

JORDAN SUPERDERIVATIONS. II

MAJA FOŠNER

Received 16 April 2003

In a recent paper we have extended the classical Herstein's theorem on Jordan derivations on prime rings to Jordan superderivations on prime associative superalgebras. In the present paper we extend this result to semiprime associative superalgebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25, 16W55, 17C50, 17C70.

1. Introduction. Throughout the paper, by an algebra we will mean an algebra over a fixed unital commutative ring Φ , and we assume that Φ contains the element $1/2$.

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative superalgebra, that is, a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded associative algebra. This means that there exist Φ -submodules $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1$ of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_0\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ (\mathcal{A}_0 is a subalgebra of \mathcal{A}), $\mathcal{A}_0\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$ (\mathcal{A}_1 is an \mathcal{A}_0 -bimodule), and $\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$. We say that \mathcal{A}_0 is the even and \mathcal{A}_1 is the odd part of \mathcal{A} . An element $a \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 0$ or $i = 1$, is said to be homogeneous of degree i , and in this case we write $|a| = i$. An ideal U of \mathcal{A} is said to be graded if $U = U \cap \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus U \cap \mathcal{A}_1$. A superalgebra \mathcal{A} is called prime if the product of any two nonzero graded ideals in \mathcal{A} is nonzero, and is called semiprime if it does not contain nonzero nilpotent graded ideals.

Introducing a new product in \mathcal{A} by $x \circ_s y = (1/2)(xy + (-1)^{|x||y|}yx)$, $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$, \mathcal{A} becomes a Jordan superalgebra. Over the recent years there has been a considerable interest in the relation between Jordan, Lie, and associative structures in associative superalgebras. The present paper continues this line of investigations. Some more details about the background of this research and a more comprehensive list of references are given in our preceding paper [6].

Let $i = 0$ or $i = 1$. A Φ -linear map $D_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $D(\mathcal{A}_j) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{j+i}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, is called a *superderivation of degree i* if it satisfies

$$D_i(xy) = D_i(x)y + (-1)^{i|x|}xD_i(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1, \quad (1.1)$$

and is called a *Jordan superderivation of degree i* if it satisfies

$$D_i(x \circ_s y) = D_i(x) \circ_s y + (-1)^{i|x|}x \circ_s D_i(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1. \quad (1.2)$$

A (general) *superderivation* is the sum of a superderivation of degree 0 and a superderivation of degree 1. Similarly, a *Jordan superderivation* is defined as the sum of Jordan superderivations of degrees 0 and 1. Superderivations are obviously Jordan superderivations, while the converse may not be true. In [6] we proved that every Jordan superderivation on a prime associative superalgebra \mathcal{A} is a superderivation, unless \mathcal{A}_0

is a commutative algebra. The case when \mathcal{A}_0 is commutative is indeed exceptional, as shown by examples in [6].

The concept of a Jordan superderivation can be viewed as a generalization of the concept of a Jordan derivation of an associative algebra. Namely, in the case of trivial superalgebras (i.e., the odd part is 0), these two notions coincide. Herstein's classical result [7] from 1957 implies that every Jordan derivation on a prime algebra over Φ is a derivation. In 1975, Cusack [5] proved that the same result holds true in semiprime algebras (see also [3]). It is therefore natural to ask whether our result from [6] can be extended to semiprime superalgebras. Our main goal is to prove the following generalization.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ be a semiprime associative superalgebra and let $D = D_0 + D_1$ be a Jordan superderivation. Then there exist graded ideals U and V of \mathcal{A} such that $D_i(ux) = D_i(u)x + (-1)^{i|u|}uD_i(x)$, $i = 0, 1$, for all $u \in U$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}$, and $[v_0, x_0] = 0$ for all $v_0 \in V_0$ and $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Moreover, $U \cap V = 0$ and $U \oplus V$ is an essential ideal of \mathcal{A} . If $U = 0$, then \mathcal{A}_0 is commutative, and if $V = 0$, then D is a superderivation.*

In particular, this theorem shows that the restriction of D to U is a superderivation and the superalgebra V has a commutative even part. The next example (a modification of the one given in [1, page 458]) shows that, in general, U and V cannot be chosen so that their sum is equal to \mathcal{A} .

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ and $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$ be prime associative superalgebras satisfying the following conditions: none of them contains an identity element, A_0 is a noncommutative algebra, B is commutative (as an algebra) and $B_1 \neq 0$. For example, one can take the trivial superalgebra of all finite-rank operators on an infinite-dimensional vector space (over a field Φ) for A , and $B = X\Phi[X]$ (i.e., the algebra of polynomials over Φ with constant term 0) with graduation $B_0 = \Phi[X^2]$ and $B_1 = X\Phi[X^2]$. Let $\mathcal{A} = A \oplus B \oplus \Phi$ be the unitization of the algebra $A \oplus B$. Set $\mathcal{A}_0 = A_0 \oplus B_0 \oplus \Phi 1$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = A_1 \oplus B_1$ and note that thereby \mathcal{A} becomes a semiprime associative superalgebra whose even part is noncommutative. Let $b_0 + b_1 = b \in B$ be such that $b_0 \neq 0$ and define $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $D(x_0 + x_1 + y_0 + y_1 + \lambda) = by_1$ for all $x_0 \in A_0$, $x_1 \in A_1$, $y_0 \in B_0$, $y_1 \in B_1$, and $\lambda \in \Phi$. Then D is a Jordan superderivation which is not a superderivation. Since \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra whose only central idempotents are 0 and 1, \mathcal{A} does not contain proper ideals U and V such that $\mathcal{A} = U \oplus V$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will, on the one hand, use several computations from [6], and, on the other hand, we will use some ideas from [2, 3, 4].

2. Preliminaries. We first fix the notation. Throughout the paper, by $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ we will denote a semiprime associative superalgebra. It is easy to see that \mathcal{A} is also semiprime as an algebra (i.e., if $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a\mathcal{A}a = 0$, then $a = 0$) and also \mathcal{A}_0 is a semiprime algebra [9, Lemma 1.2]. As usual, we will write $[a, b] = ab - ba$ and $a \circ b = (1/2)(ab + ba)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. By $Z(\mathcal{A})$ (resp., $Z(\mathcal{A}_0)$) we denote the center of \mathcal{A} (resp., \mathcal{A}_0). Further, $D = D_0 + D_1$ will denote a Jordan superderivation of \mathcal{A} , where, of course, D_i , $i = 0, 1$, denotes a Jordan superderivation of degree i . Define a bilinear map

$\delta_i : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\delta_i(x, y) = D_i(xy) - D_i(x)y - (-1)^{i|x|}xD_i(y) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$. Clearly, $\delta_i = 0$ if and only if D_i is a superderivation of degree i .

If x and y are elements in \mathcal{A} such that $x \mathcal{A} y = 0$, then it follows that $xy = yx = y \mathcal{A} x = 0$. Namely, we have $ya(x \mathcal{A} y)ax = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, which in turn implies $y \mathcal{A} x = 0$ by the semiprimeness of \mathcal{A} . Similarly, from $x(y \mathcal{A} x)y = 0$ and $y(x \mathcal{A} y)x = 0$ we get $xy = yx = 0$. For such elements x, y , we will write $x \perp y$.

The proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) consists of several steps. First, we gather some auxiliary results which will be needed later.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that $a \in \mathcal{A}_1$ is such that $ax_1, x_1a \in Z(\mathcal{A}_0)$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Then $a^2 \in Z(\mathcal{A})$.*

PROOF. We have $0 = [a^2, ax_1] = a[a^2, x_1]$ and $0 = [a^2, x_1a] = [a^2, x_1]a$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Therefore $[a^2, [a^2, \mathcal{A}_1]] = 0$, which, together with $[a^2, [a^2, \mathcal{A}_0]] = 0$, gives $[a^2, [a^2, \mathcal{A}]] = 0$. But then $a^2 \in Z(\mathcal{A})$ by [\[8, Lemma 1.1.9\]](#). \square

The next lemma is a slight extension of [\[6, Lemma 2.3\]](#).

LEMMA 2.2. *Let U be a graded ideal of \mathcal{A} .*

- (i) *If $u_1 U_1 u_1 = 0$, where $u_1 \in U_1$, then $u_1 = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $u_0 \in U_0$ and $u_1 \in U_1$ are such that $u_0 U_i u_1 = u_1 U_i u_0 = 0$, where $i = 0$ or $i = 1$, then $u_0 U u_1 = u_1 U u_0 = 0$.*
- (iii) *If $u_1 U_0 = 0$ or $U_0 u_1 = 0$, where $u_1 \in U_1$, then $u_1 = 0$.*

PROOF. (i) We have $u_1 U u_1 U u_1 \subseteq u_1 U u_1 U_1 u_1 + u_1 U_1 u_1 U u_1 + u_1 U_1 u_1$ for all $u_1 \in U_1$. Since $u_1 U_1 u_1 = 0$, it follows that $u_1 U u_1 U u_1 = 0$, and so, since \mathcal{A} is a semiprime algebra, it follows that $u_1 = 0$.

(ii) Assume that $u_0 U_0 u_1 = u_1 U_0 u_0 = 0$. Hence $(u_0 U_1 u_1)U_0(u_0 U_1 u_1) = 0$. Since U_0 is a semiprime algebra, we get $u_0 U_1 u_1 = 0$. This, together with our assumption, gives $u_0 U u_1 = 0$.

Now let $u_0 U_1 u_1 = u_1 U_1 u_0 = 0$. Hence $(u_0 U_0 u_1)U_1(u_0 U_0 u_1) = 0$, and so by (i) we get $u_0 U_0 u_1 = 0$. Since, by our assumption, also $u_0 U_1 u_1 = 0$, it follows that $u_0 U u_1 = 0$, as desired.

(iii) Suppose that $u_1 U_0 = 0$. Hence $u_1 U_0 x_1 u_1 = 0$ and $x_1 u_1 U_0 u_1 = 0$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. From (ii) it follows that $u_1 U x_1 u_1 = 0$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Therefore $x_1 u_1 U x_1 u_1 = 0$, which in turn implies $\mathcal{A}_1 u_1 = 0$, since U is semiprime. Using (i), we get $u_1 = 0$. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let U be a graded ideal of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ is such that $[U_0 a_1, U_0] = 0$. Then $U_0 a_1 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.*

PROOF. We have $0 = [u_0 a_1, x_0 v_0] = [u_0 a_1, x_0]v_0 + x_0[u_0 a_1, v_0]$ for all $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Therefore $[u_0 a_1, x_0]U_0 = 0$. Since $[u_0 a_1, x_0] \in U_1$, we arrive at $[U_0 a_1, \mathcal{A}_0] = 0$ by [Lemma 2.2\(iii\)](#). Hence $0 = [u_0 a_1, (u_0 a_1)x_1] = u_0 a_1[u_0 a_1, x_1]$ and $0 = [u_0 a_1, x_1(u_0 a_1)] = [u_0 a_1, x_1]u_0 a_1$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Therefore $[u_0 a_1, [u_0 a_1, \mathcal{A}_1]] = 0$, which in turn implies $[u_0 a_1, [u_0 a_1, \mathcal{A}]] = 0$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$ and $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Since \mathcal{A} is semiprime, the result follows by [\[8, Lemma 1.1.9\]](#). \square

LEMMA 2.4. *If x and y are homogeneous elements in \mathcal{A} such that $x \perp y$, then $x \circ_s D_i(y) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$.*

PROOF. Since $x \circ_s y = 0$, it follows that $0 = D_i(x \circ_s y) = D_i(x) \circ_s y + (-1)^{|x|} x \circ_s D_i(y)$. Using our assumptions and multiplying this identity on the left by xa , $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we arrive at $xa(x \circ_s D_i(y)) = 0$, which in turn implies $(x \circ_s D_i(y))\mathcal{A}(x \circ_s D_i(y)) = 0$. Since \mathcal{A} is semiprime, the result follows. \square

LEMMA 2.5 [6, Lemma 2.6]. *It holds that*

- (i) $\delta_i(x_0, y_0) = -\delta_i(y_0, x_0)$, $\delta_i(x_0, y_1) = -\delta_i(y_1, x_0)$ and $\delta_i(x_1, y_1) = \delta_i(y_1, x_1)$ for all $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$;
- (ii) $D_i([x_1^2, y]) = [[D_i(x_1), x_1]_s, y]_s + [x_1^2, D_i(y)]$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

The next lemma is also just a slight extension of [6, Lemma 2.6].

LEMMA 2.6. *Let U be a graded ideal of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $\delta_i(U_0, \mathcal{A}_0) = 0$. Then*

- (i) $[\delta_i(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1), U_0] = 0$;
- (ii) $\delta_i(u_0, x_1)y_1 = u_0\delta_i(x_1, y_1) - \delta_i(u_0x_1, y_1)$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$;
- (iii) $\delta_i(x_0, u_1)y_1 = x_0\delta_i(u_1, y_1) - \delta_i(x_0u_1, y_1)$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $u_1 \in U_1$, and $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$;
- (iv) $(-1)^i y_1 \delta_i(u_0, x_1) = \delta_i(x_1u_0, y_1) - \delta_i(x_1, y_1)u_0$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$, $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$;
- (v) $(-1)^i y_1 \delta_i(x_0, u_1) = \delta_i(u_1x_0, y_1) - \delta_i(u_1, y_1)x_0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $u_1 \in U_1$, and $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$;
- (vi) $\delta_0(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) \perp [\mathcal{A}_0, U_0]$;
- (vii) $\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1[\mathcal{A}_0, U_0] = [\mathcal{A}_0, U_0]\mathcal{A}_1\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$.

PROOF. We have $D_i([x_1^2, u_0]) = [D_i(x_1^2), u_0] + [x_1^2, D_i(u_0)]$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $u_0 \in U_0$. From Lemma 2.5(ii), it follows that $[\delta_i(x_1, x_1), u_0] = 0$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Linearizing, we get $[\delta_i(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1), U_0] = 0$.

Now consider the expression $D_i(u_0x_1y_1)$ with $u_0 \in U_0$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} D_i(u_0(x_1y_1)) &= D_i(u_0)x_1y_1 + u_0D_i(x_1y_1) \\ &= D_i(u_0)x_1y_1 + u_0(\delta_i(x_1, y_1) + D_i(x_1)y_1 + (-1)^i x_1 D_i(y_1)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} D_i((u_0x_1)y_1) &= \delta_i(u_0x_1, y_1) + D_i(u_0x_1)y_1 + (-1)^i u_0x_1 D_i(y_1) \\ &= \delta_i(u_0x_1, y_1) + (\delta_i(u_0, x_1) + D_i(u_0)x_1 + u_0D_i(x_1))y_1 \\ &\quad + (-1)^i u_0x_1 D_i(y_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Comparing these two relations, we obtain (ii). In a similar fashion, by computing $D_i(y_1x_1u_0)$ in two different ways (and using Lemma 2.5), we get (iv), by computing $D_i(x_0u_1y_1)$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $u_1 \in U_1$, $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we get (iii), and by computing $D_i(y_1u_1x_0)$, we get (v).

Using (i) and (ii), it follows that $[\delta_i(u_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, u_0] = 0$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$. For any $z_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $z_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we have $z_1 z_0 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and so

$$\delta_i(u_0, x_1)z_1[z_0, u_0] = [\delta_i(u_0, x_1)z_1 z_0, u_0] - [\delta_i(u_0, x_1)z_1, u_0]z_0 = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

proving that

$$\delta_i(u_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1[\mathcal{A}_0, u_0] = 0 \quad \forall u_0 \in U_0. \quad (2.5)$$

Comparing (i) and (iv), we get $[\mathcal{A}_1\delta_i(u_0, \mathcal{A}_1), u_0] = 0$, and then, considering an element $z_0 z_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, one obtains

$$[\mathcal{A}_0, u_0]\mathcal{A}_1\delta_i(u_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0 \quad \forall u_0 \in U_0. \quad (2.6)$$

A linearization of (2.5) gives $\delta_i(u_0, x_1)y_1[z_0, v_0] + \delta_i(v_0, x_1)y_1[z_0, u_0] = 0$ for all $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$, $z_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Using (2.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_i(u_0, x_1)y_1[z_0, v_0])a_1(\delta_i(u_0, x_1)y_1[z_0, v_0]) \\ &= -\delta_i(u_0, x_1)y_1[z_0, v_0]a_1\delta_i(v_0, x_1)y_1[z_0, u_0] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Similarly,

$$([z_0, v_0]y_1\delta_i(u_0, x_1))a_1([z_0, v_0]y_1\delta_i(u_0, x_1)) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Suppose that $i = 0$. Using (2.5) and (2.6), we arrive at $[\mathcal{A}_0, u_0] \perp \delta_0(u_0, \mathcal{A}_1)$ by Lemma 2.2(ii). A linearization of this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_0(u_0, x_1)y[z_0, v_0])a(\delta_0(u_0, x_1)y[z_0, v_0]) \\ &= -\delta_0(u_0, x_1)y[z_0, v_0]a\delta_0(v_0, x_1)y[z_0, u_0] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore $[z_0, v_0] \perp \delta_0(u_0, x_1)$ since \mathcal{A} is semiprime. Assume now that $i = 1$. Therefore $[z_0, v_0]\mathcal{A}_1\delta_1(u_0, x_1) = 0$ and $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)\mathcal{A}_1[z_0, v_0] = 0$ by (2.7), (2.8), and Lemma 2.2(i). Thereby the proof is completed. \square

3. Jordan superderivations of degree 0. By $[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]$ we will mean the additive subgroup of \mathcal{A} generated by elements of the form $[x_0, y_0]$ for all $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. In what follows, we will denote by U the ideal of \mathcal{A} generated by $[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]$. Note that U is a graded ideal.

THEOREM 3.1. $\delta_0(U, \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

PROOF. Since D_0 is a Jordan derivation on \mathcal{A}_0 , it follows that

$$\delta_0(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

by [5]. Therefore we have

$$\delta_0(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) \perp [\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] \quad (3.2)$$

by [Lemma 2.6](#)(vi). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_1 \delta_0(x_0, x_1), y_0] z [\gamma_1 \delta_0(x_0, x_1), y_0] \\ &= (\gamma_1 \delta_0(x_0, x_1) y_0 z - y_0 \gamma_1 \delta_0(x_0, x_1) z) [\gamma_1 \delta_0(x_0, x_1), y_0] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $z \in \mathcal{A}$, since $\gamma_1 \delta_0(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{A}_0$. In view of the semiprimeness of \mathcal{A} , we may conclude that $[\gamma_1 \delta_0(x_0, x_1), y_0] = 0$ for all $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Similarly, $[\delta_0(x_0, x_1) \gamma_1, y_0] = 0$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \delta_0(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1), \delta_0(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) \mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}_0). \quad (3.4)$$

By [Lemma 2.1](#) we arrive at

$$\delta_0(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1)^2 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}). \quad (3.5)$$

Pick any $u_0 \in U_0$. We have $\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1 \perp v$ for all $v \in U_0 \cup U_1$ and $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ by [\(3.2\)](#). Using [Lemma 2.4](#), it follows that

$$\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1 D_0(v) + D_0(v) \delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1 = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Replacing γ_1 by $\delta_0(u_0, x_1)$ and using [\(3.5\)](#), we obtain $\delta_0(u_0, x_1)^2 D_0(v) = 0$ for all $v \in U_0 \cup U_1$. Since

$$(\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1)^2 = \delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1 (D_0(u_0 x_1) - D_0(u_0) x_1 - u_0 D_0(x_1)) \gamma_1 \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we infer that $\delta_0(u_0, x_1)^4 = 0$. Again, using [\(3.5\)](#), it follows that $\delta_0(u_0, x_1)^2 = 0$ since \mathcal{A} is semiprime. Let $v \in U_1$. Therefore, multiplying [\(3.6\)](#) on the left by $\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1)^2 D_0(v) + \delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1 (D_0(v) \delta_0(u_0, x_1)) \gamma_1 \\ &= (\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1)^2 D_0(v) + D_0(v) \delta_0(u_0, x_1)^2 \gamma_1^2 \\ &= (\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1)^2 D_0(v). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

If $v \in U_0$, then $\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1 D_0(v) = 0$ by [\(3.6\)](#). Using [\(3.7\)](#), we arrive at $(\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1)^3 = 0$, which yields $\delta_0(u_0, x_1) \gamma_1 = 0$ for all $u_0 \in U_0, x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ by the semiprimeness of \mathcal{A}_0 and [\(3.4\)](#). Using [Lemma 2.2\(i\)](#), it follows that $\delta_0(u_0, x_1) = 0$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Analogously, we can show that $\delta_0(x_0, u_1) = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $u_1 \in U_1$. Therefore

$$\delta_0(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = \delta_0(U_1, \mathcal{A}_0) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Pick any $u_1 \in U_1$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Since $x_1^2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, it follows from [\(3.9\)](#) that $\delta_0(x_1^2, u_1) = 0$. Hence

$$D_0([x_1^2, u_1]) = [D_0(x_1^2), u_1] + [x_1^2, D_0(u_1)]. \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand,

$$D_0([x_1^2, u_1]) = [D_0(x_1) x_1 + x_1 D_0(x_1), u_1] + [x_1^2, D_0(u_1)] \quad (3.11)$$

by [Lemma 2.5\(ii\)](#). Comparing both identities, we arrive at $[\delta_0(x_1, x_1), u_1] = 0$ for all $u_1 \in U_1$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. [Lemma 2.6\(i\)](#) implies $[\delta_0(x_1, x_1), U] = 0$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. A linearization of this expression gives

$$[\delta_0(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1), U] = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

by [Lemma 2.5\(i\)](#). From (3.4) and [Lemma 2.6\(i\)](#) and (ii), we get $[x_0 \delta_0(x_1, y_1), y_0] = 0$ for all $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, which in turn implies

$$[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] \delta_0(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence $a_1[x_0, y_0] \delta_0(x_1, y_1) = 0$ for all $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ by (3.13). Using $a_1[x_0, y_0] \in U_1$ and (3.12), it follows that $\delta_0(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1) \mathcal{A}_1[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] = 0$. Again, using [Lemma 2.6\(i\)](#) and (3.13), it follows that $\delta_0(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1) \mathcal{A}_0[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] = 0$. Thus

$$[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] \perp \delta_0(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1). \quad (3.14)$$

Pick any $u_1 \in U_1$. According to (3.14), we have $\delta_0(u_1, x_1) \perp v$ for all $v \in U_0 \cup U_1$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Whence $\delta_0(u_1, x_1) D_0(\delta_0(u_1, x_1) v) = 0$. By (3.1) and (3.9), we obtain $\delta_0(u_1, x_1)^2 D_0(v) = 0$ since $\delta_0(u_1, x_1) D_0(\delta_0(u_1, x_1)) v = 0$ by (3.14). Obviously,

$$\delta_0(u_1, x_1)^3 = \delta_0(u_1, x_1)^2 (D_0(u_1 x_1) - D_0(u_1) x_1 - u_1 D_0(x_1)) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Using that \mathcal{A}_0 is semiprime and $\delta_0(u_1, x_1) \in Z(\mathcal{A}_0)$, we get $\delta_0(u_1, x_1) = 0$ for all $u_1 \in U_1$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Hence

$$\delta_0(U_1, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Thereby the proof is completed. \square

4. Jordan superderivations of degree 1

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $D : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$ be a linear map satisfying $D(x \circ y) = D(x) \circ y + x \circ D(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Then, for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}_0$,*

$$[x, y] \perp (D(zw) - D(z)w - zD(w)). \quad (4.1)$$

PROOF. Using [6, Lemma 2.7] (with $\mathcal{A}_0 = B$ and $M = \mathcal{A}_1$), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] \mathcal{A}_0[x, y] \mathcal{A}_0(D(xy) - D(x)y - xD(y)) &= 0, \\ (D(xy) - D(x)y - xD(y)) \mathcal{A}_0[x, y] \mathcal{A}_0[x, y] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Write $c = [x, y]$ and $m = D(xy) - D(x)y - xD(y)$ for brevity. Therefore $(cx_0 m)x_1(cx_0 m) = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. From [Lemma 2.2\(i\)](#) it follows that $c \mathcal{A}_0 m = 0$. Analogously, $m \mathcal{A}_0 c = 0$. [Lemma 2.2\(ii\)](#) implies $m \perp c$. Using [4, Lemma 1.2], the result follows. \square

LEMMA 4.2. *If $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ is such that $U_0 a_1 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$ and $a_1[U_0, U_0] = 0$, then $a_1 U = 0$.*

PROOF. We have $0 = x(u_0a_1)[v_0, w_0] = u_0a_1x[v_0, w_0]$ for all $u_0, v_0, w_0 \in U_0$, and $x \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that $U_0a_1\mathcal{A}[U_0, U_0] = 0$, which in turn implies $a_1\mathcal{A}[U_0, U_0] = 0$ by Lemma 2.2(iii) and the semiprimeness of U_0 . Therefore $0 = a_1y[u_0, x_0v_0] = a_1y[u_0, x_0]v_0$ for all $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, and $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $a_1\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}_0, U_0] = 0$ by Lemma 2.2(iii) and the semiprimeness of U_0 . In the same way, we can show that $a_1\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]U_0 = 0$. Again, using Lemma 2.2(iii) and the semiprimeness of U_0 , it follows that $a_1\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] = 0$ since $a_1\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] \subseteq U$. Therefore $a_1U = 0$. \square

LEMMA 4.3. Let $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ be such that $a_0[\mathcal{A}_0, b_0] = 0$. Then $[a_0, b_0] = 0$.

PROOF. We have $0 = a_0[x_0y_0, b_0] = a_0x_0[y_0, b_0]$ for all $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Hence $[a_0, b_0]\mathcal{A}_0[a_0, b_0] = 0$, which yields $[a_0, b_0] = 0$. \square

THEOREM 4.4. $\delta_1(U, \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

PROOF. By Lemma 4.1, we have $[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] \perp \delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0)$. In particular, $[\gamma_1\delta_1(x_0, y_0), z_0]\mathcal{A}[\gamma_1\delta_1(x_0, y_0), z_0] = 0$ for all $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, since $\gamma_1\delta_1(x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Using that \mathcal{A} is semiprime, it follows that $[\gamma_1\delta_1(x_0, y_0), z_0] = 0$. Analogously, $[\delta_1(x_0, y_0)\gamma_1, z_0] = 0$. Hence

$$\mathcal{A}_1\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0), \delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0)\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}_0). \quad (4.3)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we infer that

$$\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0)^2 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}). \quad (4.4)$$

Let $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$. Then we have $\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1 \perp v_0$ for all $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence

$$\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1 D_1(v_0) + D_1(v_0)\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1 = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

by Lemma 2.4. Replacing γ_1 by $\delta_1(u_0, y_0)$ and using (4.4), it follows that $\delta_1(u_0, y_0)^2 D_1(v_0) = 0$ for all $v_0 \in U_0$. Since

$$(\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1)^2 = \delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1(D_1(u_0y_0) - D_1(u_0)y_0 - u_0D_1(y_0))\gamma_1 \quad (4.6)$$

for all $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we obtain $\delta_1(u_0, y_0)^4 = 0$. Using that \mathcal{A} is semiprime and (4.4), we arrive at $\delta_1(u_0, y_0)^2 = 0$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$ and $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Multiplying (4.5) on the left by $\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1)^2 D_1(v_0) + \delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1(D_1(v_0)\delta_1(u_0, y_0))\gamma_1 \\ &= (\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1)^2 D_1(v_0) + D_1(v_0)\delta_1(u_0, y_0)^2\gamma_1^2 \\ &= (\delta_1(u_0, y_0)\gamma_1)^2 D_1(v_0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Therefore $(\delta_1(u_0, y_0)y_1)^3 = 0$ by (4.6). The semiprimeness of \mathcal{A} , together with (4.3), gives $\delta_1(u_0, y_0)y_1 = 0$ for all $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}$. Using [Lemma 2.2\(i\)](#), we obtain

$$\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_0) = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Using [Lemma 2.6\(vii\)](#), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_1[x_0, y_0v_0] \\ &= \delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_1y_0[x_0, v_0] + \delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_1[x_0, y_0]v_0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for all $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$, $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, and $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, which in turn implies $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_1[x_0, y_0]U_0 = 0$ and analogously $U_0[x_0, y_0]y_1\delta_1(u_0, x_1) = 0$. Since $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_1[y_0, x_0] = [y_0, x_0]y_1\delta_1(u_0, x_1) \in U_1$, it follows that

$$\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] = [\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]\mathcal{A}_1\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

by [Lemma 2.2\(iii\)](#). Using [Lemma 2.6\(i\)](#), (ii), and (iv), it follows that $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_1 - y_1\delta_1(u_0, x_1) = \delta_1(x_1u_0, y_1) - \delta_1(u_0x_1, y_1)$ for all $u_0 \in U_0$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, which yields

$$[\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_1, v_0] = [y_1\delta_1(u_0, x_1), v_0], \quad u_0, v_0 \in U_0, \quad x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1. \quad (4.11)$$

Multiplying this expression on the right by $z_1[z_0, y_0]$, where $z_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $z_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we obtain

$$[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, U_0]\mathcal{A}_1[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0] = [\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]\mathcal{A}_1[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, U_0] = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

by (4.10). [Lemma 2.2\(ii\)](#) implies

$$[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, U_0] \perp [\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]. \quad (4.13)$$

In particular,

$$[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, U_0]\mathcal{A}[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, U_0] = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Since \mathcal{A} is semiprime, it follows that $[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, U_0] = 0$. From [Lemma 2.6\(ii\)](#) and (iv), we get

$$[U_0\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1), U_0] = [\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)U_0, U_0] = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Using [Lemma 2.3](#), we arrive at

$$U_0\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}). \quad (4.16)$$

Using (4.15) and [Lemma 2.6\(i\)](#), we obtain $0 = [u_0x_1, v_0] = u_0[x_1, v_0] + [u_0, v_0]x_1$ for all $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$ and $x_1 \in \delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$. Therefore $[U_0, U_0]\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$, and similarly $\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)[U_0, U_0] = 0$. Using [Lemma 4.2](#), it follows that

$$\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1) \perp [\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]. \quad (4.17)$$

In particular,

$$[\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_0]\mathcal{A}[\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_0] = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

which in turn implies

$$\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1 \delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}_0) \quad (4.19)$$

since \mathcal{A} is semiprime. [Lemma 2.1](#) implies

$$\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)^2 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}). \quad (4.20)$$

Pick $u_1 \in U_1$, $v \in U_0 \cup U_1$, and $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. We have $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1 \perp v$ by (4.17). Using [Lemma 2.4](#), it follows that

$$\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1 D_1(v) + D_1(v)\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1 = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Replace y_1 by $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)$. Therefore $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)^2 D_1(v) = 0$ for all $v \in U_0 \cup U_1$ by (4.20). Since

$$(\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1)^2 = \delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1(D_1(u_1 x_1) - D_1(u_1)x_1 + u_1 D_1(x_1))y_1 \quad (4.22)$$

for all $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we get $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)^4 = 0$. The semiprimeness of \mathcal{A} yields $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)^2 = 0$ for all $u_1 \in U_1$, $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Let $v \in U_0$. Multiplying (4.21) on the left by $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1)^2 D_1(v) + \delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1(D_1(v)\delta_1(u_1, x_1))y_1 \\ &= (\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1)^2 D_1(v) + D_1(v)\delta_1(u_1, x_1)^2 y_1^2 \\ &= (\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1)^2 D_1(v). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

If $v \in U_1$, then $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1 D_1(v) = 0$ by (4.21). Therefore $(\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1)^3 = 0$ by (4.22). Since \mathcal{A}_0 is semiprime and (4.19) holds, we obtain $\delta_1(u_1, x_1)y_1 = 0$ for all $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $u_1 \in U_1$. By [Lemma 2.2\(i\)](#), it follows that

$$\delta_1(U_1, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0. \quad (4.24)$$

Using [Lemma 2.6\(iii\)](#), we arrive at $\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, U_1)\mathcal{A}_1 = 0$, which in turn implies

$$\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, U_1) \perp \mathcal{A}_1. \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore

$$\delta_1(x_0, u_1)D_1(x_1) + D_1(x_1)\delta_1(x_0, u_1) = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $u_1 \in U_1$ by [Lemma 2.4](#). Again, using [Lemma 2.4](#), we obtain

$$\delta_1(x_0, u_1)y_0 D_1(x_1) + D_1(x_1)\delta_1(x_0, u_1)y_0 = 0 \quad (4.27)$$

for all $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, since $\delta_1(x_0, u_1)y_0 \perp x_1$ by (4.25). Multiplying (4.26) on the right by $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and comparing the identity so obtained with (4.27), it follows that $\delta_1(x_0, u_1)[y_0, D_1(x_1)] = 0$ for all $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $u_1 \in U_1$, and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Using Lemma 4.3, we obtain $[\delta_1(x_0, u_1), D_1(x_1)] = 0$. Hence $[\delta_1(x_0, u_1)y_0, D_1(x_1)] = \delta_1(x_0, u_1)[y_0, D_1(x_1)] + [\delta_1(x_0, u_1), D_1(x_1)]y_0 = 0$ for all $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. By (4.27), we get $\delta_1(x_0, u_1)\mathcal{A}_0D_1(x_1) = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $u_1 \in U_1$, and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Therefore $\delta_1(x_0, u_1)y_0\delta_1(x_0, u_1) = \delta_1(x_0, u_1)y_0(D_1(x_0u_1) - D_1(x_0)u_1 - x_0D_1(u_1)) = 0$ by (4.25). By the semiprimeness of \mathcal{A}_0 , it follows that

$$\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, U_1) = 0. \quad (4.28)$$

Using Lemma 2.6(ii) and (iv), it follows that $\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)U_1 = U_1\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$. Therefore $\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_0U_1 = 0$ and $U_1\mathcal{A}_0\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2(ii), it follows that

$$\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) \perp U_1. \quad (4.29)$$

Pick any $u_0 \in U_0$ and $u_1 \in U_1$. Then we have $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0 \perp u_1$ for all $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Using (4.28), we get $0 = D_1((\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0)u_1) = D_1(\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0)u_1 + \delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0D_1(u_1)$. Multiplying this identity on the left by $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)z_0$, $z_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, we obtain $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)z_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0D_1(u_1) = 0$. Hence $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0D_1(u_1)\mathcal{A}_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0D_1(u_1) = 0$, which in turn implies

$$\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_0D_1(U_1) = 0 \quad (4.30)$$

since \mathcal{A}_0 is semiprime. By (4.29), we have $\delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0 \perp y_1$ for all $v_0 \in U_0$ and $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Whence

$$\delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0D_1(y_1) + D_1(y_1)\delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0 = 0 \quad (4.31)$$

by Lemma 2.4. Since also $x_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0 \perp y_1$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, we arrive at

$$x_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0D_1(y_1) + D_1(y_1)x_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0 = 0 \quad (4.32)$$

for all $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ by Lemma 2.4. If we multiply (4.31) on the left by x_0 and compare the identity so obtained with (4.32), it follows that

$$[\mathcal{A}_0, D_1(\mathcal{A}_1)]\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)U_0 = 0. \quad (4.33)$$

Since $[\mathcal{A}_0, D_1(\mathcal{A}_1)]\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq U_0$, we obtain $[\mathcal{A}_0, D_1(\mathcal{A}_1)]\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$ by the semiprimeness of U_0 . Therefore also $\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)[\mathcal{A}_0, D_1(\mathcal{A}_1)] = 0$. Using Lemma 4.3, it follows that $[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1), D_1(\mathcal{A}_1)] = 0$, which yields $[\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_0, D_1(\mathcal{A}_1)] = 0$. Using (4.31), we obtain $\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)U_0D_1(\mathcal{A}_1) = 0$. By (4.29), we arrive at

$$\delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1) = \delta_1(u_0, x_1)v_0(D_1(u_0x_1) - D_1(u_0)x_1 - u_0D_1(x_1)) = 0 \quad (4.34)$$

for all $v_0 \in U_0$. Using that U_0 is semiprime, it follows that $U_0\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$, which in turn implies $U\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$. Analogously, $\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)U = 0$. Using (4.8), we obtain

$$0 = \delta_1(u_0, x_1)x_0D_1((\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0)v_0) = \delta_1(u_0, x_1)x_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1)y_0D_1(v_0) \quad (4.35)$$

for all $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $u_0, v_0 \in U_0$, and $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Hence

$$(\delta_1(u_0, x_1)x_0D_1(v_0))\mathcal{A}_1(\delta_1(u_0, x_1)x_0D_1(v_0)) = 0, \quad (4.36)$$

which yields $\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1)\mathcal{A}_0D_1(U_0) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2(i). Using (4.30), it follows that

$$\delta_1(u_0, x_1)x_0\delta_1(u_0, x_1) = \delta_1(u_0, x_1)x_0(D_1(u_0x_1) - D_1(u_0)x_1 - u_0D_1(x_1)) = 0. \quad (4.37)$$

Consequently,

$$\delta_1(U_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0. \quad (4.38)$$

Thereby the proof is completed. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorems 3.1 and 4.4 show that $D(ux) = D_0(ux) + D_1(ux) = D_0(u)x + uD_0(x) + D_1(u)x + (-1)^{|u|}uD_1(x)$. Therefore $D|U$ is the sum of superderivations of degrees 0 and 1.

Set $V = \text{Ann}(U)$. Pick $v_0 \in V_0$. We have

$$[v_0, x_0]y_0[v_0, x_0] = v_0(x_0y_0[v_0, x_0]) - x_0v_0(y_0[v_0, x_0]) = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

for all $v_0 \in V_0$, $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, since $y_0[v_0, x_0], x_0y_0[v_0, x_0] \in U_0$. By the semiprimeness of \mathcal{A}_0 , we arrive at $[v_0, x_0] = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $v_0 \in V_0$.

We show that

$$\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) \perp [\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]. \quad (5.2)$$

Consider the expression $D_1(u_0x_0y_1)$ with $u_0 \in U_0$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $y_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$. On the one hand,

$$D_1(u_0(x_0y_1)) = D_1(u_0)x_0y_1 + u_0D_1(x_0y_1), \quad (5.3)$$

and, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1((u_0x_0)y_1) &= D_1(u_0x_0)y_1 + u_0x_0D_1(y_1) \\ &= D_1(u_0)x_0y_1 + u_0D_1(x_0)y_1 + u_0x_0D_1(y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Comparing these two relations, we obtain $U_0\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$. In particular,

$$[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]\mathcal{A}_0\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

We also have $\mathcal{A}_1[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]\mathcal{A}_1\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$. By [Lemma 2.2\(i\)](#), we arrive at $[\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_0]\mathcal{A}_1\delta_1(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) = 0$ and the result follows. If $U = 0$, then \mathcal{A}_0 is commutative. Note that $\delta_0(x, y), \delta_1(x, y) \in V$, $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$, by (3.1), (3.2), (3.14), (4.17), (5.2), and [Lemma 4.1](#). Therefore $V = 0$ implies that D is a superderivation.

We have $UV = 0$, and hence $U \cap V = 0$ since \mathcal{A} is semiprime. Suppose that $(U + V) \cap I = 0$ for some graded ideal I of \mathcal{A} . Hence $UI = VI = 0$. Therefore $I \subseteq \text{Ann}(U) \cap \text{Ann}(V) = \text{Ann}(U) \cap \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(U)) = 0$. Thus $U \oplus V$ is an essential ideal of \mathcal{A} . The proof is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I am thankful to Professor Matej Brešar for help and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. E. Baxter and W. S. Martindale III, *Jordan homomorphisms of semiprime rings*, J. Algebra **56** (1979), no. 2, 457–471.
- [2] K. I. Beidar, M. Brešar, and M. A. Chebotar, *Jordan superhomomorphisms*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 2, 633–644.
- [3] M. Brešar, *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 4, 1003–1006.
- [4] ———, *Jordan mappings of semiprime rings*, J. Algebra **127** (1989), no. 1, 218–228.
- [5] J. M. Cusack, *Jordan derivations on rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), no. 2, 321–324.
- [6] M. Fošner, *Jordan superderivations*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 9, 4533–4545.
- [7] I. N. Herstein, *Jordan derivations of prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1104–1110.
- [8] ———, *Rings with Involution*, The University of Chicago Press, Illinois, 1976.
- [9] F. Montaner, *On the Lie structure of associative superalgebras*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), no. 7, 2337–2349.

Maja Fošner: Institute of Mathematics, Physics, and Mechanics, Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

E-mail address: maja.fosner@uni-mb.si

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk