ON f-DERIVATIONS OF BCI-ALGEBRAS

JIANMING ZHAN AND YONG LIN LIU

Received 14 December 2004 and in revised form 16 May 2005

The notion of left-right (resp., right-left) f-derivation of a BCI-algebra is introduced, and some related properties are investigated. Using the idea of regular f-derivation, we give characterizations of a p-semisimple BCI-algerba.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In the theory of rings and near-rings, the properties of derivations are an important topic to study, see [2, 3, 7, 10]. In [6], Jun and Xin applied the notions in rings and near-rings theory to BCI-algebras, and obtained some related properties. In this paper, the notion of left-right (resp., right-left) f-derivation of a BCI-algebra is introduced, and some related properties are investigated. Using the idea of regular f-derivation, we give characterizations of a p-semisimple BCI-algebra.

By a BCI-algebra we mean an algebra $(X;*,0)$ of type (2,0) satisfying the following conditions:

(I) $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y)=0$;

(II) $(x*(x*y))*y=0$;

(III) $x*x=0$;

(IV) $x*y=0$ and $y*x=0$ imply that $x=y$;

for all $x,y,z\in X$.

In any BCI-algebra X, one can define a partial order "$\leq$" by putting $x\leq y$ if and only if $x*y=0$.

A subset S of a BCI-algebra X is called *subalgebra* of X if $x*y\in S$ for all $x,y\in S$. A subset I of a BCI-algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies (i) $0\in I$; (ii) $x*y\in I$ and $y\in I$ imply that $x\in I$ for all $x,y\in X$.

A mapping f of a BCI-algebra X into itself is called an *endomorphism* of X if $f(x*y)=f(x)*f(y)$ for all $x,y\in X$. Note that $f(0)=0$. Especially, f is *monic* if for any $x,y\in X$, $f(x)=f(y)$ implies that $x=y$.

A BCI-algebra X has the following properties:

(1) $x*0=x$;

(2) $(x*y)*z=(x*z)*y$;

International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 2005:11 (2005) 1675–1684
DOI: 10.1155/IJMMS.2005.1675

(3) $x \le y$ implies that $x * z \le y * z$ and $z * y \le z * x$;
(4) $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$;
(5) $(x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y$;
(6) $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$;
(7) $x * 0 = 0$ implies that $x = 0$.

For a BCI-algebra X, denote by X_+ (resp., $G(X)$) the BCK-part (resp., the BCI-G part) of X, that is, $X_+ = \{x \in X \mid 0 \le x\}$ (resp., $G(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = x\}$). Note that $G(X) \cap X_+ = \{0\}$. If $X_+ = \{0\}$, then X is called a *p-semisimple BCI-algebra.*

In a p-semisimple BCI-algebra X, the following hold:
(8) $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$;
(9) $0 * (0 * x) = x$;
(10) $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$;
(11) $x * y = 0$ implies that $x = y$;
(12) $x * a = x * b$ implies that $a = b$;
(13) $a * x = b * x$ implies that $a = b$;
(14) $a * (a * x) = x$.

Let X be a p-semisimple BCI-algebra. We define addition "+" as $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $(X, +)$ is an abelian group with identity 0 and $x - y = x * y$. Conversely, let $(X, +)$ be an abelian group with identity 0 and let $x * y = x - y$. Then X is a p-semisimple BCI-algebra and $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (see [5]).

For a BCI-algebra X, we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$, in particular, $0 * (0 * x) = a_x$, and $L_p(X) = \{a \in X \mid x * a = 0 \Rightarrow x = a \text{ for any } x \in X\}$. We call the elements of $L_p(X)$ *the p-atoms* of X. For any $a \in X$, let $V(a) = \{x \in X \mid a * x = 0\}$, which is called *the branch of X with respect to a*. It follows that $x * y \in V(a * b)$ whenever $x \in V(a)$ and $y \in V(b)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $a, b \in L_p(X)$. Note that $L_p(X) = \{x \in X \mid a_x = x\}$, which is *the p-semisimple part* of X, and X is a p-semisimple BCI-algebra if and only if $L_p(X) = X$ (see [6]). Note also that $a_x \in L_p(X)$, that is, $0 * (0 * a_x) = a_x$, which implies that $a_x * y \in L_p(X)$ for all $y \in X$. It is clear that $G(X) \subseteq L_p(X)$, $x * (x * a) = a$, and $a * x \in L_p(X)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$ and $x \in X$. For more details, refer to [1, 8, 11].

Definition 1.1 [9]. A BCI-algebra X is said to be *commutative* if $x = x \wedge y$ whenever $x \le y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 1.2 [4]. A BCI-algebra X is said to be *branchwise commutative* if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ for all $x, y \in V(a)$ and all $a \in L_p(X)$.

Lemma 1.3 [6]. *A BCI-algebra X is commutative if and only if it is branchwise commutative.*

Definition 1.4 [6]. Let X be a BCI-algebra. By a *left-right derivation (briefly, (l,r)-derivation)* of X, a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$ is meant. If d satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then it is said that d is a *right-left derivation (briefly, (r,l)-derivation)* of X. Moreover, if d is both an (r,l)- and an (l,r)-derivation, it is said that d is a *derivation*.

2. f-derivations

In what follows, let f be an endomorphism of X unless otherwise specified.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a BCI-algebra. By a *left-right f-derivation (briefly, (l,r)-f-derivation)* of X, a self-map d_f of X satisfying the identity $d_f(x*y) = (d_f(x)*f(y)) \wedge (f(x)*d_f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$ is meant, where f is an endomorphism of X. If d_f satisfies the identity $d_f(x*y) = (f(x)*d_f(y)) \wedge (d_f(x)*f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$, then it is said that d_f is a *right-left f-derivation (briefly, (r,l)-f-derivation)* of X. Moreover, if d_f is both an (r,l)- and an (l,r)-f-derivation, it is said that d_f is an *f-derivation*.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ be a BCI-algebra with the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	2	2	2	2
1	1	0	2	2	2	2
2	2	2	0	0	0	0
3	3	2	1	0	0	0
4	4	2	1	1	0	1
5	5	2	1	1	1	0

Define a map $d_f : X \to X$ by

$$d_f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0,1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and define an endomorphism f of X by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0,1, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Then it is easily checked that d_f is both derivation and f-derivation of X.

Example 2.3. Let X be a BCI-algebra as in Example 2.2. Define a map $d_f : X \to X$ by

$$d_f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0,1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Then it is easily checked that d_f is a derivation of X.

Define an endomorphism f of X by

$$f(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in X. \tag{2.4}$$

Then d_f is not an f-derivation of X since

$$d_f(2*3) = d_f(0) = 2, \tag{2.5}$$

but

$$(d_f(2)*f(3)) \wedge (f(2)*d_f(3)) = (0*0) \wedge (0*0) = 0 \wedge 0 = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

and thus $d_f(2*3) \neq (d_f(2)*f(3)) \wedge (f(2)*d_f(3))$.

Remark 2.4. From Example 2.3, we know that there is a derivation of X which is not an f-derivation of X.

Example 2.5. Let $X = \{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ be a BCI-algebra with the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	3	2	3	2
1	1	0	5	4	3	2
2	2	2	0	3	0	3
3	3	3	2	0	2	0
4	4	2	1	5	0	3
5	5	3	4	1	2	0

Define a map $d_f : X \to X$ by

$$d_f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0,1, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2,4, \\ 3 & \text{if } x = 3,5, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

and define an endomorphism f of X by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0,1, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2,4, \\ 3 & \text{if } x = 3,5. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Then it is easily checked that d_f is both derivation and f-derivation of X.

Example 2.6. Let X be a BCI-algebra as in Example 2.5. Define a map $d_f : X \to X$ by

$$d_f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0,1, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2,4, \\ 3 & \text{if } x = 3,5. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Then it is easily checked that d_f is a derivation of X.

Define an endomorphism f of X by

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f(1) = 1, \quad f(2) = 3, \quad f(3) = 2, \quad f(4) = 5, \quad f(5) = 4. \tag{2.10}$$

Then d_f is not an f-derivation of X since

$$d_f(2 * 3) = d_f(3) = 3, \tag{2.11}$$

but

$$(d_f(2) * f(3)) \wedge (f(2) * d_f(3)) = (2 * 2) \wedge (3 * 3) = 0 \wedge 0 = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

and thus $d_f(2 * 3) \neq (d_f(2) * f(3)) \wedge (f(2) * d_f(3))$.

Example 2.7. Let X be a BCI-algebra as in Example 2.5. Define a map $d_f : X \to X$ by

$$d_f(0) = 0, \quad d_f(1) = 1, \quad d_f(2) = 3, \quad d_f(3) = 2, \quad d_f(4) = 5, \quad d_f(5) = 4. \tag{2.13}$$

Then d_f is not a derivation of X since

$$d_f(2 * 3) = d_f(3) = 2, \tag{2.14}$$

but

$$(d_f(2) * 3) \wedge (2 * d_f(3)) = (3 * 3) \wedge (2 * 2) = 0 \wedge 0 = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

and thus $d_f(2 * 3) \neq (d_f(2) * 3) \wedge (2 * d_f(3))$.

Define an endomorphism f of X by

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f(1) = 1, \quad f(2) = 3, \quad f(3) = 2, \quad f(4) = 5, \quad f(5) = 4. \tag{2.16}$$

Then it is easily checked that d_f is an f-derivation of X.

Remark 2.8. From Example 2.7, we know that there is an f-derivation of X which is not a derivation of X.

For convenience, we denote $f_x = 0 * (0 * f(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Note that $f_x \in L_p(X)$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let d_f be a self-map of a BCI-algebra X defined by $d_f(x) = f_x$ for all $x \in X$. Then d_f is an (l,r)-f-derivation of X. Moreover, if X is commutative, then d_f is an (r,l)-f-derivation of X.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 * (0 * (f_x * f(y))) &= 0 * (0 * ((0 * (0 * f(x))) * f(y))) \\ &= 0 * (0 * ((0 * f(y)) * (0 * f(x)))) \\ &= 0 * (0 * (0 * f(y * x))) = 0 * f(y * x) \\ &= 0 * (f(y) * f(x)) = (0 * f(y)) * (0 * f(x)) \\ &= (0 * (0 * f(x))) * f(y) = f_x * f(y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

we have $f_x * f(y) \in L_P(X)$, and thus

$$f_x * f(y) = (f(x) * f_y) * ((f(x) * f_y) * (f_x * f(y))). \tag{2.18}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(x * y) = f_{x*y} &= 0 * (0 * f(x * y)) = 0 * (0 * (f(x) * f(y))) \\ &= (0 * (0 * f(x))) * (0 * (0 * f(y))) = f_x * f_y \\ &= (0 * (0 * f_x)) * (0 * (0 * f(y))) = 0 * (0 * (f_x * f(y))) \\ &= f_x * f(y) = (f(x) * f_y) * ((f(x) * f_y) * (f_x * f(y))) \\ &= (f_x * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * f_y) = (d_f(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d_f(y)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

and so d_f is an (l,r)-f-derivation of X. Now, assume that X is commutative. Using Lemma 1.3, it is sufficient to show that $d_f(x) * f(y)$ and $f(x) * d_f(y)$ belong to the same branch for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(x) * f(y) &= f_x * f(y) = 0 * (0 * (f_x * f(y))) \\ &= (0 * (0 * f_x)) * (0 * (0 * f(y))) \\ &= f_x * f_y \in V(f_x * f_y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and so $f_x * f_y = (0 * (0 * f(x))) * (0 * (0 * f_y)) = 0 * (0 * (f(x) * f_y)) = 0 * (0 * (f(x) * d_f(y))) \leq f(x) * d_f(y)$, which implies that $f(x) * d_f(y) \in V(f_x * f_y)$. Hence, $d_f(x) * f(y)$ and $f(x) * d_f(y)$ belong to the same branch, and so

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(x * y) &= (d_f(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d_f(y)) \\ &= (f(x) * d_f(y)) \wedge (d_f(x) * f(y)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.10. *Let d_f be a self-map of a BCI-algebra X. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If d_f is an (l,r)-f-derivation of X, then $d_f(x) = d_f(x) \wedge f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

(ii) *If d_f is an (r,l)-f-derivation of X, then $d_f(x) = f(x) \wedge d_f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ if and only if $d_f(0) = 0$.*

Proof. (i) Let d_f be an (l,r)-f-derivation of X. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(x) = d_f(x * 0) &= (d_f(x) * f(0)) \wedge (f(x) * d_f(0)) \\ &= (d_f(x) * 0) \wedge (f(x) * d_f(0)) = d_f(x) \wedge (f(x) * d_f(0)) \\ &= (f(x) * d_f(0)) * ((f(x) * d_f(0)) * d_f(x)) \\ &= (f(x) * d_f(0)) * ((f(x) * d_f(x)) * d_f(0)) \\ &\leq f(x) * (f(x) * d_f(x)) = d_f(x) \wedge f(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

But $d_f(x) \wedge f(x) \leq d_f(x)$ is trivial and so (i) holds.

(ii) Let d_f be an (r,l)-f-derivation of X. If $d_f(x) = f(x) \wedge d_f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then for $x = 0$, $d_f(0) = f(0) \wedge d_f(0) = 0 \wedge d_f(0) = d_f(0) * (d_f(0) * 0) = 0$.

Conversely, if $d_f(0) = 0$, then $d_f(x) = d_f(x * 0) = (f(x) * d_f(0)) \wedge (d_f(x) * f(0)) = (f(x) * 0) \wedge (d_f(x) * 0) = f(x) \wedge d_f(x)$, ending the proof. □

Proposition 2.11. *Let d_f be an (l,r)-f-derivation of a BCI-algebra X. Then,*

(i) *$d_f(0) \in L_p(X)$, that is, $d_f(0) = 0 * (0 * d_f(0))$;*

(ii) *$d_f(a) = d_f(0) * (0 * f(a)) = d_f(0) + f(a)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$;*

(iii) *$d_f(a) \in L_p(X)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$;*

(iv) *$d_f(a + b) = d_f(a) + d_f(b) - d_f(0)$ for all $a, b \in L_p(X)$.*

Proof. (i) The proof follows from Proposition 2.10(i).

(ii) Let $a \in L_p(X)$, then $a = 0 * (0 * a)$, and so $f(a) = 0 * (0 * f(a))$, that is, $f(a) \in L_p(X)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(a) &= d_f(0 * (0 * a)) \\ &= (d_f(0) * f(0 * a)) \wedge (f(0) * d_f(0 * a)) \\ &= (d_f(0) * f(0 * a)) \wedge (0 * d_f(0 * a)) \\ &= (0 * d_f(0 * a)) * ((0 * d_f(0 * a)) * (d_f(0) * f(0 * a))) \\ &= (0 * d_f(0 * a)) * ((0 * (d_f(0) * f(0 * a))) * d_f(0 * a)) \\ &= 0 * (0 * (d_f(0) * (f(0) * f(a)))) \\ &= 0 * (0 * (d_f(0) * (0 * f(a)))) \\ &= d_f(0) * (0 * f(a)) = d_f(0) + f(a). \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

(iii) The proof follows directly from (ii).

(iv) Let $a, b \in L_p(X)$. Note that $a + b \in L_p(X)$, so from (ii), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(a+b) &= d_f(0) + f(a+b) \\ &= d_f(0) + f(a) + d_f(0) + f(b) - d_f(0) = d_f(a) + d_f(b) - d_f(0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

□

Proposition 2.12. *Let d_f be a (r,l)-f-derivation of a BCI-algebra X. Then,*

(i) *$d_f(a) \in G(X)$ for all $a \in G(X)$;*

(ii) *$d_f(a) \in L_p(X)$ for all $a \in G(X)$;*

(iii) *$d_f(a) = f(a) * d_f(0) = f(a) + d_f(0)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$;*

(iv) *$d_f(a+b) = d_f(a) + d_f(b) - d_f(0)$ for all $a, b \in L_p(X)$.*

Proof. (i) For any $a \in G(X)$, we have $d_f(a) = d_f(0 * a) = (f(0) * d_f(a)) \wedge (d_f(0) * f(a)) = (d_f(0) * f(a)) * ((d_f(0) * f(a)) * (0 * d_f(a))) = 0 * d_f(a)$, and so $d_f(a) \in G(X)$.

(ii) For any $a \in L_p(X)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(a) = d_f(0 * (0 * a)) &= (0 * d_f(0 * a)) \wedge (d_f(0) * f(0 * a)) \\ &= (d_f(0) * f(0 * a)) * ((d_f(0) * f(0 * a)) * (0 * d_f(0 * a))) \\ &= 0 * d_f(0 * a) \in L_p(X). \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

(iii) For any $a \in L_p(X)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(a) = d_f(a * 0) &= (f(a) * d_f(0)) \wedge (d_f(a) * f(0)) \\ &= d_f(a) * (d_f(a) * (f(a) * d_f(0))) = f(a) * d_f(0) \\ &= f(a) * (0 * d_f(0)) = f(a) + d_f(0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

(iv) The proof follows from (iii). This completes the proof. □

Using Proposition 2.12, we know there is an (l,r)-f-derivation which is not an (r,l)-f-derivation as shown in the following example.

Example 2.13. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers and "$-$" the minus operation on $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $(\mathbb{Z},-,0)$ is a BCI-algebra. Let $d_f : X \to X$ be defined by $d_f(x) = f(x) - 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(d_f(x) - f(y)) \wedge (f(x) - d_f(y)) &= (f(x) - 1 - f(y)) \wedge (f(x) - (f(y) - 1)) \\ &= (f(x-y) - 1) \wedge (f(x-y) + 1) \\ &= (f(x-y) + 1) - 2 = f(x-y) - 1 \\ &= d_f(x-y).\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Hence, d_f is an (l,r)-f-derivation of X. But $d_f(0) = f(0) - 1 = -1 \neq 1 = f(0) - d_f(0) = 0 - d_f(0)$, that is, $d_f(0) \notin G(X)$. Therefore, d_f is not an (r,l)-f-derivation of X by Proposition 2.12(i).

3. Regular f-derivations

Definition 3.1. An f-derivation d_f of a BCI-algebra X is said to be *regular* if $d_f(0) = 0$.

Remark 3.2. We know that the f-derivations d_f in Examples 2.5 and 2.7 are regular.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a commutative BCI-algebra and let d_f be a regular (r,l)-f-derivation of X. Then the following hold.*

(i) *Both $f(x)$ and $d_f(x)$ belong to the same branch for all $x \in X$.*

(ii) *d_f is an (l,r)-f-derivation of X.*

Proof. (i) Let $x \in X$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}0 = d_f(0) &= d_f(a_x * x) \\ &= (f(a_x) * d_f(x)) \wedge (d(a_x) * f(x)) \\ &= (d(a_x) * f(x)) * ((d(a_x) * f(x)) * (f(a_x) * d_f(x))) \\ &= (d(a_x) * f(x)) * ((d(a_x) * f(x)) * (f_x * d_f(x))) \\ &= f_x * d_f(x) \quad \text{since } f_x * d_f(x) \in L_P(X),\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and so $f_x \leq d_f(x)$. This shows that $d_f(x) \in V(f_x)$. Clearly, $f(x) \in V(f_x)$.

(ii) By (i), we have $f(x) * d_f(y) \in V(f_x * f_y)$ and $d_f(x) * f(y) \in V(f_x * f_y)$. Thus $d_f(x * y) = (f(x) * d_f(y)) \wedge (d_f(x) * f(y)) = (d_f(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d_f(y))$, which implies that d_f is an (l,r)-f-derivation of X. □

Remark 3.4. The f-derivations d_f in Examples 2.5 and 2.7 are regular f-derivations but we know that the (l,r)-f-derivation d_f in Example 2.2 is not regular. In the following, we give some properties of regular f-derivations.

Definition 3.5. Let X be a BCI-algebra. Then define $\ker d_f = \{x \in X \mid d_f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f\text{-derivations } d_f\}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let d_f be an f-derivation of a BCI-algebra X. Then the following hold:*
(i) $d_f(x) \le f(x)$ *for all* $x \in X$;
(ii) $d_f(x) * f(y) \le f(x) * d_f(y)$ *for all* $x, y \in X$;
(iii) $d_f(x * y) = d_f(x) * f(y) \le d_f(x) * d_f(y)$ *for all* $x, y \in X$;
(iv) $\ker d_f$ *is a subalgebra of* X. *Especially, if* f *is monic, then* $\ker d_f \subseteq X_+$.

Proof. (i) The proof follows by Proposition 2.10(ii).
(ii) Since $d_f(x) \le f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then $d_f(x) * f(y) \le f(x) * f(y) \le f(x) * d_f(y)$.
(iii) For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(x * y) &= \big(f(x) * d_f(y)\big) \wedge \big(d_f(x) * f(y)\big) \\ &= \big(d_f(x) * f(y)\big) * \big(\big(d_f(x) * f(y)\big) * \big(f(x) * d_f(y)\big)\big) \\ &= \big(d_f(x) * f(y)\big) * 0 = d_f(x) * f(y) \le d_f(x) * d_f(y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

which proves (iii).
(iv) Let $x, y \in \ker d_f$, then $d_f(x) = 0 = d_f(y)$, and so $d_f(x * y) \le d_f(x) * d_f(y) = 0 * 0 = 0$ by (iii), and thus $d_f(x * y) = 0$, that is, $x * y \in \ker d_f$. Hence, $\ker d_f$ is a subalgebra of X. Especially, if f is monic, and letting $x \in \ker d_f$, then $0 = d_f(x) \le f(x)$ by (i), and so $f(x) \in X_+$, that is, $0 * f(x) = 0$, and thus $f(0 * x) = f(x)$, which implies that $0 * x = x$, and so $x \in X_+$, that is, $\ker d_f \subseteq X_+$. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let f be monic of a commutative BCI-algebra X. Then X is p-semisimple if and only if* $\ker d_f = \{0\}$ *for every regular f-derivation d_f of X.*

Proof. Assume that X is p-semisimple BCI-algebra and let d_f be a regular f-derivation of X. Then $X_+ = \{0\}$, and so $\ker d_f = \{0\}$ by using Proposition 3.6(iv). Conversely, let $\ker d_f = \{0\}$ for every regular f-derivation d_f of X. Define a self-map d_f of X by $d_f^*(x) = f_x$ for all $x \in X$. Using Theorem 2.9, d_f^* is an f-derivation of X. Clearly, $d_f^*(0) = f_0 = 0 * (0 * f(0)) = 0$, and so d_f^* is a regular f-derivation of X. It follows from the hypothesis that $\ker d_f^* = \{0\}$. In addition, $d_f^*(x) = f_x = 0 * (0 * f(x)) = f(0 * (0 * x)) = f(0) = 0$ for all $x \in X_+$, and thus $x \in \ker d_f^*$, which shows that $X_+ \subseteq \ker d_f^*$. Hence, by Proposition 3.6(iv), $X_+ = \ker d_f^* = \{0\}$. Therefore X is p-semisimple. □

Definition 3.8. An ideal A of a BCI-algebra X is said to be an *f-ideal* if $f(A) \subseteq A$.

Definition 3.9. Let d_f be a self-map of a BCI-algebra X. An f-ideal A of X is said to be *d_f-invariant* if $d_f(A) \subseteq A$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let d_f be a regular (r,l)-f-derivation of a BCI-algebra X, then every f-ideal A of X is d_f-invariant.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.10(ii), we have $d_f(x) = f(x) \wedge d_f(x) \le f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $y \in d_f(A)$. Then $y = d_f(x)$ for some $x \in A$. It follows that $y * f(x) = d_f(x) * f(x) = 0 \in A$. Since $x \in A$, then $f(x) \in f(A) \subseteq A$ as A is an f-ideal. It follows that $y \in A$ since A is an ideal of X. Hence $d_f(A) \subseteq A$, and thus A is d_f-invariant. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let d_f be an f-derivation of a BCI-algebra X. Then d_f is regular if and only if every f-ideal of X is d_f-invariant.*

Proof. Let d_f be a derivation of a BCI-algebra X and assume that every f-ideal of X is d_f-invariant. Then since the zero ideal $\{0\}$ is f-ideal and d_f-invariant, we have $d_f(\{0\}) \subseteq \{0\}$, which implies that $d_f(0) = 0$. Thus d_f is regular. Combining this and Theorem 3.10, we complete the proof. □

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Education Committee of Hubei Province (2004Z002, D200529001). The authors would like to thank the Editor-in-Chief and referees for the valuable suggestions and corrections for the improvement of this paper.

References

[1] M. Aslam and A. B. Thaheem, *A note on p-semisimple BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), no. 1, 39–45.
[2] H. E. Bell and L.-C. Kappe, *Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions*, Acta Math. Hungar. **53** (1989), no. 3-4, 339–346.
[3] H. E. Bell and G. Mason, *On derivations in near-rings*, Near-Rings and Near-Fields (Tübingen, 1985), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 137, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 31–35.
[4] M. A. Chaudhry, *Branchwise commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), no. 1, 163–170.
[5] M. Daoji, *BCI-algebras and abelian groups*, Math. Japon. **32** (1987), no. 5, 693–696.
[6] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, *On derivations of BCI-algebras*, Inform. Sci. **159** (2004), no. 3-4, 167–176.
[7] K. Kaya, *Prime rings with α-derivations Hacettepe*, Bull. Mater. Sci. Eng. **16-17** (1987-1988), 63–71.
[8] Y. L. Liu, J. Meng, and X. L. Xin, *Quotient rings induced via fuzzy ideals*, Korean J. Comput. Appl. Math. **8** (2001), no. 3, 631–643.
[9] J. Meng and X. L. Xin, *Commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), no. 3, 569–572.
[10] E. C. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1093–1100.
[11] L. Tiande and X. Changchang, *p-radical in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), no. 4, 511–517.

Jianming Zhan: Department of Mathematics, Hubei Institute for Nationalities, Enshi 445000, Hubei Province, China
E-mail address: zhanjianming@hotmail.com

Yong Lin Liu: Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, Shaanxi, China; Department of Mathematics, Nanping Teachers College, Nanping 353000, Fujian, China
E-mail address: ylliun@tom.com

Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods**: artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning
- **Application fields**: asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects**: decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk