

STABILITY OF MULTIPLIERS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

TAKESHI MIURA, GO HIRASAWA, and SIN-EI TAKAHASI

Received 2 February 2004

Suppose A is a Banach algebra without order. We show that an approximate multiplier $T : A \rightarrow A$ is an exact multiplier. We also consider an approximate multiplier T on a Banach algebra which need not be without order. If, in addition, T is approximately additive, then we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of T .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46J10.

1. Introduction and statement of results. It seems that the stability problem of functional equations had been first raised by Ulam (cf. [5, Chapter VI] and [6]): for what metric groups G is it true that a ε -automorphism of G is necessarily near to a strict automorphism?

An answer to the above problem has been given as follows. Suppose E_1 and E_2 are two real Banach spaces and $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a mapping. If there exist $\delta \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$, $p \neq 1$, such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, then there is a unique additive mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq 2\varepsilon\|x\|^p / |2 - 2^p|$ for every $x \in E_1$. This result is called the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the additive Cauchy equation $g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y)$. Indeed, Hyers [2] obtained the result for $p = 0$. Then Rassias [3] generalized the above result of Hyers to the case where $0 \leq p < 1$. Gajda [1] solved the problem for $1 < p$, which was raised by Rassias. In the same paper, Gajda also gave an example that a similar result does not hold for $p = 1$. We can also find another example in [4]. If $p < 0$, then $\|x\|^p$ is meaningless for $x = 0$. In this case, if we assume that $\|0\|^p$ means ∞ , then the proof given in [3] shows the existence of a mapping $T : E_1 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq 2\varepsilon\|x\|^p / |2 - 2^p|$ for every $x \in E_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, if we define $T(0) = 0$, then we see that the extended mapping, denoted by the same letter T , is additive. The last inequality is valid for $x = 0$ since we assume $\|0\|^p = \infty$. Thus, the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability holds for $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$, where \mathbb{R} denotes the real number field.

Suppose A is a Banach algebra. We say that a mapping $T : A \rightarrow A$ is a multiplier if $a(Tb) = (Ta)b$ for all $a, b \in A$. Recall that a Banach algebra A is *not* without order if there exist $x_0, y_0 \in A \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x_0 A = A y_0 = \{0\}$. Therefore, A is without order if and only if for all $x \in A$, $x A = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$, or, for all $x \in A$, $A x = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$. We first prove the superstability of multipliers on a Banach algebra without order; that is, each approximate multiplier is an exact multiplier.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose A is a complex Banach algebra without order. If $T : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping such that*

$$\|a(Tb) - (Ta)b\| \leq \varepsilon \|a\|^p \|b\|^p \quad (a, b \in A) \quad (1.2)$$

for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$, $p \neq 1$, then T is a multiplier.

In [Theorem 1.1](#), we only consider the case where $p \geq 0$, $p \neq 1$. Even if $p < 0$, we can also obtain a result similar to [Theorem 1.1](#) under an additional but natural assumption that $T(0) = 0$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose A is a complex Banach algebra without order and suppose $T : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping such that $T(0) = 0$ and $\|a(Tb) - (Ta)b\| \leq \varepsilon \|a\|^p \|b\|^p$ ($a, b \in A$) for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $p < 0$, where $\|0\|^p$ means ∞ . Then T is a multiplier.*

[Theorem 1.1](#) need not be true for $p = 1$. In fact, in [Remark 2.1](#), we give an approximate multiplier which is not an exact multiplier; however, in [Remark 2.2](#), we see that the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability holds for approximate multipliers between unital commutative Banach algebras.

If A is a Banach algebra which need not be without order, then under an additional assumption, we show the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of multiplier on A : if f is an approximate multiplier which is also approximately additive, then there is a multiplier near to f .

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose A is a Banach algebra, which need not be without order, and $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping such that*

$$\|f(a+b) - f(a) - f(b)\| \leq \varepsilon (\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p) \quad (a, b \in A), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\|af(b) - f(a)b\| \leq \varepsilon \|a\|^p \|b\|^p \quad (a, b \in A) \quad (1.4)$$

for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$. If $p \geq 0$ and $p \neq 1$, or $p < 0$ and $f(0) = 0$, then there is a multiplier $T : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(a) - Ta\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{|2 - 2^p|} \|a\|^p \quad (a \in A). \quad (1.5)$$

2. Proofs of the results

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. We first show that T is homogeneous, that is, $T(\lambda a) = \lambda Ta$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in A$. To do this, pick $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \in A$ and fix $x \in A$ arbitrarily. Put $s = (1 - p)/|1 - p|$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|n^s x [T(\lambda a) - \lambda Ta]\| &\leq \|n^s x [T(\lambda a)] - [T(n^s x)](\lambda a)\| \\ &\quad + \|[T(n^s x)](\lambda a) - n^s x (\lambda Ta)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|n^s x\|^p \|\lambda a\|^p + |\lambda| \varepsilon \|n^s x\|^p \|a\|^p \\ &\leq n^{sp} \varepsilon (|\lambda|^p + |\lambda|) \|x\|^p \|a\|^p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and hence

$$\|x[T(\lambda a) - \lambda Ta]\| \leq n^{s(p-1)} \varepsilon (|\lambda|^p + |\lambda|) \|x\|^p \|a\|^p \quad (2.2)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $s(p-1) < 0$, we obtain by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2) that $x[T(\lambda a) - \lambda Ta] = 0$. Similarly to the argument above, we can also get $[T(\lambda a) - \lambda Ta]x = 0$. Since A is without order, we conclude that $T(\lambda a) = \lambda Ta$, which implies the homogeneity of T .

Now we are ready to prove that T is a multiplier. Since T is homogeneous, $T(a) = n^{-s}T(n^s a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that, by definition, $s(p-1) < 0$. We thus obtain for all $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(Tb) - (Ta)b\| &= n^{-s} \|n^s a(Tb) - T(n^s a)b\| \\ &\leq n^{-s} \varepsilon \|n^s a\|^p \|b\|^p = n^{s(p-1)} \varepsilon \|a\|^p \|b\|^p \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Hence $a(Tb) = (Ta)b$, proving T is a multiplier. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Since $T(0) = 0$, it suffices to show that $a(Tb) = (Ta)b$ for all $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$. So, fix $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$ arbitrarily. In this case, inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) are also valid for $p < 0$. Recall that we assume $\|0\|^p = \infty$, and hence

$$x[T(\lambda a) - \lambda Ta] = 0, \quad [T(\lambda a) - \lambda Ta]x = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Note that (2.4) is also true for $x = 0$. Since A is without order, we thus obtain $T(\lambda a) = \lambda Ta$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. An argument similar to (2.3) shows $a(Tb) = (Ta)b$, and the proof is complete. \square

REMARK 2.1. A result similar to Theorem 1.1 need not be true for $p = 1$, that is, there exists an approximate multiplier which is not an exact multiplier. More explicitly, to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there corresponds a function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is not a multiplier such that

$$|z_1 f(z_2) - f(z_1) z_2| \leq \varepsilon |z_1| |z_2| \quad (2.5)$$

for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. By the continuity of the function $t \mapsto e^{it}$, there corresponds a δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $|t| < 2\pi(1-\delta)$ implies $|e^{it} - 1| < \varepsilon$. With this δ , we define the mapping $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & z = 0, \\ |z|e^{i\delta\theta} & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ denotes the argument of z . Then we see that f satisfies inequality (2.5) for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Since the case where $z_1 = 0$ or $z_2 = 0$ is trivial, we only consider $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If $z_j = |z_j|e^{i\theta_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$, then we get

$$|z_1 f(z_2) - f(z_1) z_2| = |z_1| |z_2| |e^{i(1-\delta)(\theta_1-\theta_2)} - 1|. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that $|\theta_1 - \theta_2| < 2\pi$. By the definition of δ , we obtain (2.5), which implies that f is an approximate multiplier. Moreover, f is not an exact multiplier, and hence Theorem 1.1 does not hold for $p = 1$ in general.

REMARK 2.2. Suppose A is a unital commutative Banach algebra. If $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping such that

$$\|af(b) - f(a)b\| \leq \varepsilon \|a\| \|b\| \quad (a, b \in A) \quad (2.8)$$

for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then there is an exact multiplier $T : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(a) - Ta\| \leq \varepsilon \|a\| \quad (a \in A). \quad (2.9)$$

Indeed, let $e \in A$ be a unit element. Taking $b = e$ in (2.8), we obtain

$$\|af(e) - f(a)\| \leq \varepsilon \|a\| \quad (a \in A). \quad (2.10)$$

If we consider the mapping $T : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$Ta = af(e) \quad (a \in A), \quad (2.11)$$

then T is a multiplier such that $\|f(a) - Ta\| \leq \varepsilon \|a\|$ for all $a \in A$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Suppose $p \neq 1$. By (1.3), it follows from a theorem of Rassias [3] and Gajda [1] that there exists a unique additive mapping $T : A \rightarrow A$ such that (1.5) holds. So, we need to show that $a(Tb) = (Ta)b$ for all $a, b \in A$. Since T is additive, $T(0) = 0$, and hence it is enough to consider $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Put $s = (1-p)/|1-p|$ and fix $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$ arbitrarily. Since T is additive, we see that $Ta = n^{-s}T(n^s a)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now it follows from (1.5) that

$$\|n^{-s}f(n^s b) - Tb\| \leq n^{-s} \frac{2\varepsilon}{|2-2^p|} \|n^s b\|^p = n^{s(p-1)} \frac{2\varepsilon}{|2-2^p|} \|b\|^p \quad (2.12)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence

$$\|n^{-s}f(n^s b) - Tb\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.13)$$

Since f is an approximate multiplier, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|n^{-s}af(n^s b) - f(a)b\| &= n^{-s} \|af(n^s b) - f(a)n^s b\| \\ &\leq n^{-s} \varepsilon \|a\|^p \|n^s b\|^p \\ &= n^{s(p-1)} \varepsilon \|a\|^p \|b\|^p \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$\|n^{-s}af(n^s b) - f(a)b\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

Now it follows from (2.13) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(Tb) - (Ta)b\| &\leq \|a\| \|Tb - n^{-s}f(n^s b)\| + \|n^{-s}af(n^s b) - f(a)b\| + \|f(a)b - (Ta)b\| \\ &\rightarrow \|f(a)b - (Ta)b\| \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

By (1.5), we obtain

$$\|a(Tb) - (Ta)b\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{|2 - 2^p|} \|a\|^p \|b\|. \quad (2.17)$$

An argument similar to (2.3) implies $\|a(Tb) - (Ta)b\| = 0$, proving T is a multiplier. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), no. 3, 431–434.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [3] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [4] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), no. 4, 989–993.
- [5] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 8, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1960.
- [6] ———, *Sets, Numbers, and Universes: Selected Works*, Mathematicians of Our Time, vol. 9, MIT Press, Massachusetts, 1974, edited by W. A. Beyer, J. Mycielski, and G.-C. Rota.

Takeshi Miura: Department of Basic Technology, Yamagata University, Yonezawa 992-8510, Japan

E-mail address: miura@yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp

Go Hirasawa: Department of Mathematics, Nippon Institute of Technology, Miyashiro, Saitama 345-8501, Japan

E-mail address: hirasawa1@mu.biglobe.ne.jp

Sin-Ei Takahasi: Department of Basic Technology, Yamagata University, Yonezawa 992-8510, Japan

E-mail address: sin-ei@emperor.yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk