSx$ implies that $a = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i x$ for some $s_i, t_i \in S$. Therefore, $axx = (\sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i x)xx = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i (xxx) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i x = a$. Thus, it follows that $a \in Rxx$ and hence $Rxx = R \cap SSx$. Again, $a = axx \in SxS$ and $0 \in SSxSS$. So we find that $a \in (SxS + SSxSS)$. Thus, $R \cap SSx \subseteq (SxS + SSxSS)$. Consequently, $Rxx = R \cap (SxS + SSxSS) \cap SSx$.

For the second case, We see that $xxMxx \subseteq xSS \cap M \cap SSx$. Let $a \in xSS \cap M \cap SSx$. Then, $a \in xSS$, $a \in M$, and $a \in SSx$. Now, $a \in xSS$ and $a \in SSx$ imply that $a = \sum_{i=1}^m x s_i t_i = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j v_j x$ for some $s_i, t_i, u_j, v_j \in S$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} xxaxx &= xx \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x s_i t_i \right) xx = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (xxx) s_i t_i \right) xx = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x s_i t_i \right) xx \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j v_j x \right) xx = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j v_j (xxx) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j v_j x = a. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Consequently, $a \in xxMxx$ and hence $xxMxx = xSS \cap M \cap SSx$.

The third case can be proved in the same way as in the first case. \square

We recall the definition of regular ternary semiring.

A ternary semiring S is called regular if for every $a \in S$, there exists an x in S such that $axa = a$.

THEOREM 3.13. *If, for every quasi-ideal Q of S , $Q^3 = Q$, then S is a regular ternary semiring.*

Proof. If R is a minimal right ideal, M a minimal lateral ideal, and L a minimal left ideal of S , then, by Theorem 3.9, it follows that $R \cap M \cap L$ is a quasi-ideal of S .

Now, by hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} R \cap M \cap L &= (R \cap M \cap L)^3 \\ &= (R \cap M \cap L)(R \cap M \cap L)(R \cap M \cap L) \subseteq RML. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Again, clearly $RML \subseteq R \cap M \cap L$. So, $R \cap M \cap L = RML$ and hence, by [8, Theorem 3.4], S is a regular ternary semiring. \square

Definition 3.14. A ternary subsemiring B of a ternary semiring S is called a bi-ideal of S if $BSBSB \subseteq B$.

LEMMA 3.15. *Every quasi-ideal of a ternary semiring S is a bi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Let Q be a quasi-ideal of S . Then we see that $QSQSQ \subseteq Q(SSS)S \subseteq QSS$, $QSQSQ \subseteq S(SSS)Q \subseteq SSQ$, and $QSQSQ \subseteq SSQSS$. Again $\{0\} \subseteq SQS$. So, $QSQSQ \subseteq SQS + SSQSS$. Consequently, it follows that $QSQSQ \subseteq QSS \cap (SQS + SSQSS) \cap SSQ \subseteq Q$ and hence Q is a bi-ideal of S . \square

Note 3.16. The converse of Lemma 3.15 does not hold, in general, that is, a bi-ideal of a ternary semiring S may not be a quasi-ideal of S .

Remark 3.17. Since every left, right, and lateral ideal of S is a quasi-ideal of S , it follows that every left, right, and lateral ideal of S is a bi-ideal of S , but the converse is not true, in general.

PROPOSITION 3.18. *If B is a bi-ideal of a ternary semiring S and T is a ternary subsemiring of S , then $B \cap T$ is a bi-ideal of T .*

LEMMA 3.19. *If B is a bi-ideal of a ternary semiring S and T_1, T_2 are two ternary subsemirings of S , then BT_1T_2 , T_1BT_2 , and T_1T_2B are bi-ideals of S .*

COROLLARY 3.20. *If B_1, B_2 , and B_3 are three bi-ideals of a ternary semiring S , then $B_1B_2B_3$ is a bi-ideal of S .*

COROLLARY 3.21. *If Q_1, Q_2 , and Q_3 are three quasi-ideals of a ternary semiring S , then $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ is a bi-ideal of S .*

In general, if B is a bi-ideal of a ternary semiring S and C is a bi-ideal of B , then C is not a bi-ideal of S . But, in particular, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.22. *Let B be a bi-ideal of a ternary semiring S , and C a bi-ideal of B such that $C^3 = C$. Then C is a bi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Since B is a bi-ideal of S , $BSBSB \subseteq B$, and since C is a bi-ideal of B , $CBCBC \subseteq C$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} CSCSC &= (CCC)SCS(CCC) \\ &= CC(CSCSC)CC \subseteq CC(BSBSB)CC \subseteq CCBCC \\ &= CCBC(CCC) \subseteq C(CBCBC)C \subseteq CCC = C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

□

We recall the definition of ternary division semiring.

A ternary semiring S with $|S| \geq 2$ is called a ternary division semiring if for any nonzero element a of S , there exists a nonzero element b in S such that $abx = bax = xab = xba = x$ for all $x \in S$.

THEOREM 3.23. *A ternary semiring S has no nonzero proper bi-ideals if S is a ternary division semiring.*

Proof. Let S be a ternary division semiring and B be a nonzero bi-ideal of S . Let $a (\neq 0) \in B$. Then there exists $s (\neq 0) \in S$ such that $asx = sax = xas = xsa = x$ for all $x \in S$. This implies that $S = BSS = SSB$. Now, $S = BSS = B(SSB)(SSB) = B(BSS)(SBS)(SSB)B \subseteq B(BSBSB)B \subseteq BBB \subseteq B$. Consequently, $B = S$ and hence S has no nonzero proper bi-ideals. □

The converse of Theorem 3.23 is not true, in general. However, in particular, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.24. *A ternary semiring S is a ternary division semiring if S is MC and has no nonzero proper bi-ideals.*

Proof. Let S be an MC ternary semiring and has no nonzero proper bi-ideals. Let $a (\neq 0) \in S$. Then, aSx and xaS are two bi-ideals of S for any nonzero $x \in S$. Since S is MC, it is ZDF. So, $aSx \neq \{0\}$ and $xaS \neq \{0\}$. By hypothesis, we have $aSx = xaS = S$ and hence for $x (\neq 0) \in S$, there exist $b, c \in S$ such that $abx = xac = x$. Let y be any element of S . Then there exist $d, e \in S$ such that $adx = xae = y$. Thus, $aby = ab(xae) = (abx)ae = xae = y$ for all $y \in S$. Now, $(yab)ab = y(aba)b = yab$. Since S is MC, we find that $yab = y$ for all $y \in S$. Similarly, we can show that $bay = yba = y$ for all $y \in S$. Thus, we find that $aby = yab = bay = yba = y$ for all $y \in S$, and hence S is a ternary division semiring. □

PROPOSITION 3.25. *Let X , Y , and Z be three ternary subsemirings of a ternary semiring S and $B = XYZ$. Then, B is a bi-ideal if at least one of X , Y , Z is a right, a lateral, or a left ideal of S .*

Proof. Let $B = XYZ$. Suppose X is a right ideal of S . Then we find that

$$(XYZ)S(XYZ)S(XYZ) = X(SSS)(SSS)SSYZ \subseteq X(SSS)SYZ \subseteq (XSS)YZ \subseteq XYZ. \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently, $B = XYZ$ is a bi-ideal of S .

Now suppose that Y is a right ideal of S . Then

$$(XYZ)S(XYZ)S(XYZ) \subseteq XY(SSS)(SSS)SSZ \subseteq XY(SSS)SZ \subseteq XYSSZ \subseteq XYZ. \quad (3.6)$$

This implies that $B = XYZ$ is a bi-ideal of S .

Again, if Z is a right ideal of S , then

$$(XYZ)S(XYZ)S(XYZ) \subseteq (XYZ)(SSS)(SSS)SS \subseteq (XYZ)(SSS)S \subseteq XY(ZSS) \subseteq XYZ. \quad (3.7)$$

Consequently, $B = XYZ$ is a bi-ideal of S . \square

Similar proofs can be given for other cases.

COROLLARY 3.26. *A ternary subsemiring B of S is a bi-ideal of S if $B = RML$, where R is a right ideal, M is a lateral ideal, and L is a left ideal of S .*

PROPOSITION 3.27. *Let B be a ternary subsemiring of a ternary semiring S . If R is a right ideal, M is a lateral ideal, and L is a left ideal of S such that $RML \subseteq B \subseteq R \cap M \cap L$, then B is a bi-ideal of S .*

Proof.

$$BSBSB \subseteq (R \cap M \cap L)S(R \cap M \cap L)S(R \cap M \cap L) \subseteq R(SMS)L \subseteq RML \subseteq B. \quad (3.8)$$

\square

The following theorem gives a characterization of a regular ternary semiring S in terms of bi-ideal and quasi-ideal of S .

THEOREM 3.28. *The following conditions in a ternary semiring S are equivalent:*

- (i) S is regular,
- (ii) for every bi-ideal B of S , $BSBSB = B$,
- (iii) for every quasi-ideal Q of S , $QSQSQ = Q$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose S is regular. Let B be a bi-ideal of S . Let $b \in B$. Then there exists $x \in S$ such that $a = axa$. This implies that $a = axaxa \in BSBSB$. So we find that $B \subseteq BSBSB$. Again, since B is a bi-ideal of S , $BSBSB \subseteq B$. Consequently, $BSBSB = B$.

Clearly, (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), by using Lemma 3.15.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose (iii) holds. Let R be a right ideal, M a lateral ideal, and L a left ideal of S . Then, $Q = R \cap M \cap L$ is a quasi-ideal of S , by Theorem 3.8. By hypothesis, $QSQSQ = Q$. Now, $R \cap M \cap L = Q = QSQSQ \subseteq RSMSL \subseteq RML$. Again, clearly $RML \subseteq R \cap M \cap L$. So, $R \cap M \cap L = RML$, and hence, by [8, Theorem 3.4], S is a regular ternary semiring. \square

THEOREM 3.29. *A ternary subsemiring B of a regular ternary semiring S is a bi-ideal of S if and only if $B = BSB$.*

Proof. If $B = BSB$, then it is easy to see that B is a bi-ideal of S .

Conversely, suppose that B is a bi-ideal of a regular ternary semiring S . Let $b \in B$, then there exists $x \in S$ such that $b = bxb$. This implies that $b \in BSB$ and hence $B \subseteq BSB$. Again, $BSB \subseteq BSBSB \subseteq B$. Thus we find that $B = BSB$. \square

THEOREM 3.30. *A ternary subsemiring B of a regular ternary semiring S is a bi-ideal of S if and only if B is a quasi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Let S be a regular ternary semiring. If B is a quasi-ideal of S , then, from Lemma 3.15, it follows that B is a bi-ideal of S .

Conversely, let B be a bi-ideal of S . From [8, Theorem 3.4], we find that if S is a regular ternary semiring, then $R \cap M \cap L = RML$ for any right ideal R , any lateral ideal M , and any left ideal L .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & BSS \bigcap (SBS + SSBSS) \bigcap SSB \\
 &= BSS(SBS + SSBSS)SSB \\
 &= B(SSS)B(SSS)B + B(SSS)SB(SSS)SB \\
 &\subseteq BSBSB + BSSBSSB \\
 &\subseteq B + BSB \quad (\text{since } B \text{ is a bi-ideal}) \\
 &= B + B \quad (\text{by Theorem 3.29}) \\
 &\subseteq B.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Consequently, B is a quasi-ideal of S . □

In view of Lemma 3.19 and Theorem 3.30, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.31. *If Q_1 and Q_2 are two ternary subsemiring and Q_3 is a bi-ideal of a regular ternary semiring S , then $Q_1 Q_2 Q_3$, $Q_1 Q_3 Q_2$, and $Q_3 Q_1 Q_2$ are quasi-ideals of S .*

In view of Corollary 3.21 and Theorem 3.31, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 3.32. *For any three quasi-ideals Q_1 , Q_2 , Q_3 of a regular ternary semiring S , $Q_1 Q_2 Q_3$ is a quasi-ideal of S .*

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Professor T. K. Dutta for his valuable suggestions and continuous help throughout the preparation of this note. The author is thankful to CSIR, India, for financial assistance.

References

- [1] V. N. Dixit and S. Dewan, *A note on quasi and bi-ideals in ternary semigroups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **18** (1995), no. 3, 501–508.
- [2] T. K. Dutta and S. Kar, *On regular ternary semirings*, Advances in Algebra, Proceedings of the ICM Satellite Conference in Algebra and Related Topics, World Scientific, New Jersey, 2003, pp. 343–355.
- [3] ———, *On ternary semifields*, Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl. **24** (2004), no. 2, 185–198.
- [4] ———, *On the Jacobson radical of a ternary semiring*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **28** (2004), no. 1, 1–13.
- [5] ———, *A note on the Jacobson radical of a ternary semiring I*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **29** (2005), no. 2, 321–331.
- [6] ———, *On prime ideals and prime radical of ternary semirings*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **97** (2005), no. 5, 445–454.
- [7] ———, *On semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of ternary semirings*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **97** (2005), no. 5, 467–476.
- [8] ———, *A note on regular ternary semirings*, personal communication.

- [9] R. A. Good and D. R. Hughes, *Associated groups for a semigroup*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1952), 624–625.
- [10] F. M. Sioson, *Ideal theory in ternary semigroups*, Math. Japon. **10** (1965), 63–84.
- [11] O. Steinfeld, *Über die Quasiideale von Halbgruppen*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **4** (1956), 262–275 (German).
- [12] ———, *Über die Quasiideale von Ringen*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **17** (1956), 170–180 (German).

S. Kar: Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35 Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata 700019, India

E-mail address: karsukhendu@yahoo.co.in

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk