ON ABSOLUTE MATRIX SUMMABILITY METHODS

H. S. ÖZARSLAN AND H. N. ÖĞDÜK

Received 27 October 2004 and in revised form 1 August 2005

We have proved a theorem on $|T, p_n|_k$ summability methods. This theorem includes a known theorem.

1. Introduction

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n). By (w_n^δ), we denote the nth Cesàro means of order $\delta(\delta > -1)$ of the sequence (s_n). The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C,\delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left| w_n^\delta - w_{n-1}^\delta \right|^k < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

In the special case for $\delta = 1$, $|C,\delta|_k$ summability reduces to $|C,1|_k$ summability.

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_v \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \qquad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0,\ i \geq 1). \tag{1.2}$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\vartheta_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_v s_v \tag{1.3}$$

defines the sequence (ϑ_n) of the $(\bar{N}, p_n)$ means of the sequence (s_n), generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [4]). The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} \left| \vartheta_n - \vartheta_{n-1} \right|^k < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

If we take $p_n = 1$ for all values of n, then $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability is the same as $|C,1|_k$ summability.

International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 2005:16 (2005) 2517–2522
DOI: 10.1155/IJMMS.2005.2517

Given a normal matrix $T = (t_{nk})$, we associate two lower semimatrices $\overline{T} = (\overline{t}_{nk})$ and $\widehat{T} = (\widehat{t}_{nk})$ as follows:

$$\begin{gathered}\overline{t}_{nk} = \sum_{i=k}^{n} t_{ni}, \quad n,k = 0,1,\ldots, \\ \widehat{t}_{00} = \overline{t}_{00} = t_{00}, \qquad \widehat{t}_{nk} = \overline{t}_{nk} - \overline{t}_{n-1,k}, \quad n = 1,2,\ldots.\end{gathered} \tag{1.5}$$

It may be noted that $\overline{T}$ and $\widehat{T}$ are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$\begin{gathered}T_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} t_{nv}s_v = \sum_{v=0}^{n} \overline{t}_{nv}a_v, \\ \overline{\Delta}T_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} \widehat{t}_{nv}a_v.\end{gathered} \tag{1.6}$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|T,p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [5])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left|\overline{\Delta}T_n(s)\right|^k < \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

In the special case, for $t_{nv} = p_v/P_n$, $|T,p_n|_k$ summability is the same as $|\overline{N},p_n|_k$ summability.

2. The main result

The object of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $k \geq 1$. Let (s_n) be a bounded sequence and suppose that (λ_n) is a sequence such that*

$$\begin{gathered}\sum_{n=0}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\lambda_n|^k |t_{nn}|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{m} |\Delta\lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty.\end{gathered} \tag{2.1}$$

If

$$\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\widehat{t}_{nv})| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta_v\widehat{t}_{nv}|\,|t_{nn}|^{k-1} = O\left(\left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} |t_{vv}|^k\right) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v||\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}|\hat{t}_{n,v+1}||t_{nn}|^{k-1} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

then the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ *is summable* $|T,p_n|_k$.

Proof. Let (y_n) be the T-transform of the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$. Then we have, by (1.6),

$$Y_n = y_n - y_{n-1} = \sum_{v=0}^{n}\hat{t}_{nv}a_v\lambda_v. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\hat{t}_{nn} = t_{nn}$, by Abel's transformation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \sum_{v=0}^{n-1}\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv}\lambda_v)s_v + \hat{t}_{nn}\lambda_n s_n \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{n-1}\Delta\lambda_v\hat{t}_{n,v+1}s_v + \sum_{v=0}^{n-1}\lambda_v\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})s_v + s_n t_{nn}\lambda_n \\ &= Y_n(1) + Y_n(2) + Y_n(3). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}|Y_n(r)|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1,2,3. \tag{2.8}$$

Since (s_n) is bounded, when $k > 1$, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k', where $1/k + 1/k' = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}|Y_n(1)|^k &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v||\hat{t}_{n,v+1}||s_v|\right\}^k \\ &= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v||\hat{t}_{n,v+1}||t_{nn}|^{k-1} \\ &\quad \times\left\{\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v||\hat{t}_{n,v+1}|\right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v||\hat{t}_{n,v+1}||t_{nn}|^{k-1} \\ &= O(1)\sum_{v=0}^{m}|\Delta\lambda_v|\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}|\hat{t}_{n,v+1}||t_{nn}|^{k-1} \\ &= O(1)\sum_{v=0}^{m}|\Delta\lambda_v| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1.

Again using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\left|Y_n(2)\right|^k &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}\left|\lambda_v\right|\left|\Delta_v\hat{t}_{nv}\right|\left|s_v\right|\right\}^k \\
&= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}\left|\lambda_v\right|^k\left|\Delta_v\hat{t}_{nv}\right|\left|t_{nn}\right|^{k-1} \\
&\quad\times\left\{\frac{1}{\left|t_{nn}\right|}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}\left|\Delta_v\hat{t}_{nv}\right|\right\}^{k-1} \\
&= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}\left|\lambda_v\right|^k\left|\Delta_v\hat{t}_{nv}\right|\left|t_{nn}\right|^{k-1} \\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=0}^{m}\left|\lambda_v\right|^k\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\left|\Delta_v\hat{t}_{nv}\right|\left|t_{nn}\right|^{k-1} \\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=0}^{m}\left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1}\left|\lambda_v\right|^k\left|t_{vv}\right|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1.

Finally, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\left|Y_n(3)\right|^k = O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\left|t_{nn}\right|^k\left|\lambda_n\right|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{2.11}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1.

Therefore, we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\left|Y_n(r)\right|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \text{ for } r = 1,2,3. \tag{2.12}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

3. An application

Now we will prove the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 (see [2]). *Let $k \geq 1$. If the sequence (s_n) is bounded and (λ_n) is a sequence such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\frac{p_n}{P_n}\left|\lambda_n\right|^k &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \\
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left|\Delta\lambda_n\right| &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

then the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$.

Proof. In Theorem 2.1, let $t_{nv} = p_v/P_n$. Then to prove the corollary, it is sufficient to show that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

If $t_{nn} = p_n/P_n$, (2.1) are automatically satisfied.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv} &= \hat{t}_{nv} - \hat{t}_{n,v+1} \\
&= \bar{t}_{nv} - \bar{t}_{n-1,v} - \bar{t}_{n,v+1} + \bar{t}_{n-1,v+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=v}^{n} t_{ni} - \sum_{i=v}^{n-1} t_{n-1,i} - \sum_{i=v+1}^{n} t_{ni} + \sum_{i=v+1}^{n-1} t_{n-1,i} \\
&= \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=v}^{n} p_i - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}\sum_{i=v}^{n-1} p_i - \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=v+1}^{n} p_i + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}\sum_{i=v+1}^{n-1} p_i \\
&= -\frac{p_n p_v}{P_n P_{n-1}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| = \frac{P_n}{p_n}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \frac{p_n p_v}{P_n P_{n-1}} = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus condition (2.2) is satisfied.

Using $\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}$ and t_{nn},

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| \, |t_{nn}|^{k-1} &= \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \frac{p_n p_v}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right)^{k-1} \\
&= p_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} = \frac{p_v}{P_v} \\
&= \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} |t_{vv}|^{k} \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

condition (2.3) is satisfied.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{t}_{nv} &= \bar{t}_{nv} - \bar{t}_{n-1,v} = \sum_{i=v}^{n} t_{ni} - \sum_{i=v}^{n-1} t_{n-1,i} \\
&= \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=v}^{n} p_i - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}\sum_{i=v}^{n-1} p_i \\
&= P_{v-1}\left(-\frac{1}{P_n} + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}\right) = P_{v-1}\frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v||\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| &= \frac{P_n}{p_n}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v|P_v\frac{p_n}{P_nP_{n-1}}\\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v|P_v = O(1)\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n\longrightarrow\infty,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and condition (2.4) is satisfied.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}|\hat{t}_{n,v+1}||t_{nn}|^{k-1} &= \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1}\frac{P_vp_n}{P_nP_{n-1}}\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right)^{k-1}\\ &= P_v\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\frac{p_n}{P_nP_{n-1}} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m\longrightarrow\infty,\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

so condition (2.5) is satisfied.

This completes the proof of the corollary. □

References

[1] H. Bor, *On two summability methods*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **97** (1985), no. 1, 147–149.

[2] ———, *A note on* $|\overline{N},p_n|_k$ *summability factors*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **12** (1992), no. 4, 937–942 (1993).

[3] T. M. Flett, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **7** (1957), 113–141.

[4] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1949.

[5] W. T. Sulaiman, *Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series. IV*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (2003), no. 11, 1547–1557.

H. S. Özarslan: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

E-mail address: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr

H. N. Öğdük: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

E-mail address: nogduk@erciyes.edu.tr

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk