

SOME GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES OF INVARIANT MEANS DEFINED BY ORLICZ FUNCTIONS

AHMAD H. A. BATAINEH AND LAITH E. AZAR

Received 23 September 2004 and in revised form 5 April 2005

We define the sequence spaces $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$, and $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty$ which are defined by combining the concepts of Orlicz functions, invariant means, and lacunary convergence. We also study some inclusion relations and linearity properties of the above-mentioned spaces. These are generalizations of those defined and studied by Savaş and Rhoades in 2002 and some others before.

1. Introduction

Let l_∞ and c denote the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences $x = (x_k)$, with $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , normed by $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$, respectively.

A paranorm on a linear topological space X is a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following axioms for any $x, y, x_0 \in X$ and $\lambda, \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$:

- (i) $g(\theta) = 0$, where $\theta = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$, the zero sequence,
- (ii) $g(x) = g(-x)$,
- (iii) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ (subadditivity),
- (iv) the scalar multiplication is continuous, that is,

$$\lambda \longrightarrow \lambda_0, \quad x \longrightarrow x_0 \quad \text{imply} \quad \lambda x \longrightarrow \lambda_0 x_0; \quad (1.1)$$

in other words,

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| \longrightarrow 0, \quad g(x - x_0) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{imply} \quad g(\lambda x - \lambda_0 x_0) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.2)$$

A paranormed space is a linear space X with a paranorm g and is written as (X, g) , (see Maddox [10, page 92]).

Any function g which satisfies all conditions (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv), together with the condition

- (v) $g(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = \theta$,

is called a total paranorm on X , and the pair (X, g) is called a total paranormed space, (see Maddox [10, page 92]).

Schaefer [20] defined the σ -convergence as follows.

Let σ be the mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional φ on l_∞ is said to be an invariant mean or σ -mean if and only if

- (i) $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ,
- (ii) $\varphi(e) = 1$,
- (iii) $\varphi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in l_\infty$.

In case σ is the translation mapping $n \rightarrow n+1$, a σ -mean is often called a Banach limit and V_σ , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergence sequences.

A sequence $x = (x_k) \in l_\infty$ is said to be almost convergent if all of its Banach limits coincide (see Banach [1]). Let \hat{c} denote the space of all almost convergent sequences. Lorentz [8] proved that

$$\hat{c} = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(x) \text{ exists, uniformly in } n \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $(t_{mn}(x) = x_n + x_{n+1} + \cdots + x_{n+m})/(m+1)$.

The space $[\hat{c}]$ of strongly almost convergence sequences was introduced by Maddox [11] and Freedman et al. [4] as follows:

$$[\hat{c}] = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(|x - le|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $e = (1, 1, \dots)$.

If $x = (x_k)$, write $Tx = (Tx_k) = (x_{\sigma(k)})$. It can be shown that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{km}(x) = l, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}, \quad (1.5)$$

$l = \sigma - \lim x$, where

$$t_{km}(x) = \frac{x_m + x_{\sigma(m)} + x_{\sigma^2(m)} + \cdots + x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{k+1}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here $\sigma^k(m)$ denotes the k th iterate of the mapping σ at m .

A σ -mean extends the limit functional on c in the sense that $\varphi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$ if and only if σ has no finite orbits; that is to say, if and only if for all $n \geq 0$, $j \geq 0$, $\sigma^j(n) \neq n$ (see Mursaleen [13]).

A sequence x is said to be strongly σ -convergent if there exists a number l such that

$$(|x_k - l|) \in V_\sigma \quad (1.7)$$

with the limit zero (see Mursaleen [12]).

We write $[V_\sigma]$ as the set of all strongly σ -convergent sequences. When (1.7) holds, we write $[V_\sigma] - \lim x = l$. Taking $\sigma(n) = n+1$, we obtain $[V_\sigma] = [\hat{c}]$ so that strong σ -convergence generalizes the concept of strong almost convergence. Note that $c \subset [V_\sigma] \subset V_\sigma \subset l_\infty$.

Using the concept of invariant means, the following sequence spaces have been introduced and examined by Mursaleen et al. [14] as a generalization of Das and Sahoo [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} w_\sigma &= \left\{ x : \lim_n \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n t_{km}(x-l) = 0, \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}, \\ [w]_\sigma &= \left\{ x : \lim_n \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |t_{km}(x-l)| = 0, \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}, \\ [w_\sigma] &= \left\{ x : \lim_n \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n t_{km}(|x-l|) = 0, \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

By a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r); r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $k_0 = 0$, we will mean an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and we let $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. The ratio k_r/k_{r-1} will be denoted by q_r . The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences N_θ was defined by Freedman et al. [4] as

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - l| = 0, \text{ for some } l \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

The concept of lacunary strong σ -convergence was introduced by Savaş [18] which is a generalization of the idea of lacunary strong almost convergence due to Das and Patel [2].

If $[V_\sigma^\theta]$ denotes the set of all lacunary strongly σ -convergent sequences, then Savaş [18] defined

$$[V_\sigma^\theta] = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - l| = 0, \text{ for some } l, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}. \quad (1.10)$$

Recall [5, 7] that an Orlicz function is a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is continuous, nondecreasing, and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

If convexity of M is replaced by $M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$, then it is called a modulus function, defined and discussed by Ruckle [16].

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [7] used the idea of Orlicz function to define what is called an Orlicz sequence space:

$$l_M := \left\{ x : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\} \quad (1.11)$$

which is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\|_M = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (1.12)$$

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for all values of u , if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$M(2u) \leq KM(u) \quad (u \geq 0). \quad (1.13)$$

It is easy to see that always $K > 2$. The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to the satisfaction of the inequality

$$M(lu) \leq K(lu)M(u) \quad (1.14)$$

for every value of u and for $l > 1$ (see Krasnosel'skiĭ and Rutickiĭ [6]).

Parashar and Choudhary [15] have introduced and examined some properties of four sequence spaces defined by using an Orlicz function M , which generalizes the well-known Orlicz sequence space l_M and strong summable sequence spaces $[C, 1, p]$, $[C, 1, p]_0$, and $[C, 1, p]_\infty$. It may be noted that the spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Maddox [9].

The main object of this paper is to define and study the sequence spaces: $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$ and $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty$, which are defined by combining the concepts of an Orlicz function, invariant mean, and lacunary convergence. We examine some linearity and inclusion relations of these spaces and establish the connection between lacunary $[w]_\sigma$ -convergence and lacunary $[w]_\sigma$ -convergence with respect to an Orlicz function which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition.

Now, if $u = (u_k)$ is any sequence such that $u_k \neq 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and for any sequence $x = (x_n)$, the difference sequence Δx is given by $\Delta x = (\Delta x_n)_{n=1}^\infty = (x_n - x_{n-1})_{n=1}^\infty$, then we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. = 0, \text{ for some } l, \rho > 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}, \\ [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0 &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}, \\ [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_{r, m} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

If $u = e$, and $\Delta x_k = x_k$, for all k , then these spaces reduce to those defined and studied by Savaş and Rhoades [19]. Also some sequence spaces are obtained by specializing θ , M , and p . For example, if $u = e$, $\Delta x_k = x_k$, and $p_k = 1$ for all k , then we get the spaces $[w^\theta, M]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M]_\sigma^0$, and $[w^\theta, M]_\sigma^\infty$.

If $x \in [w^\theta, M]_\sigma$, we say that x is lacunary $[w]_\sigma$ -convergence with respect to the Orlicz function M .

When $u = e$, $\Delta x_k = x_k$, for all k , and $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the spaces $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$, and $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty$ reduce to the spaces $[\hat{w}_\theta, M, p]_\sigma$, $[\hat{w}_\theta, M, p]_\sigma^0$, and $[\hat{w}_\theta, M, p]_\sigma^\infty$, respectively, which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{w}_\theta, M, p] &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{m \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{mn}(x - l)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } l, \rho > 0 \right\}, \\ [\hat{w}_\theta, M, p]_0 &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{m \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{mn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}, \\ [\hat{w}_\theta, M, p]_\infty &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_{r, n} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{m \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{mn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

If $u = e$, and $\Delta x_k = x_k$, for all k , $M(x) = x$, $\theta = (2^r)$, and $p_k = 1$, for all k , then $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma = [w]_\sigma$ (see Mursaleen et al. [14]) and $[\hat{w}_\theta, M, p, u, \Delta] = [\hat{w}]$ (see Das and Sahoo [3]). When $u = e$, $\Delta x_k = x_k$, for all k , $M(x) = x$, and $p_k = 1$, for all k , then $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma = [w^\theta]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0 = [w]_\sigma^0$. If $u = e$, $\Delta x_k = x_k$, for all k , and $\theta = (2^r)$, then $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma = [w, M, p]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0 = [w, M, p]_\sigma^0$, and $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty = [w, M, p]_\sigma^\infty$.

2. Main results

We proved the following theorems.

THEOREM 2.1. *For any Orlicz function M and a bounded sequence $p = (p_k)$ of strictly positive real numbers, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$, and $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty$ are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers.*

Proof. We will prove the result only for $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$. The others can be treated similarly. Let $x, y \in [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, the set of complex numbers. In order to prove the result, we need to find some $\rho_3 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(\alpha u \Delta x + \beta u \Delta y)|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } m. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $x, y \in [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$, there exist positive ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} &= 0, \\ \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta y)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

uniformly in m .

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since M is nondecreasing and convex,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(\alpha u\Delta x + \beta u\Delta y)|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(\alpha u\Delta x)|}{\rho_1} \right) + M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(\beta u\Delta y)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq D \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(\alpha u\Delta x)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + D \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(\beta u\Delta y)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$\rightarrow 0$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in m , where $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, $H = \sup p_k$, so that $\alpha ux + \beta uy \in [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$. This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 2.2. For any Orlicz function M and a bounded sequence $p = (p_k)$ of strictly positive real numbers, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$ is a topological linear space, totally paranormed by:

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_r/H} : \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, r = 1, 2, m = 1, 2, \dots \right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup p_k)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $g(x) = g(-x)$. By using Theorem 2.1, for $\alpha = \beta = 1$, we get $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Since $M(0) = 0$, we get $\inf \{\rho^{p_r/H}\} = 0$ for $x = 0$. Conversely, suppose $g(x) = 0$, then

$$\inf \left\{ \rho^{p_r/H} : \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

This implies that for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\rho_3 (0 < \rho_3 < \epsilon)$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\epsilon} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

for each r and m .

Suppose that $x_{\sigma^i(j)} \neq 0$ for each i and j . This implies that $t_{ij}(x) \neq 0$, for each i and j . Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\left(\frac{|t_{ij}(x)|}{\epsilon} \right) \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{ij}(u\Delta x)|}{\epsilon} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.9)$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $t_{ij}(x) = 0$ for each i and j , and thus $x_{\sigma^i(j)} = 0$ for each i and j .

Showing that scalar multiplication is continuous is a standard argument, so we omit it. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *For any Orlicz function M which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, $[w^\theta, u, \Delta]_\sigma \subseteq [w^\theta, M, u, \Delta]$.*

To prove the theorem, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let M be an Orlicz function which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for each $x \geq \delta$, $M(x) < Kx\delta^{-1}M(2)$ for some constant $K > 0$.*

Proof. It follows by a straightforward calculation using the Δ_2 -condition. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $x \in [w^\theta, u, \Delta]_\sigma$. Then we have

$$A_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)| \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.10)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in m , for some l .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $M(t) < \epsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$.

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M(|t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)|) &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, |t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)| \leq \delta} M(|t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, |t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)| > \delta} M(|t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)|) \\ &< h_r^{-1}(h_r\epsilon) + h_r^{-1}K\delta^{-1}M(2)h_rA_r, \text{ by Lemma 2.4.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $x \in [w^\theta, M, u, \Delta]_\sigma$. \square

THEOREM 2.5. Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $\liminf_r q_r > 1$. Then for any Orlicz function M , $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma \subset [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$, $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0 \subset [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$, and $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty \subset [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty$, where

$$\begin{aligned} [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } l, \rho > 0 \right\}, \\ [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

(In case $l = 0$, write $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma = [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$).

Proof. We will prove $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma \subset [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$ only. The others can be treated similarly. It is sufficient to show that $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0 \subset [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$; the general inclusion follows by linearity. Suppose $\liminf_r q_r > 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r = (k_r/k_{r-1}) \geq 1 + \delta$ for all $r \geq 1$. Then for $x \in [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x - le)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{k_r}{h_r} \left(k_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) - \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \left(k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Since $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$, we have

$$\frac{k_r}{h_r} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}, \quad \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}. \quad (2.14)$$

The terms $k_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} [M(|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|/\rho)]^{p_k}$ and $k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} [M(|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|/\rho)]^{p_k}$ both converge to zero uniformly in m , and it follows that A_r converges to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in m , that is, $x \in [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$. This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 2.6. Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$. Then for any Orlicz function M , $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma \subset [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$, $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0 \subset [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$, and $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty \subset [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^\infty$.

Proof. We will prove $[w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma \subset [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$ only. The others can be treated similarly. It is sufficient to show that $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0 \subset [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$; the general inclusion follows by linearity. Suppose $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$, then there exists $B > 0$ such that $q_r < B$ for all $r \geq 1$. Let $x \in [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $L > 0$ such that for

every $j \geq L$ and all m ,

$$A_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{k \in I_j} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \epsilon. \quad (2.15)$$

We can also find $K > 0$ such that $A_j < K$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Now let n be any integer with $k_{r-1} < n \leq k_r$, where $r > L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & = k_{r-1}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{k \in I_1} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \sum_{k \in I_2} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \dots + \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\} \\ & = \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} k_1^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_1} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} (k_2 - k_1)^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_2} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + \dots + \frac{k_R - k_{R-1}}{k_{r-1}} (k_R - k_{R-1})^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_2} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + \dots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} (k_r - k_{r-1})^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_2} \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & = \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} A_1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} A_2 + \dots + \frac{k_R - k_{R-1}}{k_{r-1}} A_R \\ & \quad + \frac{k_{R+1} - k_R}{k_{r-1}} A_{R+1} + \dots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} A_r \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{j \geq 1} A_j \right) \frac{k_R}{k_{r-1}} + \left(\sup_{j \geq R} A_j \right) \frac{k_r - k_R}{k_{r-1}} < K \frac{k_R}{k_{r-1}} + \epsilon B. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Since $k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(u\Delta x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.17)$$

uniformly in m , and consequently $x \in [w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma^0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

THEOREM 2.7. Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $1 < \liminf_r q_r < \limsup_r q_r < \infty$. Then for any Orlicz function M , $[w, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma = [w^\theta, M, p, u, \Delta]_\sigma$.

Proof. Theorem 2.7 follows from Theorems 2.5 and 2.6. \square

References

- [1] S. Banach, *Theorie des Operations Lineaires*, PWN, Warszawa, 1932.
- [2] G. Das and B. K. Patel, *Lacunary distribution of sequences*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **20** (1989), no. 1, 64–74.
- [3] G. Das and S. K Sahoo, *On some sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **164** (1992), no. 2, 381–398.
- [4] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember, and M. Raphael, *Some Cesàro-type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **37** (1978), no. 3, 508–520.
- [5] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, *Sequence Spaces and Series*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 65, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981.
- [6] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ and Ja. B. Rutickiĭ, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. **10** (1971), no. 3, 379–390.
- [8] G. G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math. **80** (1948), 167–190.
- [9] I. J. Maddox, *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **18** (1967), no. 2, 345–355.
- [10] ———, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, London, 1970.
- [11] ———, *On strong almost convergence*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **85** (1979), no. 2, 345–350.
- [12] M. Mursaleen, *Matrix transformations between some new sequence spaces*, Houston J. Math. **9** (1983), no. 4, 505–509.
- [13] ———, *On some new invariant matrix methods of summability*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **34** (1983), no. 133, 77–86.
- [14] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Gaur, and T. A. Chishti, *On some new sequence spaces of invariant means*, Acta Math. Hungar. **75** (1997), no. 3, 209–214.
- [15] S. D. Parashar and B. Choudhary, *Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **25** (1994), no. 4, 419–428.
- [16] W. H. Ruckle, *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 973–978.
- [17] E. Savaş, *Strongly σ -convergent sequences*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **81** (1989), no. 4, 295–300.
- [18] ———, *On lacunary strong σ -convergence*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **21** (1990), no. 4, 359–365.
- [19] E. Savaş and B. E. Rhoades, *On some new sequence spaces of invariant means defined by Orlicz functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2002), no. 2, 271–281.
- [20] P. Schaefer, *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 104–110.

Ahmad H. A. Bataineh: Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Mafraq 25113, Jordan
E-mail address: ahabf2003@yahoo.ca

Laith E. Azar: Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Mafraq 25113, Jordan
E-mail address: azar_laith@yahoo.com

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru